

Glossary

Ascertainment Methodology This is the methodology for ascertaining presumed error/inobservance in healthcare treatment on Living Persons and/or Cadavers, involving the examination of clinical and documentary data, specialist consultation, autopsy (external and/or internal examination) and further diagnostic procedures carried out by a medico-legal expert.

Anaesthesia Report This report comprises all the information about the physiopathological state of the patient during anaesthesia and surgery. It is very important in lawsuits for death during surgery or anaesthetic accidents.

Anamnesis and physical examination This is the prior step for diagnostic and therapeutic accuracy, the omission of which indicates inadequate medical conduct.

Authorisation for Admission Consent form signed by patient or patient's legal representative if the patient is physically or psychologically incapable of doing so.

Autopsy This is the examination of a cadaver to determine or confirm the cause of death.

Capability of Causing Harm (Ex-Ante Criterion of) This is the capability of a specific action/event to cause harm or disease. Such a capability must be ascertained by comparing the nature and strength of the action/event to the effects observed.

Causal Link/Causal Value This is the connection between error/inobservance (a possible *cause*) and an injury (the *effect*), where the second event is understood to be a consequence of the first.

Cause for Justification of Error/Inobservance The situation where an error/inobservance may be justified under the circumstances of the harm caused. A medico-legal expert must supply technical reasons for cases of justifiable error, since a final decision will be made by a judge of the court.

Chronological Criterion This indicates the possible correlation between the moment of action of the causal factor (which may involve the omission of an action) and the moment when the injury becomes manifest.

Clinical Discharge Report This is issued when the patient is discharged, from the medical point of view, and goes home or to another hospital. It summarises the period in which the patient was hospitalised and should be a complete document, which includes the cause of hospitalisation, with precise diagnoses, treatments administered, evolution, the state of the patient when discharged and treatment(s) to be followed, with indications of any future examinations and whether the family doctor should carry out monitoring.

Clinical Synthesis This is the summary of the clinical, documentary and objective data before the phase of analysis and evaluation in Ascertainment Methodology on Living Persons.

Conditio Sine Qua Non In Legal terms, this is the juridical theory concerning the essential requisite existing between a specific antecedent and the fact in the case where the fact would not have occurred without the antecedent. It is also known as the *but-for* rule and is the minimum indispensable for the objective imputation of harmful events in Criminal Law.

Consent Documents These have to demonstrate that the patient was properly informed and that he has fully understood the implications of the given medical intervention and has agreed to it. They are generally compulsory by law.

Consultation with Specialist This takes place if preliminary evaluation of the clinical and healthcare documentation reveals the need/suitability for requesting the advice of one or more medical specialists in the ascertainment phase, to ensure better definition of the case in question. This involvement should preferably take place before clinical ascertainment, as the specialist may profitably contribute to the clinical ascertainment phase and to the choice of any further examinations to be carried out.

Counterfactual Reasoning This is a type of hypothetical reasoning in which, regarding the causal link, one tries to answer the question as to whether, without the conduct of the actor—contrary to the facts—a certain event would have taken place in any case. If the ascertainment indicates a negative answer (the event would have taken place), one may conclude that the action, or omission, is a necessary condition for the event to take place. If instead the answer is positive (the event would have taken place in any case), the behaviour of the actor was not a necessary condition and there is no causal link.

Criterion of Exclusion of Other Causes The cause of juridical relevance may act alone or together with other pre-existing or simultaneous causes (co-causes) that took place later which, if they are true co-causes, do not interrupt the causal link. Instead, the criterion of exclusion of other causes, if satisfied, leads to the opposite consequence, i.e., interruption of the causal link. In order for

interruption of the causal link to occur, “other causes” must be identified, either alone or necessary and sufficient to produce the event, or producing it completely autonomously.

Criterion of Phenomenological Continuity This indicates the possible correlation between the moment of action of the causal factor (which may involve the omission of an action) and the moment when the injury becomes manifest.

Criterion of Rational/Logical Credibility This is the criterion that refers only to the average experience and expertise of a particular medical category and is used for evaluating the causal value of error and the relationship of an actual causal link between error/non-observance and damage.

Damage Identification This covers death as well as possible bodily and/or biological damage or incapacity, which can be classed as temporary or permanent.

Degree of Probability of Causal Value and Causal Link The ascertainment of the causal value and causal link between error/inobservance and injury, which is identified by applying counterfactual reasoning and then medico-legal criteria, expressed in terms of certainty, high probability/near-certainty, average probability, low probability, possibility or exclusion of the causal value- causal link between error/non-observance and injury.

Emergency Room Assistance Sheet or Emergency Room Report This is compiled when the patient has requested care in the Emergency Room, including the reason for consultations, the results of any examinations and tests that have been requested, clinical opinion and diagnosis.

Error This is the violation of a rule shared by the national and/or international medical community as regards an aspect of professional practise, classified into the following types:

–**True/Real error:** This is a material error, of omission or commission, due to violation of a universal and/or epidemiological scientific law, or of consolidated rules of experience and competence.

–**Pseudo-error (apparent error):** This is an error not due to incompetence or ignorance on the part of a medical doctor or a member of the healthcare personnel, but is *apparent*. It may be caused by erroneous or unknown scientific knowledge at the time of the event, by the unpredictability or inevitability of the event, by chance, or by *force majeure*.

–**Conscious error:** This is an error made by a medical doctor or a member of the healthcare personnel in full conscience. Aware of having not identified the true (etiology of the) pathological state of the patient, the medical doctor applies diagnostic or therapeutic procedures with only an *ex adiuvantibus* aim (i.e. without true efficacy as regards diagnosis and/or treatment) causing damage to that patient.

Ex-Ante Evaluation/Judgement This is used in establishing error in which the medico-legal expert must imagine being in the same space–time circumstances of the medical and/or healthcare personnel involved (training, age, qualifications and professional experience) and the technical and instrumental equipment at their disposal, thereby drawing a comparison between ideal and real conduct.

Explanatory Law This expresses regularity in the succession of events observed in nature, from which it is possible to infer a known or still unknown fact (in which case it is predicted). The applicable laws are subdivided into universal and statistical laws.

Ex-post Analysis This is the subsequent analysis of the conduct of medical and healthcare personnel, taking into account the existence of the patient’s consent, the diagnostic tests, prognosis, treatment and care of the patient.

Falsificationism This is the theory that falsifiability is an essential characteristic of any scientific hypothesis, which must be capable of being falsified by scientific observation and empirical experiments.

Force Majeure This is the occurrence of an extraordinary event or circumstance that is beyond the control of the physician.

Highly Complex Medical Interventions The nature and complexity of the medical intervention must always be evaluated when an error/inobservance in the healthcare conduct has been identified. If such an intervention involves highly complex technical challenges in cases of error/inobservance, the physician is liable only if the fault was extremely serious or intentional.

Ideal Medical Conduct This is the conduct which a physician should have followed during diagnosis, prognosis and treatment/therapy. Ideal standards of medical conduct are dictated by medical ethics and by medical conduct as regulated by law, which may overlap. Ideal Medical Conduct is established by reference to scientific sources, such as *Guidelines* (national and international), *Consensus Documents* (national and international), *Operational Procedures* (local, national and international), and *Scientific Literature* (national and International *Treatises* and *Journals*).

Inter-Consultation Sheet This sheet records all actions by other specialists who may examine the patient at the request of the doctor responsible for that patient. It is compiled when the patient’s state, other than that for which that patient was admitted to hospital, is documented by a specialist from another discipline. The Inter-consultation Sheet is important, because when medical–legal evaluation of the case is performed all professional actions and their quality, degree of diligence, opportunity and effectiveness are taken into account.

Medical Orders Sheet This is the sheet on which doctors attending the patient are obligated to record their decisions.

Medical Responsibility/Liability This may be separated into two categories, the first of which is the positive responsibility of the physician for curing the patient. The second type is the negative responsibility not to cause harm to the patient. If harm is caused or the patient dies, then the physician may be liable under criminal law or civil law, depending on the nature of the medical conduct and of the judicial proceedings that are taken.

Necessary Condition A necessary condition is a single condition that must be satisfied in order for an event to take place. The necessary condition is examined through counterfactual reasoning.

Non-Observance of Rules of Professional Medical Conduct This is the non-observance of rules of conduct as referred to in National Laws, National/Local regulations, Hospital codes of conduct or those rules deriving from scientific medicine as taught in degree courses and specialisation schools, permanently updated through the scientific literature, congresses and training courses. The rules are mainly orientative and must be applied according to the diagnostic and therapeutic features of each single case.

Nursing Journal This sheet covers all incidents relating to vital signs, administration of medicines and medications, requests for care and any unusual decisions (including, for example, requests to doctors on duty made by nurses for extra medicines, especially analgesics, etc., outside usual working hours). Detailed notes which may be of interest are frequently found in nursing sheets.

Obligation of Means In medical malpractice, this is a burden on the physician who owes such an obligation to perform a given treatment in accordance with appropriate standards of care.

Observance of Minimum Quality Requirements/Important Rules of Conduct These are the minimum standards of conduct, understood as a set of duties incumbent on the physician and other health professionals when carrying out their work in the healthcare context.

Obligation of Result In medical malpractice this is a burden on the physician who owes such an obligation to attain a precise result when treating a patient. However, it is normally only applied in a small number of specific medical specialities, i.e. plastic surgery, orthodontic surgery, etc.

Operating Room Report This report records the nature of the surgical intervention, all incidents related to the technique used, and specific patient findings. It is therefore a patient document which is usually illustrated with a simple drawing showing what actions were taken in the surgical field, e.g. sutures, drains, etc. This sheet is essential for examining medical conduct if surgical or post-surgery complications arise.

Pathological Features These are features of the disease recognised in living persons/cadavers, divided into *initial*, *intermediate* and *final* clinical pictures, resulting in restoration to health, death, chronic pathological state or permanent injury.

Patient's Journal This document records daily changes in the hospitalised patient's condition, response to treatment, recommended tests and their results, and clinical evaluation of the patient's state until discharged.

Physiopathological Pathway This is the actual chain of events which took place and links the initial pathological features with the intermediate and final ones.

Post-Surgery Evaluation Sheet This sheet describes monitoring of the patient with respect to general conditions and the specific surgical operation performed. It is also very important when examining the quality of healthcare in this phase (early detection of complications, early and correct actions to avoid them, etc.).

Pregnancy Monitoring Sheet In cases of pregnancy this document indicates all examinations, records of vital signs, incidents occurring to the mother, development of the foetus (size, weight, heartbeat, etc.), results of screening for chromosomopathies and malformations, etc.

Pre-Surgery Examination Sheet This document is compiled when surgical intervention is necessary. Pre-surgery examinations are carried out by an anaesthetist, according to established procedures, and patients are classified with respect to their ASA index or risk level. This sheet is very important in view of the information which must be given to patients and of the risks which they knowingly accept.

Real Medical Conduct This is the actual conduct of a physician during diagnosis, prognosis and treatment. Evaluation of the correctness of these various diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic phases is carried out by comparing them with the ideal conduct.

Record of Assistance at Birth This is a clearly compiled record of the phases of the birth clarifying problems, the time when they are detected and at which moment each professional intervened.

Reports of Complementary Examinations These refer to diagnostic tests, the results of which are interpreted and reported by the specialists who made them, e.g. imaging, neuro-physiological, psychological tests, etc.

Source Hierarchy This is the gradation of scientific sources of non-equivalent importance into (1) Guidelines, (2) Consensus Documents, (3) Operational Procedures, (4) Literature (Treatises), (5) Literature (Journals).

Standard of Care This is a medical treatment guideline, which can be general or specific and may vary between healthcare centers. It specifies appropriate treatment based on up-to-date scientific evidence and collaboration between medical professionals involved in the treatment of a given condition. The

medical malpractice plaintiff must establish the appropriate standard of care and demonstrate that the standard of care has been breached, with expert testimony.

Statistical Law This is limited to stating that the occurrence of an event is accompanied by the occurrence of another event in a certain percentage of cases and with relative frequency.

Sufficient Condition This is a single condition which, if verified, guarantees that a particular occurrence will take place.

Topographic Criterion This describes the correlation between the injury and the anatomo-functional location at which the hypothesised causal factor acted; it takes on importance mainly in the framework of the injuriousness of physical energy, i.e. mechanical, electrical, radiating or chemical energy, or due to bacterial or viral agents. The criterion may be deemed to be satisfied in the case of direct topographic correspondence (e.g., fracture of the skull due to a fall), indirect (counter-coup) or at a distance (pulmonary embolism after contusion of lower limbs).

Universal Law This law derives from consolidated and unanimously shared scientific knowledge.

Index

Page numbers followed by 'f' indicate figures and 't' indicate tables respectively.

A

- Action against Medical Accidents (AvMA), 142
- ADR. *See* Alternative dispute resolution
- Adverse event, 120t
- AIPP. *See* Permanent functional deficits (DFP)
- Allegory of prudence, 18f
- Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 86
- Anaesthesia Report, 178, 255
- Anamnesis and physical examination, 253
- Andreas Vesalius-Andreae Vesalii Bruxellensis, 314–331f
- Angelo Gatti, 307f
- ANIA. *See* Associazione nazionale fra le imprese assicuratrici
- Antonio Molinetti–Antonii Molinetti, 343f
- Antonio Vallisneri, 348f
- Apparent dependence, 165
- Apparent error. *See* Pseudo-error
- Art of healthcare, 15
- Ascertainment methodology, 176
 - See also* German-speaking countries, medical liability in
 - in cadavers, 181
 - clinical autopsy, 181
 - European regulations, 182
 - forensic autopsy, 182
 - in Estonia
 - cadaver, 242
 - living persons, 241
 - fatalities
 - Civil Code, 118
 - Criminal Code, 116–118
 - extra judicial institutions, 200
 - in Italy
 - demonstrating causal relationship, 221
 - in forensic practice, 221–222
 - theory of adequate causation, 220
 - theory of causality, 220
 - theory of equivalence, 219
 - theory of human causalness, 220
 - theory of prevalence, 219–220
 - in Latvia, 233
 - in Lithuania
 - cadaver, 229–232
 - living persons, 230
 - in living patient, 115, 181
 - documents to analysis, 116
 - no recommendations for investigations, 115–116
 - medical liability in UK, 137–138
 - in Portugal, 200–201
 - INML, 203, 204
 - legal-medical autopsy, 204–205
 - medical error evaluation, 202–203
 - medico-legal expert investigations, 203, 204
- Associazione nazionale fra le imprese assicuratrici (ANIA), 212
- Austria, medical liability in. *See* German-speaking countries, medical liability in
- Authorisation for admission, 253
- AvMA. *See* Action against Medical Accidents

B

- Bad healthcare. *See* Medical malpractice
- Bad practice, 64–65
- Baili, 27
- Bartolomeo Squarcialupi—“libro de cautery”, 291–306*f*
- Biological damage, 223–224
- Bolam v Friern Hospital Management Committee, 135
- Bolitho-v-City and Hackney Health Authority, 136
- But-for cause. *See* *Conditio sine qua non*

C

- Care Quality Commission, 141
- Causality, 94
 - application of probabilistic logicism, 104
 - key elements for *Expert*, 104–105
 - medical evidence formation process, 105–106
 - new evidentiary regime, 105
 - omissive causality problem, 103
 - principle of, 96
 - quality of evidence, 104
 - scientific laws of coverage, 101
 - adequate causality, 102–103
 - capability of causing harm, 102
 - deductive-nomological model, 101
 - reconstruction of causal links, 102
 - statistical-inductive model, 101–102
 - selection of scientific concepts, 104
 - subsumption model, 103
 - theory, 220
- Causation, 93, 97–103, 139
 - “but for” test, 139–140
 - doctrine of material contribution, 140–141
 - gradation levels, 97
 - principle of, 94
 - values of, 94
 - variability in scientific information, 97–98
- Cause
 - of event, 97
 - identification, 97
 - principle of, 94
 - scientific progress, 95
- Civil Code
 - in Portugal
 - Article 244, no. 2, 197
 - in civil medical responsibility, 193
 - and Criminal Code, 198
 - in German-speaking countries, 118
 - of Italian Republic
 - Article 1218, 210

- Article 1486, 55
- Article 2043, 210–211, 224
- Article 2043, biological damage, 222
- Article 2058, 224
- Article 2059, 222, 223
- Article 2059, non-pecuniary damage, 222
- Article 2236, 61
 - in Lithuania, 87
- Civil Law system, 72, 73
- Civil medical responsibility
 - in Italy, 215
 - assumption of liability, 215
 - causal link, 216–217
 - development, 217
 - extra-contractual liability, 216
 - medical negligence functional criteria, 217
 - physician and patient relationship, 215–216
 - in Portugal, 190
 - Civil Code, 193
 - condemnation under, 192
 - enforcement, 193
 - obligation of means/results, 195–197
 - presumptions of guilt, 194–195
- Civil Procedure Rules 1998 (CPR), 137
- Classical theory, 96
- Clinical autopsy, 181
- Clinical Discharge Report, 256
- Clinical error, 89
- Clinical negligence scheme for trusts (CNST), 132
- CML. *See* Medico-Legal Council; Portuguese Medical-Legal Council
- CNAM. *See* *Commission Nationale des Accidents Médicaux*
- CNST. *See* *Clinical negligence scheme for trusts*
- Commission Nationale des Accidents Médicaux* (CNAM), 152
- Commission Régionale de Conciliation et d’Indemnisation* (CRCI), 147, 152, 153, 154
- Common Law system, 131
 - in England, 86
 - and English Law, 73
 - and Scandinavian legislation, compensation damage models of, 80
- Compensation damage models
 - administrative procedures, 81
 - Patient Claims Panel, 81
 - of Scandinavian legislation, 80
 - Swedish compensation system, 80

Complementary Examination Reports, 255
 Complication, 120t
Conditio sine qua non. *See* Theory of equivalence and *Conditio sine qua non*
Conditio sine qua non, 9, 99–100, 124
Confreres, 56
 Conscious error, 266
Consuetudo, 55
 Contractual responsibility, 74, 75
Contrario sensu, 197
 Convention on the dignity of human beings and biomedicine. *See* Oviedo Convention
 Council of Europe conference, 5
 Council of Ten, The, 27, 28f
 Court systems, 78, 79
 CPR. *See* Civil Procedure Rules
 CRCI. *See* *Commission Régionale de Conciliation et d'Indemnisation*
 Criminal medical responsibility, 169–170
 in Italy, 213
 intentional offense, 214
 manslaughter, 214
 summons and complaint, 214–215
 in Portugal, 190
 condemnation under, 192
 criminal categorisation of medical acts, 198–199
 Criminal Code, 197–198
 medical interventions, 199
 Criterion of Rational Credibility, 268, 269
 Customs. *See* *Consuetudo*
 Cynical Doctor. *See* Macoppe, Alessandro
 Knips

D

D'Ancona, N., 289
 Damage compensation, 72
 civil actions for, 65
 judicial management expense reduction, 88
 legitimization establishment, 85
 procedure in England, 86
De cautelis medicorum, 17, 18
 De Giovanni, A., 289
De pestilentia, 35
 Deductive-nomological model, 101
 DFP. *See* Permanent functional deficits
 DINTHILAC nomenclature, 159
Diplome inter universitaire (DIU), 152
 DIU. *See* *Diplome inter universitaire*
 Doctor's liability. *See* Medical liability
 Doctor-patient relationship
 allegory of prudence, 18f

caution, 19
De cautelis medicorum, 17, 19
 doctors
 reputation of, 20–21
 responsibility of, 22
 medical ethics in, 18–19, 20
 precaution, 21–22
 stratagems, 21
 treatise, 19
 Ducceschi, V., 289
 Duty of care, 8, 135, 139

E

EALM. *See* European Academy of Legal Medicine
 EBM. *See* Evidence based medicine
 ECLM. *See* European Council of Legal Medicine
 Emergency Room Assistance Sheet, 254
 Emergency Room Report. *See* Emergency Room Assistance Sheet
 Ercole Sassonia–Herculis Saxoniae Patauini, 345f
 Error/non-observance
 classification, 267, 284
 evaluation, 284
 identification, 265–266, 284
 Estonia, medical responsibility in
 ascertainment methodology
 cadaver, 242
 living persons, 241
 evaluation criteriology, 242
 extra-judicial institutions, 240–241
 future perspectives, 242
 judicial and normative
 overview, 239–240
 judicial institutions, 240
 Estonian Patient Advocacy Association, 240
 European Academy of Legal Medicine (EALM), 249
 European Council of Legal Medicine (ECLM), 251
 European Hospital and Healthcare Federation (HOPE), 5
 European juridical system analysis, 74
 Evidence based medicine (EBM), 97
 Ex-ante evaluation/judgement, 267
 Extra-contractual responsibility, 74, 75

F

Fanzago, F., 289
Faute de service, 201

- Fedele Fortunato–Fortunati Fidelis medici, 344*f*
- Federazione nazionale degli ordini dei medici chirurghi e degli odontoiatri (FNOM-CeO), 212
- Fitness to Practise Panel, 133, 134
- FNOMCeO. *See* Federazione nazionale degli ordini dei medici chirurghi e degli odontoiatri
- Forensic autopsy, 117, 179–182, 259, 282
- Forti, Girolamo, 37
- Fracastoro, Girolamo–Hieronymi Fracastorii Veronensis, 332*f*
- France, medical responsibility in
 - administrative jurisdictions, 150–151
 - civil jurisdictions, 148
 - causal link, 150
 - doctors' obligations, 149
 - loss of possibility, 150
 - medical errors, 149
 - principles, 148–149
- epidemiological data, 146–147
- medical error evaluation, 158–159
- nomination of experts, 154–155
- non-judicial procedures
 - Council of Order of Medical Doctors, 151–152
 - CRCI, 152, 153, 154
 - ONIAM, 152–153, 154
- penal expertise, 155
 - access to medical documentation, 157–158
 - cross-examination expertise, 156
 - expert examination phases, 156–157
 - penal jurisdiction, 147–148
- Franzese ruling, 218
- Frequentist theory, 96
- G**
- Gabriele Falloppio–Gabrielis Falloppii, 337*f*, 340*f*
- General Medical Council (GMC), 130, 132
 - roles of, 131
- German Criminal Code, 116
 - forensic autopsy, 117
 - guidelines for external examination, 118
 - medical treatment, 117
 - seizing medical documents, 118
 - X-ray examinations, 117–118
- German-speaking countries, medical liability in
 - evaluation criteria, 119
 - adverse event, 120
 - detection of dysfunction, 120
 - error and adverse event, relationship, 121, 121*f*
 - injury/death and medical malpractice relationship, 124–125
 - medical treatment analysis, 121–123
 - patient safety, 120, 121
 - extra-judicial institutions, 114
 - future perspectives, 125–126
 - judicial and normative overview, 112, 113
 - judicial institutions, 113, 114
 - medical experts roles, 115
 - penal procedure, 114–115
- Germany, medical liability in. *See* German-speaking countries, medical liability in
- Giulio Casseri–Iulii Casserii, 339*f*
- GMC. *See* General Medical Council
- Goal of Patients' Ombud, 236
- Good Medical Practice, 133
- Graph of vital signs, 255
- Grosse Behandlungsfehler*, 9
- H**
- HC. *See* Healthcare Commission
- Healthcare Commission (HC), 141
 - and reason of state, 29–37
- Healthcare liability, 162
 - complex regime in Spain, 163
 - out-of-court settlement, 166
- Healthcare personnel
 - professional standards for, 8
 - real conduct reconstruction, 264, 265
 - registration, 59
- Healthcare practice function, 62
 - bad healthcare, 65
 - bad practice, 64
 - lack of responsibility, 65
 - in private healthcare, 63–64
 - in public health, 64
 - user's trust, 62–63
 - worker's exemption, 62
- Healthcare services
 - See also* Medical practice
 - intractability offering, 61–62
 - Law on Rights of Patient, 236
 - providers maintaining confidentiality, 239
 - publicity of, 61
 - quality requirements, 240
- Hippocratic Oath, 4, 15–19, 25, 99
- Historical iconography
 - Andreas Vesalius–Andrae Vesalii Bruxellensis, 314–331*f*
 - Angelo Gatti—Nuove riflessioni, 307*f*

Historical iconography (*cont.*)

- Antonio Molinetti–Antonii Molinetti, 343*f*
 - Antonio Vallisneri, 348*f*
 - Bartolomeo Squarzialupi—“libro de cautery,” 291–306*f*
 - Ercolo Sassonia–Herculis Saxoniae Patauni, 345*f*
 - Fedele Fortunato–Fortunati Fidelis medici, 344*f*
 - Fracastoro, Girolamo–Hieronymi Fracastorii Veronensis, 332*f*
 - Gabriele Falloppio–Gabrielis Falloppii, 337*f*, 340*f*
 - Giulio Casseri–Iulii Casserii, 339*f*
 - Johann Vesling–Ioannis Veslingii Mindani equities, 342*f*
 - Johannes de Ketham—“Fasiculo de medicina in volgare,” 309–312*f*
 - Juan Valverde de Amusco, 338*f*
 - Leopoldo Marco Antonio Caldani, 349*f*
 - Marsilius de Sancta Sophia–Marsilij de Sancta Sophia, 313*f*
 - Michele Savonarola, 335*f*, 336*f*
 - Pietro d’Abano, 308*f*, 333*f*, 334*f*
 - Prospero Alpino–Prosperi Alpini Marosticensis, 341*f*
 - William Harvey–Guilielmi Harveii, 346*f*, 347*f*
- HOPE. *See* European Hospital and Healthcare Federation
- Human responsibility, 97

I

- IALM. *See* International Academy of Legal Medicine
- Id quod plerumque accidit. *See* Theory of adequate causation
- IGAS. *See* Portuguese General Inspection of Health Services
- Informed Consent Documents, 254
- INML. *See* National Institute of Legal Medicine
- Inobservance of medical conduct, 231
- Inter-consultation Sheet, 254
- Interim Orders Panel, 133, 134
- International Academy of Legal Medicine (IALM), 250
- IPP. *See* Permanent functional deficits (DFP)
- Italian Society of Legal Medicine. *See* Società italiana di medicina legale e delle assicurazioni (SIMLA)
- Italy, medical responsibility in, 210
 - ascertainment methods

- demonstrating causal relationship, 221
 - in forensic practice, 221–222
 - theory of adequate causation, 220
 - theory of causality, 220
 - theory of equivalence, 219
 - theory of human causalness, 220
 - theory of prevalence, 219–220
- biological damage, 223–224
- civil medical responsibility, 215
 - assumption of liability, 215
 - causal link, 216–217
 - development, 217
 - extra-contractual liability, 216
 - medical negligence functional criteria, 217
 - physician and patient relationship, 215–216
- criminal medical responsibility, 213
 - intentional offense, 214
 - manslaughter, 214
 - summons and complaint, 214–215
- forensic evaluation, 223
- future perspectives, 225
- for healthcare defects, 210–211
- increase in professional liability cases, 211–212
- mistakes
 - in diagnostic phase, 212
 - GISDI, 213
 - during operatory phase, 213
 - in prognostic phase, 212
 - in therapeutic phase, 212–213
- nomofilattica function, 217–218
- non-pecuniary damage, 222
 - liquidation of, 224–225
 - moral damage, 225
- pecuniary damage, 222
- physician’s responsibility, 212
 - as contractual liability, 210
 - professional medical liability, 218–219

J

- Johann Vesling–Ioannis Veslingii Mindani equities, 342*f*
- Johannes de Ketham, 309–312*f*
- Juan Valverde de Amusco, 338*f*
- Judiciary, 70
 - penal responsibility of physician, in Italian legal system, 221
 - reorientation of, 221
 - Sweden reform, 81
- Juge d’instruction*, 148, 155
- Juridical construction of science, 99

L

- Latvia, medical responsibility in
 application reviews and inspection, 234
 ascertainment methodology, 237
 evaluation criterions, 237–238
 extra-legal activity, 235–236
 future perspectives, 238
 Latvian Administrative Violation Code, 234
 medical practitioner's liability, 233
 Office of Forensic Medical expertise, 235
 pre-trial investigation, 235
 remuneration of losses, 235
- Legal-medical autopsy, 204–205
See also forensic autopsy
- Legislative-juridical models
 differences in methodology, 8
 in European countries, 6
 French model, 7
 in German model, 6–7
 heterogeneity of, 7–8
 in Portuguese model, 7
 Swedish model, 7
- Leopoldo Marco Antonio Caldani, 349f
Lex artis, 8, 163, 164, 167, 180, 184
 “Libro de cautery,” 290
- Limitation Act, 136
- Lithuania, medical responsibility in
 ascertainment methodology
 cadaver, 230–231
 living persons, 230
 evaluation criteriology
 cadaver, 232
 living persons, 231–232
 extra-judicial institutions, 229–230
 future perspectives, 233
 judicial and normative overview, 229
 judicial institutions, 229
 State Medical Audit Inspection, 230
- Logicistical theory, 96
- Lucatello, L., 289

M

- Macoppe, Alessandro Knips, 37, 38
See also Melchiorre Guilandino
 ambivalence, 41, 42
 aphorisms of, 38, 40f, 43, 44–46
 good reputation, 43–44
 lecture of 1716, 38–39
 observance of catholic morality, 41
 openness to “neoteric” theories, 39
 parallels with Zerbi work, 42
 predilection for ancients, 39, 41

- rationality and illuminated experience, 41
 work importance, 42
- Mala praxis attribution to doctor
 acting as free professionals, 163
 claims, 163, 164
lex artis, 163, 164
 acts in private healthcare institution, 164–165
- Manslaughter, 214
- Marsilius de Sancta Sophia–Marsilij de Sancta Sophia, 313f
- MAVPS. *See* *Mutuelle assurance vie des professions de santé*
- MDs. *See* Medical doctors
- Medical art, 99
- Medical doctors (MDs), 112
 external examination in German countries, 116–117
 medical treatment analysis by, 119
 mistakes by, 122, 123, 123f
- Medical ethics, 18, 20, 29, 42
 histories of, 16
 prohibition of harm, 25
- Medical experts, 112
 evaluation criteria of, 184–185
 expert report, 112–113, 119
 report structure, 124, 125t
 roles, 115
- Medical liability, 14, 15
 compensation damage models, 80–81
 in Eastern Europe, 87
 English experience
 Common Law system, 86
 damage compensation procedure, 86
 NHSLA establishment, 86
 French experience
 Administrative Law, 81
aléa thérapeutique, 82, 83
 commissions, 84, 85
 damage compensation legitimization establishment, 85
 French doctrinal overview, 82
 Loi Kouchner, 82
 patient's rights, 85
 Private Law, 82
- Hippocratic Oath, 16
 history, 16
 mediation role, 78
 administrative systems, 79
 court systems, 79
 obligatory health insurance, 71
 in Western Europe, 72
 burden of proof, 77–78
 Case Law evolution analysis, 76

- check of health risks, 76
 - Civil and Common Law system, 73
 - comparative analysis, 73
 - contractual responsibility, 74, 75
 - European juridical system analysis, 74
 - extra-contractual responsibility, 74, 75
 - fault, 74
 - judicial conflict, 73
 - standard of care, 76–77
- Medical liability recommendations
 - ascertainment on cadavers, 282
 - causal value error evaluation, 284–285
 - clinical examination of living, 281
 - damage quantification, 285
 - data collection and examination, 281
 - error/non-observance
 - evaluation, 284
 - identification and classification, 284
 - essential expertise and competence
 - of consultant, 280–281
 - of medico-legal expert, 280
 - ideal medical conduct reconstruction, 283
 - instrumental diagnostic exams in living, 282
 - medical conduct reconstruction, 283
 - pathological feature identification, 282
- Medical malpractice, 4–5 , 122
 - comparative analysis of, 70
 - for damages from, 65
 - fatal cases of, 123, 123f
 - forms of, 122
 - in Germany, 112
 - healthcare practice function, 65
 - increase in, 71
 - injury/death relationship with, 124–125
- Medical negligence claims
 - assessment, 134–135 , 137
 - components, 139
- Medical Orders Sheet, 253
- Medical practice, 59
 - geographic in nature, 52
 - law and norms, 53–54
 - plurality of legal disciplines, 52–53
 - professional practice, 61–62
 - secondary sources, 54
 - administrative practice, 56
 - behavioral codes, 55–56
 - consuetudo*, 55
 - structural practice, 60–61
- Medical profession
 - employment relationship, 58–59
 - professional work contract, 57
 - therapeutic protocol, 57–58
 - work contract, 59
- Medical responsibility. *See* Medical liability
- Medical-legal autopsy. *See* Forensic autopsy
- Medico-Legal Council (CML), 205
- Medico-legal expert investigations, 203, 204
- Medico-legal methods of ascertainment, 249
 - on cadavers, 258, 272
 - autopsy, 259, 260–261
 - consultation with specialist, 258, 259
 - data collection and examination, 258, 259
 - diagnostic procedure choice and execution, 260, 262
 - external examination, 261
 - internal examination, 261
 - pre-autopsy examinations, 259, 260, 260f
 - evaluation criteria, 273–274
 - causal link between error and event, 268, 269
 - causal value between error and event, 268, 269
 - Criterion of Rational Credibility, 268, 269
 - damage estimation, 270
 - damage identification, 263, 263f
 - data evaluation, 262, 263
 - degree of probability identification, 269
 - error evaluation, 265, 267, 268
 - error/non-observance classification, 267
 - error/non-observance identification, 265–266
 - healthcare personnel real conduct reconstruction, 264, 265
 - pathological feature identification, 263
 - physiopathological pathway reconstruction, 263–264
 - real medical conduct reconstruction, 263, 264
 - Statistical Law, 268
 - Universal Law, 268
 - expert definition and essential expertise, 251–252
 - itemisation of guidelines, 250–251
 - on living persons, 252, 271
 - clinical examination, 257, 257f
 - clinical synthesis, 258
 - consultation with specialist, 256, 256f
 - data collection and examination, 253f
 - documentary data, 253–255
 - instrumental diagnostic exams, 257, 257f, 258
 - Proposal for European Guidelines, 250
 - Melchiorre Guilandino, 16, 25
 - bitterness with Mattioli, 26–27

Melchiorre Guilandino (*cont.*)
 friendship with Marino Cavalli, 25–26
 life in Venice, 27, 28
 poison preparation, 29

Mercuriale, Girolamo, 16–17, 29
 argument of authority, 36–37
 describing pestilential fever, 35
 lectures on plague, 35–36
 moral-religious argument, 36
 pestilence handling in Venice, 30–31
 philological works, 30
Provveditori alla sanità of Venice, 33
 in Rome, 30
 in *Sala del Maggior Consiglio debate*, 32, 32f
 visiting plague victim, 33, 34, 34f, 35

Michele Savonarola, 335, 336f

Ministero dell'università e della ricerca scientifica e tecnologica (MURST), 213

MIUR. *See* Ministero dell'università e della ricerca scientifica e tecnologica (MURST)

MURST. *See* Ministero dell'università e della ricerca scientifica e tecnologica

Mutual life insurance for healthcare professionals. *See* *Mutuelle assurance vie des professions de santé* (MAVPS)

Mutuelle assurance vie des professions de santé (MAVPS), 146

N

National Health Service Litigation Authority (NHSLA), 86

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 140, 141

National Institute of Legal Medicine (INML), 203, 204

Neminem laedere cohabitation principle, 75, 215

NHS Redress Bill, 142

NHS Reform and Healthcare Professions Act, 141–142

NHSLA. *See* National Health Service Litigation Authority

NICE. *See* National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

No-fault medical accident, 267

No-Fault-based models, 89

Nomofilachia. *See* Nomofilattica function

Nomofilattica function, 217

Non-observance of required rules of conduct, 266

Non-pecuniary damage, 222

See also Pecuniary damage
 liquidation of, 224
 moral damage, 225

Nursing Journal, 255

O

Obligation de moyens, 8

Obligation of means. *See* *Obligation de moyens*

Obligatory health insurance, 71

Office National d'Indemnisation des Accidents Médicaux (ONIAM), 7, 152–153, 154

Operating Room Report, 254

Over-deterrence, 71, 212

Overcompensation, 212

Oviedo Convention, 5

P

Pathological Anatomy Report, 255

Patient Claims Panel, 81

Patient Injury Act (PIA), 80

Patient safety, 113, 120, 121

Patient's journal, 253

Pecuniary damage, 222

Permanent functional deficits (DFP), 159

Pestilential fever, 35

PET. *See* Temporary aesthetic damage

PIA. *See* Patient Injury Act

Pietro d'Abano, 308f, 333f, 334f

Pinali, V., 289

Portugal, medical responsibility in
 ascertainment methodology
 INML, 203, 204
 legal-medical autopsy, 205
 medical error evaluation, 202–203
 medico-legal expert investigations, 203, 204
 in Portugal, 201–202

civil responsibility, 190
 Civil Code, 193
 condemnation under, 192
 enforcement, 193
 obligation of means/results, 195–197
 presumptions of guilt, 194–195

CML, 205

criminal responsibility, 190
 condemnation under, 192
 criminal categorisation of medical acts, 198–199
 Criminal Code, 197–198
 medical interventions, 199
 disciplinary responsibility, 190

- Portugal, medical responsibility in (*cont.*)
 epidemiological data, 190–191
 extra judicial institutions, 200
 faute de service, 201
 judicial and normative overview, 191
 diagnostic errors, 193
 medical fault, 193
 medical malpractice, 192
 theory of causal relation, 192
 judicial institutions, 200
 state responsibility, 200–201
- Portuguese General Inspection of Health Services (IGAS), 191
- Portuguese Medical-Legal Council (CML), 191
- Post-surgery Evolution Sheet, 255
- Pre-surgery Examination Sheet, 255
- Pregnancy Monitoring Sheet, 255
- Presumed consent, 199
- Pretium doloris*, 9
- Primary public function, 58
- Principle of probability, 96
- Private healthcare
 doctor's responsibility, 146
 healthcare practice function, 63–64
 worker, 64
- Private medicine, 59
- Procurator, 147, 148
- Professional liability, 46
- Professional misconduct, 8–9
- Professional private healthcare activity, 59
- Professional recklessness, 170
- Prosecutability, 53, 54
- Prospero Alpino–Prosperi Alpini Marosticensis, 341f
- Provveditori alla sanità of Venice*, 33
- Pseudo-error, 266
- Public health, 64, 89
- Public medicine, 60
- R**
- Reality, 94, 99
- Record of Assistance at Birth, 255
- Regional Chamber, 151
- Reputation, 20
- Res ipsa loquitur*, 71
- Rules of etiquette, 17
- S**
- Sala del Maggior Consiglio*, 32f
- “Sala Ducceschi,” 290
- “Sala Pinali,” 289–290
- San Francesco Grande Hospital, 14–15
- Sapiteurs*, 156
- Scientific knowledge
 fragility of certainty, 99
 juridical construction of science, 99
 tumultuous evolution of, 98
 unitariness of, 96
- Scientific laws of coverage, 101
 adequate causality, 102–103
 capability of causing harm, 102
 deductive-nomological model, 101
 reconstruction of causal links, 102
 statistical-inductive model, 101–102
- SHAM. *See Société Hospitalière d'Assurances Mutuelles*
- Società italiana di medicina legale e delle assicurazioni (SIMLA), 211, 249
- Société Hospitalière d'Assurances Mutuelles* (SHAM), 146
- Sophisms, theoretical, 37
- Spain, medical liability in, 162
 applying for doctrine of “loss of opportunity,” 168–169
 ascertainment methodology, 176
 in cadavers, 181–183
 in living patient, 181
 cases calling for expert ascertainment
 expert's report clarification statements, 173–174
 experts responsibility, 175–176
 Law of Civil Procedure, 174
 in professional liability, 173
 witness expert, 174–175
 clinical information
 to claim, 180
 consent document, 178
 Law 41/2002, 177–178, 179–180
 personal testimonies, 180
 prior to the events, 176–177
 courts adopting theory of cause, 167–168
 criminal medical liability, 169–170
 defendant healthcare centre, 165
 evaluation criteria
 in cadavers, 185
 in living patients, 184–185
 of sequelae, 186
 events in healthcare framework, 165–166
 future perspectives, 186–187
 informed consent, 166–167
 mala praxis attribution to doctor
 acting as free professionals, 163–164
 acts in private healthcare institution, 164–165
 out-of-court settlement of claims, 166

statistical data, 170
 claims rate, 171, 171f
 delay claim, 172, 172f
 grouping claims, 171
 judicial decisions, 172
 placing claims, 171
 reasons, 172
 time in civil claims, 173, 173f
 Spanish Criminal Code, 169
 Special Eurobarometer on Medical Errors, 4–5
 Specialistic multi-fragmentation of knowledge, 4
 Standard of care
 doctor's responsibility, 133
 in European country, 76–77
 in United Kingdom, 138–139
 State Medical Audit Inspection, 230
 Statistical Law, 101, 252, 268, 284, 357
 Statistical-inductive model, 101
 explanatory model, 102
 probabilistic model, 101–102
 Subjectivist theory, 96
 Surgical subdisciplines, cases from, 123t
 Switzerland, medical liability in. *See* German-speaking countries, medical liability in

T

Technocrats, 4
 Tebaldi, A., 289
 Temporary aesthetic damage (PET), 159
 Theory of adequate causation, 220
 Theory of causality, 220
 Theory of equivalence, 219
 Theory of human causalness, 220
 Theory of prevalence, 219–220
 Therapeutic error *See also* *Grosse Behandlungsfehler*, 8–9, 183, 231
 True error, 266
 Truth
 kinds of, 4
 principle of, 94
 scientific progress, 95
 value of, 99

U

United Kingdom, medical liability in
 ascertainment methodology
 deceased persons, 138
 living persons, 137–138

Common Law system, 131
 CPR, 137
 enquiry types, 131
 evaluation methodology
 Care Quality Commission, 141
 causation, 139–140
 clinical guidelines development, 140–141
 NHS Reform and Healthcare Professions Act, 141–142
 standard of care, 138–139
 extra judicial institutions, 133
 future perspectives, 142
 GMC, 130, 131
 judicial institutions, 132–133
 judicial system procedures, 135–136
 Medical Acts, 130, 131
 medical negligence case assessment, 134–135
 no-fault compensation, 136
 operative roles, 132–134
 trends in number of claims, 132
 Universal Law, 101, 252, 268, 284, 357

V

Vanzetti, T., 289
 Vincenzo Pinali Medical Library, 289
 See also Historical iconography

W

William Harvey–Guilielmi Harveii, 346f, 347f
 Witness Statement of involved Physicians, 256

X

X-ray examinations, 114, 115, 117–118, 259, 282

Z

Zerbi, Gabriele, 16, 17
 See also Doctor–patient relationship
 explaining caution, 19
 explaining reputation of doctor, 20–21
 passage of Valeriano, 24
 recommendations to doctors, 23–24
 stratagems, 21