

Literatur

- Abbink, K., H. Hennig-Schmidt (2006): Neutral versus loaded instructions in a bribery experiment. *Experimental Economics*, 9 (2), 103–121.
- Abeler, J., D. Nosenzo (2015): Self-selection into laboratory experiments: pro-social motives versus monetary incentives. *Experimental Economics*, 18 (2), 195–214.
- Alberti, F., W. Güth (2013): Studying deception without deceiving participants: An experiment of deception experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 93, 196–204.
- Anderson, J., S. Burks, J. Carpenter, L. Gotte, K. Maurer, D. Nosenzo, R. Potter, K. Rocha, A. Rustichini (2013): Self-selection and variations in the laboratory measurement of other-regarding preferences across subject pools: evidence from one college student and two adult samples. *Experimental Economics*, 16 (2), 170–189.
- Anderson L.R., J.M. Mellor, J. Milyo (2008): Inequality and public good provision: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 37, 1010–1028.
- Andreoni J. (1988): Why free ride?: Strategies and learning in public goods experiments. *Journal of Public Economics*, 37, 291–304.
- Bardsley, N. (2008): Dictator game giving: altruism or artefact?. *Experimental Economics*, 11 (2), 122–133.
- Barrera, D., B. Simpson (2012): Much Ado About Deception: Consequences of Deceiving Research Participants in the Social Sciences. *Sociological Methods Research*, 41 (3), 383–413.
- Barmettler, F., E. Fehr, C. Zehnder (2012): Big experimenter is watching you! Anonymity and prosocial behavior in the laboratory. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 75 (1), 17–34.
- Becker, G.M., M.H. DeGroot, J. Marschak (1964): Measuring utility by a single-response sequential method. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 9 (3), 226–232.
- Belot, M., R. Duch, L. Miller (2015): A comprehensive comparison of students and non-students in classic experimental games. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 113, 26–33.
- Benndorf V., H. Rau, C. Sölch (2018): Minimizing Learning Behavior in Experiments with Repeated Real-Effort Tasks. SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2503029> or <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2503029>.
- Ben-Ner A., L. Putterman, T. Ren (2011): Lavish returns on cheap talk: Two-way communication in trust games. *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 40, 1–13.
- Binmore K., A. Shaked, J. Sutton (1985): Testing Noncooperative Bargaining Theory: A Preliminary Study. *American Economic Review*, 75 (5), 1178–1180.
- Binswanger, H.P. (1980): Attitudes toward risk: Experimental measurement in rural India. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 62 (3), 395–407.
- Binswanger, H.P. (1981). Attitudes toward risk: Theoretical implications of an experiment in rural India. *The Economic Journal*, 91 (364), 867–890.
- Blanco, M., D. Engelmann, A.K. Koch, H.T. Normann (2010): Belief elicitation in experiments: is there a hedging problem?. *Experimental Economics*, 13 (4), 412–438.
- Blaufuß, K. M., M. Fochmann, J. Hundsdoerfer, J. Weimann (2013): Net wage illusion in a real-effort experiment. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 115 (2), 476–484.
- Blume, A., A. Ortman (2000): The Effect of Costless Pre-play Communication: Experimental Evidence from a Game with Pareto-ranked Equilibria. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 132, 274–290.
- Bortolotti S., M. Casari, F. Pancotto (2015): Norms of punishment: Experiments with students and the general population. *Economic Enquiry*, 53, 1207–1223.
- Bohnet, I., B.S. Frey (1999): The sound of silence in prisoner's dilemma and dictator games. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 38 (1), 43–57
- Bonetti, S. (1998): Experimental economics and deception. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 19, 377–395.
- Brañas-Garza, P. (2007): Promoting helping behavior with framing in dictator games. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 28, 477–486.
- Brañas-Garza, P., M. Bucheli, M.P. Espinosa, T. García-Muñoz (2013): Moral cleansing and moral licenses: experimental evidence. *Economics & Philosophy*, 29 (2), 199–212.
- Brandts, J., G. Charness (2003): Truth or consequences: An experiment. *Management Science*, 49 (1), 116–130.
- Brandts J., G. Charness (2011): The strategy versus the direct-response method: a first survey of experimental comparisons. *Experimental Economics*, 14, 375–398.

Literatur

- Brosig, J., J. Weimann, A. Ockenfels (2003): The effect of communication media on cooperation. *German Economic Review*, 4 (2), 217–241.
- Brosig, J., J. Weimann, C.L. Yang (2004): The hot versus cold effect in a simple bargaining experiment. *Experimental Economics*, 6 (1), 75–90.
- Brosig J., T. Heinrich, T. Riechmann, R. Schöb, J. Weimann (2010): Laying off or not? The influence of framing and economics education. *International Review of Economics Education*, 9, 44–55.
- Brosig-Koch, J., T. Heinrich (2018): The role of communication content and reputation in the choice of transaction partners. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 112, 49–66.
- Brosig-Koch J., C. Helbach, A. Ockenfels, J. Weimann (2011): Still different after all these years: Solidarity behavior in East and West Germany. *Journal of Public Economics*, 95, 1373–1376.
- Brosig-Koch, J., T. Riechmann, J. Weimann (2017): The dynamics of behavior in modified dictator games. *PLOS ONE*, 12 (4), e0176199.
- Brüggen A., M. Strobel (2007): Real effort versus chosen effort in experiment. *Economics Letters*, 96, 232–236.
- Bruttel L., U. Kamecke (2012): Infinity in the Lab. How do People play Repeated Games. *Theory and Decision*, 72, 205–219.
- Buchan, N.R., E.J. Johnson, R.T. Croson (2006): Let's get personal: An international examination of the influence of communication, culture and social distance on other regarding preferences. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 60 (3), 373–398.
- Burnham, T., K. McCabe, V.L. Smith (2000): Friend-or-foe intentionality priming in an extensive form trust game. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 43(1), 57–73.
- Cachon G., C. Camerer (1996): Loss avoidance and forward induction in experimental coordination games. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 111 (1), 166–194.
- Cappelen, A.W., K. Nygaard, E. Sørensen, B. Tungodden (2015): Social preferences in the lab: A comparison of students and a representative population. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 117 (4), 1306–1326.
- Camerer, C.F., R.M. Hogarth (1999): The effects of financial incentives in experiments: A re-view and capital-labor-production framework. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 19, 7–42.
- Carlsson, F., H. He, P. Martinsson (2013): Easy come, easy go. *Experimental Economics*, 16 (2), 190–207.
- Carlsson, F., O. Johansson-Stenman, P.K. Nam (2014): Social preferences are stable over long periods of time. *Journal of Public Economics*, 117, 104–114.
- Charness G., M. Dufwenberg (2006): Promises and Partnership, *Econometrica*, 74, 1579–1601.
- Charness, G., U. Gneezy (2008): What's in a name? Anonymity and social distance in dictator and ultimatum games. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 68 (1), 29–35.
- Charness, G., U. Gneezy, M.A. Kuhn (2012): Experimental methods: Between-subject and within-subject design. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 81 (1), 1–8.
- Charness, G., E. Haruvy, D. Sonsino (2007): Social distance and reciprocity: An internet experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 63 (1), 88–103.
- Cherry T., P. Frykblom, J. Shogren (2002): Hardnose the Dictator. *American Economic Review*, 92 (4), 1218–1221.
- Cherry, T.L., S. Kroll, J.F. Shogren (2005): The impact of endowment heterogeneity and origin on public good contributions: evidence from the lab. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 57 (3), 357–365.
- Cherry, T.L., J.F. Shogren (2008): Self-interest, sympathy and the origin of endowments. *Economics Letters*, 101 (1), 69–72.
- Clark, J. (2002): House money effects in public good experiments. *Experimental Economics*, 5 (3), 223–231.
- Cleave, B.L., M. Nikiporakis, R. Slonim (2013): Is there selection bias in laboratory experiments? The case of social and risk preferences. *Experimental Economics*, 16 (3), 372–382.
- Clot, S., G. Grolleau, L. Ibanez (2014): Smug alert! Exploring self-licensing behavior in a cheating game. *Economics Letters*, 123 (2), 191–194.
- Cojoc, D., A. Stoian (2014): Dishonesty and charitable behavior. *Experimental Economics*, 17 (4), 717–732.
- Cooper, D. (2014): A Note on Deception in Economic Experiments. *Journal of Wine Economics*, 9 (2), 111–114.
- Cooper R., D.V. DeJong, R. Forsythe, T.W. Ross (1996): Cooperation without reputation: Experimental evidence from prisoner's dilemma games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 12, 187–218.
- Costa-Gomes, M.A., G. Weizsäcker (2008): Stated beliefs and play in normal-form games. *Review of Economic Studies*, 75 (3), 729–762.
- Cox, J.C., A.A. Deck (2005): On the nature of reciprocal motives. *Economic Inquiry*, 43 (3), 623–635.

- Cox J.C., B. Robertson, V.L. Smith (1982): Theory and Behavior of Single Object Auctions. In V.L. Smith (Hrsg.): *Research in Experimental Economics*, Vol 2, Greenwich, 1–43.
- Cox, J.C., V. Sadiraj, U. Schmidt (2015): Paradoxes and mechanisms for choice under risk. *Experimental Economics*, 18 (2), 215–250.
- Cox J.C., V.L. Smith, J.M. Walker (1992). Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment, *American Economic Review*, 82 (5), 1392–1412.
- Crawford, V. (1998): A survey of experiments on communication via cheap talk. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 78 (2), 286–298.
- Csukás, C., P. Fracalanza, T. Kovács, M. Willinger (2008): The determinants of trusting and reciprocal behaviour: Evidence from an intercultural experiment. *Journal of Economic Development*, 33 (1), 71–95.
- Dawes, R.M., J. McTavish, H. Shaklee (1977): Behavior, communication, and assumptions about other people's behavior in a commons dilemma situation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35 (1), 1–11.
- Dreber, A., T. Ellingsen, M. Johannesson, D.G. Rand (2013): Do people care about social context? Framing effects in dictator games. *Experimental Economics*, 16 (3), 349–371.
- Dufwenberg, M., S. Gächter, H. Hennig-Schmidt (2011): The framing of games and the psychology of play. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 73, 459–478.
- Eckel, C.C., P.J. Grossman (1996): Altruism in anonymous dictator games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 16 (2), 181–191.
- Ellingsen, T., M. Johannesson (2004): Promises, threats and fairness. *The Economic Journal*, 114 (495), 397–420.
- Erkal, N., L. Gangadharan, N. Nikiforakis (2011): Relative earnings and giving in a real-effort experiment. *American Economic Review*, 101 (7), 3330–3348.
- Erhard, K-A., C. Keser (1999): Mobility and Cooperation: On the Run, *Scientific series (CIRANO)*, 99s-24.
- Exadaktylos, F., A.M. Espín, P. Branas-Garza (2013): Experimental subjects are not different. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 1213.
- Fahr, R., B. Irlenbusch (2000): Fairness as a Constraint on Trust in Reciprocity: Earned Property Rights in a Reciprocal Exchange Experiment. *Economics Letters*, 66, 275–282.
- Falk A., S. Meier, C. Zehnder (2013): Do lab experiments misrepresent social preferences? The case of self selected student samples. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 11 (4), 839–852.
- Farrell, J., M. Rabin (1996): Cheap talk. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 10 (3), 103–118.
- Fehr E., E. Kirchler, A. Weichbold, S. Gächter (1998): When social norms overpower competition: Gift exchange in experimental labor markets. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 16 (2), 324–351.
- Fehr E., G. Kirchsteiger, A. Riedl (1993): Does fairness prevent market clearing? An experimental investigation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108, 437–460.
- Fehr, E., K.M. Schmidt (2006): The economics of fairness, reciprocity and altruism—experimental evidence and new theories. In S.-C. Kolm, J.M. Ythier (Hrsg.): *Handbook of the Economics of Giving, Altruism and Reciprocity*, 1, 615–691.
- Feltovich, N. (2011): What's to know about laboratory experimentation in economics. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 25, 371–379.
- Fischbacher, U., F. Föllmi-Heusi (2013): Lies in disguise—an experimental study on cheating. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 11 (3), 525–547.
- Fischbacher, U., S. Gächter (2010): Social preferences, beliefs, and the dynamics of free riding in public goods experiments. *American Economic Review*, 100 (1), 541–556.
- Fischbacher, U., S. Gächter, E. Fehr (2001): Are people conditionally cooperative? Evidence from a public goods experiment. *Economics Letters*, 71 (3), 397–404.
- Fochmann, M., J. Weimann (2013): The Effects of Tax Salience and Tax Experience on Individual Work Efforts in a Framed Field Experiment. *Public Finance Analysis*, 69, 1–32.
- Fonseca, M.A., H.T. Normann (2008): Mergers, asymmetries and collusion: Experimental evidence. *The Economic Journal*, 118 (527), 387–400.
- Fonseca M.A., H.T. Normann (2012): Explicit vs. Tacit collusion – The impact of communication in oligopoly experiments, *European Economic Review*, 56, 1759–1772.
- Forsythe, R., J.L. Horowitz, N.E. Savin, M. Sefton (1994): Fairness in simple bargaining experiments. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 6 (3), 347–369.
- Frank, R.H., T. Gilovich, D.T. Regan (1993): Does studying economics inhibit cooperation? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7 (2), 159–171.

Literatur

- Fréchet, G.R., A. Schotter (2015): *Handbook of Experimental Economic Methodology*, Oxford University Press.
- Frey, B. S., F. Oberholzer-Gee (1997): The cost of price incentives: An empirical analysis of motivation crowding-out. *American Economic Review*, 87 (4), 746–755.
- Friedman D. (1992): Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment. *American Economic Review*, 82 (5), 1374–1378.
- Fryer, R.G. (2013): Teacher incentives and student achievement: Evidence from New York City public schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 31 (2), 373–407.
- Gächter S., E. Fehr (2000): Cooperation and Punishment in Public Goods Experiments. *American Economic Review*, 90 (4), 980–994.
- Geanakoplos J.D., J.D. Pearce, E. Stacchetti (1989): Psychological Games and Sequential Rationality. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 1 (1), 60–79.
- Gill, D., V. Prowse (2012): A structural analysis of disappointment aversion in a real effort competition. *American Economic Review*, 102 (1), 469–503.
- Gneezy, U., M. Niederle, A. Rustichini (2003): Performance in Competitive Environments: Gender Differences. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118, 1049–1074.
- Gneezy, U., A. Rustichini (2000): Pay enough or don't pay at all. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115 (3), 791–810.
- Goerg, S.J., H. Hennig-Schmidt, G. Walkowitz, E. Winter (2016): In wrong anticipation-miscalibrated beliefs between Germans, Israelis, and Palestinians. *PLOS ONE*, 11 (6), e0156998.
- Greiner B., W. Güth, R. Zultan (2012): Social communication and discrimination: a video experiment. *Experimental Economics*, 15, 398–417.
- Grimm V, F. Mengel (2011): Let me sleep on it: Delay reduces rejection rates in ultimatum games. *Economics Letters*, 111 (2), 113–115.
- Güth, W., M.G. Kocher (2014): More than thirty years of ultimatum bargaining experiments: Motives, variations, and a survey of the recent literature. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 108, 396–409.
- Güth, W., R. Schmittberger, B. Schwarze (1982): An experimental analysis of ultimatum bargaining. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 3, 367–388.
- Harbring C. (2006). The effect of communication in incentive systems – An experimental study. *Managerial Decision Economics*, 27, 333–353.
- Harrison G.W. (1989): Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions. *American Economic Review*, 79 (4), 749–762.
- Harrison G.W. (1992): Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Reply. *American Economic Review*, 82 (5), 1426–1443.
- Harrison, G.W. (2007): House money effects in public good experiments: Comment. *Experimental Economics*, 10 (4), 429–437.
- Harrison, G.W., M.I. Lau, E.E. Rutström (2009): Risk attitudes, randomization to treatment, and self-selection into experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 70 (3), 498–507.
- Harrison, G.W., J.A. List (2004): Field experiments. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42 (4), 1009–1055.
- Harrison G.W., J. Martínez-Correa, J.T. Swarthout (2013): Inducing risk neutral preferences with binary lotteries: A reconsideration. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 94, 145–159.
- Harrison, G.W., J. Martínez-Correa, J.T. Swarthout (2015): Reduction of compound lotteries with objective probabilities: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 119, 32–55.
- Harrison, G.W., E.E. Rutström (2008): Risk Aversion in the Laboratory. In J.C. Cox, G.W. Harrison (Hrsg.): *Risk Aversion in Experiments* (Research in Experimental Economics, Vol. 12), Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 41–196.
- He, S., T. Offerman, J. van de Ven (2016): The sources of the communication gap. *Management Science*, 63, 2832–2846.
- Hey, J.D. (1998): Experimental economics and deception: a comment. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 19, 397–401.
- Hey, J.D., C. Orme (1994): Investigating generalizations of expected utility theory using experimental data. *Econometrica*, 62, 1291–1326.
- Hoffman, E., K. McCabe, K. Shachat, V.L. Smith (1994): Preferences, property rights, and anonymity in bargaining games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 7 (3), 346–380.
- Hoffman E., K. McCabe, V.L. Smith (1996): On Expectations and the Monetary Stakes in Ultimatum Games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 25, 289–300.

- Holt, C.A., S.K. Laury (2002): Risk aversion and incentive effects. *American Economic Review*, 92 (5), 1644–1655.
- Holt, C. A., A.M. Smith (2009): An update on Bayesian updating. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 69 (2), 125–134.
- Irlenbusch, B., M. Sutter (2006): An Experimental Analysis of Voting in the Stability and Growth Pact in the European Monetary Union. *Public Choice*, 129 (3–4), 417–434.
- Isaac, R.M., J.M. Walker, S.H. Thomas (1984): Divergent evidence on free riding: An experimental examination of possible explanations. *Public Choice*, 43 (2), 113–149.
- Isaac, R.M., J.M. Walker (1988): Group Size Effects in Public Goods Provision: The Voluntary Contributions Mechanism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 103 (1), 179–199.
- Ivanov, A. (2011): Attitudes to ambiguity in one-shot normal-form games: An experimental study. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 71 (2), 366–394.
- Jamison, J., D. Karlan, L. Schechter (2008): To Deceive or not to Deceive: The Effect of Deception on Behavior in Future Laboratory Experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 68, 477–488.
- Kagel, J.H., A.E. Roth (1992): Theory and misbehavior in first-price auctions: comment. *American Economic Review*, 82 (5), 1379–1391.
- Kamecke, U. (1997): Rotations: Matching schemes that efficiently preserve the best reply structure of a one shot game. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 26 (3), 409–417.
- Karni, E. (2009): A mechanism for eliciting probabilities. *Econometrica*, 77 (2), 603–606.
- Kartik, N. (2009): Strategic communication with lying costs. *Review of Economic Studies*, 76, 1359–1395.
- Kimball, M.S. (1990): Precautionary Saving in the Small and in the Large. *Econometrica*, 58 (1), 53–73.
- Kimball, M.S. (1992): Precautionary Motives for Holding Assets. In P. Newman, M. Milgate, J. Falwell (Hrsg.): *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Money and Finance*, Vol. 3. MacMillan, 158–161.
- Kroll E., R. Morgenstern, T. Neumann, S. Schosser, B. Vogt (2014): Bargaining power does not matter when sharing losses – Experimental evidence of equal split in the Nash bargaining game. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 108, 261–272.
- Kroll, S., T.L. Cherry, J.F. Shogren (2007): The impact of endowment heterogeneity and origin on contributions in best-shot public good games. *Experimental Economics*, 10 (4), 411–428.
- Krupka, E.L., R.A. Weber (2013): Identifying social norms using coordination games: Why does dictator game sharing vary?. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 11 (3), 495–524.
- Laury S.K., J.M. Walker, A.W. Williams (1995): Anonymity and the voluntary provision of public goods. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 27, 365–380.
- Levitt S.D., J.A. List (2007): What do laboratory experiments measuring social preferences reveal about the real world? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21, 153–174.
- Liberman, V., S.M. Samuels, L. Ross (2004): The name of the game: Predictive power of reputations versus situational labels in determining prisoner's dilemma game moves. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30 (9), 1175–1185.
- Lichtenstein, S., P. Slovic (1971): Reversals of preference between bids and choices in gambling decisions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 89 (1), 46–55.
- List, J.A. (2007): On the interpretation of giving in dictator games. *Journal of Political Economy*, 115 (3), 482–493.
- Loewenstein, G., S. Issacharoff (1994): Source dependence in the valuation of objects. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 7 (3), 157–168.
- Loomes, G. (1999): Some lessons from past experiments and some challenges for the future. *The Economic Journal*, 109 (453), 35–45.
- Manski, C.F. (2002): Identification of decision rules in experiments on simple games of proposal and response. *European Economic Review*, 46 (4), 880–891.
- Marwell, G., R.E. Ames (1981): Economists free ride, does anyone else? Experiments on the provision of public goods. *Journal of Public Economics*, 15 (3), 295–310.
- McDaniel, T., C. Starmer (1998): Experimental economics and deception: a comment. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 19, 403–440.
- Mellström, C., M. Johannesson (2008): Crowding out in blood donation: Was Titmuss right?. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 6 (4), 845–863.
- Merlo A., A. Schotter (1992): Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment. *American Economic Review*, 82 (5), 1413–1425.
- Merritt, A.C., D.A. Effron, B. Monin (2010): Moral self-licensing: When being good frees us to be bad, *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 4 (5), 344–357.

- Muehlbacher, S., E. Kirchler (2009): Origin of endowments in public good games: The impact of effort on contributions. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics*, 2 (1), 59–67.
- Niederle M. (2015): Intelligent Design: The Relationship of Economic Theory to Experiments: Treatment driven Experiments. In G.R. Fréchette, A. Schotter (Hrsg.): *Handbook of Experimental Economic Methodology*, Oxford University Press, 104–131.
- Nyarko, Y., A. Schotter (2002): An experimental study of belief learning using elicited beliefs. *Econometrica*, 70 (3), 971–1005.
- Ockenfels, A., J. Weimann (1999): Types and Patterns: An Experimental East-West Comparison of Cooperation and Solidarity. *Journal of Public Economics*, 71, 275–287.
- Offerman, T.J.S., J.H. Sonnemans (2001): Is the quadratic scoring rule behaviorally incentive compatible?. *CREED Working Paper*.
- Offerman, T., J. Sonnemans, G. Van de Kuilen, P.P. Wakker (2009): A truth serum for non-bayesians: Correcting proper Scoring Rules for risk attitudes. *Review of Economic Studies*, 76 (4), 1461–1489.
- Ortmann A., R. Hertwig (2002): The costs of deception: evidence from psychology. *Experimental Economics*, 5, 111–131.
- Oxoby, R.J., K.N. McLeish (2004): Sequential decision and strategy vector methods in ultimatum bargaining: Evidence on the strength of other-regarding behavior. *Economics Letters*, 84 (3), 399–405.
- Oxoby R.J., J. Spraggon (2008): Mine and yours: Property rights in dictator games. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 65, 703–713.
- Ploner, M., T. Regner (2013): Self-image and moral balancing: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 93, 374–383.
- Pruitt, D.G. (1967): Reward structure and cooperation: The decomposed Prisoner's Dilemma game. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 7, 21–25.
- Rankin F.W. (2003): Communication in Ultimatum Games. *Economics Letters*, 81 (2), 267–271.
- Riechmann, T., J. Weimann (2008): Competition as a coordination device: Experimental evidence from a minimum effort coordination game. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 24 (2), 437–454.
- Roth, A.E. (1995): Bargaining Experiments. In J.H. Kagel, A.E. Roth (Hrsg.): *Handbook of Experimental Economics*. Princeton University Press, 253–348.
- Roth, A.E., M.W. Malouf (1979): Information in Bargaining. *Psychological Review*, 86 (6), 574–594.
- Roux, C., C. Thöni (2015): Do control questions influence behavior in experiments?. *Experimental Economics*, 18 (2), 185–194.
- Rubinstein, A. (2006): A sceptic's comment on the study of economics. *The Economic Journal*, 116, 1–9.
- Rydval, O., A. Ortmann (2005): Loss avoidance as selection principle: evidence from simple stag-hunt games. *Economics Letters*, 88 (1), 101–107.
- Sass, M., J. Weimann (2015): Moral self-licensing and the direct touch effect, *Cesifo Working Paper 5174*.
- Sass, M., F. Timme, J. Weimann (2018): The Cooperation of Pairs. *Games*, 9, 68.
- Schotter, A., I. Trevino (2014): Belief elicitation in the laboratory. *Annual Review of Economics*, 6 (1), 103–128.
- Selten, R. (1967): Die Strategiemethode zur Erforschung des eingeschränkt rationalen Verhaltens im Rahmen eines Oligopolexperimentes. In H. Sauerermann (Hrsg.): *Beiträge zur Experimentellen Wirtschaftsforschung*. JCB Mohr (Paul Siebeck), 136–68.
- Selten, R., A. Sadrieh, K. Abbink (1999): Money does not induce risk neutral behavior, but binary lotteries do even worse. *Theory and Decision*, 46, 211–249.
- Selten R., R. Stoecker (1986): End behavior in sequences of finite prisoner's dilemma supergames. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 7, 47–70.
- Smith, V.L. (1976): Experimental economics: Induced value theory. *American Economic Review*, 66 (2), 274–279.
- Sturm, B., J. Weimann, (2006): Experiments in environmental economics and some close relatives. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 20 (3), 419–457.
- Sturm, B., J. Weimann (2008): Unilateral Emissions Abatement: An Experiment. In T.L. Cherry, J.F. Shogren and S. Kroll (Hrsg.): *Experimental Methods, Environmental Economics*, Routledge, UK, 157–183.
- Sutter, M., H. Weck-Hannemann (2003): Taxation and the Veil of Ignorance – A Real Effort Experiment on the Laffer Curve. *Public Choice*, 115, 217–240.
- Sutter M., C. Strassmair (2009): Communication, cooperation and collusion in team tournaments – An experimental study. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 66 (1), 506–525.
- Timme F., M. Sass, J. Weimann, (2015): The dynamics of dictator behavior. *Cesifo Working Paper No. 5348*.
- Trautmann, S.T., G. van de Kuilen (2015): Belief Elicitation: A Horse Race among Thruth Serums. *The Economic Journal*, 125, 2116–2135.



- Vanberg, C. (2008): Why do people keep their promises? An experimental test of two explanations. *Econometrica*, 76 (6), 1467–1480.
- Van Huyck, J.B., R.C. Battalio, R.O. Beil (1990): Tacit coordination games, strategic uncertainty, and coordination failure. *American Economic Review*, 80 (1), 234–248.
- Vieider, F.M. (2011): Separating real incentives and accountability. *Experimental Economics*, 14 (4), 507–518.
- Volk, S., C. Thöni, W. Ruigrok (2012): Temporal stability and psychological foundations of cooperation preferences. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 81 (2), 664–676.
- Wakker, P., D. Deneffe (1996): Eliciting von Neumann-Morgenstern utilities when probabilities are distorted or unknown. *Management Science*, 42 (8), 1131–1150.
- Weber, R.A. (2003): 'Learning' with no feedback in a competitive guessing game. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 44 (1), 134–144.
- Zizzo, D.J. (2010): Experimenter demand effects in economic experiments. *Experimental Economics*, 13 (1), 75–98.