

Bibliography

- Abbattista F, Lanubile F, Mastelloni G, Visaggio G (1994) An experiment on the effect of design recording on impact analysis. In: Müller A, Georges A (eds) Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance. IEEE Computer Society, pp 253-259
- Agrawal H, Horgan JR (1990) Dynamic program slicing. In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 1990 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, White Plains, New York, pp 246-256
- Alexander C, Ishikawa S, Silverstein M, King I, Angel S, Jacobson M (1977) A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction, Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Alford, MW, Lawson JT (1979) Software Requirements Engineering Methodology (Development). RADC-TR-79-168, US Air Force, Rome Air Development Center, Griffiss AFB, New York, NY
- Ali-Babar M, Gorton I, Kitchenham BA (2006) A framework for supporting architecture knowledge and rationale management. In: Dutoit AH, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering. Springer, pp 237-254
- Ali-Babar M, Gorton I (2007) A tool for managing software architecture knowledge, In: the Workshop on the Sharing and Reusing Architectural Knowledge at the International Conference on Software Engineering, Minnesota.
- Ambler SW (1998) Software Process Patterns, Cambridge University Press and Reasoning (KR2002). pp 375-384
- Andréka H, Ryan M, Schobbens PY (2002) Operators and laws for combining preference relations. *J Logic Comput* 12(1):13-53
- ANSI/IEEE (1987) ANSI/IEEE Standard for Software Unit Testing, ANSI/IEEE Std 1008-1987
- Antón AI, Dempster JH, Siege DF (2000) Deriving goals from a use case based requirements specification for an electronic commerce system. In: Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality (REFSQ), Stockholm, Sweden, pp 10-19
- Antón AI, Potts C (2001) Functional paleontology: system evolution as the user sees it. In: Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Software Engineering, Toronto, Canada, pp 421-430
- Antón, AI, Potts C (1998) The use of goals to surface requirements for evolving systems. In: Proceedings of the 20th international Conference on Software Engineering. pp 157-166.

- Antoniol G, Canfora G, de Lucia A, Casazza G (2000) Information retrieval models for recovering traceability links between code and documentation. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance. pp 40-51
- Arrow KJ (1963) *Social Choice and Individual Values*, 2nd edn, Wiley, New York
- Avellis G, Borzacchini L, Cavallo A, Cotugno P, De Mastro G (1993) A blackboard architecture for intelligent assistance in software maintenance. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Computer-Aided Software Engineering, Singapore, pp 180-189
- Baker ER (2001) Which way, SQA?. *IEEE Softw* 18(1):16-18
- Bañares-Alcántara R, King MP, Ballinger G (1995) Egide: a design support system for conceptual chemical process design. In: *AI System Support for Conceptual Design: Proc. of the 1995 Lancaster International Workshop on Engineering Design*, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 138-152
- Baniassad ELA, Murphy GC, Schwanninger C (2003) Design pattern rationale graphs: Linking design to source. In: Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE 2005), May 3–10, pp 352–362
- Bass L, Clements P, Kazman R (2003) *Software Architecture in Practice*, 2nd edn. Addison-Wesley, NY
- Bass L, Clements P, Nord RL, Stafford J (2006) Capturing and using rationale for a software architecture. In: Dutoit AH, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Springer, pp 255-272
- Beck K (1999) *Extreme Programming Explained: Embrace Change*, Addison-Wesley, NY
- Beck K (2002) *Test-Driven Development: By Example*, Addison-Wesley, NY
- Beck K, Andres C (2005) *Extreme Programming Explained: Embrace Change*, 2nd edn, Addison Wesley, Boston
- Bennett KH, Rajlich VT (2000) Software maintenance and evolution: A roadmap. In: Finkelstein, A (ed) *The Future of Software Engineering*, 22nd ICSE. Limerick, Ireland, pp 73-87
- Bertolino A (2007) Software testing research: achievements, challenges, dreams. In: Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Software Engineering, Minneapolis, Minnesota, pp 85-103
- Biggerstaff TJ, Mitbender BG, Webster D (1993) The concept assignment problem in program understanding. In: Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Software Engineering. Baltimore, Maryland, pp 482-498
- Blackorby C, Donaldson D, Mongin P (2000) Social aggregation without the expected-utility hypothesis. UBC Department of Economics Discussion Paper no. 00-18
- Blevins D (2001) Overview of the Enterprise JavaBeans component model. In: Councill B, Heineman G (eds) *Component-based Software Engineering*, Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, pp 589-606
- Boehm B (1979) Software engineering: R&D trends and defence needs. *Research Direction in Software Technology*, Wegner P. (ed) MIT Press, Cambridge MA, pp 1-9

-
- Boehm B (1986) A spiral model of software development and enhancement. In: ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes. 11(4):22-42
- Boehm B (2006a) A view of 20th and 21st century software engineering. In: Proceedings of the 28th international Conference on Software Engineering, Shanghai, China, pp 12-29
- Boehm B (2006b) Value-based software engineering: overview and agenda. In: Biffi S, Arum A, Boehm B, Erdogmus H, Grunbacher P (eds) Value-Based Software Engineering, Springer, pp 3-14
- Boehm B, Bose P (1994) A collaborative spiral software process model based on Theory W. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on the Software Process, Reston, VA, pp 59-68
- Boehm B, Bose P, Horowitz E, Lee MJ (1995) Software requirements negotiation and renegotiation aids. In: Proceedings of the 17th international Conference on Software Engineering. Seattle, Washington, pp 128-142
- Boehm B, Brown J, Kaspar H, Lipow M, MacLeod G, Merrit M (1979) Characteristics of Software Quality. TRW Series of Software Technology vol 1. North-Holland
- Boehm B, Egyed A (1998) Software requirements negotiation: some lessons learned. In: Proceedings of the 20th international Conference on Software Engineering. Kyoto, Japan, IEEE Computer Society, pp 503-506
- Boehm B, In H (1996) Identifying quality-requirement conflicts. IEEE Software. 13(2):25-35
- Boehm B, Kitapci H (2006) The WinWin approach: using a requirements negotiation tool for rationale capture and use. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering, Springer, pp 173-190
- Boehm B, Ross R (1989) Theory W software project management: principles and examples. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering. 15(7):902-916
- Boehm BW, Brown JR, Lipow M (1976) Quantitative evaluation of software quality. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering. San Francisco, California, pp 592-605
- Boehm B, Bose P, Horowitz E, Lee MJ (1995) Software requirements negotiation and renegotiation aids: A Theory-W based spiral approach. In: Proceedings ICSE-17 (International Conference on Software Engineering), pp 243-253 IEEE Computer Society
- Bohner SA, Arnold RS (1996) An introduction to software change impact analysis. In: Bohner SA, ArnoldRS (eds) Software Change Impact Analysis. IEEE Computer Society, pp 1-28
- Booth R (2002) Social contraction and belief negotiation. In: Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR2002), pp 375-384
- Bosch J (2004) Software architecture: The next step. Proc. 1st European Workshop Software Architecture (EWSA 04), Springer, pp 194-199
- Bose P (1995) A model for decision maintenance in the WinWin collaboration framework. In: Proceedings of the 10th Conference on Knowledge Based Software Engineering. Boston, MA, pp 105-113

- Bose P (1998) Change analysis in an architectural model: A design rationale based approach. In: Proceedings ISAW3 (International Software Architecture Workshop), Orlando, Florida, pp 5-8
- Bozheva T, Gallo M (2006) Defining Agile Patterns. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering, Springer, pp 373-390
- Brooks FP (1995) The mythical man-month. Anniversary Edition, 2nd edn Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley
- Bruegge B, Dutoit AH (2004) Object-Oriented Software Engineering Using UML, Patterns, and Java. 2nd edn. Prentice Hall, NJ
- Bruegge B, Dutoit A (2000) Object-Oriented Software Engineering: Conquering Complex and Changing Systems, Prentice Hall, NJ
- Buckingham Shum S (2007), Hypermedia discourse: Contesting networks of ideas and arguments, Keynote Address, In: Proceedings of 15th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, Sheffield, UK, July 2007. Lect Notes Comput Sci. 4604:29-44.
- Buckingham Shum S, Hammond N (1994) Argumentation-based design rationale: What use at what cost? Int J of Human-Computer Studies, 40(4), 603-652
- Buckingham Shum S, MacLean A, Bellotti VME, Hammond NV (1997) Graphical Argumentation and Design Cognition, Human-Comput Interact. 12(3):267-300
- Buckingham Shum S, Selvin AM, Sierhuis M, Conklin EJ, Haley CB, Nuseibeh B (2006) Hypermedia support for argumentation-based rationale: 15 years on from gIBIS and QOC. In: AH, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, pp 111-132
- Budgen D (2003) Software design, 2nd edn Addison-Wesley, Harlow, England
- Burge J, Brown DC (2002) Integrating design rationale with a process model, In: Workshop on Design Process Modeling. Artificial Intelligence in Design '02. Cambridge, UK
- Burge JE (2005) Software Engineering Using design RATIONale. Ph.D. thesis, Worcester Polytechnic Institute
- Burge JE, Brown DC (2000) Inferencing over design rationale. In: Artificial Intelligence in Design '00, Gero J (ed) Kluwer Academic, pp 611-629
- Burge JE, Brown DC (2006) Rationale-based support for software maintenance. In: Dutoit AH, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering, Springer, Germany, pp 273-296
- Burge JE, Brown DC (2007) Supporting requirements traceability with rationale, GTC'07: International Symposium on Grand Challenges in Traceability, March 2007, Slade, KY
- Burge JE, Brown DC (2003) Rationale support for maintenance of large scale systems. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Evolution of Large-Scale Industrial Software Applications (ELISA), ICSM '03, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- Burge JE, Brown DC (2004) An integrated approach for software design checking using rationale. In: Design Computing and Cognition '04, Gero J (ed) Kluwer Academic, pp 557-576

- Burge JE, Cross V, Kiper J, Maynard-Zhang P, Cornford S (2006) Enhanced design checking involving constraints, collaboration, and assumptions. In: Gero J (ed) *Proceedings of the Conference on Design, Computing, and Cognition*. Eindhoven Netherlands, pp 655-674
- Burnstein I (2003). *Practical Software Testing*, Springer Professional Computing
- Canfora G, Casazza G, De Lucia A (2000) A Design rationale based environment for cooperative maintenance. *Int J Soft Eng Know Eng* 10(5):627-645
- Canfora G, Cerulo L (2006) Fine grained indexing of software repositories to support impact analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 2006 International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories*, Shanghai, China, pp 105-111
- Capilla R, Nava F, Duenas JC (2007) Modeling and documenting the evolution of architectural design decisions. In: *the Proceedings of the Workshop on Sharing and Reusing Architectural Knowledge at the International Conference of Software Engineering (ICSE)*, Minneapolis, Minnesota
- Capilla R, Nava F, Pérez S, Dueñas JC (2006) A web-based tool for managing architectural design decisions. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Sharing and Reusing Architectural Knowledge*. ACM Digital Library. *Softw Eng Notes* 31 (5)
- Carroll J (2000) *Making use: scenario-based design of human-computer interaction*, MIT press, Cambridge, MA
- Carroll J, Rosson MB (1996) Deliberated evolution: stalking the View Matcher in design space. In: Moran TP, Carroll JM (eds) *Design rationale: Concepts, techniques, and use*. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, pp 107-145
- Carroll JM, Rosson M (1992) Getting around the task-artifact cycle: how to make claims and design by scenario. *ACM Trans Infor Syst* 10(2):181-212
- Carroll JM, Rosson MB, Chin G Jr, Koenemann J (1998) Requirements development in scenario-based design. *IEEE Trans Soft Eng.* 24(12):1156-1170
- Carroll JM, Mack, RL (1985) Metaphor, computing systems, and active learning. *Int J of Man-Machine Stud*, 22:39-58
- Carroll JM (1995) *Scenario-based design: Envisioning work and technology in system development*. New York: Wiley
- Carroll JM, Alpert SR, Karat J, Van Deusen, MD, Rosson MB (1994) Capturing design history and rationale in multimedia narratives. In: *Proceedings of CHI'94: Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Boston. New York: ACM Press/Addison-Wesley, pp 192-197
- Carroll JM, Rosson MB, Convertino G, Ganoe C (2006) Awareness and teamwork in computer-supported collaborations. *Interac Comput*, 18: 21-46
- Chaib-Draa B, Dignum F (2002) Trends in agent communications language. *Comput Intel*, 18(2):89-101
- Chapin N (2000) Software maintenance types—a fresh view. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance*, San Jose, CA, pp 247-252
- Charette RN (1996) Large-scale project management is risk management. *IEEE Soft* 13(4): 110-117

- Chaudron MRV, Grootte JF, van Hee KM, Hemerik C, Somers LJAM, Verhoeff T (2004) Software Engineering Reference Framework. Technical Report CS-Report 04-039, Computer Science Reports, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- Chernak Y (2001) Validating and improving test-case effectiveness. *IEEE Soft* 18(1):81-86
- Chewar CM, Bachetti E, McCrickard DS, Booker J (2005) Automating a design reuse facility with critical parameters: lessons learned in developing the LINK-UP System. In: Jacob R, Limbourg Q, Vanderderonck J (eds) *Computer-Aided Design of User Interfaces IV*. Kluwer Academic, pp 235-246
- Chung L, Nixon B, Yu E (1996) Dealing with change: an approach using non-functional requirements. *Requirements Eng* 1(4):238-260
- Chung L, Nixon BA (1995) Dealing with non functional requirements: three experimental studies of a process-oriented approach. In: *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Software Engineering*, pp 25-37
- Chung L, Nixon BA, Yu E, Mylopoulos J (2000) *Non-functional requirements in software engineering*, Kluwer Academic
- Chung L, Yu E (1998) Achieving system-wide architectural qualities. In: *Proceedings of the OMG-DARPAMCC Workshop on Compositional Software Architectures*
- Cimitile A, Lanubile F, Visaggio G (1992) Traceability based on design decisions. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Software Maintenance*, Orlando, Florida, pp 309-317
- Clapp J (1993) Getting started on software metrics. *IEEE Soft* 10(1):189-109, 117
- Clark A (1987) From folk psychology to naïve psychology. *Cogn Sci* 11:139-154
- Cleland-Huang J, Settini R, BenKhadra O, Berezhan E, Christina S (2005) Goal centric traceability for managing non-functional requirements. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering*, St Louis, pp 362-371
- Clemen RT, Reilly T (2001) *Making Hard Decisions*. Duxbury, Forest Grove, CA
- Clemen RT, Winkler RL (1999) Combining probability distributions from experts in risk analysis, *Risk Analy* 19:187-203
- Clements P, Bachmann, Bass L, Garlan, Ivers J, Little R, Nord R, Stafford J (2002) *Documenting software architecture: Views and beyond*, Addison-Wesley
- Clements P, Northrop L (2002) *Software Product Lines Practices and Patterns*, Addison-Wesley
- CMMI Product Team (2006) *CMMI For Development. Version 1.2*. CMU/SEI-2006-TR-008
- CMU (2002) Quality measures taxonomy http://www.sei.cmu.edu/str/taxonomies/view_qm.html
- Coleman JS (1990) *The Foundations of Social Theory*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press

-
- Conklin EJ, Burgess-Yakemovic KC (1996) A process-oriented approach to design rationale. In: Moran T, Carroll J (eds) *Design Rationale Concepts, Techniques, and Use*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp 393-427
- Conklin J, Begeman M (1988) gIBIS: A hypertext tool for exploratory policy discussion, *ACM Trans on Office Inform Syst*, 6(4):303-331
- Conklin J, Burgess-Yakemovic K (1995) A process-oriented approach to design rationale. In: *Design rationale concepts, techniques, and use*, Moran T, Carroll J (eds). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 293-428
- Conklin J, Burgess-Yakemovic K (1991) A process-oriented approach to design rationale, *Human-Computer Interaction*, 6: 357-291
- Connolly T, Jessup L, Valacich J (1990) Effects of anonymity and evaluative tone on idea generation in computer-mediated groups. *Manage Sci* 36(6): 689-703
- Councill B, Heineman G (2001) Definition of a software component and its elements. In: Councill B, Heineman G (eds) *Component-Based Software Engineering*, Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, pp 5-20
- Crosby ME, Scholtz J, Wiedenbeck S (2002) The roles beacons play in comprehension for novice and expert programmers. In: Kuljis K, Baldwin L, Scoble R (eds) *Proceedings of the Psychology of Programming Interest Group*, Brunel University, pp 58-73
- Cross N (2003) Evidence from protocol and other formal studies of design activity. In: Eastman C, McCracken M, Newstetter W (eds), *Knowing and Learning to Design: Cognitive Perspectives in Design Education*, Amsterdam: Elsevier
- Curtis B, Krasner H, Iscoe N (1988) A field study of the software design process for large systems. *Commun ACM* 31(11):1268-1287
- Cysneiros LM, Leite JCSP (2001) Using UML to reflect non-functional requirements. In: *Proceedings of the 11th CASCON*, November, IBM Canada, Toronto, pp 202-216
- Cysneiros LM, Leite JCSP (2004) Nonfunctional requirements: from elicitation to conceptual models. *IEEE Trans on Softw Eng*, 30(5):328-350
- Darimont R, Delor E, Massonet P, van Lamsweerde A (1997) GRAIL/KAOS: an environment for goal-driven requirements engineering. In: *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Software Engineering*. ACM, New York, NY, pp 612-613
- de Boer RC, Farenhorst R, Clerc V, van der Ven JS, Lago P, van Vliet H (2006) A model for structuring software architecture project memories, In: *Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Learning Software Organizations*
- De Grace P, Stahl LH (1998) *Wicked problems, righteous solutions: a catalogue of software engineering paradigms*, Yourdon
- de Kleer J (1986) An assumption-based truth maintenance system. *Artif Intell* 28(2): 127-162
- de la Garza J, Alcantara P (1997) Using parameter dependency network to represent design rationale. *J Comput Civil Eng* 2(2):102-112
- DeMarco T, Lister TR (1999) *Peopleware: Productive projects and teams*, 2nd edn, New York: Dorset House

- Demeyer S, Ducasse S, Nierstrasz O (2003) Object-Oriented Reengineering Patterns, Morgan Kaufmann
- Department of Defense (2002) Mandatory Procedures for Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPS) and Major Automated Information System (MAIS), DoD 5000.2-R
- Devanbu P, Brachman R, Selfridge P, Ballard B (1991) Lassie: a knowledge-based software information system. In: *Commun ACM* 34(5):34-49
- Dick J (2005) Design traceability. *IEEE Softw* 22(6):14-16
- Dijkstra EW (1972) The humble programmer. *Communications of the ACM* 15(10):859-866
- Dijkstra EW (1989) On the cruelty of really teaching computer science. *Commun ACM* 32(12):1398-1404
- Do H, Rothermel G, Kinneer A (2006) Prioritizing JUnit test cases: An empirical assessment and cost-benefits analysis. *Empir Softw Eng* 11:33-70
- Domeshek E, Kolodner JL (1996) The Designers' Muse: Providing Experience to Aid Conceptual Design of Complex Artifacts. In Maher ML and Pu P (eds), *Issues and Applications of Case-Based Reasoning to Design*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Mahwah, NJ, pp 11-38
- Doyle J (1979) A truth maintenance system. *Artif Intell* 12(3): 231-272
- Druffel LE, Buxton JN (1980) Requirements for an Ada programming support environment: Rationale for Stoneman, In: *Proceedings COMPSAC Chicago*, pp 66-72
- Dutoit AH, Paech B (2000) Supporting Evolution: Using Rationale in Use Case Driven Software Development, *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality (REFSQ'2000)*, Stockholm, Sweden
- Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) (2006a) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg
- Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (2006b) Rationale management in software engineering: Concepts and techniques. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Springer-Verlag, pp 1-48
- Egyed A, Grunbacher P (2004) Identifying requirements conflicts and cooperation: How quality attributes and automated traceability can help. *IEEE Software*. 21(6):50-58
- EIA (1998) Electronic Industries Alliance. *Systems Engineering Capability Model (EIA/IS-731)*. Washington, DC
- Eick S (1998) Maintenance of large systems. In: Stasko J, Dominigue J, Brown M, Price B (eds) *Software Visualization: Programming as a Multimedia Experience*. The MIT Press, pp 315-328
- Eickelmann NS, Ruffolo F, Baik J, Anant A (2002) An Empirical Study of Modifying the Fagan Inspection Process and the Resulting Main Effects and Interaction Effects Among Defects Found, Effort Required, Rate of Preparation and Inspection, Number of Team Members and Product 1st Pass Quality. In: *Proceedings of the 27th Annual NASA Goddard Software Engineering Workshop (Sew-27'02)*, pp 58-64

- Erdogmus H, Favaro J, Halling M (2006) Valuation of software initiatives under uncertainty: concepts, issues, and techniques. In: Biffl S, Aurum A, Boehm B, Erdogmus H, Grunbacher P (eds) *Value-Based Software Engineering*, Springer, pp 39-66
- Ewald T (2001) Overview of COM+, In: Councill B, Heineman G (eds) *Component-based Software Engineering*, Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, pp 573-588
- Fabian A, Wahid S, Bhatia S, McCrickard DS (2006) Creating an Interactive Learning Environment with Reusable HCI Knowledge. In: *Proceedings of the World Conference on Educational Multimedia/Hypermedia and Educational Telecommunications (ED-MEDIA '06)*, Orlando FL, June, pp 2314-2322
- Falessi D, Beker M, Cantone G (2006) Design decision rationale: experiences and steps ahead towards systematic use. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Sharing and Reuse of Architectural Knowledge*, Torino, Italy
- Favaro J (1996) When the pursuit of quality destroys value. *IEEE Soft* 13(3):93-95
- Filman RE (1998) Achievingilities. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Compositional Software Architectures*, Monterey, CA, USA
- Finkelstein A, Kramer J (2000) Software engineering: a roadmap. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on the Future of Software Engineering, ICSE 2000*, Limerick, Ireland, pp 3-22
- Finkelstein AC, Gabbay D, Hunter A, Kramer J, Nuseibeh B (1994) Inconsistency handling in multiperspective specifications. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* 20(8):569-578
- Fischer G, Morch A (1988) CRACK: A critiquing approach to cooperative kitchen design. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems (Montreal, Canada)*, ACM Press, New York, pp 176-185
- Fischer G, Henninger S, Redmiles D (1991) Cognitive tools for locating and comprehending software objects for reuse. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Software Engineering*, Austin, Texas, pp 318-328
- Fischer G, Lemke A, McCall R, Morch A (1996) Making argumentation serve design. In: Moran T, Carroll J (eds) *Design Rationale Concepts, Techniques, and Use*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp 267-294
- Fischer G, McCall R, Morch A (1989) JANUS: Integrating hypertext with a knowledge-based design. In: *Proceedings of Hypertext '89*, pp 105-117
- Fisher R, Ury W (1981) *Getting to Yes*. Houghton-Mifflin
- Fitzpatrick G (2003) *The locales framework: understanding and designing for wicked problems*. Fitzpatrick G, Series: *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, vol 1, Springer Verlag, New York
- Fowler M, Beck K, Brant J, Opdyke W, Roberts D (1999) *Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code*, Addison-Wesley
- Fowler M (2003) *UML distilled*, 3rd edn, New York: Addison-Wesley.
- Fox J, Das S (2000) *Safe and Sound Artificial Intelligence in Hazardous Applications*, AAAI Press
- France RB, Ghosh S, Dinh-Trong T, Solberg A (2006) Model-driven development using UML 2.0: Promises and pitfalls. *Computer* 39(2):59-66

- Frankl P, Hamlet D, Littlewood B, Strigini L (1997) Choosing a testing method to deliver reliability. In: Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Software Engineering, Boston, Massachusetts, pp 68-78
- Fuggetta A (2000) Software process: a roadmap. In: Proceedings of the Conference on the Future of Software Engineering, Limerick, Ireland, pp 25-34
- Gallagher KB, Lyle JR (1991) Using program slicing in software maintenance. In: IEEE Trans Softw Eng 17(8):751-761
- Gamma E, Helm R, Johnson R, Vlissides J (1995) Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA
- Gannod G, Burge J, Urban S (2007) Issues in the design of flexible and dynamic service-oriented systems. In: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Systems Development in SOA Environments. Co-located with ICSE, Minneapolis, MN.
- Gibson VR, Senn JA (1989) System structure and software maintenance performance. Commun ACM 32(3):347-358
- Gilboa I, Samet D, Schmeidler D (2004) Utilitarian aggregation of beliefs and tastes. J Polit Econ 112(4):932-938
- Godfrey M, Tu Q (2002) Tracking structural evolution using origin analysis. In: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution, Orlando, Florida, pp 117-119
- Goldenson DR, Gibson DL (2003) Demonstrating the impact and benefits of CMMI: an update and preliminary results. CMU/SEI-2003-SR-009
- Gomaa H, Farrukh GA (1998) Composition of software architectures from reusable architecture patterns. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Software Architecture, Orlando, Florida, pp 45-48
- Gotel O, Finkelstein A (1994) An analysis of the requirements traceability problem. In: Proceedings of the 1st Int. Conf. on Requirements Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, pp 94-101
- Gruber TR, Russell DM (1996) Generative design rationale: beyond the record and reply paradigm, In: Moran T, Carroll J (eds) Design Rationale Concepts, Techniques, and Use, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ, pp 323-349
- Grudin J (1988) Why CSCW applications fail: Problems in the design and evaluation of organizational interfaces. Proceedings of the CSCW '88 Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, ACM, New York, pp 85-93
- Grudin J (1996) Evaluating opportunities for design capture In: Moran T, Carroll J (eds) Design Rationale Concepts, Techniques, and Use, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ, pp 453-470
- Grudin J (1994) Groupware and social dynamics: Eight challenges for developers. Commun ACM 37(1):92-105
- Grünbacher P, Boehm B (2001) EasyWinWin: a groupware-supported methodology for requirements negotiation. In: Proceedings of the 8th European Software Engineering Conference. Vienna, Austria, ACM Press, pp 320-321

- Grundy J, Hosking J, Mugridge R (1998) Inconsistency management for multiple-view software development environments. In: *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*. 24(11):960-981
- Guindon R (1990) Knowledge Exploited by Experts During Software System Design, *Int J Man-Machine Stud* 33: 279-304
- Hagge L, Houdek F, Lappe K, Paech B (2006) Using patterns for sharing requirements engineering process rationales. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Springer, pp 409-426
- Hall T, Rainer A, Baddoo N, Beecham S (2001) An empirical study of maintenance issues within process improvement programmes in the software industry. In: *Proc. of the International Conference on Software Maintenance*. Florence, Italy, pp 422-430
- Harrold MJ (2000) Testing: a roadmap. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on the Future of Software Engineering*. Limerick, Ireland, pp 61-72
- Harsanyi J (1955) Cardinal welfare, individualistic ethics, and interpersonal comparisons of utility. *J Polit Econ* 63:309-321
- Hayes JH, Dekhtyar A, Sundaram SK (2005) Improving after-the-fact tracing and mapping: supporting software quality predictions. *IEEE Softw*22(6):30-37
- Haynes, S (2006) Three studies of design rationale as explanation, Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 53-71
- Herbsleb JD (1999) Metaphorical representation in collaborative software engineering. *ACM Work Activities Coordination and Collaboration Conference: WACC 99*. San Francisco, New York: ACM, pp 117-126
- Hild M, Jeffrey R, Risse M (1998) Preference aggregation after Harsanyi. In: Salles M, Weymark J (eds) *Justice, Political Liberalism, and Utilitarianism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Hirsch M (2002) Making RUP agile. In: *OOPSLA 2002 Practitioners Reports*, Seattle, Washington, ACM, New York, NY
- Hofmann HF, Lehner F (2001) Requirements engineering as a success factor in software projects. *IEEE Softw*18(4):58-66
- Hordijk W, Wieringa R (2006) Reusable rationale blocks: improving quality and efficiency of design choices. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale management in software engineering*, Springer, pp 353-371
- Hudlicka E (1997) Summary of knowledge elicitation techniques for requirements analysis. *Course Material for Human Computer Interaction*, Worcester Polytechnic Institute
- Hull MC, Jackson K, Dick J (2002) *Requirements Engineering*. Springer-Verlag. London, UK
- Humphrey W (1995) *A Discipline for Software Engineering*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA
- Humphrey W (2000) *The Personal Software Process*. CMU/SEI-2000-TR-022
- IEEE (1990) *IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology*. IEEE Std 610.12-1990

- IEEE (1993) IEEE Std 610.12-1990. IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology. IEEE
- IEEE (1998) IEEE Standard for Software Maintenance. IEEE Std 1219-1998
- IEEE (2000) IEEE Std 1471-2000. Recommended Practice for Architectural Descriptions of Software-Intensive Systems, IEEE
- IEEE (2004a) Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK) 2004 edn, IEEE
- IEEE (2004b) IEEE standard for software verification and validation. IEEE Std 1012-2004
- IEEE/EIA (1996) Software life cycle processes. ISO/IEC 12207.0-1996
- Jacobson I, Booch G, Rumbaugh J (1999) The Unified Software Development Process, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co
- Jackson M (2007), Specialization in Software Engineering. Keynote paper. To appear in: Proceedings of 14th Asia Pacific Software Engineering Conference (APSEC 2007), Nagoya, Japan, 5-7 December 2007, IEEE Computer Society
- Jensen RW, Tonies CC (1979) Software Engineering. Prentice Hall, NJ
- Jung H, Kim S, Chung C (2004) Measuring software product quality: a survey of ISO/IEC 9126. IEEE Softw, 21(5):88-92
- Juristo N, Moreno AM, Strigel W (2006) Guest editors' introduction: Software testing practices in industry. IEEE Softw 23(4):19-21
- Kahn H (1962) Thinking about the unthinkable, New York: Horizon
- Kahneman D, Tversky A (2000) Choices, Values, and Frames, New York: Cambridge University Press
- Kajiko-Mattsson M (2001) The state of documentation practice within corrective maintenance. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance, pp 354-363
- Karacapilidis N, Papadias D (2001) Computer supported argumentation and collaborative decision making: the HERMES system. Inform Syst, 26(4):259-277
- Karat J, Carroll JM, Alpert SR, Rosson MB (1995) Evaluating a multimedia history system as support for collaborative design. In: Nordby K, Helmersen P, Gilmore D, Arnesen S (eds) Proceedings of Human-Computer Interaction — INTERACT'95 Lillehammer, Norway, London: Chapman and Hall, pp 346-353
- Karau SJ, Williams KD (1993) Social loafing: A meta-analytic review and theoretical integration. J Personality Soc Psychol 65(4): 681-706
- Karlsson J, Ryan K (1997) A cost-value approach to prioritizing requirements. IEEE Softw14(5):67-74
- Kazman R, Asundi J, Klein M (2003) Quantifying the value of architecture design decisions: lessons from the field, Proceedings ICSE-25 (International Conference on Software Engineering), Portland, OR: IEEE Computer Society, pp 557-562
- Kelly T, Littman J (2001) Lessons in Creativity from IDEO, America's Leading Design Firm. New York: Doubleday

- Kimelman D, Rosenberg B, Roth T (1998) Visualization of dynamics in real world software systems. In: Stasko J, Dominigue J, Brown M, Price B (eds) *Software Visualization: Programming as a Multimedia Experience*. The MIT Press, pp 293-314
- King JMP, Bañares-Alcántara R (1997) Extending the scope and use of design rationale records. *Artif Intell Eng Des Anal Manuf* 11:155-167
- Kirschner, PA, Buckingham Shum, SJ, Carr, CS (eds) (2003) *Visualizing Argumentation*. London: Springer
- Kitchenham B, Linkman S (1998) Validation, verification, and testing: Diversity rules. *IEEE Softw.* 15(4):46-49
- Kitchenham BA, Travassos GH, von Mayhauser A, Niessink F, Schneidewind NF, Singer J, Takada S, Vehvilainen R, Yang, H (1999) Towards an ontology of software maintenance, *J Softw Maintenance: Res Practice* 11:365-389
- Klein GA (1998) *Source of power: How people make decisions*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- Klein GA (1997a) Developing expertise in decision making. *Thinking and Reasoning* 3(4):337-352
- Klein M (1997b) An exception handling approach to enhancing consistency, completeness and correctness in collaborative requirements capture. *Concurrent Eng Res Appl* 5(1):37-46
- Klein M, Kazman R (1999) *Attribute-Based Architectural Styles*. CMU/SEI-99-TR-022. Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburg, PA
- Kleppe J, Warmer J, Bast W (2003) *MDA explained, the model driven architecture: practice and promise*, Addison-Wesley
- Knodel J, Muthig D (2006) The role of rationale in the design of product line architectures – a case study from industry. In: Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrík I, Paech B (eds) *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Springer, pp 297-312
- Knuth DE (1992) *Literate programming*. Stanford, California: Center for the Study of Language and Information, CSLI Lecture Notes, no. 27
- Ko AJ, Aung H, Myers BA (2005) Eliciting design requirements for maintenance-oriented IDEs: a detailed study of corrective and perfective maintenance tasks. In: *Proceedings of the 27th international Conference on Software Engineering*. St. Louis, MO, pp 126-135
- Kolodner J (1993) *Case-based reasoning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo, California
- Kontio J (1996) A case study in applying a systematic method for COTS selection. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Software Engineering*. Berlin, Germany, pp 201-209
- Koschke R, Quante J (2005) On dynamic feature location. In: *Proceedings of the 20th IEEE/ACM international Conference on Automated Software Engineering*. Long Beach, CA, pp 86-95
- Kraut RE, Streeter LA (1995) Coordination in software development, *Communications of the ACM*, 38(3), 69-81

- Kraut RE (2003) Applying social psychological theory to the problems of group work. In J.M. Carroll (ed), *HCI Models, theories, and frameworks: Toward a multidisciplinary science*. San Francisco: Morgan-Kaufmann, pp 325-356
- Kruchten P (1999) *The Rational Unified Process: An Introduction*, Addison-Wesley
- Kunz W, Rittel HWJ (1970) Issues as elements of information systems, Working Paper 131, Center for Urban and Regional Development, University of California, Berkeley
- Kuusela J, Savolainen J (2000) Requirements engineering for product families. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Software Engineering*, Limerick, Ireland, pp 61-69
- Lai VS, Wong BK, Cheung W (2002) Group decision making in a multiple criteria environment: A case using the AHP in software selection, *Eur J Oper Res* 137:134-144
- Lam W, Shankararaman V (1999) Requirements change: a dissection of management issues. In: *Proceedings of the 25th Euromicro Conference*, p. 2244
- Lammers S (1986) *Programmers at Work*. Microsoft Press, Redmond, Washington.
- Lanza M (2001) The evolution matrix: recovering software evolution using software visualization techniques. In: *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution*. Vienna, Austria, pp 37-42
- Larman C (2004) *Agile and Iterative Development: A Manager's Guide*, Addison-Wesley, New York
- Larman C, Basili VR (2003) Iterative and incremental development: A brief history, *IEEE Computer*, IEEE, pp 47-56
- Latane B, Bourgeois, MJ (2001) Dynamic social impact and the consolidation, clustering, correlation, and continuing diversity of culture. In: Hogg MA, Tindale RS (eds) *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Group Processes*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell
- Lave J, Wenger E (1991) *Situated Learning. Legitimate Peripheral Participation*, Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge Press
- Lave J (1988) *Cognition in practice: Mind, Mathematics and Culture in Everyday Life*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Law J, Rothermel G (2003) Whole program Path-Based dynamic impact analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Software Engineering*, Portland, Oregon, pp 308-318
- Lawson B (1979) Cognitive Strategies in Architectural Design. *Ergonomics* 22(1): 59-68
- Leavitt HJ (1951) Some effects of certain communication patterns on group performance. *J Abnorm Soc Psychol* 46:38-50.
- Lee J (1990) SIBYL: A tool for managing group decision rationale. *Proceedings of the 1990 ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work*, ACM, New York, pp 79-92
- Lee J (1991) Extending the Potts and Bruns model for recording design rationale. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Software Engineering*, Austin, TX, pp 114-125

-
- Lee J, Lai KY (1996) What's in design rationale? In: Moran T, Carroll J (eds) Design Rationale Concepts, Techniques, and Use. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp 21-51
- Lee J (1990) SIBYL: A qualitative design management system, In: Winston PH, Shellard, S (eds) Artificial Intelligence at MIT: Expanding frontiers, pp. 104-133, Cambridge MA: MIT Press
- Lehman MM (1996) Laws of software evolution revisited. In: Montangero C (ed.) Lecture Notes In Computer Science, vol. 1149. Springer-Verlag, London, pp 108-124
- Lehman MM (2005) The role and impact of assumptions in software development, maintenance, and evolution. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop on Software Evolvability. Budapest, Hungary, pp 3-14
- Lehman MM, Frenández-Ramil J (2006) The role and impact of assumptions in software engineering and its products. In: Dutoit AH, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Rationale Management in Software Engineering. Springer, Germany, pp 311-328
- Lewis C, Rieman J, Bells B (1996) Problem-centered design for expressiveness. In: Moran TP, Carroll JM (eds) Design Rationale, Concepts, Techniques and Use, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, pp 147-184
- Lewis JA, Henry SA, Kafura DG, Schulman RS (1991) An empirical study of the object-oriented paradigm and software reuse. In: Proceedings of OOPSLA, pp 184-196
- Lientz BP, Swanson, EB (1988) Software maintenance management. Addison-Wesley
- Lindquist C (2005) Fixing the requirements mess. CIO Magazine. 15 November, <http://www.cio.com/archive/111505/require.html>
- Liskov, Guttag (1986) Abstraction and specification in program development, MIT Press, Cambridge
- Lloyd P, Scott P (1994) Discovering the design problem. Des Stud 15(2): 125-140
- Lougher R, Rodden T (1993) Group support for the recording and sharing of maintenance rationale. Softw Eng J 8(6):295-306
- Lozano-Tello A, Gomez-Perez A (2002) BAREMO: How to choose the appropriate software component using the analytic hierarchy process. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, pp 781 - 788
- Mackay WE, Ratzert AV, Janecek P (2000) Video artifacts for design: Bridging the gap between abstraction and detail. In: ACM DIS 2000: Conference on Designing Interactive Systems. Brooklyn, New York, New York: ACM, pp 72-82
- MacLean A, Young RM, Bellotti VME, Moran T (1996) Questions, Options and Criteria. In: Moran TP, Carroll JM (eds) Design Rationale, Concepts, Techniques and Use. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, pp 53-106
- MacLean A, Young RM, Bellotti VME, Moran T (1991) Questions, Options and Criteria: Elements of design space analysis. J Human-Comput Interact 6:201-250

- MacLean A, Young RM, Moran TP (1989) Design rationale: the argument behind the artifact. In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems: wings for the mind. ACM Press, pp 247-252
- Madsen KH (1994) A guide to metaphorical design. *Commun ACM* 37(12):57-62
- Maletic JI, Marcus A (2001) Supporting program comprehension using semantic and structural information. In: Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Software Engineering. Toronto, Canada, pp 103-112
- Mannion M, Keepence B, Kaindl H, Wheadon J (1999) Reusing single system requirements from application family requirements. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering. Limerick, Ireland, pp 453-462
- Manola F (1999) Providing Systematic Properties (Ilities) and Quality of Service in Component-Based Systems. Technical report, Object Services and Consulting, Inc
- Martin J (1991) Rapid Application Development. Macmillan, NY
- Matena V, Hapner M (1999) Enterprise JavaBeans specification. v1.1. Sun Microsystems, java.sun.com/products/ejb/docs.html
- Mayer B (1997) Object-oriented software construction, 2nd edition, Prentice-Hall
- Maynard-Ried II P, Shoham Y (2001) Belief fusion: aggregating pedigreed belief states. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 10:183-209
- McAndrews DR (2000) The Team Software Process (TSPSM): An Overview and Preliminary Results of Using Disciplined Practices. Technical Report CMU/SEI-2000-TR-015
- McCall R (1979a) On the structure and use of issue systems in design, Doctoral Dissertation 1978, University of California, Berkeley, University Microfilms
- McCall R (1979b) Final Report for Project STIEC (Scientific and Technical Information in the European Community), Studiengruppe für Systemforschung, Heidelberg
- McCall R (1986) Issue-Serve Systems: A Descriptive Theory of Design, In: Design Methods and Theories, vol 20, no 3, DMG, San Luis Obispo, pp 443-458
- McCall R (1991) PHI: a conceptual foundation for design hypermedia. *Des Stud* 1:30-41
- McCall R, Bennett P, Johnson E (1994) An overview of the Phidias II HyperCAD system", In: Proceedings of the 1994 Conference of The Association for Computer Aided Design in Architecture. A. Harfmann, M. Fraser (eds), Association for Computer Aided Design in Architecture, pp. 63-74
- McCall R, Johnson E (1997) Using argumentative agents to catalyze and support collaboration in design. *Autom Constr* 6(4):299-309
- McCall R, Bennett P, d'Oronzio P, Ostwald J, Shipman F, Wallace N (1990) Phidias: A PHI-Based Design Environment Integrating CAD Graphics into Dynamic Hypertext. In: Proceedings of the European Conference on Hypertext (ECHT 1990)
- McCall R, Bennett P, d'Oronzio P, Oswald J, Shipman FM III, Wallace N (1992) PHIDIAS: Integrating CAD graphics into dynamic hypertext. In: Streitz N,

- Rizk A, André J (eds) *Hypertext: Concepts, Systems and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, pp 152-165
- McCall R, Mistrik I, Schuler W (1981) An Integrated Information and Communication System for Problem Solving. Proceedings of the Seventh International CODATA Conference. Pergamon, London
- McCall R, Mistrik I (2005) Capture of software requirements and rationale through collaborative software development. Requirements Engineering for Sociotechnical Systems, Mate JL and Silva A (eds) *Information Science*, Hershey, PA, pp 303-317
- McKerlie D, MacLean A (1993) QOC in action (abstract): using design rationale to support design. In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. Amsterdam, The Netherlands ACM, New York, NY, pp 519
- Mehta A, Heineman GT (2002) Evolving legacy system features into fine-grained components. In: Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Software Engineering. Orlando, Florida, pp 417-427
- Mellor SJ, Clark AN, Futagami T (2003) Guest editors' introduction: Model-driven development. *IEEE Softw* 20(5):14-18
- Mens K, Mens T, Wermelinger M (2002) Supporting software evolution with intentional software views. In: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution. Orlando, Florida, pp 138-142
- Mens T, Demeyer S (2001) Future trends in software evolution metrics. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution, Vienna, Austria, pp 83-86
- Meyer T, Ghose AK, Chopra S (2001). Multi-agent context-based merging. In: Proceedings of Common Sense 2001: The Fifth Symposium on Logical Formalizations of Commonsense Reasoning, New York, USA, May 2001
- Meyer B (1997) *Object-Oriented Software Construction*, 2nd ed. Prentice-Hall
- Mockus A, Fielding RT, Herbsleb JD (2002) Two Case Studies of Open Source Software Development: Apache and Mozilla. *ACM Trans Softw Eng Methodol* 11(3):209-346
- Mohamed A, Ruhe G, Eberlein A (2005) Decision support for customization of the COTS selection process. In: Proceedings of 2nd International Workshop on Models and Processes for the Evaluation of COTS Components (MPEC'05). 27th International Conference on Software Engineering. St. Louis, Missouri, pp 1-4
- Mongin P (1998) The paradox of the Bayesian experts and state-dependent utility theory. *J Math Econ* 29(3):331-361
- Morisio M, Seaman C, Parra A, Basili VR, Condon S, Kraft S (2000) Investigating and improving a COTS-Based software development process. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Software Engineering. Limerick, Ireland, pp. 32-41
- Myer B (1988) *Object Oriented Software Construction*, Prentice Hall
- Myers KL, Zumel NB, Garcia PE (1999) Automated capture of rationale for the detailed design process. In: Proceedings of the Eleventh National Conference

- on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence (IAAI-99), AAAI, Menlo Park, CA, pp 876-883
- Narayanan NH, Kolodner JL (1995) Case libraries in support of design education: the DesignMuse Experiences, Proceedings of the 25th Annual Frontiers in Education Conference, IEEE Press
- Nentwich C, Emmerich W, Finkelstein A, Ellmer E (2003) Flexible consistency checking. *ACM Trans Softw Eng Methodol* 12(1):28-63
- Newman MW, Landay JA (2000) Sitemaps, Storyboards, and Specifications: A Sketch of Web Site Design Practice. In Proceedings of Designing Interactive Systems: DIS 2000. New York, NY. pp 263-274, August 17-19, 2000
- Ng TH, Cheung SC, Chan WK, Yu YT (2006) Toward effective deployment of design patterns for software extension: a case study. In Proceedings of the 2006 International Workshop on Software Quality. Shanghai, China, pp 51-56
- Ngo-The A, Ruhe G. (2005) Decision Support in Requirements Engineering, In: Arum A, Wohlin C (eds) Engineering and Managing Software Requirements. Springer, pp 267-286
- Nierstrasz O, Ducasse S, Girba T (2005) The story of moose: an agile reengineering environment. In: Proceedings of the 10th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 13th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering. Lisbon, Portugal, pp 1-10
- Nuseibeh B (1997) Ariane 5 Who Dunnit? *IEEE Softw* 14(3):15-16
- Nuseibeh B, Easterbrook S (2000) Requirements engineering: a roadmap. In: Proceedings of the Conference on the Future of Software Engineering. Limerick, Ireland, pp 35-46
- Nuseibeh B, Easterbrook S, Russo A (2000) Leveraging Inconsistency in Software Development. *Computer* 33(4):24-29
- Oberto R (2002) FAIR/DART Option #2. Advanced Projects Design Team. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory
- Object Management Group (2000) The Common Object Request Broker: Architecture and Specification Version 2.4
- Oinas-Kukkonen H (1988) Evaluating the usefulness of design rationale in CASE. *Eur J Inform Syst*, 7(3):185-191
- OMG (2005a) UML Object Constraint Language (OCL) Specification. v2.0. The Object Modeling Group
- OMG (2005b) UML Superstructure. v2.1.1, The Object Modeling Group
- Ossher H, Tarr P (1999) Multi-dimensional Separation of Concerns in Hyperspace, Tech. Report RC 21453(96717), IBM, TJ Watson Research Center, NY
- Overhage S (2004) UnSCom: A standardized framework for the specification of software components. In: Weske M, Liggesmeyer P (eds) Object-Oriented and Internet-Based Technologies, 5th Annual International Conference on Object-Oriented and Internet-Based Technologies, Concepts, and Applications for a Networked World. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS) 3263, Springer, pp 169-184
- Parnas DL, Clements PC (1986) A Rational Design Process: How and why to fake it. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* SE-12(2):251-257

- Parsons S (2001) *Qualitative Methods for Reasoning under Uncertainty*, MIT Press
- Parsons S, Hunter A (1998) A review of uncertainty handling formalisms. In: Hunter A, Parsons S (eds) *Applications of Uncertainty Formalisms*. Lecture Notes In Computer Science, vol. 1455. Springer-Verlag, London, pp 8-37
- Patterson DA (2005) 20th Century vs. 21st Century C&C: The SPUR Manifesto, The President's Letter, *Communications of the ACM*, Vol 48, No 3, pp 15-16
- Paulk MC, Curtis B, Chrissis MB, Weber CV (1993) *Capability Maturity Model for Software*, Version 1.1. CMU/SEI-93-TR-024
- Payne C, Allgood CF, Chewar CM, Holbrook C, McCrickard DS (2003) Generalizing Interface Design Knowledge: Lessons Learned from Developing a Claims Library. *IEEE International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IRI 2003)*, Las Vegas, pp 362-369
- Pelled L, Eisenhardt K, Xin K (1999) Exploring the black box: An analysis of work group diversity, conflict, and performance. *Admin Sci Q* 44:1-28
- Peña-Mora F, Sriram D, Logcher R (1995) Design rationale for computer-supported conflict mitigation. *ASCE J Comput in Civil Eng* 9(1):57-72
- Peña-Mora F, Vadhavkar S (1997) Augmenting design patterns with design rationale. *Artif Intell Eng Des, Anal Manuf* 11(2): 93-108
- Pennock D, Maynard-Ried II P, Giles CL, Horvitz E (2000) A normative examination of ensemble learning algorithms. In: *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp 735-742
- Pigoski TM (1996) *Practical Software Maintenance*. Wiley
- PMBOK (2003) *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge*. Project Management Institute Standards Committee, IEEE Std 1490-2003
- Pollice G, Augustine L, Lowe C, Madhur J (2003) *Software Development for Small Teams: a Rup-Centric Approach*. Addison Wesley Longman
- Potts C (1996) Supporting software design: integrating design methods and design rationale. In: Moran TP, Carroll JM (eds) *Design rationale: concepts, techniques, and use*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, pp 295-321
- Potts C, Bruns (1988) Recording the reasons for design decisions, In: *Proceedings of the 10th International conference on software engineering*, Singapore, pp 418-427
- Potts C (1989) A generic model for representing design methods, *Proc. 11th Int. Conf. Software Eng.*, Pittsburgh, IEEE Comp. Soc. Press
- Potts C, Takahashi K, Anton A (1994) Inquiry-based requirements analysis, *IEEE Softw* 11(2):21-32
- Prechelt L, Unger B, Tichy WF, Brössler P, Votta LG (2001) A controlled experiment in maintenance comparing design patterns to simpler solutions. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* 27(12):1134-1144
- Price B, Baecker R, Small I (1998) An introduction to software visualization. In: Stasko J, Dominigue J, Brown M, Price B (eds) *Software Visualization: Programming as a Multimedia Experience*. The MIT Press, pp 3-27

- Queille J, Voidrot J, Wilde N, Munro M (1994) The impact analysis task in software maintenance: a model and a case study. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance, Victoria, BC, pp 234-242
- Rajlich V (2006) Changing the software paradigm. *Commun ACM* 49(8):67-70
- Ramesh B, Dhar V (1992) Supporting systems development by capturing deliberations during requirements engineering, *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* 18(6), 498-510
- Ramesh B, Dhar V (1994) Representing and maintaining process knowledge for large-scale systems development, *EEE Expert* 9(2):54-60
- Ramires J, Antunes P, Respício A (2005) Software requirements negotiation using the software quality function deployment. In: Fuks H, Lukosch S, Salgado A (eds) *Groupware: Design, Implementation, and Use. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Vol. 3706*, Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, pp 308-324
- Ramler R, Biffl S, Grunbacher P (2006) Value-based management of software testing. In: Biffl S, Aurum A, Boehm B, Erdogmus H, Grunbacher P (eds) *Value-Based Software Engineering*, Springer
- Randal B, Buxton JN (1970) *Software engineering techniques: A report on a conference sponsored by the NATO Science Committee*, NATO
- Rasmussen J (1974) *The human data processor as a system component: Bits and pieces of a model. Riso-M-1722*. Roskilde, Denmark: Danish Atomic Energy Commission
- Raymond E (2001) *The Cathedral and the Bazaar. Revised Edition*. O'Reilly
- Redwine S, Riddle W (1985). Software technology maturation, In: Proc. of the 8th ICSE, pp 189-200
- Reeves B, Shipman FM III (1992) Supporting communication between designers with artifact-centered evolving information spaces In: Proceedings of the 1992 ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, November 1-4, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, pp 394-401
- Reiss SP (2002) Constraining software evolution. In: Proceedings of the International conference on Software maintenance, Montreal, Quebec Canada, pp 162-171
- Reiss SP, Kennedy CM, Wooldridge T, Krishnamurthi S (2003) CLIME: an environment for constrained evolution demonstration description. In: Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Software Engineering. Portland, Oregon, pp 818-819
- Reitman WR (1965) *Cognition and Thought.: An Information Processing Approach*. New York: Wiley
- Réquilé-Romanczuk A, Cechich A, Dourgnon-Hanoune A, Mielnik J (2005) Towards a knowledge-based framework for COTS component identification. In: Proceedings of the Second international Workshop on Models and Processes for the Evaluation of Off-the-Shelf Components. St. Louis, Missouri, ACM Press, New York, NY, pp 1-4
- Riesbeck C, Schank R (1989) *Inside Case-Based Reasoning*. Lawrence Erlbaum. Hillsdale, NJ

- Rittel H (1980) APIS: A concept for an argumentative planning information system. Working paper 324, Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California, Berkeley
- Rittel H, Weber M (1973) Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences* 4: 155-169
- Rittel H (1972a) On the planning crisis: Systems analysis of the first and second generations. *Bedriftsokonomien*, Norway, 8:390-396
- Rittel H. (1972b) Son of Rittelthink, DMG Newsletter 3-10 Berkeley: University of California.
- Robillard MP (2005) Automatic generation of suggestions for program investigation. In: *Proceedings of the 10th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 13th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. Lisbon, Portugal, pp 11-20
- Roman G (1985) A taxonomy of current issues in requirements engineering. *Computer*, 18(4):14-22
- Rosson MB, Alpert SA (1990) The cognitive consequences of object-oriented design. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 5:345-379
- Rosson MB, Carroll JM (1996) The reuse of uses in Smalltalk programming. *ACM Trans Computer-Human Inter*, 3(3):219-253
- Rothermel G, Elbaum S (2003) Putting Your Best Tests Forward. *IEEE Softw* 20(5):74-77
- Royce WW (1970) Managing the development of large software systems: concepts and techniques. In: *Proceedings of IEEE WESTCON*, Los Angeles, California, pp 1-9
- Saaty TL (1980) *The Analytic Hierarchy Process*, McGraw-Hill, New York
- Saiedian H, Dale R (2000) Requirements engineering: making the connection between the software developer and customer. *Inform Softw Technol* 42:419-428
- Schmid K (2002) A comprehensive product line scoping approach and its validation. In: *Proceedings of ICSE*. Orlando, Florida, pp 593-603
- Schmidt DC (2006) Guest editor's introduction. *Model-Driven Engineering*. *Computer* 39(2):25-31
- Schmidt DC, Buschmann F (2003) Patterns, frameworks, and middleware: their synergistic relationships. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Software Engineering*. Portland, Oregon, IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, pp 694-704
- Schneider K (2006) Rationale as a by-product, In: *Rationale Management in Software Engineering*, Dutoit A, McCall R, Mistrik I, Paech B (eds) Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 91-109
- Schön D (1983) *The Reflective Practitioner. How Professionals Think in Action*. Basic Books, New York
- Schultz DJ (1979) A case study in system integration using the Build approach. In: *Proceedings of the 1979 Annual Conference*. pp 143-151
- Scriven M (1967) The methodology of evaluation. In: Tyler R, Gagne R, Scriven M (eds) *Perspectives of Curriculum Evaluation*, Rand McNally, pp 39-83

- SEI (1997) Integrated Product Development Capability Maturity Model, Draft Version 0.98. Pittsburgh, PA: Enterprise Process Improvement Collaboration and Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University
- Shafer G (1976) A Mathematical Theory of Evidence. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey
- Sharp H, Finkelstein A, Galal G (1999) Stakeholder Identification in the Requirements Engineering Process. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications, pp 387
- Shipman FM III, McCall R (1994) Supporting knowledge-base evolution with incremental formalization. In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Boston, Massachusetts, United States, pp 285-291
- Shipman F, McCall R (1997) Integrating different perspectives on design rationale: Supporting the emergence of design rationale from design communication, *Artif Intell Eng Des, Anal, Manuf* 11(2):141-154
- Shipman FM III, Marshall CC (1999a) Formality considered harmful: Experiences, emerging themes, and directions on the use of formal representations in interactive systems. *Computer-Supported Cooperative Work* 8(3):333-352
- Shipman FM III, Marshall CC (1999b) Spatial hypertext: An alternative to navigational and semantic links. *ACM Comput Surv* 31(4):1-5
- Siff M, Reps T (1999) Identifying modules via concept analysis. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* 25(6):749-768
- Sim S, Duffy A (1994) A new perspective to design intent and design rationale. In: Workshop Notes for Representing and Using Design Rationale. *Artificial Intelligence in Design*. pp 4-12
- Simon HA (1957) *Models of Man: Social and Rational*. New York: Wiley
- Singer J (1998) Practices of software maintenance. In: Proc. of the International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM'98). Bethesda, Maryland, USA, pp 139-145
- Smith RP, Tjandra P (1998) Experimental observation of iteration in engineering design. *Res Eng Des* 10(2):107-117
- Sneed H (1995) Planning the reengineering of legacy systems. *IEEE Softw.* 12(1):24-34
- Sneed HM (2001) Impact analysis of maintenance tasks for a distributed object-oriented system. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance, Florence, Italy, pp 180-189
- Software Engineering Coordinating Committee (2004) Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK), IEEE Computer Society
- Sommerville I (2007) *Software Engineering*. 8th edn, Addison Wesley
- Spanoudakis G, Zisman A (2001) Inconsistency management in software engineering: survey and open research issues. In: *Handbook of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering 1*, World Scientific, pp 329-380
- Srivastava A, Thiagarajan J (2002) Effectively prioritizing tests in development environment. In: Proceedings of the 2002 ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis. Roma, Italy, pp 97-106

- Stahl T, Volter M (2006) Model-driven software development, Wiley, NY
- Stark GE, Oman P, Skillicorn A, Ameen A (1999) An examination of the effects of requirements changes on software maintenance releases. *J Softw Maintenance* 11(5):293-309
- Stobie K (2005) Too darned big to test. *Queue* 3(1):30-37
- Stone M (1961) The linear opinion pool. *Ann Math Stat* 32:1339-1342
- Subramaniam GV (2000) Object model resurrection — an object oriented maintenance activity. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Software Engineering. Limerick, Ireland, pp 324-333
- Subramaniam N, Chung L (2001) Software architecture adaptability: an NFR approach. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution. Vienna, Austria, pp 52-61
- Subramaniam N, Chung L (2002) Tool support for engineering adaptability into software architecture. In: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution. Orlando, Florida, pp 86-96
- Sutcliffe AG, Carroll JM (1999) Designing claims for reuse in interactive systems design. *Int J Human-Comput Stud* 50(3):213-241
- Tang A, Han J (2005) Architecture rationalization: A methodology for architecture verifiability, traceability and completeness. Proc. 12th Annual IEEE Int. Conf. and Workshop on the Engineering of Computer Based Systems (ECBS'05), pp 135-144
- Tang A, Jin Y, Han J (2005a) A rationale-based architecture model for design traceability and reasoning. *J Sys Softw* 80:918-934
- Tang A, Jin Y, Han J, Nicholson A (2005b) Predicting change impact in architecture design with Bayesian Belief Networks. In: proceedings of the 5th Working IEEE/IFIP Conference on Software Architecture, pp 67-76
- Tang A, Babar M, Gorton I, Han J (2006) A survey of architecture design rationale. *J Sys Softw* 79:1792-1804
- Tarr P, Clarke LA (1998) Consistency management for complex applications. In: Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Software Engineering, Kyoto, Japan, pp 230-239
- Taylor RN, van der Hoek A (2007) Software Design and Architecture: The once and future focus of software engineering. In: 2007 Future of Software Engineering. International Conference on Software Engineering. Minneapolis, MN, pp 226-243
- Thayer RH, Dorfman M (1990) Introduction, issues, and terminology. In: Thayer RH, Dorfman M (eds) System and Software Requirements Engineering, IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, CA, 1st edn, pp 1-3
- Thelin T, Runeson P, Wohlin C (2003) An experimental comparison of usage-based and checklist-based reading. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng* 29(8):687-702.
- Tip F (1995) A survey of program slicing techniques. *J Prog Lang* 3(3):121-189
- Tonella P, Antoniol G (1999) Object oriented design pattern inference. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance, pp 230-238
- Toulmin S (1958) The Uses of Argument. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

- Turner M, Budgen D, Brereton P (2003) Turning software into a service. *IEEE Comput* 36(10):38-44
- Tyree J, Akerman A (2005) Architecture decisions: Demystifying architecture. *IEEE Softw* 22(2):19-27
- van Lamsweerde A (2001) Goal-oriented requirements engineering: a guided tour. In: *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE International Symposium on Requirements Engineering*, Toronto, pp 249-263
- van Lamsweerde A (2003) From system goals to software architecture. In: Bernardo M, Inverardi P (eds) *Formal Methods for Software Architectures*, Springer-Verlag
- van Lamsweerde A (2004) Goal-oriented requirements engineering: a roundtrip from research to practice. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Requirements Engineering*, Tokyo, Japan, pp 4-8
- van Lamsweerde A, Letier E (2000) Handling obstacles in goal-oriented requirements engineering. *IEEE Trans Softw Eng Special Issue on Exception Handling* 26(10): 978-1005
- Vetschera R (2006) Preference-based decision support in software engineering. In: Biffl S, Aurum A, Boehm B, Erdogmus H, Grunbacher P (eds) *Value-Based Software Engineering*, Springer, pp 67-89
- Vincenti W (1990) *What engineers know and how they know it*. John Hopkins University Press
- Voas JM, Miller KW (1995) Software testability: the new verification. *IEEE Softw* 12(3):17-28
- von Mayrhauser A, Vans AM (1994) Comprehension processes during large scale maintenance. In: *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Software Engineering*. Sorrento, Italy, pp 39-48
- Wahid S, Smith J.L, Berry B, Chewar CM, McCrickard, DS (2004) Visualization of Design Knowledge Component Relationships to Facilitate Reuse. *Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IRI '04)*, Las Vegas NV, November, pp 414-419.
- Walker RJ, Holmes R, Hedgeland I, Kapur P, Smith A (2006) A lightweight approach to technical risk estimation via probabilistic impact analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 2006 International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories*, Shanghai, China, pp 98-104
- Wallin P, Fröberg A, Axelsson A (2007) Making decisions in integration of automotive software and electronics: A method based on ATAM and AHP, In: *Proceedings SEAS'07 (Fourth International Workshop on Software Engineering for Automotive Systems)*, IEEE Computer Society, pp 5
- Wang L, Tan KC (2005) Software testing for safety-critical applications. *IEEE Instrum Measure Mag* 8(2):38-47
- Wang N, Schmidt D, O'Ryan C (2001) Overview of the CORBA component model. In: Councill B, Heineman G (eds) *Component-Based Software Engineering*, Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, pp 557-571
- Wang X, Xiong G (2001) Design rationale as part of corporate technical memory, In: *International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, Tucson, AZ: 3:1904-1908

-
- Weick KE (1995) Sensemaking in organizations. Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA
- Weiser M (1981) Program slicing. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Software Engineering, San Diego, California, pp 439-449
- Weizenbaum, J (1966) ELIZA—A computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. *Comm ACM* 9(1):36-35
- Wellman MP (1990) Fundamental concepts of qualitative probabilistic networks. *Artif Intell* 44:257-303
- Wenger E (1998) *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Whitehead J (2007) Collaboration in Software Engineering: A Roadmap. In: 2007 Future of Software Engineering, International Conference on Software Engineering. Minneapolis, MN, pp. 214-225
- Whittaker S, Schwarz H (1995) Back to the Future: Pen and Paper Technology Supports Complex Group Coordination, in Proceedings of ACM CHI'95 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp 495-502
- Workshop on Multi-Dimensional Separation of Concerns, International Conference on Software Engineering 2000, <http://www.research.ibm.com/hyperspace/workshops/icse2000>
- Zadeh LA (1965) Fuzzy sets. *Inform Control* 8:338-353
- Zadeh LA (1978) Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility. *Fuzzy Sets Syst* 1:3-28
- Zhu L, Gorton I (2007) UML profiles for design decisions and non-functional requirements. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on the Sharing and Reusing of Architectural Knowledge, at the International Conference of Software Engineering (ICSE), Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Zimmermann T, Weisgerber P, Diehl S, Zeller A (2004) Mining version histories to guide software changes. In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Software Engineering, pp 563-572
- Zimring CM, Do ED, Domeshek ED, Kolodner JL (1995) Supporting case-study use in design education: A computational case-based design aid for architecture. In: Mohsen JP (ed.) *Computing in Civil Engineering: Proceedings of the Second Congress*. New York: American Society of Civil Engineers, pp 1635-1642
- Ziv H, Richardson DJ, Klosch R (1996) The uncertainty principle in software engineering. Technical Report UCI-TR-96-33, University of California, Irvine

Glossary

abstraction

A view of an object that focuses on the information relevant to a particular purpose and ignores the remainder of the information [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

adaptability

Adaptability concerns the ease of altering a system to meet the needs of a user [Randal and Buxton 1970]

adaptive maintenance

Changes made to the software during maintenance that do not change its functionality

agile methods

Software development methods that use iterative development to provide a more agile response to changing requirements

analysis

The phase in the software lifecycle that analyzes the system requirements in order to build a model describing the application domain

analysis of design

A process that provides a *view* of the design process that is not otherwise available

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

A decision-making technique where alternatives are evaluated by making a series of pairwise comparisons

anthropomorphism

Software analysis and design method that involves metaphorically thinking about software components as animate

anti-model

Vivid characterizations of features and outcomes that a problem solver or decision-makers definitely wants to avoid

architectural description

A collection of products to document an architecture [IEEE Std 1471-2000]

architectural design

The result of the process of defining a collection of hardware and software components and their interfaces to establish the framework for the development of a computer system [adapted from IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

architectural framework

Describes the elements of a concrete architecture in terms of components, connectors, and dependencies

architectural style

A family of architectures constrained by component/connector vocabulary, topology, and semantic constraints [adapted from Garlan and Shaw 1993]

architectural tactic

A transformation of an architecture to achieve particular quality attribute goals

architecturally significant requirements (ASR)

Software requirements that have broad cross-functional implications such performance, usability, maintainability, and security. These include nonfunctional requirements (NFRs) and quality attributes

architecture

Architecture is the fundamental organization of a system embodied in its components, their relationships to each other, and to the environment, and the principles guiding its design and evolution [IEEE Std 1471-2000]. Architecture is a description (model) of the basic arrangement and connectivity of parts of a system (either a physical or a conceptual object or entity) [ISO 15704 1999]

architecture decision

A high-level design decision that an architect or designer takes to satisfy the functional and nonfunctional requirements of a system

architecture description

A collection of products to document an architecture [IEEE Std 1471-2000]

artifact

The result of any activity in the software lifecycle such as requirements, architecture model, design specifications, source code, and test scripts [<http://w3.umh.ac.be/genlog/SE/SE-contents.html>]

assumption

A proposition that is believed to be, but not known to be, true

Attribute-based Architectural Styles (ABASs)

Architectural styles associated with an attribute reasoning framework associated with a quality attribute

awareness (in collaboration)

Relevant knowledge about collaborators; for example, their identity, activity, goals and expectations, and focus of attention. Effective collaboration requires awareness

bad smell

Code structures that signal potential problems or poor designs that indicate the need for refactoring

basic software

Software that is used by the computer hardware to give the system its basic functions, like an operating system, or perform elementary tasks such as a compiler [Chaudron et al. 2004]

benchmark

A benchmark is a set of tests used to compare the performance of alternative tools, methods, or techniques [<http://w3.umh.ac.be/genlog/SE/SE-contents.html>]

black-box reuse

A kind of reuse where a component is reused without changing anything within the component

black-box testing

Software testing that looks only at the inputs and expected outputs and is not aware of the internal contents of the code

business case

Description of the system in terms of the stakeholders that have to make the decision to develop the system, together with an analysis of the development and operational cost of the system, and of the benefits of the system and the revenues it might generate [Chaudron et al. 2004]

Capability Maturity Model (CMM)

A process model developed by the Software Engineering Institute to assess the maturity of a software organization's process by classifying it into one of five levels

Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI)

A replacement for the CMM that assesses the maturity level for 22 process areas

Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE)

The use of software tools to assist in the development and maintenance of software

classical decision model

Decision model in which solution alternatives are exhaustively enumerated, analyzed, and contrastively evaluated

common ground

The knowledge shared by interlocutors or collaborators. Effective collaboration requires periodic verification of common ground

commonalities

The set of features or properties of a component (or system) that are the same, or common, between systems [<http://w3.umh.ac.be/genlog/SE/SE-contents.html>]

community of practice

Groups of actors that share values, norms, concepts, behavior scripts, and strategies pertaining to a domain of human endeavor

compatibility

The ability of two or more systems or components to perform their required function while sharing the same hardware or software environment [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

component

A component is a self-contained piece of software with clearly defined interfaces and explicitly declared context dependencies [Stahl and Volter 2006]

Component-Based Software Engineering (CBSE)

A software engineering approach that builds software systems from reusable software components

conceptual framework (or frame of reference)

Establishes terms and concepts pertaining to the content and use of a specific architectural descriptions

confirmation bias

Tendency of human decision-makers to seek and prize data that confirms their decisions over data that disconfirms their decisions

consistency

The degree of uniformity, standardization, and freedom from contradiction among the documents or parts of a system or component [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

consistency management

The process of managing the consistency between the different software artifacts developed during the software development process

coordination

Self-management among collaborators to ensure that individual contributions can be synthesized into effective wholes

corrective maintenance

Software maintenance changes that are made in order to repair defects

correctness

The ability of software products to perform their exact tasks, as defined by their specification [Meyer 1997]

Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS)

Software products that are purchased rather than custom made

criterion-based evaluation

A way of evaluating a decision alternative or artifact feature which consists of (1) the statement of a criterion, e.g. a goal, and (2) an assessment of the alternative or feature with respect to the stated criterion, these two elements in effect constituting a single argument for or against the alternative or feature

decision-centric rationale approaches

Rationale approaches that deal with the rationale for decision-making in artifact creation

Decision Representation Language (DRL)

Lee's revision and extension of the Potts and Bruns approach to rationale. DRL's schema corresponds roughly to a superset of QOC's schema and has dependency relationships between elements. Like QOC, DRL uses a form of criterion-based evaluation

defect

A problem in a software artifact that causes it to be incorrect

deliberate (*verb*)

To consider what the answer to *a question* should be and, more specifically, to evaluate one or more proposed answers to a question

design (*noun*)

An artifact description that is detailed enough to be used to construct that artifact

design pattern

Names, abstracts, and identifies the key aspects of a common design structure that make it useful for creating a reusable object-oriented design [Gamma et al. 1995]

design rationale (DR)

DR is the reasoning underlying the creation and use of artifacts

design space decisions

Decisions as to what features that an artifact will have

Design Space Analysis

Representation of a set of design space decision tasks together with their decision alternatives and the evaluations of these alternatives

domain-oriented issue base (DOIB)

PHI-based collections of issues, positions, arguments, and subissues that commonly arise in a particular design domain

detailed design

The result of the process of refining and expanding the preliminary design of a system or component to the extent that the design is sufficiently complete to be implemented [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

domain

An area of knowledge or activity characterized by a set of concepts and terminology understood by practitioners in that area [Booch et al., 1990]

enhance maintenance

Software maintenance changes that are made to add additional features or otherwise improve a software system

extensibility

The ease of adapting software products to changes of specification [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

extreme programming (XP)

A popular agile method that proposes taking software best practices “to the extreme”

familiarity bias

Tendency of human decision-makers to consider familiar data and interpretations as typical

fixation

Tendency of designers to make solution decisions before adequately understanding the full problem space, and then to disproportionate adduce confirmatory evidence to justify and maintain those decisions

flexibility

The ease with which a system or component can be modified for use in application or environments other than those for which it was specifically designed [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

framework

A generic structure that can be adapted or extended via systematic extension or configuration [adapted from Stahl and Volter 2006]

functional design

The result of the process of defining the working relationships among the components of a system [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

functional requirement

A requirement that describes functionality that the system must provide in order to be acceptable to the customer

functionality

The extent of services provided by a system [adapted from Mayer 1997]

generality

The degree to which a system or component performs a broad range of functions [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

generative paradigm

An alternative devised by Gruber and Russell to the “record and replay” paradigm used by almost all other approaches to rationale. Rather than recording rationale, the generative paradigm involves recreating it after the fact by deriving it from various data obtained automatically during design

glass-box testing

Software testing based on information about the structure of the code. Examples would be branch or path testing

hypermedia (hypertext)

Information structure consisting of nodes of content including link anchors to other nodes of content

iconic models

Graphical models in Euclidean space of artifacts that will occupy Euclidian space when constructed

ility

A quality attribute, or nonfunctional requirement. The name comes from the form of many requirements such as scalability, reusability, modifiability, etc.

implementation

The software lifecycle phase where the software (i.e., the source code) is written

ill-structured problem

Term used by Reitman and later by Simon to refer to open-ended problems, like software design, that cannot be uniquely decomposed into verifiable steps. See also “wicked problems”

inconsistency

A state in which two or more overlapping elements of different software models make assertions about aspects of the system they describe which are not jointly satisfiable [Spanoudakis and Zisman 2001]

incremental delivery

A software development process where the software is developed and delivered in increments rather than as one completed system at the end of development

inspection

A verification technique that involves reviewing the software artifacts to look for defects

integrated rationale

Rationale for a software system that is stored with or as part of the software that it describes and explains

integration testing

Tests performed to ensure that the subsystems that comprise a software system work correctly together

interaction

The mutual influence of two actors and/or components. Interaction is performed via an interface [Chaudron et al. 2004]

interface

For two components, or a component and an external actor, a model of types of the messages that are exchanged and the order in which this may occur [Chaudron et al. 2004]

Issue-Based Information System (IBIS)

A way of modeling argumentation; it was invented by Rittel in 1970. See also “wicked problems”

Knowing-in-Action

In Schön’s theory of Reflective Practice, the process of performing tasks in an intuitive, nonreflective manner that involves unselfconscious engagement in the task at hand

Lehman’s laws

Eight laws that describe how software systems evolve

maintenance

Software modifications made to systems after they have been delivered to the customer

metaphor (in software design)

A direct comparison of a software component to a physical object (desk top), a social institution (library), an animate entity (garbage collector), etc. to assist in comprehension and communication. See also “anthropomorphism”

metrics

Measurements of software properties or processes used to evaluate the software and/or the process by which it was developed

model

A formal representation of an aspect of a system. Typical examples are data models that give a static view and process models that give a dynamic view [Chaudron et al. 2004]

model (with concurrent multiple views of RBSE)

Describes logical organization, dynamic behavior, software organization, process decomposition, and physical realization

Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)

In MDA, models are the central elements of the software development process. The main goal is to transform platform-specific models, possibly automatically, into platform-independent models [Kleppe et al. 2003]

model-driven development

Software developed by first building a model of the system and then transforming it into the code

multiscale visualization

Visualizations with qualitatively/structurally distinct levels of zoom

narrative

An informal design rationale representation in which stories or scenarios describe how and why a design decision was reached, or how and why a user experienced a design system

naturalistic decision-making

Decision-making methodology that emphasizes identifying and leveraging the strengths of human decision-making, instead of merely remediating weaknesses and fallacies

nonfunctional requirement (NFR)

Software requirements that describe desirable properties of the software that do not map to specific functionality but instead apply to the system as a whole

ontology

A set of entities, their definitions, and the relationships between them

Open-source software

Software where the source code is freely available for use and modification

open-closed principle

A class that follows the open-closed principle is open to extension and closed to modification

operational environment

The environment in which the software is operating after delivery

Pareto optimality

A solution that cannot be improved further by one criteria without worsening in another

pattern mining

A process of extracting and documenting architecturally significant information from patterns to support the architecture design and evaluation process

perfective maintenance

Changes to improve a software system that are not in response to defects

Personal Software Process (PSP)

A methodology for improving individual software process by collecting and using metrics captured during software development on an individual basis

platform

A set of subsystems and technologies that provide a coherent set of functionality through interfaces and specified usage patterns, which any application supported by that platform can use without concern for the details of how the functionality provided by the platform is implemented [Kleppe et al. 2003]

portability

The property of a system which permits it to be mapped from one environment to a different environment [Randal and Buxton 1970]

post-specification traceability

The ability to trace from a software requirement forward to the code that implements it and the tests that verify it has been implemented

Potts–Bruns rationale approach

A modification of IBIS for use in software design. The crucial innovation of their approach is to include in their schema elements that represented “intermediate artifacts,” i.e., the various models, documents, and prototypes produced during design to represent the software being designed

pre-specification traceability

The ability to trace from a software requirement backward to the customer request that it responds to

preventative maintenance

Changes to a software system to avoid anticipated future problems

problem-based evaluation

Informal design rationale approach in which a set of problem scenarios are used to evaluate a design proposal analytically

Procedural Hierarchy of Issues (PHI)

A refinement of IBIS whose main innovation is to show that frequently a decision on one issue depends on the decisions made on others. PHI models rationale as a quasihierarchical structure of issues linked by dependency relationships

product line

A collection of existing and potential products that address a coherent business area and share a set of similar characteristics. All these products are made by the same process and for the same purpose, and differ only in style, model or size [<http://w3.umh.ac.be/genlog/SE/SE-contents.html>]

program comprehension

The process of understanding the source code of a software system

quality assurance

An approach to ensure that the software product, and the processes used to develop it, conform to the software specification and other required standards and procedures

Questions, Options, and Criteria (QOC)

A rationale approach resembling IBIS but not derived from it. Like IBIS, QOC centers on decision tasks that are represented as *questions*, but unlike IBIS, QOC deals only with “design space” questions, i.e. those that determine features of the designed artifact, rather than the wider range of questions dealt with by IBIS. QOC’s main innovation is the use of criterion-based evaluation in the first level of argumentation of decision alternatives (options)

Rapid Application Development (RAD)

A software development process that makes heavy use of Computer Aided Software Engineering (CASE) tools to build software systems quickly

rationale approach

A way of modeling and using rationale

rationale database

Structured repository of reusable rationales, accessible via type of system, application, scenario, issue, position, argument, etc.

Rationale Based Software Engineering (RBSE)

Research on and use of rationale capture and delivery to support every aspect of software engineering.

rationale capture problem

The difficulty of capturing rationale in a structured form. This is considered by many to be the main impediment to widespread use of rationale approaches in artifact creation in general and software development in particular

rationale management

The capture, representation, retrieval, and use of the reasoning behind decisions made during the system development process

rationale management system

Software tools developed to support rationale management

RATSpeak

Burge’s extension of DRL to make it more suitable for software engineering. RATSpeak introduces new types of elements into its schema and provides an argument ontology tailored to software engineering. These additions enable automated checking and inference making

recognition-primed decision model

Expert decision model in which situations are rapidly classified and addressed as exemplars of known prototypes

re-engineering

Rewriting all or part of an existing software system to improve its quality. This typically refers to a legacy system currently in use that is no longer maintainable.

refactoring

Making modifications to code to correct “bad smells” and to prepare the code for future extension. Refactoring does not add or change functionality

Reflection-in-Action

In Schön’s theory of Reflective Practice, the process of explicitly reflecting on why an intuitive performance of a task *broke down*, i.e., led to unforeseen results

Reflective Practice

Schön’s theory that design and other practical problem solving activities consist of repeated alternation between two processes that he labeled Knowing-in-Action and Reflection-in-Action

regression testing

Repeating earlier tests on a previously tested product to ensure that new modifications have not introduced defects into existing code

requirement

A property that is demanded to be fulfilled by a software system [adapted from Chaudron et al. 2004]

requirements elicitation

Obtaining requirements from various system stakeholders by a variety of techniques including interviews, observation, and prototyping

requirements engineering

The process of eliciting and documenting software requirements to ensure completeness and consistency

requirements traceability

The ability to trace the impact of a requirement on the delivered system in order to ensure that all requirements have been satisfied

reuse

Using existing code when building a new system. This may or may not involve modifying that code

reverse engineering

Using the source code to create the specification and models that describe the system

satisficing

Evaluation metric used in problem solving and decision-making in which the first acceptable solution is adopted. Contrasts with optimization

Scenario Claims Analysis (SCA)

Informal design rationale in which core user interactions afforded by a software system are described by scenarios and implicit design tradeoffs in the scenarios (claims)

semantic inference

Inferences that use the semantics of the items being analyzed. In the case of rationale, it means inferencing over the contents and not just the structure.

Service-oriented development

Systems are built around a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) using loosely coupled distributed services that can be accessed transparently of their platform implementation

situated cognition

Approach to analyzing human thought that regards the actors and objects of social and material contexts as constitutive resources

social capital

A sense of generalized reciprocity within a social group

social loafing

The tendency of people to work less hard when working in the context of others doing the same work

Software Engineering (SE)

The development and maintenance of software by the systematic application of engineering techniques in the software domain [adapted from IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

Software Engineering Institute (SEI)

A federally funded (USA) software engineering research center that conducts software engineering research in a number of areas that include software architecture, software product lines, and software process improvement

Software Engineering Rationale (SER)

SER emphasizes that rationale models are used during all activities of software development, including requirements engineering, architectural design, implementation, testing, and system deployment

Software Process (SP)

A related set of activities and processes that are involved in developing and evolving a software system [Sommerville 2007]

software process improvement

Evaluating and modifying a software development process to achieve a higher level of repeatability, maturity, and performance

solution-first bias

Tendency of designers to rapidly frame a solution to a problem they do not yet fully understand

Spiral model

A software lifecycle model that utilizes iteration where each trip around the spiral involves determining objectives, assessing risk, developing the current phase of the product, and planning the next phase

stakeholder

Anyone who has interest in the success of the software project

syntactic inference

Inference over rationale that looks only at the structure of the argumentation and not at the contents

system

A generic term for a group of interrelated, interdependent or interacting elements serving a collective purpose [Chaudron et al. 2004]

system architecture

The fundamental organization of a system, embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and the environment, and the principles governing its design and evolution [IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

system engineering

The process of developing a system that must fulfill a certain purpose using the systematic application of engineering techniques, and of which software engineering is a part, provided the system has a software subsystem [Chaudron et al. 2004]

team software process

A methodology for working in teams that includes a framework for managing, tracking, and reporting on the team's performance

test case

A software testing document that consists of the input for and expected result of running the test

Test-driven development

A software development methodology where unit tests are written first and then the code is written to pass the test

traceability

The degree to which a relationship can be established between two or more products of the development process [adapted from IEEE Std 610.12-1990]

testing

Executing a piece of software to look for defects

traditional approach to rationale capture

The approach in which rationale is structured according a given rationale schema as it is recorded

Unified Modeling Language (UML)

A standardized specification language for object modeling [<http://www.omg.org/uml>]

Unified Process (UP)

A software development framework utilizing incremental and iterative development. The Unified Process contains four phases: inception, elaboration, construction, and transition

unit testing

Testing the smallest testable pieces of source code

usage-centric rationale approaches

Rationale approaches that deal with rationale derived from the experiences of users as they use artifacts

validation

Ensuring that the software system conforms to its specification

Value-based Software Engineering

A theory of software development where the emphasis is on providing value to all the system stakeholders

verification

Ensures that the software system is fit for its intended use

view

A view is a representation of a whole system from the perspective of a related set of concerns [IEEE Std 1471-2000]

viewpoint

A viewpoint is a specification of the conventions for constructing and using a view. Typical viewpoints are structure, behavior, functionality, security, distribution, performance, usability, usefulness, and reliability [IEEE Std 1471-2000]

V-model

A software development lifecycle model where each development stage is paired with the corresponding verification stage

war-room (in design)

A dedicated design workroom in which analyses and artifacts are pinned to the walls

Waterfall model

A sequential software development model where development flows from one stage to the next

white-box testing

Software testing that is based on information about the structure of the code. Examples would be branch or path testing.

wicked problems

Rittel's theory of problems of artifact creation as fundamentally open-ended and potentially controversial. According to Rittel, such problems cannot be solved using a strictly scientific approach or purely automated methods. Instead, their solution requires methods that support creative human problem solving by means of an "argumentative approach." Wicked problems theory was used to justify Rittel's pioneering work on design rationale

Index

“throw one away”, 69
.NET, 201
“accidental war” scenario, 75
“fake” a rational design process, 74

A

Additive Sum Methods, 96
agile, 262
analysis, 175
Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), 97, 208
anti-models, 73
Apache, 134
applicability, 86
ARCHIE, 238
architectural framework, 242
architecture, 25
architecture decisions, 207
Argument Ontology, 11, 86, 181, 194
argumentation, 100, 217
argumentative approach, 6
arguments, 80
artifact-based indexing, 247
artifact-space analysis, 245
assessment, 175
associative indexing, 238
Assumption-based Truth Maintenance System (ATMS), 193
assumptions, 18, 99, 118, 190, 260
Attribute-Based Architectural Styles (ABAS), 127

B

bad smells, 119, 192
beacons, 194
Beagle maintenance support tool, 196
belief fusion, 98

black-box testing, 178
bottom-up testing, 179
browse-and-query, 247
Bugzilla, 134

C

C++ Standard Template Library, 201
CAD-CAM, 27
Capability Maturity Model (CMM), 39, 136
Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), 136
capture, 55, 223, 244
capture problem, 262
Case-Based Design Aids (CBDAs), 62
Case-Based Reasoning (CBR), 35, 61
case libraries, 64
challenges, 255
champions, 235
change, 18, 258
change analysis, 66, 116
claims, 86
claims repository, 86, 87
classical decision model, 69
code profiling, 192
cohesion, 190
collaboration, 219, 258
Commercial Off-The-Shelf software (COTS), 32, 115, 133, 178, 201, 208
Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA), 201
communication, 253, 259
communities of practice, 75
Compendium, 42, 97, 178, 251
complexity, 70, 255
component, 182, 201
Component-Based Software Engineering (CBSE), 134, 201, 205

Component Object Model (COM), 201
Computer-Aided Design (CAD), 26, 51, 237
Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE), 26, 133
computer supported collaborative work, 26
computer-mediated communication, 253
concept analysis, 194
concept assignment problem, 195
conceptual framework, 213, 256
configuration management, 221
confirmation bias, 68
consistency, 113, 121
consistency checking, 45
consistency management, 113
context, 71
Cooperative Maintenance Conceptual Model (CM²), 197
Cooperative Maintenance Network Centered Hypertextual Environment (COMANCHE), 197
coordination, 219, 258
corrective maintenance, 189
cost-benefit tradeoffs, 70
coupling, 190
CRACK, 51
criteria, 70, 81
CVS, 135

D

deadlock, 70
debug testing, 176
Decision Analysis and Resolution (DAR), 44, 136
decision-making, 15, 215
decision problems, 225
Decision Representation Language (DRL), 6, 49, 94, 122, 216, 245
decision trees, 99
decision-based indexing, 247
decision-centric, 215
decision-centric rationale, 260
decision-making, 67, 118, 178, 244
delivery problem, 262
Dempster-Shaefer, 99
dependency relationship, 233, 265
descriptive, 15, 215, 225
design argumentation, 81
design decision stereotype, 88

design decisions, 83
design features, 100
design history, 85
design metaphors, 56
Design Pattern Rationale Graphs, 204
Design Patterns, 35, 64, 119, 190, 200, 204, 265
Design Rationale (DR), 38, 223, 257
Design Rationale in Value Engineering (DRIVE), 59
Design Recommendation and Intent Model (DRIM), 94
Design Recommendation and Intent Model Extended to Reusability (DRIMER), 191, 204
design solution, 81
design space, 224
Design Space Analysis (DSA), 59, 245
DesignMuse, 62
Dialogue Mapping, 42
differential description, 237, 248
Dijkstra, 176
directed acyclic graph (DAG), 218
documentation, 76, 81
domain-oriented construction kit, 51
Domain-Oriented Issue Bases (DOIBs), 64, 265

E

Eclipse, 29, 54, 89, 134, 238
effort, 62
emergent requirements, 68
empirical evaluation, 101
enhance maintenance, 190
ensemble learning, 98
enthemes, 11
evaluation, 175
evolution, 187
Evolution Matrix, 196
evolutionary, 261
explosive growth, 258
Extreme Programming (XP), 132, 228, 262

F

Fagan Inspections, 177
familiarity bias, 68
Feature location, 195
feedback, 232

Firefox, 134
fixation, 69
formalization, 237
functional specification, 88
future software development, 263

G

generative paradigm, 56
generative rationale, 13
Goal-Centric Traceability (GCT), 117
Government Off-The-Shelf software (GOTS), 201
graphical IBIS (gIBIS), 42, 74, 82, 97, 251

H

HERMES, 96
hierarchical decomposition, 71
human error, 73
human-computer interaction (HCI), 65
hyperdocuments, 64, 245
hypertext, 63

I

iconic models, 54
idealizations, 74
IKIWISI, 229
ilities, 117
impact assessment, 120, 193
inconsistency, 121
incremental, 20, 261
incremental delivery, 131
incremental development, 181
incremental formalization, 237
Indented Text IBIS (itIBIS), 42
indeterminacy, 70
influence diagrams, 99
influence relationships, 233
InfoRat, 95
informal notations, 89
inspection, 175
Integrated Product Development Capability Maturity Model (IPD-CMM), 136
integration testing, 179
integrative architecture, 242
integrative delivery, 247
intent, 129, 182

interaction scenarios, 100
Interactive Development Environments (IDEs), 26, 88
intermediate artifacts, 244
Internet, 255
intrinsic evaluation, 101
intrusiveness, 236
intuitive decisions, 260
Issue-Based Information Systems (IBIS), 5, 25, 42, 49, 74, 79, 94, 215, 245
issues, 80
iteration, 32, 43
iterative approaches, 261
Iterative models, 131
iterative software development, 228

J

JANUS, 35, 51, 88, 251
Java Package Explorer, 89

K

KBDS, 95
Knowing-in-Action, 52
knowledge management, 184
knowledge transfer, 19

L

LaSSIE (Large Software System Information Environment), 194
Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI), 194
Law of Continuing Change, 113
legacy systems, 192
Lehman's laws, 187
linear opinion pool, 98
LINK-UP (Leveraging Integrated Notification Knowledge with Usability Parameters) system, 86
Linux, 134
longevity, 255

M

maintainability, 178
maintenance, 17, 28, 46, 129, 181, 221
maintenance prediction, 193
maintenance recovery, 196
management, 259

managing change, 260
memory aid, 14
mental models, 72
Method for Requirements Authoring and Management (MRAM), 206
metrics, 184, 196
Microelectronics and Computer Technology Corporation (MCC), 49
MicroStation⁹⁵, 57
MIKROPLIS, 50
Model-Based Reasoning (MBR), 61
model dependency descriptors, 120
model-driven development (MDD), 135
Moore's Law, 255
Mozilla, 134
multi-scale data structures, 87

N

narrative, 84
naturalistic decision-making, 67
network presentation of rationale, 81
NFR Framework, 117, 191
non-functional requirement (NFR), 88, 117, 175, 177

O

Open-source software development, 134
open-closed principle, 191
operational testing, 176
operations and maintenance (OEM), 190
options, 81
OTSO method, 208

P

Pareto Optimality, 97
participation, 260
path-based impact analysis, 120
patterns, 203
Personal Software Process (PSP), 137
PHI-based Design Intelligence Augmentation System (PHIDIAS), 35, 50, 251
positions, 80
possibility theory, 99
Potts and Bruns, 8
prescriptive, 15, 214, 225
presentation, 44
problem-based evaluation, 85

Procedural Hierarchy of Issues (PHI), 6, 216, 245
process, 81
process improvement, 188
process patterns, 205
process-oriented, 6, 216
process-oriented approach, 6
PROCSSI, 194
product Line, 201
Product Line Engineering, 206
program change histories, 120
program comprehension, 194, 195
program slicing, 120
project management, 18, 41
project planning, 126
prompted capture, 246
prototype, 33
pseudo-code, 244
Program Visualization (PV), 195

Q

Quality Assurance, 183, 189
quality requirements, 127
questions, 81
Questions, Options, and Criteria (QOC), 6, 49, 81, 94, 198, 215, 245

R

Raison d-Etre project, 85
randomized testing, 181
Rapid Application Development (RAD), 133
rapid prototyping, 133
Rational Software, 132
Rational Unified Process (RUP), 132
rationale, 73
Rationale Construction Framework (RCF), 57
rationale databases, 86
Rationale Management System (RMS), 4, 47, 88, 241
rationale base, 86
Rationale-Based Software Engineering (RBSE), 4, 47
RATSpeak, 6, 29, 49, 61, 86, 95, 114, 216
recognition-primed decision model, 72
redesign, 264
refactoring, 119

reflection, 73
 Reflection-in-Action, 52, 231
 Reflective Practice, 52, 231
 regression test suite, 192
 regression testing, 179, 181
 reliability, 182
 Representation and Maintenance of
 Process Knowledge (REMAP), 88,
 95, 118, 250
 requirements, 220, 266
 requirements elicitation, 127
 requirements traceability, 127, 179
 research challenges, 256
 Resources-based Approach for COTS
 Evaluation and selection (RACE),
 209
 reusable rationale, 253
 Reusable Rationale Blocks (RRBs), 203
 reuse, 19, 47, 199
 re-use of rationale, 264
 reverse engineering, 192
 review, 175
 rich traceability, 46

S

satisficing, 72
 scale, 255
 scenario-based design, 100
 Scenario-Claims Analysis (SCA), 6, 30,
 45, 66, 84, 93, 215, 227, 260
 scenarios, 84
 schema, 225
 SE tools, 257
 SeeSoft visualization technique, 195
 semantic inference, 121
 semiformal notations, 82
 service-oriented development, 135
 SHARED-DRIM, 97
 SHaring and Reusing Architectural
 Knowledge (SHARK), 46
 SIBYL, 99, 122, 251
 situated cognition, 73
 sketches, 244
 SoftGoal Interdependency Graph (SIG),
 117
 Software Architecture Adaptability
 Assistant, 191
 software engineering, 37
 Software Engineering Body of
 Knowledge (SWEBOK), 38, 218

Software Engineering Rationale (SER),
 4, 38, 50, 79, 263
 Software Engineering Using RATIONale
 (SEURAT), 12, 60, 86, 95, 117, 133,
 193
 software integrity, 176
 software lifecycle, 46, 125, 263
 software lifecycle model, 223
 Software Maintenance Expert System
 (SMES), 193
 software product lines, 206
 Software Quality Characteristics Tree,
 180
 software visualization, 195
 solution-first bias, 69
 source code call graph, 120
 spatial hypertext systems, 237
 Spiral Model, 34, 131
 SPUR, 21
 stakeholder, 35, 42, 224
 stand-alone RMS, 257
 standards, 175
 stories, 72
 story-based rationales, 75
 storyboard scenarios, 85
 structured argumentative discourse, 252
 structure-oriented, 216
 structure-oriented approach, 6
 suite of problems, 12
 symbolic models, 54
 system testing, 179
 Systems Engineering Capability Model
 (SECM), 136

T

tactics, 180
 TEAM, 250
 Team Software Process (TSP), 137
 Technical Risk Estimation (TRE) tool,
 120
 Technology Readiness Level (TRL), 208
 terminology, 257
 test case prioritization, 179
 test cases, 183
 test coverage, 177, 181
 Test-Driven Development, 178
 testability, 180
 Test-First Development, 178
 testing, 28, 175, 220
 testing integrity, 183

the task-artifact cycle, 34
Theory-W, 42, 132
threaded discussion, 247
tool, 81
Tool for Requirements Authoring and
Management (TRAM), 206
top-down testing, 179
Toulmin, 94
Tower-of-Babel, 257
traceability, 17, 116
tradeoffs, 84
traditional approaches, 257
Truth Maintenance Systems (TMSs),
100

U

uncertainty, 98
Unified Modeling Language (UML), 88,
135
Unified Software Development Process,
132
Unified Specification of Components
framework, 206
unit testing, 128, 178
unprompted capture, 246
urban planning, 25

usage scenario, 227
usage-centric, 215

V

validation, 128
validity, 86
Value-Based Software Engineering, 100
value-based, 185
verification, 128, 220
Verification and Validation (V&V), 128,
175
V-model, 130

W

war-room, 82
waterfall model, 129
Web Services, 135, 201
Weighted Sum Method (WSM), 96
what-if? reasoning, 72
wicked, 84
wicked problems, 5
win conditions, 132
WinWin, 34, 94, 178, 226, 250
workflows, 84