

References

- Achinstein Peter (1983) *The Nature of Explanation*, New York, Oxford University Press
- Alberts Laurie (2001) 'Causation in Toxic Tort Litigation', *Villanova Environmental Law Journal*, 12: 33-63
- Anderson Barrie, Anderson Dawn (1998) *Manufacturing Guilt: Wrongful Convictions in Canada*, Halifax, Fernwood Publishing
- Anderson Terence J (1999) 'On Generalizations I: A Preliminary Exploration', *South Texas Law Review*, 40: 455-481
- Anderson Terence, Twining William (1991) *Analysis of Evidence: How to do Things with Facts Based on Wigmore's Science of Judicial Proof*, Boston, Little Brown & Co
- Aristotle (1928) *On Sophistical Refutations*, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press
- Aristotle (1937) *Rhetoric*, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press
- Aristotle (1938) *Prior Analytics*, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press
- Aristotle (1939) *Topics*, trans Forster ES, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press
- Ashley Kevin D, Rissland Edwina L (2003) 'Law, Learning and Representation', *Artificial Intelligence*, 150: 17-58
- Ball Vaughn C (1980) 'The Myth of Conditional Relevancy', *Georgia Law Review*, 14, 435-469
- Bench-Capon Trevor (1997) 'Argument in Artificial Intelligence and Law', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 5, 249-261
- Bench-Capon Trevor (1998) 'Specification and Implementation of Toulmin Dialogue Game', *Jurix 1998: The Eleventh Conference*, ed Jaap Hage et al., Nijmegen, Gerard Noodt Instituut, 5-20
- Bench-Capon Trevor (2002) 'Agreeing to Differ: Modelling Persuasive Dialogue between Parties with Different Values', *Informal Logic*, 22: 231-245 Version available (2003) on the web page of the Department of Computer Science at the University of Liverpool: <http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~tbc/projects/ifl.pdf>
- Bench-Capon Trevor, Sartor Giovanni (2003) 'A Model of Legal Reasoning with Cases Incorporating Theories and Values', *Artificial Intelligence*, 150, 97-143
- Bex Floris, Prakken Henry (2004) 'Reinterpreting Arguments in Dialogue: An Application to Evidential Reasoning', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*, ed Thomas F Gordon, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 119-130
- Bex Floris, Prakken Henry, Reed Chris, Walton Douglas (2003) 'Towards a Formal Account of Reasoning about Evidence: Argumentation Schemes and Generalizations', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 12, 125-165
- Bibel Wolfgang (2004) 'AI and the Conquest of Complexity in Law', Invited Lecture, Seventeenth Annual Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems, available on the web site of the JURIX 2004 Conference: <http://gi-fg612.fokus.fhg.de/Jurix2004/>
- Boutlier C, Becher V (1995) 'Abduction as Belief Revision', *Artificial Intelligence*, 77, 43-94
- Branting L Carl (2000) *Reasoning with Rules and Precedents: A Computational Model of Legal Analysis*, Dordrecht, Kluwer

- Bromberger Sylvain (1966) 'Why-Questions', *Mind and Cosmos*, ed Robert G Colodny, Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh Press, 86-111
- Burke Michael (1985) 'Unstated Premises', *Informal Logic*, 7, 107-118
- Burnyeat Myles F (1994) 'Enthymeme: Aristotle on the Logic of Persuasion', *Aristotle's Rhetoric: Philosophical Essays*, ed David J Furley and Alexander Nehemas, Princeton, N J, Princeton University Press, 3-55
- Callen Craig R (2003) 'Rationality and Relevancy: Conditional Relevancy and Constrained Resources', *Michigan State University Law Review*, 4, 1243-1303
- Campbell Stephen K (1974) *Flaws and Fallacies in Statistical Thinking*, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall
- Carberry Sandra (1990) *Plan Recognition in Natural Language Dialogue*, Cambridge, Mass, MIT Press
- Cawsey Alison (1992) *Explanation and Interaction: The Computer Generation of Explanatory Dialogue*, Cambridge, Mass, The MIT Press
- Chakrabarti Kisor Kumar (1995) *Definition and Induction: A Historical and Comparative Study*, Honolulu, University of Hawaii Press
- Chandrasekaran B (1986) 'Generic Tasks in Knowledge Based Reasoning', *IEEE Expert*, 1, 23-30
- Chorley Alison, Bench-Capon Trevor (2004) 'AGATHA: Automation of the Construction of Theories in Case Law Domains', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*, ed Thomas F Gordon, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 89-98
- Coffa J Alberto (1974) "Hempel's Ambiguity", *Synthese*, 28, pp 141 - 163
- Collingwood Robin G (1946) *The Idea of History*, Oxford, Clarendon Press
- Collingwood Robin G (1939) *An Autobiography*, Oxford, Oxford University Press
- Collins Allan, Warnock Eleanor H, Aiello Nelleke, Miller Mark L (1975) 'Reasoning from Incomplete Knowledge', *Representation and Understanding: Studies in Cognitive Science*, ed Daniel G Bobrow and Allan Collins, New York, Academic Press, 383-415
- Copi Irving M (1982) *Introduction to Logic*, 6th ed, New York, Macmillan
- Copi Irving M, Cohen Carl (1994) *Introduction to Logic*, 9th ed, New York, Macmillan
- Dieks Dennis, de Regt Henk W (1998) 'Reduction and Understanding', *Foundations of Science*, 1, 45-59
- Doyle J (1979) 'A Truth Maintenance System', *Artificial Intelligence*, 12, 231-272
- Doyle J (1992) 'Reason Maintenance and Belief Revision', *Belief Revision*, ed Peter Gardenfors, Cambridge, Mass, MIT Press, 29-51
- Dray William (1964) *Philosophy of History*, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall
- Dray William (1995) *History as Re-enactment: R G Collingwood's Idea of History*, Oxford, Oxford University Press
- Dung P (1995) 'On the Acceptability of Arguments and its Fundamental Role in Non-monotonic Reasoning, Logic Programming and *n*-person Games', *Artificial Intelligence*, 77, 321-357
- Ennis Robert H (1982), 'Identifying Implicit Assumptions', *Synthese*, 51, 61-86
- Farley Arthur M, Freeman Kathleen (1995) 'Burden of Proof in Legal Argumentation', *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, New York, ACM Press
- Faye Jan (1999) 'Explanation Explained', *Synthese*, 120, 61-75
- Federal Rules of Evidence*, Federal Rulemaking – Rules in Effect (2002) The latest versions of the federal rules of evidence as well as other rules can be found on the following web page: <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/newrules4.html>
- Feteris Eveline T (1999) *Fundamentals of Legal Argumentation: A Survey of Theories of the Justification of Legal Decisions*, Dordrecht, Kluwer

- Finocchiaro Maurice (1980) 'Scientific Discoveries as Growth of Understanding: The Case of Newton's Gravitation', *Scientific Discovery, Logic, and Rationality*, ed Thomas Nickles, Dordrecht, Reidel, 235-255
- Finocchiaro Maurice (1980a) *Galileo and the Art of Reasoning*, Dordrecht, Reidel
- Frank Jerome (1963) *Courts on Trial*, New York, Atheneum
- Freeman James B (1991) *Dialectics and the Macrostructure of Arguments*, Berlin, Foris
- Freeman James B (1995) 'The Appeal to Popularity and Presumption by Common Knowledge', *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, ed Hans V Hansen and Robert C Pinto, University Park, The Pennsylvania State University Press, 265-273
- Friedman Michael (1974) 'Explanation and Scientific Understanding', *The Journal of Philosophy*, 71, 5-19
- Friedman Michael (1988) 'Explanation and Scientific Understanding', *Theories of Explanation*, ed J C Pitt, New York, Oxford University Press, 188-198
- Friedman Richard D (1986) 'A Diagrammatic Approach to Evidence', *Boston University Law Review*, 66, 571-622
- Friedman Richard D (1994) 'Conditional Probative Value: Neoclassicism Without Myth', *Michigan Law Review*, 93, 439-460
- Gardenfors Peter (1988) *Knowledge in Flux*, Cambridge, Mass, MIT Press
- Gelfond Michael, Przymusinska Halina (1990) 'Formalization of Inheritance Reasoning in Autoepistemic Logic', *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 13, 403-443
- Gilbert Geoffrey (1788) *The Law of Evidence*, 5th ed, Philadelphia, John Cruikshank
- Goldman Alvin I (1970) *A Theory of Human Action*, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall
- Goodwin Jean (2000) 'Wigmore's Chart Method', *Informal Logic*, 20, 2000, 223-243
- Gordon Robert M (1986) 'Folk Psychology as Simulation', *Mind and Language*, 1, 158-171
- Gordon Thomas F (1996) 'Computational Dialectics', available at the web page: <http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon1996a.pdf>
- Gordon Thomas F (1995) *The Pleadings Game: An Artificial Intelligence Model of Procedural Justice*, Dordrecht, Kluwer
- Gordon Thomas F (1996) 'Computational Dialectics', *Computers as Assistants – A New Generation of Support Systems*, ed P Hoschka, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum, 108-123
- Gordon Thomas F, Karacapilidis Nikos (1997) 'The Zeno Argumentation Framework', *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Melbourne, Australia, 10-18
- Gough James, Tindale Christopher (1985) 'Hidden or Missing Premises', *Informal Logic*, 7, 99-106
- Grasso Floriana (2002) 'Towards Computational Rhetoric', *Informal Logic*, 22, 195-230
- Grennan Wayne (1997) *Informal Logic: Issues and Techniques*, Montreal, McGill-Queen's University Press
- Grice H Paul (1975) 'Logic and Conversation', *The Logic of Grammar*, ed Donald Davidson and Gilbert Harman, Encino, California, Dickenson, 64-75
- Guthrie WKC (1981) *A History of Greek Philosophy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- Hage Jaap (2000) 'Dialectical Models in Artificial Intelligence and Law', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 8, 137-172
- Hage Jaap (1997) *Reasoning With Rules: An Essay on Legal Reasoning and Its Underlying Logic*, Dordrecht, Kluwer
- Halonon Ilpo, Hintikka Jaakko (1997) 'Unification – It's Magnificent But Is It Explanation?', *Synthese*, 120, 27-47
- Hamblin Charles L (1970) *Fallacies*, London, Methuen

- Hamblin, Charles L (1971) 'Mathematical Models of Dialogue', *Theoria*, 37, 130-155
- Hansen Hans V, Pinto Robert C (1995) *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, University Park, The Pennsylvania State University Press
- Hart HLA, Honore AM (1962) *Causation in the Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press
- Hastings Arthur C (1963) *A Reformulation of the Modes of Reasoning in Argumentation*, Evanston, Illinois, Ph D Dissertation
- Hempel Carl G (1965) *Aspects of Scientific Explanation*, New York, The Free Press
- Hintikka Jaakko (1995) 'The Games of Logic and the Games of Inquiry', *Dialectica*, 49, 229-249
- Hitchcock David (1985) 'Enthymematic Arguments', *Informal Logic*, 7, 83-97
- Hitchcock David (2004) 'Toulmin's Warrants',: available on David Hitchcock's personal web page: <http://www.humanities.mcmaster.ca/~hitchcock/Toulminwarrants.pdf>
- Hitchcock David, McBurney Peter, Parsons Simon (2001) 'A Framework for Deliberation Dialogues', *Argument and Its Applications: Proceedings of the Fourth Biennial Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, eds H V Hansen, CW Tindale, JA Blair and RH Johnson, compact disk. Also available on Peter McBurney's web page at the University of Liverpool, Department of Computer Science: <http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~peter/>
- Hohmann Hanns (1989) 'The Dynamics of Stasis: Classical Rhetorical Theory and Modern Legal Argumentation', *American Journal of Jurisprudence*, 34, 171-197
- Hohmann Hanns (1990) 'The Nature of the Common Law and the Comparative Study of Legal Reasoning', *American Journal of Comparative Law*, 38, 143-170
- Hohmann Hanns (2001) 'Stasis', *Encyclopedia of Rhetoric*, ed Thomas O Sloane, New York, Oxford University Press, 741-745
- Horacek Helmut (1997) 'A Model for Adapting Explanations to the User's Likely Inferences', *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 7, 1-55
- Huber Peter W (1991) *Galileo's Revenge: Junk Science in the Courtroom*, New York, Basic Books
- Hurley Patrick (1991) *A Concise Introduction to Logic*, 7th ed , Belmont, California, Wadsworth
- Hurley Patrick (2000) *A Concise Introduction to Logic*, 7th ed , Belmont, California, Wadsworth
- Hurley Patrick (2003) *A Concise Introduction to Logic*, 8th ed , Belmont, California, Wadsworth
- Jacobs Scott (1989) 'Speech Acts and Arguments', *Argumentation*, 3, 345-365
- Johnson Ralph H (2002) *Manifest Rationality: A Pragmatic Theory of Argument*, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum
- Josephson John R, Josephson Susan G (1994) *Abductive Inference: Computation, Philosophy, Technology*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- Keppens Jeroen, Zeleznikow John (2002) 'On the Role of Model-based Reasoning in Decision Support in Crime Investigation', *Report of the Centre for Forensic Statistics and Legal Reasoning*, Edinburgh, University of Edinburgh
- Kienpointner Manfred (1992) *Alltagslogik: Struktur und Funktion von Argumentationsmustern*, Stuttgart, Fromman-Holzboog
- Kienpointner Manfred (1997) 'On the Art of Finding Arguments: What Ancient and Modern Masters of Invention Have to Tell Us About the *Ars Inveniendi*', *Argumentation* 11, 225-236
- Kienpointner Manfred (2003) 'Perelman on Causal Arguments: The Argument of Waste', 5th ISSA Conference on Argumentation, *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, ed Frans H van Eemeren,

- J Anthony Blair, Charles A Willard and Francisca Snoek Henkemans, Amsterdam, Sic Sat, 611-616
- Kitcher Philip (1989) 'Explanatory Unification and the Causal Structure of the World', *Scientific Explanation: Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, vol 13, ed Philip Kitcher and Wesley Salmon, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press, 410-505
- Krabbe Erik CW (1995) 'Appeal to Ignorance', *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, ed Hans V Hansen and Robert C Pinto, University Park, The Pennsylvania State University Press, 251-264
- Krabbe Erik CW (1996) 'Can We Ever Pin One Down to a Formal Fallacy?', *Logic and Argumentation*, ed Johan van Benthem, Frans H van Eemeren and Frank Veltman, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 129-141
- Krabbe Erik CW (1999) 'Profiles of Dialogue', *JFAK: Essays Dedicated to Johan van Benthem on the Occasion of his 50th Birthday*, ed Jelle Gerbrandy, Maarten Marx, Maarten de Rijke and Yde Venema, Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Press, 25-36
- Krabbe Erik CW (2001) 'The Problem of Retraction in Critical Discussion', *Synthese*, 127, 141-159
- Leenes Ronald E (2001) 'Burden of Proof in Dialogue Games and Dutch Civil Procedure', *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, St Louis, Missouri, ACM Press, 109-118
- Lester J, Porter B (1997) 'Developing and Empirically Evaluating Robust Explanation Generators: The KNIGHT Experiments', *Computational Linguistics*, 2, 65-101
- Lodder Arno R (1999) *Dialaw: On Legal Justification and Dialogical Models of Argumentation*, Dordrecht, Kluwer
- Lodder Arno R (2000) 'Book Review of Gordon (1995)', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 8, 255-264
- Loftus Elisabeth (1979) *Eyewitness Testimony*, Cambridge, Mass , Harvard University Press
- Loui Ronald P (1998) 'Process and Policy: Resource-Bounded Nondemonstrative Reasoning', *Computational Intelligence*, 14, 1-38
- Loui Ronald P, GordonThomas F (1994), Call for papers for 1994 AAAI Seattle Workshop on Computational Dialectics <http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~loui/comectics.text>
- Mackenzie Jim (1981) 'The Dialectics of Logic', *Logique et Analyse*, 94, 159-177
- Mackenzie Jim (1990) 'Four Dialogue Systems', *Studia Logica*, 49, 567-583
- Mackie John L (1965) 'Causes and Conditions', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 2, 245-264
- Mates Benson (1996) *The Skeptic Way: Sextus Empiricus's Outlines of Pyrrhonism*, Oxford, Oxford University Press
- Mayfield James (2000) 'Evaluating Plan Recognition Systems: Three Properties of a Good Explanation', *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 14, 351-376
- McBurney Peter, Parsons Simon (2002) 'Dialogue Games in Multi-Agents Systems', *Informal Logic*, 22, 257-274
- Michael Jerome, Adler Mortimer (1934) 'The Trial of an Issue of Fact: I', *Columbia Law Review*, 34, 1224-1306
- Michael Jerome, Adler Mortimer (1934), 'The Trial of an Issue of Fact: II', *Columbia Law Review*, 34, 1462-1493
- Moore Johanna D (1991) 'A Reacting Approach to Explanation: Taking the User's Feedback into Account', *Natural Language Generation in Artificial Intelligence and Computational Linguistics*, ed CL Paris, WR Swartout and WC Mann, Dordrecht, Kluwer, 3-48
- Moore Johanna D (1995) *Participating in Explanatory Dialogues*, Cambridge, Mass , MIT Press

- Moore Robert C (1985) 'Semantical Considerations on Nonmonotonic Logic', *Artificial Intelligence*, 25, 75-94
- Morgan Edmund L (1929) 'Functions of Judge and Jury in the Determination of Preliminary Questions of Fact', *Harvard Law Review*, 43, 1929, 165-191
- Moulin B, Irandoust H, Belanger M, Desbordes G (2002) 'Explanation and Argumentation Capabilities', *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 17, 169-222
- Nance Dale A (1995) 'Conditional Probative Value and the Reconstruction of the Federal Rules of Evidence', *Michigan Law Review*, 94, 419-456
- Nissan Ephraim (2001) 'The Bayesianism Debate in Legal Scholarship', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 9, 199-214
- Nuchelmans Gabriel (1993) 'On the Fourfold Root of the *Argumentum Ad Hominem*', *Empirical Logic and Public Debate*, ed Erik CW Krabbe, Renee Jose Dalitz and Pier A Smit, Amsterdam, Rodopi, 37-47
- Palmer Andrew (2003) *Proof and the Preparation of Trials*, Sydney, Australia, Lawbook Co
- Park Roger C (1998) 'Character at the Crossroads', *Hastings Law Journal*, 49, 749-754
- Park Roger C, Leonard David P, Goldberg Steven H (1998) *Evidence Law*, St Paul, Minnesota West Group,
- Pearl Judea (1991) 'Probabilistic Semantics for Nonmonotonic Reasoning', *Philosophy and AI: Essays on the Interface*, ed Robert Cummins and John Pollock, Cambridge, Mass , The MIT Press, 157-187
- Pearl Judea (2000) *Causality: Models, Reasoning and Inference*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- Peirce Charles S (1965) *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, vol V, 'Pragmatism and Pragmaticism', ed Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss, Cambridge, Mass , Harvard University Press
- Peirce Charles S (1965II) *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, vol II, 'Elements of Logic', ed Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss, Cambridge, Mass , Harvard University Press
- Peirce Charles S (1992) *Reasoning and the Logic of Things*, ed Kenneth Laine Kettner, Cambridge, Mass , Harvard University Press
- Peng Yun, Reggia James A (1990) *Abductive Inference Models for Diagnostic Problem-Solving*, New York, Springer-Verlag
- Perelman Chaim, Olbrechts-Tyteca Lucie (1971) *The New Rhetoric: A Treatise on Argumentation*, trans J Wilkinson and P Weaver, 2nd ed , Notre Dame, University of Notre Dame Press, (First published, as *La Nouvelle Rhétorique*, in 1958)
- Pollock John L (1987) 'Defeasible Reasoning', *Cognitive Science*, 11, 481-518
- Pollock John L (1995) *Cognitive Carpentry*, Cambridge, Mass , The MIT Press
- Prakken Henry (1991) 'On Formalizing Burden of Proof in Legal Argument', *Legal Knowledge-Based Systems: JURIX 99, the Twelfth Conference*, Nijmegen, Gerard Noodt Instituut, 85-97
- Prakken Henry (1997) *Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument*, Dordrecht, Kluwer
- Prakken Henry 'Relating Protocols for Dynamic Dispute with Logics for Defeasible Argumentation', *Synthese*, 127, 187-219
- Prakken Henry (2001) 'Modelling Defeasibility in Law: Logic or Procedure?', *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 20, 1-20
- Prakken Henry (2002) 'Incomplete Arguments in Legal Discourse: a Case Study', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems* ed TJM Bench-Capon, A Daskalopulu and R Winkels *JURIX 2002: The Fifteenth Annual Conference*, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 93-102

- Prakken Henry (2003) 'Perelman Formalized? On Reconciling the Ideals of Logical Validity and Persuasiveness', *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, ed Frans H van Eemeren, J Anthony Blair, Charles A Willard and Francisca Snoek Henkemans, Amsterdam, Sic Sat, 857-860
- Prakken Henry, Reed Chris, Walton Douglas (2003) 'Argumentation Schemes and Generalisations in Reasoning about Evidence', *Proceedings of the Conference: The 9th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, University of Edinburgh, New York, Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 32-41
- Prakken Henry, Reed Chris, Walton Douglas (2005) 'Dialogues about the Burden of Proof', unpublished paper
- Prakken Henry, Renooij Silja (2001) 'Reconstructing Causal Reasoning about Evidence: A Case Study', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*, ed Bart Verheij, Arno R Lodder, Ronald P Loui and A Muntjewerjj, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 131-142
- Prakken Henry, Sartor Giovanni (1996) 'A Dialectical Model of Assessing Conflicting Arguments in Legal Reasoning', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 4, 331-368
- Prakken Henry, Sartor Giovanni (1997) 'Argument-based Extended Logic Programming with Defeasible Priorities', *Journal of Applied Non-classical Logics*, 7, 25-75
- Prakken Henry Sartor Giovanni (2003) 'The Three Faces of Defeasibility in the Law', *Ratio Juris*, 16, 495-516
- Preyer Gerhard, Mans Dieter (1999) 'On Contemporary Developments in the Theory of Argumentation', *Protosociology*, 13, 3-13
- Reed Chris (1998) 'Dialogue Frames in Agent Communication', *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Multi-Agent Systems*, ed Y Demazeau, IEEE Press, 246-253
- Reed Chris, Rowe Glenn (2002) 'Araucaria Software for Puzzles in Argument Diagramming and XML', *Technical Report*, Department of Applied Computing, University of Dundee Available at <http://www.computing.dundee.ac.uk/staff/creed/araucaria/>
- Reed Chris, Rowe Glenn (2003) *Araucaria, Version 2, User Manual*, Available at <http://www.computing.dundee.ac.uk/staff/creed/araucaria/>
- Reed Chris, Norman Timothy J 2003 *Argumentation Machines: New Frontiers in Argument and Computation*, Dordrecht, Kluwer
- Reed Chris, Walton Doug (2005) 'Towards a Formal and Implemented Model of Argumentation Schemes in Agent Communication', *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, to appear
- Reiter Raymond (1980) 'A Logic for Default Reasoning', *Artificial Intelligence*, 13, 81-132
- Reiter Raymond (1987) 'Nonmonotonic Reasoning', *Annual Review of Computer Science*, 2, 147-186
- Renon Luis Vega (1998) 'Aristotle's *Endoxa* and Plausible Argumentation', *Argumentation*, 12, 95-113
- Rissland Edwina L (1990, 1957-1980) 'Artificial Intelligence and Law: Stepping Stones to a Model of Legal Reasoning', *Yale Law Journal*, 99
- Rissland Edwina L, Ashley Kevin D, Loui RP (2003) 'AI and Law: A Fruitful Synergy', *Artificial Intelligence*, 150, 1-15
- Rescher Nicholas (1976) *Plausible Reasoning*, Assen, Van Gorcum
- Robinson Richard (1953) *Plato's Earlier Dialectic*, 2nd ed, Oxford, Clarendon Press
- Roth Bram, Verheij Bart (2004) 'Dialectical Arguments and Case Comparison', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*, ed Thomas F Gordon, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 99-108
- Roth Bram, Verheij Bart (1995) 'Cases and Dialectical Arguments: An Approach to Case-Based Reasoning', *WORM'04: The Second International Workshop on Regulatory On-*

- tologies, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 3292, ed R Meersman, Z Tari and A Corsaro, Heidelberg, Springer, 634-651
- Russell Stuart J, Norvig Peter (2004) *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*, Upper Saddle River, Prentice Hall
- Salmon Wesley C (1970) 'Statistical Explanation', *The Nature and Function of Scientific Theories*, ed Robert G Colodny, Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh Press, 173-231
- Salmon Wesley C (1989) 'Four Decades of Scientific Explanation', *Scientific Explanation, Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, vol 13, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press, 3-219
- Salmon Wesley C (1992) 'Scientific Explanation', *Introduction to the Philosophy of Science*, ed Merrilee H Salmon et al, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, 7-41
- Salmon Wesley C (1998) 'The Importance of Scientific Understanding', *Causality and Explanation*, ed Wesley Salmon, New York, Oxford University Press, 79-91
- Saunders Kevin W (1993) 'Informal Fallacies in Legal Argumentation', *South Carolina Law Review*, 44, 343-382
- Schank Roger C (1986) *Explanation Patterns: Understanding Mechanically and Creatively*, Hillsdale, New Jersey, Erlbaum
- Schank Roger C, Abelson Robert P (1977) *Scripts, Plans, Goals and Understanding*, Hillsdale, NJ Erlbaum
- Schum David A (1994) *Evidential Foundations of Probabilistic Reasoning*, New York, John Wiley and Sons
- Schurz Gerhard (1999) 'Explanation as Unification', *Synthese*, 95-114
- Scott AC, Clancey WJ, Davis R, Shortliffe EH (1977) 'Explanation Capabilities of Knowledge-Based Production Systems', *Rule-Based Expert Systems*, ed BG Buchanan and EH Shortliffe, Addison Wesley, 338-362
- Scriven Michael (1962) 'Explanations, Predictions and Laws', *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, vol 3, ed H Feigl and G Maxwell, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press, 171-174
- Scriven Michael (1964) 'Critical Study of *The Structure of Science*', *Review of Metaphysics*, 17, 403-424
- Scriven Michael (1976) *Reasoning*, New York, McGraw-Hill
- Segal Martin E (2004) 'In Inheritance Case, Try Shifting Burden of Proof', *Ask Doctor Law, The Miami Herald*, December 13, 8
- Sextus Empiricus (1933) *Against the Logicians (AL)*, trans RG Bury, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass, Harvard University Press
- Sidgwick Alfred (1883) *Fallacies: A View of Logic from the Practical Side*, London, Kegan Paul, Trench and Company
- Sidgwick Alfred (1893) *The Process of Argument*, London, Adam and Charles Black
- Singh Munindar P (2000) 'A Social Semantics for Agent Communication Languages', *Issues in Agent Communication*, ed Frank Dignum and Mark Greaves, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 31-45
- Singh Munindar P (1998) 'Agent Communication Languages: Rethinking the Principles', *Computer*, 31, 425-445
- Snoeck Henkemans A F (1992) *Analyzing Complex Argumentation: The Reconstruction of Multiple and Coordinatively Compound Argumentation in a Critical Discussion*, Amsterdam, SICSAT
- Snoeck Henkemans A Francisca (2001) 'Argumentation Structures', *Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory*, ed Frans H van Eemeren, Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Press, 101-134

- Sterling TD, Rosenbaum WL, Weinkam JJ (1995) 'Publication Decisions Revisited: The Effect of the Outcome of Statistical Tests on the Decision to Publish and Vice Versa', *The American Statistician*, 49, 108-112
- Stevenson Charles L (1944) *Ethics and Language*, New Haven, Yale University Press
- Strong John W (ed) (1992) *McCormick on Evidence*, 4th ed, St Paul, Minn, West Publishing Co
- Thayer James Bradley (1889) *A Preliminary Treatise on Evidence at the Common Law*, New York, Little Brown & Co
- Thayer James Bradley (1889) 'Presumptions and the Law of Evidence', *Harvard Law Review*, 3, 141-166
- Thomas Stephen N (1981) *Practical Reasoning in Natural Language*, 2nd ed, Englewood Cliffs Prentice-Hall
- Tillers Peter (1994) 'Exaggerated and Misleading Reports of the Death of Conditional Relevance', *Michigan Law Review*, 93, 478-484
- Toulmin Stephen (1958) *The Uses of Argument*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- Trout JD (2002) 'Scientific Explanation and the Sense of Understanding', *Philosophy of Science*, 69, 212-233
- Twining William (1985) *Theories of Evidence: Bentham and Wigmore*, London, Weidenfeld and Nicolson
- Twining William (1999) 'Narrative and Generalizations in Argumentation About Questions of Fact', *South Texas Law Review*, 40, 351-365
- Twining William, Miers David (1976) *How To Do Things With Rules*, London, Weidenfeld and Nicolson
- van Eemeren Frans H, Grootendorst Rob (1984) *Speech Acts in Communicative Discussions*, Dordrecht, Foris
- van Eemeren Frans H, Grootendorst Rob (1987) 'Fallacies in Pragma-Dialectical Perspective', *Argumentation*, 1, 283-301
- van Eemeren Frans H, Grootendorst Rob (1992) *Argumentation, Communication and Fallacies*, Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum
- van Eemeren Frans H, Kruijer Tjark (1987) 'Identifying Argumentation Schemes', *Argumentation: Perspectives and Approaches*, ed Frans H van Eemeren et al, Dordrecht, Foris, 70- 81
- van Fraassen Bas C (1980) *The Scientific Image*, Oxford, Clarendon Press
- van Fraassen Bas C (1993) 'The Pragmatics of Explanation', *Explanation*, ed David-Hillel Ruben, Oxford, Oxford University press, 275-309
- Verheij Bart (1996) *Rules, Reasons, Arguments: Formal Studies of Argumentation and De-feat*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Maastricht
- Verheij Bart (2000) 'Dialectical Argumentation as a Heuristic for Courtroom Decision Making', web page of Bart Verheij, Department of Metajuridica, Universiteit Maastricht
- Verheij Bart (2000) 'Logic, Context and Valid Inference Or: Can There be a Logic of Law', available on the following web page: www.ai.rug.nl/~verheij/
- Verheij Bart (2003) 'Dialectical Argumentation with Argumentation Schemes: An Approach to Legal Logic', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 11, 167-195
- Verheij Bart (2005) *Virtual Arguments: On the Design of Argument Assistants for Lawyers and Other Arguers*, The Hague, TMC Asser Press
- von Wright Georg Henrik (1971) *Explanation and Understanding*, Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press
- Wagenaar, Willem A, van Koppen Peter J, Crombag Hans FM (1993) *Anchored Narratives: The Psychology of Criminal Evidence*, Hertfordshire, Harvester Wheatsheaf
- Walton Douglas N (1984) *Logical Dialogue-Games and Fallacies*, Lanham, Maryland, University Press of America

- Walton Douglas (1989) *Informal Logic*, New York, Cambridge University Press
- Walton Douglas (1990) 'Ignoring Qualifications (*Secundum Quid*) as a Subfallacy of Hasty Generalization', *Logique et Analyse*, 129-130, 113-154
- Walton Douglas (1992) 'Nonfallacious Arguments from Ignorance', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol 29, 381-387
- Walton Douglas (1995) *A Pragmatic Theory of Fallacy*, The University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa and London
- Walton Douglas (1996) *Arguments from Ignorance*, University Park, Pennsylvania, Penn State Press
- Walton Douglas (1996) *Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning*, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum
- Walton Douglas (1997) *Appeal to Expert Opinion*, University Park, Pennsylvania, Penn State Press
- Walton Douglas (1998) *The New Dialectic*, Toronto, University of Toronto Press
- Walton Douglas (1998a) *Ad Hominem Arguments*, Tuscaloosa, University of Alabama Press
- Walton Douglas (1999) 'Rethinking the Fallacy of Hasty Generalization', *Argumentation*, 13, 161-182
- Walton Douglas (1999) 'Dialectical Relevance in Persuasion Dialogue', *Informal Logic*, 19: 119-143 Available at www.uwinnipeg.ca/~walton
- Walton Douglas (1999) *One-Sided Arguments: A Dialectical Analysis of Bias*, Albany, State University of New York Press
- Walton Douglas (2001) 'Abductive, Presumptive and Plausible Arguments', *Informal Logic*, 21, 141-169
- Walton Douglas (2002) *Legal Argumentation and Evidence*, University Park, Pennsylvania, The Pennsylvania State University Press
- Walton Douglas (2003) 'Argumentation Schemes: The Basis of Conditional Relevance', *Michigan State University Law Review*, 4, 1205-1242
- Walton Douglas (2004) 'A New Dialectical Theory of Explanation', *Philosophical Explorations*, 7, 71-89
- Walton Douglas (2004a) *Abductive Reasoning*, Tuscaloosa, University of Alabama Press
- Walton Douglas (2004) *Relevance in Argumentation*, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum
- Walton Douglas, Krabbe Erik CW (1995) *Commitment in Dialogue: Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning*, Albany, State University of New York Press
- Walton Douglas, Reed Chris (2003) 'Diagramming, Argumentation Schemes and Critical Questions', *Anyone Who Has a View: Theoretical Contributions to the Study of Argumentation*, ed Frans H van Eemeren, J Anthony Blair, Charles A Willard, and A Francisca Snoek Henkemans, Dordrecht, Kluwer, pp 195-211
- Walton Douglas, Reed Chris (2004) 'Enthymemes and Argumentation Schemes', *Synthese*, to appear
- Weber Erik, van Dyck Maarten (2002) 'Unification and Explanation', *Synthese*, 131, 145-154
- Whatley Richard (1836) *Elements of Logic*, 6th ed, London, B Fellowes
- Wick MR, Thompson WB (1992) 'Reconstructive Expert System Explanation', *Artificial Intelligence*, 54, 33-70
- Wigmore John H (1913) *The Principles of Judicial Proof*, Boston, Little, Brown and Company (second edition, 1931)
- Wigmore John H (1935) *A Student's Textbook of the Law of Evidence*, Chicago, The Foundation Press
- Wigmore John H (1940) *A Treatise on the Anglo-American System of Evidence in Trials at Common Law*, 3rd ed, vol 1, Boston, Little, Brown and Company

- Wigmore John H (1983) *Evidence in Trials at Common Law*, vol 1a, ed Peter Tillers, Boston, Little, Brown and Company
- Woods John (1999) 'Peirce's Abductive Enthusiasms', *Protosociology*, 13: 117-125
- Wooldridge Michael (2002) *Reasoning About Rational Agents*, Cambridge Mass, The MIT Press
- Wright Richard W (1985) 'Causation in Tort Law', *California Law Review*, 73, 1735-1828

Index

- abduction 103-105 160-61 176
 - consistency-based 165
 - machines 200
- abstraction argument 9 10 58 104 163 169 234
 - critical questions 106
 - scheme 105 237
- abstraction 38 42 68
 - ladder of 68 70
 - tree of 6816
- ad baculum* fallacy 126
- Achinstein Peter 204
- ad hominem argument* 27 117 139 167 234
 - circumstantial 155
 - ethotic 11
- Adler Mortimer 119
- advocacy 93 150 151
- affidavit argument 90 91
- affirming the consequent 238
- AGATHA system 145
- agent 12 26
 - characteristics of 167 169 174
 - commitments 29 138 195
 - defined 173 174
 - goals of 175 178 181 195
 - knowledge 202-203
 - multi-agent 93 164 173 174 195 203
 - purpose 204
 - qualifications of 202-203
 - rational 56 195
 - self-defence 147
- airline flight schedule example 97
- airport example 102
- anchored narrative 157 209 212
- Anderson Terrence J 9 36 37 42-44 48 150 230 231 233
- appeal to expert opinion 12 29 43-46 66 78
 - critical questions for 12
 - fallibility 113
 - scheme for 12 45
- appeal to witness testimony 8 43 45-46 53 57 74 228-229
 - critical questions for 13 45-46
 - importance in law 75
 - modus ponens* 47
 - scheme for 13 150
 - warrant for 47
- Araucaria* 51-53 71 227 229
 - chain of reasoning represented 187
 - diagram 122 134 190-91 219 224
 - dialectical 196
 - explanation analysis 192
 - how to use 5-7 235
 - language of 233
 - letter example 134 135 136
 - probability values 130-31
 - problematic 242
- ArguMed 82
- argument 209
 - as explanation 194
 - circular 208
 - components of 241 247-248
 - convergent 2 3-4 120 131
 - deductive 74
 - defeasible94
 - characteristics 109 110
 - defined 7578
 - dialogue sequence 109-10
 - evaluation of 91-92 95 112
 - open-ended 108 109
 - distinguished 192-93
 - evaluation 245
 - hypothetical 194
 - linked 2-3 4 62-63 70 120 169
 - moves in 92
 - plausible 215
 - priority 88
 - real 245
 - serial 149
 - syllogistic 4

- weak 61 243
- argument diagram 147 217 240
 - components 2 217-218
 - problems with 231
 - usefulness of 230
- argument extrapolation 115 125 127
 - 128 138 140 141 225
- argument from appearance 104
 - argumentation scheme 90
 - critical questions for 90-91
 - defeasible 104
- argument from an established rule 11
 - 235
- argument from analogy 11 84-86 144-47
 - 215 235
 - critical questions for 85
- argument from bias 11
- argument from cause to effect 11 234
 - 236
 - critical questions for 236
 - described 236
- argument from commitment 11 46 138
- argument from correlation to cause 11
 - 234
- argument from effect to cause
 - scheme for 237-238
- argument from evidence to a hypothesis
 - 11 234
- argument from example 10 46 235
- argument from exception to a rule 11
- argument for an exceptional case 85-86
 - critical questions for 86
- argument from expert opinion 15 18 46
 - 75 163 215 234 239 246
 - critical question for 12 29
 - defeasibility of 77-78
 - example of 78
 - scheme for 12 45 91
- argument from fear appeal 11
- argument from gradualism 11 46 235
- argument from ignorance 10 60 63-65
 - 87 96 97 101 161
 - critical questions for 18-19 98-99
 - dependence of 67
 - epistemic version 99
 - fallacious 100
 - in law 65
 - logical forms 100
 - scheme 66 98 103
 - search process in 217
 - shifting 103
- argument from inconsistent commitment
 - 11 234
- argument from popular opinion 10 38
 - 235
- argument from positive or negative consequences 10
- argument from precedent 11 46 84 144
 - 235 247
 - critical questions for 84
- argument from sign 10 46 57 234
- argument from sunk costs 10 234
- argument from threat 11 234
- argument from verbal classification 10
 - 235
- argument from witness testimony 18 53
 - 75 119 150 234
 - critical questions for 13
 - scheme for 13
- argument invention 34 214 215 221 232
 - characteristics 215
 - components 215-216
 - search process 216
 - bidirectional 226
 - recursive 217
- argumentation
 - chain of 2 126 128 216 226 230
 - misdirected 140
 - relevance in 115
 - schemes 44 230 234-235
 - elements of 233
 - defeasible 10
 - research on 233
- argumentum ad baculum* 116 117
- argumentum ad ignorantiam* (see argument from ignorance)
- argumentum ad populum* (see argument from popular opinion)
- Aristotle 28n7 41 80 174 197 213
 - argument invention 214 240
 - dialogue classification 153 156
 - dialogue structure 94
 - enthymeme 81
 - examination dialogue 153 154-56
 - fallacy 117 139
 - practical syllogism 174
- artificial intelligence (AI) 56 59 101-102
 - 168
 - current methodology 72
 - explanation generation 177 181-82
 - search 214
- assumptions 134-35 194 209 247

- closed world 66 101
- hidden 152
- implicit 52 188 190
- missing 131
- needed 131
- procedural 141
- tentative 106
- used 131
- attack 24 27 71 72 88 139 140 146 148 215
- Ball Vaughn C 118 132 133
- basement water example 176-77
- Bayesian rules 169
- Belanger M 177178
- belief 29 36 44 56 63 164 166 195
 - defined 95
- belief and knowledge model 88
- belief-desire-intention (BDI) 28 95 164-66
- belief revision theory 95 163 165-66
- Bench-Capon Trevor 145 146
- Bex Floris 233
- bias 46 97 105
 - indicator 46
 - witness 46 57
- bounded rationality 42
- breach of Contract Case 223-229
 - defining elements 225
 - key list for 223-224 228
- Bromberger Sylvain 196 210
- burden of disproof 109
- burden of proof 17 87 99
 - defined 230-231
 - disputes about 30
 - distribution of 65 102
 - global 17
 - higher 91
 - in law 32
 - levels of 17
 - meaning 99n6
 - problem of 243
 - responsibility of 96
 - reversal of 63 65 67 87 88 103 109-10 219 222 243
 - shift 19 60 88 100 218-219
 - illicit 101
 - weight in trial 17-18
- Burnyeat Myles F 14n6 80-81
- car example 39 73-74 194
- Carberry Sandra 28
- Carneades 170
- case specific generalizations 37 43-44
- cases
 - easy 138 141 218 222 240
 - hard 138 141 222 240 241 244
 - real 25 128 131 138 211 222 223 226 232 237 241 247
- CATO system 144
- causation 238-239
- cause to effect argumentation 236
- Cawsey Alison 173 176 196 211
- Chakrabarti Kisor Kumar 41
- character 153
 - defined 167
- Chorley Alison 145-46
- circumstantial ad hominem 11
- Coffa J Alberto 182
- Cohen Carl 39-40 41 82
- Collingwood Robin G 168 176
 - theory of reenactment 202 203-204
 - view of explanation 175 196
- Collingwood-Dray agent 203
- commitment 163
 - defined 95-96
 - openness 164
 - respondent 93
 - retraction 29 109 110 166
 - rules 95 108 164
 - set 95 210
 - store 19-20 29
 - tentative 110
 - understanding 183
 - witness 151
- computational dialectics 75 91-92 142 156
- computer science 20 65 81 97 184
- conclusion 216
 - retraction 109
 - ultimate 115 117-18 126 127 36 166 198 216-217 222 225 227
- conditional 236
 - defeasible 61 80
 - knowledge-based 87
- confidence factors 59 80
- connective 233
- consistency 12 58 152
 - critical questions for 45-46
- contentious argument 28n7 154
- contract dispute 18-21
- contract dispute case 89 91

- conversation 197
 - defined 196
 - framework 211
- Copi Irving M 39-40 41 82
- covering law model of explanation 177
 - 178 200
 - plausibility of 183
 - unnecessary 181
- cranberry cask case 48-53 72
 - key list for 50-51
- critical discussion 24-25 28 112 151-53
 - classification 116
 - conflict in 116
 - goal 24
 - retraction in 28
 - stages of 24
 - ten rules for argumentation stage 24-25
- Crombag Hans FM 46
- Daubert v. Merrel Dow Pharmaceuticals case 77
- De Regt Henk W 204
- debate
 - parliamentary 21
- deductive-nomological (DN) theory 165
 - 178-80
 - resistance to 203
 - restriction of application 181 182
- defaults 86
 - rules 86-87
- defeasibility 76
- defeasible generalization fallacy 40
- defeat 71
- defeater 88 111 149
- DEFLOG 247
- deletion test 120 136
- deliberation dialogue 26
 - eight stages of 27
 - goal of 28 112
 - group 26
 - solitary 26
- dependency-directed backtrack-
ing(DDB) theory 166
- Desbordes G 177 178
- dialectic
 - defined 197
- dialectical arguments 154 174
 - goal of 154
- dialogue
 - argumentation 93
 - basic types 22-23 93 246
 - closing 93
 - confrontation 93
 - defined 21 92
 - embedding 151
 - goals of 92 94
 - mixed 28
 - opening 93
 - stages of 93
- didactic argument
 - defined 154
- Dieks Dennis 204
- dismissal law case 147 153
- disorder evocation 199
- dispute 18
 - dynamic 113
- dissent 17 18
- DNA testing case 5-7 12
- domain-dependent 206
- Donoghue case 67-68
- Doyle J 166
- Dray William 178 203-204
- drug/herb example 67
- Dung P 88 203
- embedding 163
- embryo sac formation example 177
- empathy 167 203 207
- endoxa* 154
- Ennis Robert H 131
- entanglement 147-49
- enthymemes 5 80-81
 - defined 14 131
 - problem of 242
- epistemic closure 96 113
- eristic dialogue 27
- ethotic ad hominem argument 11
- Euthydemus example 40 74
- evidence
 - character 102 153 166
 - circumstantial 157-58
 - evaluation 169
 - fixation of 151
 - forensic 104 163
 - lack of 102
 - legal 75-76 103205
 - production of 231
 - relevant 105 130
 - testimonial 151 157-58
 - testing of 153
 - weight of 96

- evidential facts
 circumstantial 8
 testimonial 8
- ex silentio* argument 87 96
- examination 94
 cross-examination 152
 defined 153
 described 152
 dialogue aspects of 153 154
 goal of 153
 process of 152-53
- examination dialogue 154
 defined 154 156
- exetastic arguments 155
 described 155
- experience-based generalization 36 44
- explanation
 analysis 175 191
 asymmetry 207
 based on 176
 best 104 160 199 200-202
 competing 201
 deep 177
 defined 161 191 193 204 205
 dialectical 196
 characteristics of 209-210
 distinguished 192
 evaluation 199
 goal 206 207
 good 194-95
 human 203
 identification 199
 in history 175 181 203 204
 low-level 201
 new dialectical theory of 195-97
 problem with 206
 pragmatics of 181
 purpose of 195
 question answering 196-97
 reasoning in 185
 scientific 190 199 201 204 205 212
 speech act conditions for 197-99
 stages 210
 strategic 177 178
 trace 177
 execution
- extrapolation 125 127-28
- factors 145-46
 antecedent 180
- fairness doctrine 219 221
- fallacies 38 105
 of irrelevance 117
 of relevance 117
- fallacy of accident 40
- fallacy of converse accident 40
- fallacy of hasty generalization 38 39 41
 73
- fallacy of *ignoratio elenchi* 117 138
 requirements for 117
- fallacy of *secundum quid* 41
- fallacy of wrong conclusion 139 140
- Farley Arthur M 17
- Faye Jan 191
- Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) 76-77
 140 157 166 213
 rule 401 130 132
 controversial 132
 rule 404 153
 rule 608 154
- feedback 174 209 211
- Feteris Eveline T 153
- Finocchiaro Maurice 204
- foreign spy case 101-102
- fossils example 104
- Freeman James B 17
- Freeman Kathleen 17
- Friedman Michael 133 204
- Frye criterion 76-77
 inadequacy of 77
- Gardenfors Peter 165
- general acceptance test 76-77
- general background information 36
- general knowledge generalizations 37 43
- general law 179
- generalizations 15 49 167 210
 absolute 36 54 62 70 179 185 208
 general law 179-80
 absolute universal 36 54-55 62
 ambivalence 37
 belief 36 44
 characteristics of 42-43
 common sense 36 138 241
 dangers of 38
 defeasible 39 53 56 60 72 108 184
 185 187
 defined 42 54 68 69
 domain specific 218
 empirical 43
 evaluation 73
 exceptions to 182

- existential 54
- expected 208
- fallibility 36
- faultless 185
- generic statement 79
- implicit 44
- importance of 229n7
- inductive 39 54 55 79 184
- legal 36 37 41 68 74
 - importance of 47
- levels of 68
- presumptive 36 54 58-59 60 184
 - defeasible 185
 - qualifier gaps 73
- probabilistic 36
- qualifications of 40 60
- qualified 79 106
- types of 43 184
- universal 60 79
 - counter-example 73
- Given 176 205 209
- goal 22 174 176 187 207
 - conflicting 175
 - covering 200
 - parsimony 200
 - subversion 117
- Goldberg Steven H 93 99 102 118 153 231
- Goodwin Jean 57
- Grennan Wayne 236
- Grice H Paul
 - on conversation 196 207 245 246
- Grootendorst Rob 24 163 207
- guess
 - best 160
- Guthrie WKC 155-56
 - Aristotle interpreted 156
- Halonen Ilpo 204
- Hamblin Charles L 197 211
 - Aristotle analyzed by 155-56
 - dialogue theory of 92 94 210
 - formal theory of 21-22
 - game 164
 - relevance 116
- Hart HLA 239
- hearsay example 40 74
- Hempel Carl J. 178-80 183
- Hintikka Jaakko 204
- Hitchcock David 26-27
- Honore AM 239
- horrible crime of murder example 117-18 128 129 138 139
- Hurley Patrick 39 54-55 139 183-84 192 194
- HYPO system 144
- hypotheses 141 146
 - defined 145
 - generation 200
 - testing 200
- implications 233
- inconsistency 165
- inductive fallacy 39
- inductive-statistical explanations (I-S) 179-80
 - problems with 182-83
- inference
 - abductive 160-61
 - argumentation scheme 161-62
 - criticism of 72-73
 - deductive 69
 - monotonicity 59
 - deductively valid 55
 - fallacious 41
 - forward moving defeasible 237
 - graphs 88
 - lack-of-evidence 60
 - lack-of-knowledge 161
 - link 7
 - plausible 58
 - presumptive
 - default 62
 - rules of 216 218
- inference to the best explanation 104 106 160 168
- infinite regress dialogue 21 29 96
- information-seeking type of dialogue 26
- inquiry 25
 - cumulative 28
- interlocutors 154
- internal stability adjustment 166
- INUS Condition test 239
- Irandoust H 177 178
- irrelevance 117
- irrelevant arguments 232
- Joseph HWB 40
- Josephson John R 176 182 185 196 199-200 205 209
- Josephson Susan 176 182 185 196 199-200 205 209

- Keppens Jeroen 103 104 141
 Kienpointer Manfred 215 217 238
 Kitcher Philip 181 204
 KNIGHT system 176 177 180 182
 knowledge base 241-242
 knowledge-based systems 177
 Krabbe Erik CW 92 103 164 166
 Kruiger Tjark 236
- lack of evidence argument 87 96
 lack of knowledge 74 96
 language
 clarity 207
 emotive 38 46
 indicator words 4 82 120
 natural 4 82 83 95 222 247
 understandable 190
 law 183
 lawyer argument 83
 least plausibility rule 59
 legal argumentation 65 81 151
 abductive 106
 burden of proof 102
 presumption 88
 relevance 120
 Leonard David P 93 99 102 118 153 231
 Lester J 176 177
 letter example 132-36 138
 Araucaria diagram 134 135 135
 key list 133
 line of reasoning 8
 linking 187
 locution see also speech act 21-22 92
 Loftus Elisabeth 151
 logic 240
 autoepistemic 56 63 181
 erotetic 196
 logical empiricism 178
 Lorenzen School in Germany 94
- Macagno Fabrizio 242
 Mackenzie Jim 92
 Mackie John L 239
 Mans Dieter 159
 matter of fact test 192-94
 McBurney Peter 26-27
 McCarthy tribunal 100-101
 medical diagnosis 165 180 182 199-201
 aim of 201
 Michael Jerome 119
 Miers David 67
- missing property generalization 50
modus ponens 7 15 94
 defeasible (DMP) 61 81-82 83 233
 argumentation scheme 107
 strict (SMP) 81-82 233
modus non excipiens 61
 monotonicity 59
 Moore Robert C 173 176 209. 210
 Morgan Edmund L 132
 Moulin B 177 178
 mumps example 180
- Nance Dale A 132
 new dialectic 195
 new shoes case 85
 negative argument from ignorance
 scheme 98
 negative reasoning from a knowledge
 base 97
 negotiation dialogue 26 210
 argumentation in 26
 goal 116 126
 Norvig Peter 194-95
- Occam's razor 200
 Olbrechts-Tyteca Lucie 236
 online dispute resolution (ODR) 248
 openness to defeat condition (OTD)
 108-109 110 111
- painting example 121-23
 key list for 121-22
 Palmer Andrew 213
 Park Roger 93 99 102 118 153 231
 parking example 139 140
 Parsons Simon 26-27
 Pearl Judea 31 88
 peirastic arguments 154 155
 Peirce Charles S 104 159 164
 Peircian trichotomy 176
 Peng Yun 199 200
 Perelman Chaim 236
 permissive persuasion dialogue (PPD)
 95
 persuasion 25
 rational 93 95 126
 types of 25
 persuasion dialogue 93
 argumentation in 26
 characteristic of 23 25
 critical discussion 24

- normative requirements 23-24
 - purpose 126
 - relevance 24
- plan recognition 175 202
- planning 175
- Plato 154
- plausibility 46 58 59
 - defined 170
- pleading 17 32
- Pollock John L 71 72 88 89 91 111 149
- Porter B 176 177
- Prakken Henry 18 59 87 88 89 113 233 237
- practical reasoning 10
- practical wisdom 174
- precedent 83
- prejudice 117
- prejudice example 39 73-74
- premise 215
 - completeness 66
 - implicit 83 121 186 226
 - lack of knowledge 66
 - missing 81 128 138
 - unstated 14
- presupposition 187
- Preyer Gerhard 159
- Prior Analytics* 41
- probability 185
- probative weight 120 130
- proof 192
 - deductive 182
 - described 194
 - standard of 102
- proponent 1 17 45 92 116 173
 - goal 126
 - thesis 125
- proposition
 - relevcondiant 223
- qualifier 73
- quantifier 54 69
 - absolutely universal 69 79
 - generally universal 69
 - universal 63 183 184
 - types of 185
- quarrel 27
- question
 - backup evidence 12
 - consistency 12
 - critical 73 78 150 240245
 - expertise 12
 - explanation-seeking why question
 - 176
 - field 12
 - opinion 12
 - reply 209
 - trustworthiness 12
 - types of 197
 - why-question 22 187 211
 - contrastive feature of 191
- radiator dialogue 186-91 195-96
- rainy day case 80-81
- ratio decidendi* 144
- reasonable* 31
- reasoning 194
 - abductive 103 159 161-63 206 238
 - retroductive 161
 - case-based (CBR) 144
 - chain of 130
 - circular 200
 - deductive 159 179
 - defeasible 56 88
 - defined 209
 - epistemic 88
 - faulty inductive 73-74
 - hypothesis-and-test (HAT) 199 201
 - goal 201
 - hypothetical 194
 - inductive 159 169 179
 - logical 178-79
 - negative 97 161
 - nonmonotonic 97
 - plausible 58
 - evaluating 169
 - sequence of 190
 - simulative 203
- reasons 92n4 202
- rebuttal 94
- rebutting defeaters 71-72 90
- red herring fallacy 139 140
 - collapse 139
- red marbles example 159-61
- red object example 71-72 89-91 104
- reduction 204
- Reed Chris 233 242
- reenactment 168
- refutation 52 71 148
 - conclusive 149
 - misconception of 117
- Reggia James A 199 200

- Reiter Raymond 59 66 97 101-102 165
166
- relevance 116 244
conditional 115 132 133 218 222 227
defined 118
determining 227
steps for 137
dialectical 125 126
requirements of 126
failure of 140
judging 126 222
legal 115 117 127 142
defined 118
logical 118 225
defined 118
problem of 243
process of determining 232
- Renooij Silja 237
- Rescher Nicholas 59 185
- respondent 1 17 45 92 116 173
thesis 125
- retraction 25 28 110
conditions for 166
- rigorous persuasion dialogues (RPDs)
95
- rhetoric 240
- rope example 91
- Roth Bram 147 148
- rules 83 216 241
admissibility 33
commitment 95 108 164
default 86-86 88
dialogue 21
exceptions to 56 107
general 67 104
least plausible premise 59 130 131
169
maxmin 131
of law 221
procedural 30 153
strict 82
trial 93
win-loss 21 92
- Russell Stuart J 194-95
- Sacco and Vanzetti case 8 36 56
- Salmon Wesley C 204
- Sartor Giovanni 87 88 89 145
- Schank Roger C 175
- Schurz Gerhard 204
- Schum David A 8 31 36 56 233
diagramming 53
science of proof 93 118 119 138
scientific discovery 204
scientific generalizations 36 43
scientific proofs 154
scientific truth 36
script 157 167 175
Scriven Michael 25 181 238
Sextus Empiricus 91 170-71
Sidgwick Alfred 56 57 72 185-86
situationally disqualifying ad hominem
argument 11
skidding example 237
slippery slope argument 11
Socrates 40 55 154-55 197
speech acts 21 19 92 173 176 211
speed limit example 64-65
inference diagram 64
stages 111
argumentation 24
closing 24 25
confrontation 24
opening 24
stasis theory 232
statement
general 54
particular 54
straw man fallacy 24 131
Strong John W 231
student essay example (a) 84
student essay example (b) 86
- tactics
deceptive 38
persuasive 117
- testimony
expert 218
hearsay 40
witness 77 78 94 158
fallibility of 150
- Thagard Paul 201
- Theophrastus' Rule 59
- theory 145
theory of action 69
- Thompson WB 178
- threat 126
- Tillers Peter 119 133
- tort argument 82
- Toulmin Stephen 106-107 246
- toxic tort cases 239
- tree diagrams 31-32 72

- trial
 - adversarial 151 152 153
 - common law 93
 - criminal 2 65 117
 - network of argumentation 153 156
 - rules 93 1125??? 118 153
 - stages of 93-94
 - types of 31
- Trout JD 204
- Tweety argument/inference 58-63 70-71
 - 79 108 184-85
 - defeasibility 79 87 109
- Twining William 9 36 37 38 42-44 48
 - 57 67 93 118 230 231 233
- ultimate *probandum* 2 18 119-21 127
 - 130 138 139 223
 - negation of 228
- Umilian case 8
- undercutter 50 82 88 111 148 149
- undercutting defeaters 71-72 90
- understanding
 - defined 204
 - lack of 205
 - nature of 204
 - psychological feeling of 196 202
 - relative to knowledge 202
 - scientific 206
 - subjective 195
 - transmission of 181
- undue influence case 219
 - key list for 220-221
- unification 204
- unpaid suit example 124-25
 - key list for 124
- values 145-46
- van Dyck Maarten 205
- van Eemeren Frans 24 163 207 236
- van Fraasen Bas 196 210
- van Koppen Peter J 46
- Vancouver Airport example 66
- Verheij Bart 61 82 147 148 233 242 247
- von Wright Georg Henrik 202 205 211
- Wagenaar Willem A 46
- Walton Douglas 92 139-40 164 166 175
 - 194 233
 - generalizations 54 242
- warrant 5 53 80 81 87 106 106n8 109
 - 122 130
 - defined 47
- Weber Erik 205
- Whatley Richard 10n5
- Wick MR 178
- Wigmore John H. 1 8 9 10n5 35 44 48
 - 51 56 115 125
 - burden of persuasion 230-231
 - charts xii 9 31 53 57-58 78 120 138
 - 231 233
 - evidence 157
 - inferences 56-57
 - on relevance 119 130 141
 - probative weight 130
 - risk of non-persuasion 230-231
 - science of proof 118 138
- wild animals example 145-47
- Wilma's pen example 97-98
- witness
 - biased 46 57
 - commitment 151
 - credibility of 14 36
 - defined 12
 - examination of 152
 - expert 5
 - testimony 13 77 78 94 158
 - fallibility 150
- Woods John 159
- wrongful conviction cases 150-51
- Zeleznikow John 103 104 141
- zero-sum game 112