

# References

1. van der Aa, H., del-Río-Ortega, A., Resinas, M., Leopold, H., Ruiz-Cortés, A., Mendling, J., Reijers, H.A.: Narrowing the business-IT gap in process performance measurement. In: Nurcan, S., Soffer, P., Bajec, M., Eder, J. (eds.) CAiSE 2016. LNCS, vol. 9694, pp. 543–557. Springer, Cham (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39696-5\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39696-5_33)
2. van der Aa, H., Gal, A., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A., Sagi, T., Shraga, R.: Instance-based process matching using event-log information. In: Dubois, E., Pohl, K. (eds.) CAiSE 2017. LNCS, vol. 10253, pp. 283–297. Springer, Cham (2017). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8_18)
3. van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A.: Detecting inconsistencies between process models and textual descriptions. In: Motahari-Nezhad, H.R., Recker, J., Weidlich, M. (eds.) BPM 2015. LNCS, vol. 9253, pp. 90–105. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23063-4\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23063-4_6)
4. van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A.: Dealing with behavioral ambiguity in textual process descriptions. In: La Rosa, M., Loos, P., Pastor, O. (eds.) BPM 2016. LNCS, vol. 9850, pp. 271–288. Springer, Cham (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45348-4\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45348-4_16)
5. van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A.: Checking process compliance on the basis of uncertain event-to-activity mappings. In: Dubois, E., Pohl, K. (eds.) CAiSE 2017. LNCS, vol. 10253, pp. 79–93. Springer, Cham (2017). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8_6)
6. Van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A.: Comparing textual descriptions to process models: the automatic detection of inconsistencies. *Inf. Syst.* **64**, 447–460 (2017)
7. Van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Reijers, H.A.: Checking process compliance against natural language specifications using behavioral spaces. *Inf. Syst.* (2018, in press)
8. Van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., del Rio-Ortega, A., Resinas, M., Reijers, H.A.: Transforming unstructured natural language descriptions into measurable process performance indicators using hidden markov models. *Inf. Syst.* **71**, 27–39 (2017)
9. Van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., van de Weerd, I., Reijers, H.A.: Causes and consequences of fragmented process information: insights from a case study. In: 23rd Americas Conference on Information Systems, AMCIS (2017)
10. Van der Aalst, W.M.P.: *Process Mining: Discovery, Conformance, and Enhancement of Business Processes*. Springer, Heidelberg (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19345-3>
11. Van der Aalst, W.M.P., et al.: *Process mining manifesto*. In: Daniel, F., Barkaoui, K., Dustdar, S. (eds.) BPM 2011. LNBIP, vol. 99, pp. 169–194. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28108-2\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28108-2_19)

12. Van der Aalst, W.M.P., Adriansyah, A., van Dongen, B.: Replaying history on process models for conformance checking and performance analysis. *Wiley Interdiscipl. Rev. Data Min. Knowl. Discov.* **2**(2), 182–192 (2012)
13. van der Aalst, W.M.P., ter Hofstede, A.H.M., Weske, M.: Business process management: a survey. In: van der Aalst, W.M.P., Weske, M. (eds.) *BPM 2003*. LNCS, vol. 2678, pp. 1–12. Springer, Heidelberg (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44895-0\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44895-0_1)
14. Van der Aalst, W.M.P., Van Hee, K.M.: *Workflow Management: Models, Methods, and Systems*. MIT Press, Cambridge (2004)
15. Van der Aalst, W.M.P., Weijters, T., Maruster, L.: Workflow mining: discovering process models from event logs. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.* **16**(9), 1128–1142 (2004)
16. Abiteboul, S., Kanellakis, P., Grahne, G.: On the representation and querying of sets of possible worlds. *SIGMOD Rec.* **16**(3), 34–48 (1987)
17. Accorsi, R., Stocker, T.: On the exploitation of process mining for security audits: the conformance checking case. In: *Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, pp. 1709–1716. ACM (2012)
18. Achananuparp, P., Hu, X., Shen, X.: The evaluation of sentence similarity measures. In: Song, I.-Y., Eder, J., Nguyen, T.M. (eds.) *DaWaK 2008*. LNCS, vol. 5182, pp. 305–316. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85836-2\\_29](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85836-2_29)
19. Achour, C.B.: Guiding scenario authoring I. *Inf. Model. Knowl. Bases X* **51**, 152 (1999)
20. Adriansyah, A., van Dongen, B., Van der Aalst, W.M.P.: Conformance checking using cost-based fitness analysis. In: *2011 15th IEEE International Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference (EDOC)*, pp. 55–64. IEEE (2011)
21. Aggarwal, C.C., Yu, P.S.: A survey of uncertain data algorithms and applications. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.* **21**(5), 609–623 (2009)
22. Agirre, E., Alfonseca, E., Hall, K., Kravalova, J., Paşca, M., Soroa, A.: A study on similarity and relatedness using distributional and wordnet-based approaches. In: *Proceedings of Human Language Technologies: The 2009 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 19–27. Association for Computational Linguistics (2009)
23. Alavi, M., Leidner, D.E.: Review: knowledge management and knowledge management systems: conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Q.* **25**(1), 107–136 (2001)
24. Algergawy, A., Nayak, R., Saake, G.: Element similarity measures in XML schema matching. *Inf. Sci.* **180**(24), 4975–4998 (2010)
25. Allweyer, T.: *BPMN 2.0: Introduction to the Standard for Business Process Modeling*. BoD-Books on Demand, Norderstedt (2010)
26. Alter, S.: Beneficial noncompliance and detrimental compliance: expected paths to unintended consequences. In: *Americas Conference on Information Systems* (2015)
27. Andrade, E., van der Aa, H., Leopold, H., Alter, S., Reijers, H.A.: Factors leading to business process noncompliance and its positive and negative effects: empirical insights from a case study. In: *22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems, AMCIS* (2016)
28. Antunes, G., Bakhshandeh, M., Borbinha, J., Cardoso, J., Dadashnia, S., Di Francescomarino, C., Dragoni, M., Fettke, P., Gal, A., Ghidini, C., et al.: The process model matching contest 2015. In: *GI-Edition/Proceedings: Lecture Notes in Informatics*, vol. 248, pp. 127–155 (2015)
29. Awad, A., Decker, G., Weske, M.: Efficient compliance checking using BPMN-Q and temporal logic. In: Dumas, M., Reichert, M., Shan, M.-C. (eds.) *BPM 2008*. LNCS, vol. 5240, pp. 326–341. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85758-7\\_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85758-7_24)
30. Backus, J.W.: The syntax and semantics of the proposed international algebraic language of the Zurich ACM-GAMM conference. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Processing*, 1959 (1959)
31. Bagayogo, F., Beaudry, A., Lapointe, L.: Impacts of it acceptance and resistance behaviors: a novel framework. In: *34th International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS)* (2013)
32. Bahl, L.R., Mercer, R.L.: Part of speech assignment by a statistical decision algorithm. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, pp. 88–89 (1976)

33. Baier, T., Di Ciccio, C., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Matching of events and activities - an approach using declarative modeling constraints. In: Gaaloul, K., Schmidt, R., Nurcan, S., Guerreiro, S., Ma, Q. (eds.) CAiSE 2015. LNBI, vol. 214, pp. 119–134. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19237-6\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19237-6_8)
34. Baier, T., Di Ciccio, C., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Matching events and activities by integrating behavioral aspects and label analysis. *Softw. Syst. Model.* **17**(2), 1–26 (2017)
35. Baier, T., Mendling, J.: Bridging abstraction layers in process mining by automated matching of events and activities. In: Daniel, F., Wang, J., Weber, B. (eds.) BPM 2013. LNCS, vol. 8094, pp. 17–32. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40176-3\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40176-3_4)
36. Baier, T., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Bridging abstraction layers in process mining. *Inf. Syst.* **46**, 123–139 (2014)
37. Baier, T., Rogge-Solti, A., Weske, M., Mendling, J.: Matching of events and activities - an approach based on constraint satisfaction. In: Frank, U., Loucopoulos, P., Pastor, Ó., Petrounias, I. (eds.) PoEM 2014. LNBI, vol. 197, pp. 58–72. Springer, Heidelberg (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45501-2\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45501-2_5)
38. Bajwa, I.S., Choudhary, M.A.: From natural language software specifications to UML class models. In: Zhang, R., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Filipe, J., Cordeiro, J. (eds.) ICEIS 2011. LNBI, vol. 102, pp. 224–237. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-29958-2\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-29958-2_15)
39. Basten, T., Van der Aalst, W.M.P.: Inheritance of behavior. *J. Logic Algebraic Program.* **47**(2), 47–145 (2001)
40. Batini, C., Lenzerini, M., Navathe, S.B.: A comparative analysis of methodologies for database schema integration. *ACM Comput. Surv. (CSUR)* **18**(4), 323–364 (1986)
41. Becker, J., Delfmann, P., Herwig, S., Lis, E., Stein, A.: Formalizing linguistic conventions for conceptual models. In: Laender, A.H.F., Castano, S., Dayal, U., Casati, F., de Oliveira, J.P.M. (eds.) ER 2009. LNCS, vol. 5829, pp. 70–83. Springer, Heidelberg (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04840-1\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04840-1_8)
42. Becker, J., Kugeler, M., Rosemann, M.: *Process Management: A Guide for the Design of Business Processes*. Springer, Heidelberg (2013)
43. Bernstein, P.A., Rahm, E.: Data warehouse scenarios for model management. In: Laender, A.H.F., Liddle, S.W., Storey, V.C. (eds.) ER 2000. LNCS, vol. 1920, pp. 1–15. Springer, Heidelberg (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45393-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45393-8_1)
44. Bird, S., Klein, E., Loper, E.: *Natural Language Processing with Python: Analyzing Text with the Natural Language Toolkit*. O'Reilly Media Inc., Beijing (2009)
45. Born, M., Dörr, F., Weber, I.: User-friendly semantic annotation in business process modeling. In: Weske, M., Hacid, M.-S., Godart, C. (eds.) WISE 2007. LNCS, vol. 4832, pp. 260–271. Springer, Heidelberg (2007). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77010-7\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77010-7_25)
46. Box, G.E.: Robustness in the strategy of scientific model building. *Robustness Stat.* **1**, 201–236 (1979)
47. Brants, T.: TNT: a statistical part-of-speech tagger. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, pp. 224–231. Association for Computational Linguistics (2000)
48. Brewer, P., Speh, T.: Using the balance scorecard to measure supply chain performance. *J. Bus. Logistics* **21**, 75–93 (2000)
49. Brill, E.: Some advances in transformation-based part of speech tagging. arXiv preprint [cmp-lg/9406010](https://arxiv.org/abs/199404060) (1994)
50. Brown, P.F., Pietra, V.J.D., Pietra, S.A.D., Mercer, R.L.: The mathematics of statistical machine translation: parameter estimation. *Comput. Linguist.* **19**(2), 263–311 (1993)
51. Browning, T.R.: On the alignment of the purposes and views of process models in project management. *J. Oper. Manag.* **28**(4), 316–332 (2010)
52. Budanitsky, A., Hirst, G.: Evaluating wordnet-based measures of lexical semantic relatedness. *Comput. Linguist.* **32**(1), 13–47 (2006)
53. Cavnar, W.B., Trenkle, J.M., et al.: N-gram-based text categorization. *Ann Arbor MI* **48113**(2), 161–175 (1994)

54. Cayoglu, U., Dijkman, R.M., Dumas, M., Fettke, P., Garcia-Banuelos, L., Hake, P., Klinkmüller, C., Leopold, H., Ludwig, A., Loos, P., et al.: The process model matching contest 2013. In: 4th International Workshop on Process Model Collections: Management and Reuse (PMC-MR 2013) (2013)
55. Chaffey, D., White, G.: *Business Information Management: Improving Performance Using Information Systems*. Pearson Education, Harlow (2010)
56. Chakraborty, S., Sarker, S., Sarker, S.: An exploration into the process of requirements elicitation: a grounded approach. *J. AIS* **11**(4) (2010)
57. Chan, F.: Performance measurement in a supply chain. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **21**, 534–548 (2003)
58. Chaudhuri, S., Dayal, U.: An overview of data warehousing and OLAP technology. *ACM Sigmod Rec.* **26**(1), 65–74 (1997)
59. Chesani, F., Mello, P., Montali, M., Riguzzi, F., Sebastiani, M., Storari, S.: Checking compliance of execution traces to business rules. In: Ardagna, D., Mecella, M., Yang, J. (eds.) *BPM 2008*. LNBP, vol. 17, pp. 134–145. Springer, Heidelberg (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00328-8\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00328-8_13)
60. Chomsky, N.: Three models for the description of language. *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2**(3), 113–124 (1956)
61. Church, K.W.: A stochastic parts program and noun phrase parser for unrestricted text. In: *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, pp. 136–143. Association for Computational Linguistics (1988)
62. Cohen, W., Ravikumar, P., Fienberg, S.: A comparison of string metrics for matching names and records. In: *KDD Workshop on Data Cleaning and Object Consolidation*, vol. 3, pp. 73–78 (2003)
63. Costello, C., Molloy, O.: Building a process performance model for business activity monitoring. In: Wojtkowski, W., Wojtkowski, G., Lang, M., Conboy, K., Barry, C. (eds.) *Information Systems Development*, pp. 237–248. Springer, Boston (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68772-8\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68772-8_19)
64. Crubézy, M., Musen, M.A.: Ontologies in support of problem solving. In: Staab, S., Studer, R. (eds.) *Handbook on Ontologies*. International Handbooks on Information Systems, pp. 321–341. Springer, Berlin (2004). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24750-0\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24750-0_16)
65. Cutting, D., Kupiec, J., Pedersen, J., Sibun, P.: A practical part-of-speech tagger. In: *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, pp. 133–140. Association for Computational Linguistics (1992)
66. Damashek, M.: Gauging similarity with n-grams: language-independent categorization of text. *Science* **267**(5199), 843 (1995)
67. Davenport, T.H., Short, J.E.: The new industrial engineering: information technology and business process redesign. *Sloan Manag. Rev.* **31**(4), 11–27 (1990)
68. Davies, I., Green, P., Rosemann, M., Indulska, M., Gallo, S.: How do practitioners use conceptual modeling in practice? *Data Knowl. Eng.* **58**(3), 358–380 (2006)
69. De Marneffe, M.C., MacCartney, B., Manning, C.D., et al.: Generating typed dependency parses from phrase structure parses. In: *Proceedings of LREC*, vol. 6, pp. 449–454 (2006)
70. De Marneffe, M.C., Manning, C.D.: The stanford typed dependencies representation. In: *COLING 2008: Proceedings of the Workshop on Cross-Framework and Cross-Domain Parser Evaluation*, pp. 1–8 (2008)
71. Dellarocas, C., Klein, M.: A knowledge-based approach for designing robust business processes. In: van der Aalst, W., Desel, J., Oberweis, A. (eds.) *Business Process Management*. LNCS, vol. 1806, pp. 50–65. Springer, Heidelberg (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45594-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45594-9_4)
72. DeRose, S.J.: Grammatical category disambiguation by statistical optimization. *Comput. Linguist.* **14**(1), 31–39 (1988)
73. Dijkman, R., Dumas, M., García-Bañuelos, L.: Graph matching algorithms for business process model similarity search. In: Dayal, U., Eder, J., Koehler, J., Reijers, H.A. (eds.) *BPM 2009*. LNCS, vol. 5701, pp. 48–63. Springer, Heidelberg (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03848-8\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03848-8_5)

74. Dijkman, R.M., Dumas, M., Ouyang, C.: Formal semantics and analysis of BPMN process models using petri nets. Queensland University of Technology, Technical report (2007)
75. Dijkman, R.M., Dumas, M., Van Dongen, B., Käärrik, R., Mendling, J.: Similarity of business process models: metrics and evaluation. *Inf. Syst.* **36**(2), 498–516 (2011)
76. Doddington, G.R., Mitchell, A., Przybocki, M.A., Ramshaw, L.A., Strassel, S., Weischedel, R.M.: The automatic content extraction (ACE) program-tasks, data, and evaluation. In: *LREC*, vol. 2, p. 1 (2004)
77. van Dongen, B.: BPI challenge 2015 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.4121/uuid:31a308ef-c844-48da-948c-305d167a0ec1>
78. Dorow, B., Widdows, D.: Discovering corpus-specific word senses. In: *Proceedings of the Tenth Conference on European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 2, pp. 79–82. Association for Computational Linguistics (2003)
79. Dou, D., McDermott, D., Qi, P.: Ontology translation on the semantic web. In: Meersman, R., Tari, Z., Schmidt, D.C. (eds.) *OTM 2003*. LNCS, vol. 2888, pp. 952–969. Springer, Heidelberg (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39964-3\\_60](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39964-3_60)
80. Duan, S., Fokoue, A., Hassanzadeh, O., Kementsietsidis, A., Srinivas, K., Ward, M.J.: Instance-based matching of large ontologies using locality-sensitive hashing. In: Cudré-Mauroux, P., et al. (eds.) *ISWC 2012*. LNCS, vol. 7649, pp. 49–64. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35176-1\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35176-1_4)
81. Dumas, M., Rosa, M., Mendling, J., Reijers, H.A.: *Fundamentals of Business Process Management*. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-56509-4>
82. Earley, J.: An efficient context-free parsing algorithm. *Commun. ACM* **13**(2), 94–102 (1970)
83. Engmann, D., Maßmann, S.: Instance matching with COMA++. In: *BTW Workshops*, pp. 28–37 (2007)
84. Epure, E.V., Martín-Rodilla, P., Hug, C., Deneckère, R., Salinesi, C.: Automatic process model discovery from textual methodologies. In: *2015 IEEE 9th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science (RCIS)*, pp. 19–30. IEEE (2015)
85. Fahey, L., Prusak, L.: The eleven deadliest sins of knowledge management. *Calif. Manag. Rev.* **40**(3), 265–276 (1998)
86. Fahland, D., Favre, C., Koehler, J., Lohmann, N., Völzer, H., Wolf, K.: Analysis on demand: Instantaneous soundness checking of industrial business process models. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **70**(5), 448–466 (2011)
87. Figl, K., Recker, J.: Exploring cognitive style and task-specific preferences for process representations. *Requirements Eng.* **21**(1), 63–85 (2016)
88. Fisher, B.: Reengineering your business process. *J. Syst. Manag.* **47**(1), 46 (1996)
89. Forney Jr., G.D.: The viterbi algorithm. *Proc. IEEE* **61**(3), 268–278 (1973)
90. Franceschini, F., Galetto, M., Maisano, D.: *Management by Measurement: Designing Key Indicators and Performance Measurement Systems*. Springer, Heidelberg (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-73212-9>
91. Di Francescomarino, C., Tonella, P.: Supporting ontology-based semantic annotation of business processes with automated suggestions. In: Halpin, T., Krogstie, J., Nurcan, S., Proper, E., Schmidt, R., Soffer, P., Ukor, R. (eds.) *BPMDS/EMMSAD 2009*. LNBP, vol. 29, pp. 211–223. Springer, Heidelberg (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01862-6\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01862-6_18)
92. Francis, W., Kucera, H.: Frequency analysis of English usage. *J. Eng. Linguist.* **18** (1982)
93. Friedrich, F., Mendling, J., Puhmann, F.: Process model generation from natural language text. In: Mouratidis, H., Rolland, C. (eds.) *CAiSE 2011*. LNCS, vol. 6741, pp. 482–496. Springer, Heidelberg (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21640-4\\_36](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21640-4_36)
94. Gacitua-Decar, V., Pahl, C.: Automatic business process pattern matching for enterprise services design. In: *World Conference on Services-II 2009, SERVICES-2 2009*, pp. 111–118. IEEE (2009)
95. Gal, A., Anaby-Tavor, A., Trombetta, A., Montesi, D.: A framework for modeling and evaluating automatic semantic reconciliation. *VLDBJ* **14**(1), 50–67 (2005)
96. Gal, A., Weidlich, M.: Model matching - processes and beyond. In: Zdravkovic, J., Kirikova, M., Johannesson, P. (eds.) *CAiSE 2015*. LNCS, vol. 9097, pp. 525–526. Springer, Cham (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19069-3>

97. Gal, A.: Uncertain schema matching. *Synth. Lect. Data Manag.* **3**(1), 1–97 (2011)
98. Gal, A., Sagi, T.: Tuning the ensemble selection process of schema matchers. *Inf. Syst.* **35**(8), 845–859 (2010)
99. Galil, Z., Micali, S., Gabow, H.: An  $O(EV \log V)$  algorithm for finding a maximal weighted matching in general graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.* **15**(1), 120–130 (1986)
100. Garside, R., Leech, G.N., McEnery, T.: *Corpus Annotation: Linguistic Information from Computer Text Corpora*. Taylor & Francis, London (1997)
101. Ge, N., Hale, J., Charniak, E.: A statistical approach to anaphora resolution. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Very Large Corpora*, vol. 71, p. 76 (1998)
102. Ghose, A., Koliadis, G., Chueng, A.: Process discovery from model and text artefacts. In: *2007 IEEE Congress on Services*, pp. 167–174. IEEE (2007)
103. Giunchiglia, F., Shvaiko, P., Yatskevich, M.: Semantic matching. In: Liu, L., Özsu, M.T. (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Database Systems*, pp. 2561–2566. Springer, Boston (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-39940-9>
104. Gomez, F., Segami, C., Delaune, C.: A system for the semiautomatic generation of ER models from natural language specifications. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **29**(1), 57–81 (1999)
105. de Gonçalves, J.C., Santoro, F.M., Baiao, F.A.: Business process mining from group stories. In: *13th International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design, CSCWD 2009*, pp. 161–166. IEEE (2009)
106. González, O., Casallas, R., Deridder, D.: MMC-BPM: a domain-specific language for business processes analysis. *Bus. Inf. Syst.* **21**, 157–168 (2009)
107. Goodhue, D.L., Thompson, R.L.: Task-technology fit and individual performance. *MIS Q.* **19**(2), 213–236 (1995)
108. Gorin, A.L., Riccardi, G., Wright, J.H.: How may I help you? *Speech Commun.* **23**(1), 113–127 (1997)
109. Greene, B.B., Rubin, G.M.: *Automatic grammatical tagging of English*. Department of Linguistics, Brown University (1971)
110. Grefenstette, G.: *Explorations in Automatic Thesaurus Discovery*. The Springer International Series in Engineering and Computer Science, vol. 278. Springer, New York (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2710-7>
111. Griffiths, T.V., Petrick, S.R.: On the relative efficiencies of context-free grammar. *Commun. ACM* **8**(5), 289–300 (1965)
112. Gruber, T.R., et al.: A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. *Knowl. Acquisit.* **5**(2), 199–220 (1993)
113. Gruhn, V., Laue, R.: Detecting common errors in event-driven process chains by label analysis. *Enterp. Model. Inf. Syst. Archit.* **6**(1), 3–15 (2011)
114. Hammer, M., Champy, J.: *Reengineering the Corporation: Manifesto for Business Revolution*. A. Zondervan, New York (2009)
115. Hevner, A., March, S.T., Park, J., Ram, S.: Design science in information systems research. *MIS Q.* **28**(1), 75–105 (2004)
116. Hidders, J., Dumas, M., Van der Aalst, W.M.P., ter Hofstede, A.H., Verelst, J.: When are two workflows the same? In: *Proceedings of the 2005 Australasian Symposium on Theory of Computing*, vol. 41, pp. 3–11. Australian Computer Society, Inc. (2005)
117. Hill, J.B., Cantara, M., Kerremans, M., Plummer, D.: Magic quadrant for business process management suites. *Gartner RAS Core Research Note G 152906* (2007)
118. Hobbs, J.R.: Resolving pronoun references. *Lingua* **44**(4), 311–338 (1978)
119. Imieliński, T., Lipski Jr., W.: Incomplete information in relational databases. *J. ACM (JACM)* **31**(4), 761–791 (1984)
120. Indurkha, N., Damerou, F.J.: *Handbook of Natural Language Processing*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York (2010)
121. Islam, A., Inkpen, D.: Second order co-occurrence PMI for determining the semantic similarity of words. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, Genoa, Italy*, pp. 1033–1038 (2006)

122. Jackson, P., Moulinier, I.: *Natural Language Processing For Online Applications: Text Retrieval, Extraction and Categorization*, vol. 5. John Benjamins Publishing, New York (2007)
123. Jin, T., Wang, J., La Rosa, M., Ter Hofstede, A., Wen, L.: Efficient querying of large process model repositories. *Comput. Ind.* **64**(1), 41–49 (2013)
124. Joshi, A.K.: Natural language processing. *Science* **253**(5025), 1242 (1991)
125. Jung, J.-Y., Bae, J.: Workflow clustering method based on process similarity. In: Gavrilova, M.L., Gervasi, O., Kumar, V., Tan, C.J.K., Taniar, D., Laganá, A., Mun, Y., Choo, H. (eds.) *ICCSA 2006. LNCS*, vol. 3981, pp. 379–389. Springer, Heidelberg (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1007/11751588\\_40](https://doi.org/10.1007/11751588_40)
126. Jung, J., Choi, I., Song, M.: An integration architecture for knowledge management systems and business process management systems. *Comput. Ind.* **58**(1), 21–34 (2007)
127. Jurafsky, D., Martin, J.H.: *Speech & Language Processing*. Pearson Education India, Noida (2000)
128. Jurish, B.: *A Hybrid Approach to Part-of-Speech Tagging*. Final Report at Berlin-Brandenburgische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin (2003)
129. Kaplan, R.S., Norton, D.P.: The balanced scorecard: measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Rev.* **83**(7), 172 (2005)
130. Karlsson, F., Voutilainen, A., Heikkilä, J., Anttila, A.: *Constraint Grammar: A Language-Independent System for Parsing Unrestricted Text*, vol. 4. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1995)
131. Kettinger, W.J., Teng, J.T., Guha, S.: Business process change: a study of methodologies, techniques, and tools. *MIS Q.* **21**(1), 55–80 (1997)
132. Klein, D., Manning, C.D.: Accurate unlexicalized parsing. In: *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting of the ACL*, vol. 1, pp. 423–430. ACL (2003)
133. Klein, S., Simmons, R.F.: A computational approach to grammatical coding of english words. *J. ACM (JACM)* **10**(3), 334–347 (1963)
134. Knackstedt, R., Kuopka, D., Müller, O., Polyvyany, A.: An ontology-based service discovery approach for the provisioning of product-service bundles. In: *European Conference on Information Systems*, pp. 1965–1977 (2008)
135. Koehn, P., Hoang, H., Birch, A., Callison-Burch, C., Federico, M., Bertoldi, N., Cowan, B., Shen, W., Moran, C., Zens, R., et al.: Moses: open source toolkit for statistical machine translation. In: *Proceedings of the 45th Annual Meeting of the ACL on Interactive Poster and Demonstration Sessions*, pp. 177–180. Association for Computational Linguistics (2007)
136. Kohavi, R.: A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection. In: *IJCAI*, vol. 14, pp. 1137–1145 (1995)
137. Kolb, P.: DISCO: a multilingual database of distributionally similar words. In: *Proceedings of KONVENS 2008*, Berlin (2008)
138. Kolb, P.: Experiments on the difference between semantic similarity and relatedness. In: *Proceedings of the ORDIC Conference on Computational Linguistics (ODALIDA)*, pp. 81–88 (2009)
139. Korherr, B., List, B.: Extending the EPC and the BPMN with business process goals and performance measures. In: *International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems*, pp. 287–294 (2007)
140. Koschmider, A., Blanchard, E.: User assistance for business process model decomposition. In: *Proceedings of the 1st IEEE International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science*, pp. 445–454 (2007)
141. Kovacic, A.: Business renovation: business rules (still) the missing link. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **10**(2), 158–170 (2004)
142. Krauth, E., Moonen, H., Popova, V., Schut, M.C.: Performance measurement and control in logistics service providing. In: *International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems*, pp. 239–247 (2005)
143. Kronz, A.: Managing of process key performance indicators as part of the ARIS methodology. In: *Corporate Performance Management*, pp. 31–44. Springer, Berlin (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-30787-7\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-30787-7_3)

144. Krumnow, S., Decker, G.: A concept for spreadsheet-based process modeling. In: Mendling, J., Weidlich, M., Weske, M. (eds.) BPMN 2010. LNBIP, vol. 67, pp. 63–77. Springer, Heidelberg (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16298-5\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16298-5_7)
145. Kumar, E.: Natural Language Processing. IK International Pvt. Ltd. (2011)
146. Kupiec, J.: Robust part-of-speech tagging using a hidden markov model. *Comput. Speech Lang.* **6**(3), 225–242 (1992)
147. Kuss, E., Leopold, H., van der Aa, H., Stuckenschmidt, H., Reijers, H.A.: Probabilistic evaluation of process model matching techniques. In: Comyn-Wattiau, I., Tanaka, K., Song, I.-Y., Yamamoto, S., Saeki, M. (eds.) ER 2016. LNCS, vol. 9974, pp. 279–292. Springer, Cham (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46397-1\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46397-1_22)
148. Küster, J.M., Koehler, J., Ryndina, K.: Improving business process models with reference models in business-driven development. In: Eder, J., Dustdar, S. (eds.) BPM 2006. LNCS, vol. 4103, pp. 35–44. Springer, Heidelberg (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1007/11837862\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/11837862_5)
149. La Rosa, M., Dumas, M., Uba, R., Dijkman, R.M.: Business process model merging: an approach to business process consolidation. *ACM Trans. Softw. Eng. Methodol. (TOSEM)* **22**(2), 11 (2013)
150. Lami, G., Gnesi, S., Fabbrini, F., Fusani, M., Trentanni, G.: An automatic tool for the analysis of natural language requirements. Technical report, Informe técnico, CNR Information Science and Technology Institute, Pisa, Italia, Setiembre (2004)
151. Landauer, T.K., Foltz, P.W., Laham, D.: An introduction to latent semantic analysis. *Discourse Processes* **25**(2–3), 259–284 (1998)
152. Lappin, S., Leass, H.J.: An algorithm for pronominal anaphora resolution. *Comput. Linguist.* **20**(4), 535–561 (1994)
153. Lavoie, B., Rambow, O., Reiter, E.: The model explainer. In: Eighth International Workshop on Natural Language Generation, Herstmonceux, Sussex (1996)
154. Leopold, H. (ed.): Natural Language in Business Process Models. LNBIP, vol. 168. Springer, Cham (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04175-9>
155. Leopold, H., van der Aa, H., Pittke, F., Raffel, M., Mendling, J., Reijers, H.A.: Integrating textual and model-based process descriptions for comprehensive process search. In: Schmidt, R., Guédria, W., Bider, I., Guerreiro, S. (eds.) BPMDS/EMMSAD 2016. LNBIP, vol. 248, pp. 51–65. Springer, Cham (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39429-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39429-9_4)
156. Leopold, H., Eid-Sabbagh, R.H., Mendling, J., Azevedo, L.G., Baião, F.A.: Detection of naming convention violations in process models for different languages. *Decis. Support Syst.* **56**, 310–325 (2013)
157. Leopold, H., Meilicke, C., Fellmann, M., Pittke, F., Stuckenschmidt, H., Mendling, J.: Towards the automated annotation of process models. In: Zdravkovic, J., Kirikova, M., Johannesson, P. (eds.) CAiSE 2015. LNCS, vol. 9097, pp. 401–416. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19069-3\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19069-3_25)
158. Leopold, H., Mendling, J., Polyvyanyy, A.: Supporting process model validation through natural language generation. *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* **40**(8), 818–840 (2014)
159. Leopold, H., Niepert, M., Weidlich, M., Mendling, J., Dijkman, R., Stuckenschmidt, H.: Probabilistic optimization of semantic process model matching. In: Barros, A., Gal, A., Kindler, E. (eds.) BPM 2012. LNCS, vol. 7481, pp. 319–334. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32885-5\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32885-5_25)
160. Leopold, H., Pittke, F., Mendling, J.: Automatic service derivation from business process model repositories via semantic technology. *J. Syst. Softw.* **108**, 134–147 (2015)
161. Lin, D.: An information-theoretic definition of similarity. In: ICML, vol. 98, pp. 296–304 (1998)
162. Lin, D.: Dependency-based evaluation of minipar. In: Abeillé, A. (ed.) *Treebanks. Text, Speech and Language Technology*, vol. 20, pp. 317–329. Springer, Dordrecht (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0201-1\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0201-1_18)
163. Ling, J., Zhang, L., Feng, Q.: An improved structure-based approach to measure similarity of business process models. In: SEKE, pp. 377–380 (2014)



164. Liu, K., Yan, Z., Wang, Y., Wen, L., Wang, J.: Efficient syntactic process difference detection using flexible feature matching. In: Ouyang, C., Jung, J.-Y. (eds.) AP-BPM 2014. LNBIP, vol. 181, pp. 103–116. Springer, Cham (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08222-6\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08222-6_8)
165. Lu, R., Sadiq, S., Governatori, G.: Compliance aware business process design. In: ter Hofstede, A., Benatallah, B., Paik, H.-Y. (eds.) BPM 2007. LNCS, vol. 4928, pp. 120–131. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78238-4\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78238-4_14)
166. Luftman, J., Papp, R., Brier, T.: Enablers and inhibitors of business-it alignment. *Commun. AIS* **1**(3es), 1 (1999)
167. Madhavan, J., Bernstein, P.A., Domingos, P., Halevy, A.Y.: Representing and reasoning about mappings between domain models. In: AAAI/IAAI 2002, pp. 80–86 (2002)
168. Madhavan, J., Bernstein, P.A., Rahm, E.: Generic schema matching with cupid. In: VLDB, vol. 1, pp. 49–58 (2001)
169. Maglitta, J.: Know-how, inc. *Computerworld* **30**(1) (1996)
170. Mannhardt, F., de Leoni, M., Reijers, H.A.: Extending process logs with events from supplementary sources. In: 3rd Workshop on Data- & Artifact-centric BPM. pp. 235–247. Springer (2014)
171. Manning, C.D., Raghavan, P., Schütze, H.: *Introduction to Information Retrieval*, vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008)
172. Manning, C.D., Surdeanu, M., Bauer, J., Finkel, J., Bethard, S.J., McClosky, D.: The stanford CoreNLP natural language processing toolkit. In: Proceedings of 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, pp. 55–60 (2014)
173. Marcus, M.P., Marcinkiewicz, M.A., Santorini, B.: Building a large annotated corpus of english: the penn treebank. *Comput. Linguist.* **19**(2), 313–330 (1993)
174. Marshall, B., Chen, H., Madhusudan, T.: Matching knowledge elements in concept maps using a similarity flooding algorithm. *Decis. Support Syst.* **42**(3), 1290–1306 (2006)
175. Matsumoto, Y., Tanaka, H., Hirakawa, H., Miyoshi, H., Yasukawa, H.: Bup: a bottom-up parser embedded in prolog. *New Gener. Comput.* **1**(2), 145–158 (1983)
176. McCallum, A., Freitag, D., Pereira, F.C.: Maximum entropy Markov models for information extraction and segmentation. In: ICML, vol. 17, pp. 591–598 (2000)
177. McCarthy, J.F., Lehnert, W.G.: Using decision trees for coreference resolution. arXiv preprint [cmp-190505043](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05043) (1995)
178. Mendling, J.: *Metrics for Process Models*. LNBIP, vol. 6. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89224-3>
179. Mendling, J., Reijers, H.A., Van der Aalst, W.M.P.: Seven process modeling guidelines (7pmg). *Inf. Softw. Technol.* **52**(2), 127–136 (2010)
180. Meziane, F., Athanasakis, N., Ananiadou, S.: Generating natural language specifications from UML class diagrams. *Requirements Eng.* **13**(1), 1–18 (2008)
181. Michelberger, B.: *Process-oriented information logistics: aligning process information with business processes*. Ph.D. thesis, Ulm University (2015)
182. Michelberger, B., Mutschler, B., Reichert, M.: On handling process information: results from case studies and a survey. In: Daniel, F., Barkaoui, K., Dustdar, S. (eds.) BPM 2011. LNBIP, vol. 99, pp. 333–344. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28108-2\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28108-2_33)
183. Michelberger, B., Mutschler, B., Reichert, M.: Towards process-oriented information logistics: why quality dimensions of process information matter. In: EMISA, pp. 107–120. Koellen-Verlag (2011)
184. Mihalcea, R., Corley, C., Strapparava, C.: Corpus-based and knowledge-based measures of text semantic similarity. In: Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 6, pp. 775–780 (2006)
185. Miller, G.: The organization of lexical memory: are word associations sufficient. In: *The Pathology of Memory*, pp. 223–237 (1969)
186. Miller, G.A.: WordNet: a lexical database for english. *Commun. ACM* **38**(11), 39–41 (1995)
187. Miller, G.A., Beckwith, R., Fellbaum, C., Gross, D., Miller, K.J.: Introduction to wordnet: An on-line lexical database. *Int. J. Lexicogr.* **3**(4), 235–244 (1990)

188. Miller, S., Bobrow, R., Ingria, R., Schwartz, R.: Hidden understanding models of natural language. In: Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 25–32. Association for Computational Linguistics (1994)
189. Mohri, M.: Finite-state transducers in language and speech processing. *Comput. Linguist.* **23**(2), 269–311 (1997)
190. Momm, C., Malec, R., Abeck, S.: Towards a model-driven development of monitored processes. In: *Wirtschaftsinformatik* (2), pp. 319–336 (2007)
191. Munoz-Gama, J., Carmona, J., Van der Aalst, W.M.P.: Single-entry single-exit decomposed conformance checking. *Inf. Syst.* **46**, 102–122 (2014)
192. Nadeau, D., Turney, P.D.: A supervised learning approach to acronym identification. In: Kégl, B., Lapalme, G. (eds.) *AI 2005. LNCS (LNAI)*, vol. 3501, pp. 319–329. Springer, Heidelberg (2005). [https://doi.org/10.1007/11424918\\_34](https://doi.org/10.1007/11424918_34)
193. Nasukawa, T., Yi, J.: Sentiment analysis: capturing favorability using natural language processing. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Knowledge Capture, pp. 70–77. ACM (2003)
194. Navarro, G.: A guided tour to approximate string matching. *ACM Comput. Surv. (CSUR)* **33**(1), 31–88 (2001)
195. Noy, N.F.: Semantic integration: a survey of ontology-based approaches. *ACM Sigmod Rec.* **33**(4), 65–70 (2004)
196. Noy, N.F., Musen, M.A.: The prompt suite: interactive tools for ontology merging and mapping. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* **59**(6), 983–1024 (2003)
197. Och, F.J.: Minimum error rate training in statistical machine translation. In: Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics, vol. 1, pp. 160–167. Association for Computational Linguistics (2003)
198. Parent, C., Spaccapietra, S.: Issues and approaches of database integration. *Commun. ACM* **41**(5es), 166–178 (1998)
199. Paulraj, D., Swamynathan, S., Madhaiyan, M.: Process model-based atomic service discovery and composition of composite semantic web services using web ontology language for services (owl-s). *Enterprise Inf. Syst.* **6**(4), 445–471 (2012)
200. Pedrinaci, C., et al.: SENTINEL: a semantic business process monitoring tool. In: International Workshop on Ontology-Supported Business Intelligence, pp. 26–30 (2008)
201. Pei, J., Jiang, B., Lin, X., Yuan, Y.: Probabilistic skylines on uncertain data. In: Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, pp. 15–26 (2007)
202. Peng, L., Diao, Y.: Supporting data uncertainty in array databases. In: ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, pp. 545–560. ACM (2015)
203. Phalp, K.T., Vincent, J., Cox, K.: Improving the quality of use case descriptions: empirical assessment of writing guidelines. *Software Qual. J.* **15**(4), 383–399 (2007)
204. Pitke, F., Leopold, H., Mendling, J.: When language meets language: anti patterns resulting from mixing natural and modeling language. In: Fournier, F., Mendling, J. (eds.) *BPM 2014. LNBIP*, vol. 202, pp. 118–129. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15895-2\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15895-2_11)
205. Pitke, F., Leopold, H., Mendling, J.: Automatic detection and resolution of lexical ambiguity in process models. *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* **41**(6), 526–544 (2015)
206. Pitke, F., Leopold, H., Mendling, J., Tamm, G.: Enabling reuse of process models through the detection of similar process parts. In: La Rosa, M., Soffer, P. (eds.) *BPM 2012. LNBIP*, vol. 132, pp. 586–597. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36285-9\\_59](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36285-9_59)
207. Polyvyanyy, A., Armas-Cervantes, A., Dumas, M., García-Bañuelos, L.: On the expressive power of behavioral profiles. *Formal Aspects Comput.* **28**(4), 597–613 (2016)
208. Ponzetto, S.P., Strube, M.: Exploiting semantic role labeling, wordnet and wikipedia for coreference resolution. In: Proceedings of the Main Conference on Human Language Technology Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association of Computational Linguistics, pp. 192–199. Association for Computational Linguistics (2006)

209. Popova, V., Sharpanskykh, A.: Modeling organizational performance indicators. *Inf. Syst.* **35**(4), 505–527 (2010)
210. Porter, M.F.: An algorithm for suffix stripping. *Program* **14**(3), 130–137 (1980)
211. Pradhan, S.S., Ramshaw, L., Weischedel, R., MacBride, J., Micciulla, L.: Unrestricted coreference: Identifying entities and events in ontonotes. In: *International Conference on Semantic Computing*, pp. 446–453. IEEE (2007)
212. Quinlan, J.R.: Induction of decision trees. *Mach. Learn.* **1**(1), 81–106 (1986)
213. Rabiner, L.R.: A tutorial on hidden markov models and selected applications in speech recognition. *Proc. IEEE* **77**(2), 257–286 (1989)
214. Rahm, E., Bernstein, P.A.: A survey of approaches to automatic schema matching. *VLDB J.* **10**(4), 334–350 (2001)
215. Ramezani, E., Fahland, D., van der Aalst, W.M.P.: Where did i misbehave? diagnostic information in compliance checking. In: Barros, A., Gal, A., Kindler, E. (eds.) *BPM 2012. LNCS*, vol. 7481, pp. 262–278. Springer, Heidelberg (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32885-5\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32885-5_21)
216. Rapp, R.: A freely available automatically generated thesaurus of related words. In: *LREC* (2004)
217. Razali, N.M., Wah, Y.B., et al.: Power comparisons of shapiro-wilk, kolmogorov-smirnov, lilliefors and anderson-darling tests. *J. Stat. Model. Anal.* **2**(1), 21–33 (2011)
218. Recker, J., Safrudin, N., Rosemann, M.: How novices design business processes. *Inf. Syst.* **37**(6), 557–573 (2012)
219. Reijers, H.A. (ed.): *Design and Control of Workflow Processes. LNCS*, vol. 2617. Springer, Heidelberg (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36615-6>
220. Reijers, H.A., Leopold, H., Recker, J.: Towards a science of checklists. In: *Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* (2017)
221. Resnik, P., et al.: Semantic similarity in a taxonomy: An information-based measure and its application to problems of ambiguity in natural language. *J. Artif. Intell. Res. (JAIR)* **11**, 95–130 (1999)
222. Riefer, M., Ternis, S.F., Thaler, T.: Mining process models from natural language text: a state-of-the-art analysis. In: *Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformatik (MKWI 2016)*, 9–11 March. Universität Illmenau, Illmenau (2016)
223. del-Río-Ortega, A., Cabanillas, C., Resinas, M., Ruiz-Cortés, A.: PPINOT tool suite: a performance management solution for process-oriented organisations. In: Basu, S., Pautasso, C., Zhang, L., Fu, X. (eds.) *ICSOC 2013. LNCS*, vol. 8274, pp. 675–678. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45005-1\\_58](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45005-1_58)
224. del-Río-Ortega, A., Gutiérrez, A.M., Durán, A., Resinas, M., Ruiz-Cortés, A.: Modelling service level agreements for business process outsourcing services. In: Zdravkovic, J., Kirikova, M., Johannesson, P. (eds.) *CAiSE 2015. LNCS*, vol. 9097, pp. 485–500. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19069-3\\_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19069-3_30)
225. del Río-Ortega, A., Resinas, M., Cabanillas, C., Ruiz-Cortés, A.: On the definition and design-time analysis of process performance indicators. *Inf. Syst.* **38**(4), 470–490 (2013)
226. del Río-Ortega, A., Resinas, M., Durán, A., Ruiz-Cortés, A.: Using templates and linguistic patterns to define process performance indicators. *Enterp. Inf. Syst.* **10**(2), 159–192 (2016)
227. Rosemann, M.: Potential pitfalls of process modeling: Part A. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **12**(2), 249–254 (2006)
228. Rowley, J.: The wisdom hierarchy: representations of the DIKW hierarchy. *J. Inf. Sci.* **33**(2), 163–180 (2007)
229. Sadiq, S., Governatori, G., Namiri, K.: Modeling control objectives for business process compliance. In: Alonso, G., Dadam, P., Rosemann, M. (eds.) *BPM 2007. LNCS*, vol. 4714, pp. 149–164. Springer, Heidelberg (2007). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75183-0\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75183-0_12)
230. Safavian, S.R., Landgrebe, D.: A survey of decision tree classifier methodology. *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, & Cybernetics* (1991)
231. Sagi, T., Gal, A.: Schema matching prediction with applications to data source discovery and dynamic ensembling. *Int. J. Very Large Data Bases* **22**(5), 689–710 (2013)

232. Sagi, T., Gal, A., Weidlich, M.: Measuring expected integration effort in service composition. In: 2014 IEEE International Conference on Services Computing (SCC), pp. 645–652. IEEE (2014)
233. Saldivar, J., Vairetti, C., Rodríguez, C., Daniel, F., Casati, F., Alarcón, R.: Analysis and improvement of business process models using spreadsheets. *Inf. Syst.* **57**, 1–19 (2016)
234. Salton, G., McGill, M.J.: Introduction to Modern Information Retrieval. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York (1986)
235. Sánchez-Ferreres, J., Carmona, J., Padró, L.: Aligning textual and graphical descriptions of processes through ILP techniques. In: Dubois, E., Pohl, K. (eds.) CAiSE 2017. LNCS, vol. 10253, pp. 413–427. Springer, Cham (2017). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8\\_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59536-8_26)
236. Sarma, A.D., Benjelloun, O., Halevy, A., Widom, J.: Working models for uncertain data. In: 22nd International Conference on Data Engineering, p. 7. IEEE (2006)
237. Schäl, T.: Workflow Management Systems for Process Organisations. LNCS, vol. 1096. Springer, Heidelberg (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-21574-6>
238. Schumacher, P., Minor, M., Schulte-Zurhausen, E.: Extracting and enriching workflows from text. In: 2013 IEEE 14th International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IRI), pp. 285–292. IEEE (2013)
239. Sebu, M.L., Ciocărlie, H.: Similarity of business process models in a modular design. In: 2016 IEEE 11th International Symposium on Applied Computational Intelligence and Informatics (SACI), pp. 31–36. IEEE (2016)
240. Selway, M., Grossmann, G., Mayer, W., Stumptner, M.: Formalising natural language specifications using a cognitive linguistic/configuration based approach. *Inf. Syst.* **54**, 191–208 (2015)
241. Senderovich, A., Rogge-Solti, A., Gal, A., Mendling, J., Mandelbaum, A.: The ROAD from sensor data to process instances via interaction mining. In: Nurcan, S., Soffer, P., Bajec, M., Eder, J. (eds.) CAiSE 2016. LNCS, vol. 9694, pp. 257–273. Springer, Cham (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39696-5\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39696-5_16)
242. Sinha, A., Paradkar, A.: Use cases to process specifications in Business Process Modeling Notation. In: IEEE International Conference on Web Services, pp. 473–480 (2010)
243. Smirnov, S., Weidlich, M., Mendling, J.: Business process model abstraction based on behavioral profiles. In: Maglio, P.P., Weske, M., Yang, J., Fantinato, M. (eds.) ICSOC 2010. LNCS, vol. 6470, pp. 1–16. Springer, Heidelberg (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17358-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17358-5_1)
244. Soon, W.M., Ng, H.T., Lim, D.C.Y.: A machine learning approach to coreference resolution of noun phrases. *Comput. Linguist.* **27**(4), 521–544 (2001)
245. Stachowiak, H.: Allgemeine Modelltheorie. Springer, Wien (1973)
246. Steiger, D.M.: Enhancing user understanding in a decision support system: a theoretical basis and framework. *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.* **15**(2), 199–220 (1998)
247. Temperley, D., Sleator, D., Lafferty, J.: Parsing English with a link grammar. In: Third International Workshop on Parsing Technologies (1993)
248. Tur, G., Hakkani-Tür, D., Heck, L.: What is left to be understood in ATIS? In: 2010 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), pp. 19–24. IEEE (2010)
249. Unger, M., Leopold, H., Mendling, J.: How much flexibility is good for knowledge intensive business processes: a study of the effects of informal work practices. In: 2015 48th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), pp. 4990–4999. IEEE (2015)
250. Uschold, M., Gruninger, M.: Ontologies and semantics for seamless connectivity. *ACM SIG-Mod Rec.* **33**(4), 58–64 (2004)
251. Vaidyanathan, G.: A framework for evaluating third-party logistics. *Commun. ACM* **48**(1), 89–94 (2005)
252. Van Glabbeek, R., Goltz, U.: Refinement of actions and equivalence notions for concurrent systems. *Acta Informatica* **37**(4–5), 229–327 (2001)
253. Vance, D.: Information, knowledge and wisdom: the epistemic hierarchy and computer-based information systems. In: AMCIS 1997 Proceedings, p. 124 (1997)

254. Versley, Y.: Antecedent selection techniques for high-recall coreference resolution. In: EMNLP-CoNLL, pp. 496–505 (2007)
255. Viorica Epure, E., Martin-Rodilla, P., Hug, C., Deneckere, R., Salinesi, C.: Automatic process model discovery from textual methodologies. In: 2015 IEEE 9th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science (RCIS), pp. 19–30. IEEE (2015)
256. Van der Vos, B., Gulla, J.A., van de Riet, R.: Verification of conceptual models based on linguistic knowledge. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **21**(2), 147–163 (1997)
257. Wald, J.A., Sorenson, P.G.: Explaining ambiguity in a formal query language. *ACM Trans. Database Syst. (TODS)* **15**(2), 125–161 (1990)
258. Wang, J., Wen, J.R., Lochovsky, F., Ma, W.Y.: Instance-based schema matching for web databases by domain-specific query probing. In: Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, vol. 30, pp. 408–419. VLDB Endowment (2004)
259. Wang, Y.Y., Acero, A.: Combination of CFG and n-gram modeling in semantic grammar learning. In: INTERSPEECH (2003)
260. Wang, Y.Y., Deng, L., Acero, A.: Spoken language understanding. *IEEE Sig. Process. Mag.* **22**(5), 16–31 (2005)
261. Wang, Y.Y., Waibel, A.: Decoding algorithm in statistical machine translation. In: Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and Eighth Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 366–372 (1997)
262. Weidlich, M., Dijkman, R., Mendling, J.: The ICOP framework: identification of correspondences between process models. In: Pernici, B. (ed.) CAISE 2010. LNCS, vol. 6051, pp. 483–498. Springer, Heidelberg (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13094-6\\_37](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13094-6_37)
263. Weidlich, M., Mendling, J.: Perceived consistency between process models. *Inf. Syst.* **37**(2), 80–98 (2012)
264. Weidlich, M., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Efficient consistency measurement based on behavioral profiles of process models. *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* **37**(3), 410–429 (2011)
265. Weidlich, M., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Propagating changes between aligned process models. *J. Syst. Softw.* **85**(8), 1885–1898 (2012)
266. Weidlich, M., Polyvyanyy, A., Desai, N., Mendling, J., Weske, M.: Process compliance analysis based on behavioural profiles. *Inf. Syst.* **36**(7), 1009–1025 (2011)
267. Weidlich, M., Sagi, T., Leopold, H., Gal, A., Mendling, J.: Predicting the quality of process model matching. In: Daniel, F., Wang, J., Weber, B. (eds.) BPM 2013. LNCS, vol. 8094, pp. 203–210. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40176-3\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40176-3_16)
268. Weidlich, M., Sheetrit, E., Branco, M.C., Gal, A.: Matching business process models using positional passage-based language models. In: Ng, W., Storey, V.C., Trujillo, J.C. (eds.) ER 2013. LNCS, vol. 8217, pp. 130–137. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41924-9\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41924-9_12)
269. Weidlich, M., Weske, M., Mendling, J.: Change propagation in process models using behavioural profiles. In: IEEE International Conference on Services Computing, SCC 2009, pp. 33–40. IEEE (2009)
270. Wetzstein, B., Ma, Z., Leymann, F.: Towards measuring key performance indicators of semantic business processes. In: Abramowicz, W., Fensel, D. (eds.) BIS 2008. LNBP, vol. 7, pp. 227–238. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79396-0\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79396-0_20)
271. Wolter, C., Meinel, C.: An approach to capture authorisation requirements in business processes. *Requirements Eng.* **15**(4), 359–373 (2010)
272. Woods, W.A.: Semantics and quantification in natural language question answering. *Adv. Comput.* **17**, 1–87 (1978)
273. Xia, F., Palmer, M.: Converting dependency structures to phrase structures. In: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Human Language Technology Research, pp. 1–5. Association for Computational Linguistics (2001)
274. Yu, H., Hatzivassiloglou, V.: Towards answering opinion questions: separating facts from opinions and identifying the polarity of opinion sentences. In: Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 129–136. Association for Computational Linguistics (2003)

275. Yujian, L., Bo, L.: A normalized levenshtein distance metric. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **29**(6), 1091–1095 (2007)
276. Zaiß, K., Schlüter, T., Conrad, S.: Instance-based ontology matching using regular expressions. In: Meersman, R., Tari, Z., Herrero, P. (eds.) *OTM 2008*. LNCS, vol. 5333, pp. 40–41. Springer, Heidelberg (2008). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88875-8\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88875-8_19)
277. Zhang, W., Sim, Y.C., Su, J., Tan, C.L.: Entity linking with effective acronym expansion, instance selection, and topic modeling. In: *IJCAI*, vol. 2011, pp. 1909–1914 (2011)