

Index¹

NUMBERS AND SYMBOLS

1973 Land Ordinance, 59

1997 Local Governments Act, 87

A

Access-based causal analysis, 129,
137

carbonised exclusion through,
123–125

Accountability, 83, 85, 119

of CBO, 131

defined as, 83

democratic system in, 281

procedural legitimacy criteria, 248

Adaptations, types of, 187

Afforestation/reforestation (A/R)

projects, 176

Aggarwal, A., 195

Agrawal, A., 209, 212, 230

Agricultural and Rural Management
Councils (CARGs), 60

All affected principle,
26–27

Amazon Fund, 223–227

Andersson, K., 210

Anglophone civil society, 156

Arnstein, S. R., 54, 75, 76

Asesorandes community, 286,
287

Association of Hungarian Private
Forest Owners (MEGOSZ),
157–159, 166

Association of Local Government of
Nigeria (ALGON), 23, 29,
35–37

¹Note: Page numbers followed by 'n' refer to notes.

B

- Bantu tribal group, 56, 59
- Batwa Pygmies, 56, 58, 59, 63, 67, 71, 77n3
 in Bikoro pilot site, 59, 66
- Bélgica community, 284–286
 Assembly of, 284
 benefit sharing arrangement for certified timber extraction in, 289
 Council of, 284
 Legal Adviser, 285
 at REDD+, 2011–2013, 287–292
 at REDD+ and FSC Certification, 2008–2011, 286–287
- Benefit Sharing Arrangement (BSA)
 certified timber extraction in
 Bélgica community, 289
 Infierno community, 293, 297
- Benefit sharing mechanisms, 270n1, 281, 283, 297
 for India, 196
- Biereenu-Nnabugwu, M., 34
- Bikoro pilot site, 56, 58, 63–70
 Batwa Pygmies in, 59, 66
 communities in, 64
 women focus group in, 67
 youths in, 67
- Bikoro territory, 56, 57
- Bilateral international development organisations, 82
- Biodiversity conservation, 24, 118, 119, 192
- Bond, I., 231
- Bottom-up global governance arrangement, 206
- Brazil
 case study, 227–228
 central government level, authority reconfiguration, 224–225
 forestry recentralisation through REDD+, 226–227
 REDD+ in, 222–224
 situation in forestry sector, 221–222
 state and local government level, authority reconfiguration, 225–226
- Brazilian Forest Service, 226
- Brazilian Institute of the Environment and Natural Resources (IBAMA), 222, 226
- Brazilian National Forest Inventory, 226
- Brown, D., 231
- Brysk, A., 18
- Bureau Diocésain du Développement (BDD), 70, 71

C

- Cancun Agreement, 177, 245, 251
- Carbon accountability, 119, 124, 138
- Carbon commodification, 124
- Carbon emissions, 13, 244
 reduction of, 120
- Carbon forestry programmes, 81, 82
 design and implementation of, 82
 for local partnership, 82
- Carbon forestry, in Uganda
 choice and recognition on local representation in, 103–106
 community members and marginalised groups exclusion, 103–104
 co-optation, contestation and conflicts, 104–105

- downward accountability
 - mechanisms, lack of, 105–106
- forestry context, 88
- implementation of, 86–89
- institutional context, 88, 89
- political context, 86–87
- rhetoric *vs.* practice, 89
- substantive representation in, 84–86
- Carbon funds, management of, 55
- Carbonisation
 - debates of forest governance, 138
 - of forest governance, 119
- Carbonised exclusion, 119, 122, 137
 - through access-based causal analysis, 123–125
- Carbon mitigation, 118
 - projects, negative consequences of, 122
- Carbon offsetting policies, 123
- Carbon sinks, 176, 177, 187
- Central Forest Reserves (CFRs), 88, 94, 95
- Centre for Education, Research and Conservation of Primates and Nature (CERCOPAN), 33
- Certified Emission Reductions (CERs), 188
- Charcoal, 56, 93, 124, 128–130
- Check-and-balance mechanism, 167
- Chhatre, A., 21
- Chipko movement, 181
- Civil society, 149, 156, 167
 - beneficiaries from, 150
 - demand from, 149
 - in Democratic Republic of Congo, 150
 - functioning of, 168
 - mobilisation of, 149
 - representation in REDD+ processes, 150
 - representative function of, 168
- Civil society organisations (CSO), 61, 122, 148–151, 154–156, 162, 163, 168, 169
 - effectiveness of, 168
 - establishment and experience of, 165–166
- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), 81, 108n1, 176, 188, 189
 - projects, 94, 103–106
 - REDD+ Governance, 195–196
- Climate mitigation policy, 123
- Cognitive logic, procedural legitimacy, 250
- Collaborative Forest Management (CFM) groups, 94–97, 104, 105
- Comité Local de Développement* (CLD), 61
- Comité Local de Gestion* (CLG), creation of, 60
- Commodity chain analysis, 124
- Commonwealth Local Government Forum (CLGF), 29
- Community-based forest management, 204, 209, 212, 217, 231
- Community-based NRM project, 190
- Community-based organisations (CBOs), 82, 99, 102–107, 129, 133, 134, 138
 - accountability of, 131
 - forest protection aspect of, 129
 - function of, 128, 129

- Community-based organisations (CBOs) (*cont.*)
 - Kapchebut Farmers CBO, 100–101
 - Kwigate CBO, 101–102
 - meetings, 132
- Community forest management (CFM), 60, 196
- Community forestry project, in Cross River State, 24
- Community livelihoods, 118
- Community participation
 - analytical framework, 53–55
 - in development interventions, 55
 - in early REDD+ activities, 69–72
 - effectiveness of, 51, 52
 - efficiency and empowerment arguments, 55
 - in forest conservation and development interventions, 51
 - legal and policy framework of, 59–61
 - notion of, 72
 - operationalisation of, 55
 - in REDD+ introduction, 62–67
 - in REDD+ village organisation establishment, 67–69
- Community REDD+ MRV strategies, 194
- Community Revolving Funds (CRF), 98
- Comuneros
 - in Bélgica community, 284, 285, 287, 288, 290–292
 - in Infierno community, 292–297, 299
- Consent-seeking process, 74
- Conservation NGOs, 7, 131
- Consultation and Participation Working Group (CPWG), 152
- Contextual equity, 270n1
- Cooke, B., 3
- Corruption, 120, 136, 154, 204, 221, 285, 292
 - decentralisation of, 210, 213
- Council for Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA), 3
- Cross River Climate Change Council, 26
- Cross River National Park (CRNP), 23, 24
- Cross River State, 21, 24, 25, 29, 30, 34–36
 - biodiversity conservation in, 24
 - community forestry project in, 24
 - forest reserves, 23
 - forestry commission, 32
 - forestry law, 24
 - forest source, 24
 - government recognition of NGOs, 38
 - People's Democratic Party (PDP), 34
 - REDD team, 26
 - uncontrolled logging in, 30
- Cross River State Forestry Commission, 21, 30, 32, 36
- CSO-REDD&CC Platform, 154–156, 162, 166
- CTC-Campeche, 255, 256
 - functioning and development of, 254
 - legitimacy of, 260, 261, 264, 265
 - as non-restrictive participatory forum, 255

- CTC-Campeche detractors,
256, 260, 261, 264,
265, 267
- CTC-Campeche supporters, 256,
260, 261, 264, 265
- CTC detractors, 256, 258, 259,
261–263, 266–268, 270
- CTC supporters, 256–259, 262,
266, 268
- Customary authorities, 4, 33, 34, 56,
58–60, 64, 66, 73, 75, 152,
232n1
- Customary landowners (*ayant droit*),
56, 63
- Customary land tenure system,
Tanzania, 125
- D**
- Danielsen, F, 194
- Decentralisation Act of 1982, 159
- Decentralisation
of forestry sector, 125
in Nepal, participation and
representation in, 159–162
reforms, of natural resource
management, 121, 122
- Decision-making processes, 51–53,
55, 59, 70, 86, 188, 248, 267,
269, 281
of REDD+ activities, 54, 73, 246,
249
- Decision-making spaces, 2, 4, 11,
14, 261
- Deforestation, 118, 123, 243
drivers of, 244
lack of interest in, 247
- Deliberation, procedural legitimacy
criteria, 249
- Demand for participation, case
studies, 151–157
- Democracy
defined, 83
foundational principle of, 27
representative, 4, 19, 248
strengthening status-quo and
stifling local democracy, 36–37
- Democratic decentralisation, 3–5,
14, 210
- Democratic decision-making, 7
accountability and responsiveness,
83
- Democratic environmentalism, 149
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
(DRC), 52
forest governance and REDD+ in,
59–61
REDD+ pilot projects (*see* DRC
REDD+ pilot projects)
- Department for International
Development (DFID), 24
- Descriptive representation, 3, 18, 19
- Development-oriented agricultural
services, 58
- Dietz, T., 209
- Discursive representation, 19
- Distributive equity, 270n1
- Document analysis approach, 148
- Downward accountability
mechanisms, lack of, 105–106
- DRC REDD+ pilot projects, 65
Bikoro and Gemena, 75
community participation in, 52, 73
field research on, 58
geographical context and research
methods, 55–59
initiation of, 52
participation in, 53

- DRC REDD+ programme, 52, 61
 Dryzek, J. S., 19, 20
 Duke, D., 35
- E**
- Ecotourism project, 281, 296, 297
 1996, Infierno community,
 293–295
- Efficiency argument, 55
- Ekonda, 56
- Emission Reductions Program Idea
 Note (ER-PIN) document,
 250, 254, 256
- Empowerment argument, 55
- ENAREDD+’s Working Group
 (GT-ENAREDD+), 253–254
- English as Local Management
 Committee, 60
- Environmental governance, 245
 multi-stakeholder nature of, 245
 project of, 243
- Environmental safeguards, for India’s
 biodiversity, 194
- Equateur province
 Bikoro and Gemena territories in,
 56
 REDD+ pilot project, 52, 55
 as tokenism, 76
- Expertise, of forest governance,
 135–137
- F**
- Federación Nativa de Madre de Dios
 (FENAMAD)
 Bélgica community affiliated to,
 285
 Infierno community affiliated to,
 292
- The Federation of Community
 Forestry Users of Nepal
 (FECOFUN), 159–162, 165,
 166
- Focus groups, 6, 58, 59, 63, 65–68,
 70–72, 83
- Food and Agricultural Organisation
 (FAO) of United Nations, 25,
 27
- Forest and Wildlife Policies, 151
- Forest carbon
 enhancement of stocks, 2, 118
 production of, 123
 valuation in Madhya Pradesh, case
 study, 191
- Forest Carbon Partnership Facility
 (FCPF), 2, 90, 147, 151–153,
 217
- Forest Code, 59, 60, 221
 Article 89 of, 60
- Forest communities, 4, 52, 179,
 184–186, 196
- Forest conservation, 7, 8, 25, 51, 98,
 120, 122, 126, 176, 182, 187,
 188, 197, 210, 211
- Forest degradation, 1, 93, 118, 147,
 177, 181, 183, 197, 243
 drivers of, 244
 lack of interest in, 247
- Forest-dependent communities, 82,
 89, 90, 92, 105, 121, 152, 279
- Forest-dependent populations,
 substantive representation of,
 86
- Forest Development Agency (FDA),
 183

- Forest-dwelling communities, 186
 - Forest governance
 - carbonisation of, 119
 - debates on carbonisation, 138
 - in DRC, 59–61
 - expertise, legal plurality and fragmentation of, 135–137
 - local people inclusion in, 3
 - recentralisation of, 118
 - Forest histories, 135
 - dynamic boundary conflicts, 119
 - perspectives of, 119
 - Forest Investment Program (FIP), 2, 218, 220
 - Forest management
 - in Brazil, 221
 - community-based, 204, 209, 212, 217, 231
 - decision-making, community participation in, 176
 - in India, 177–181
 - Forest Management Units (FMUs), 219, 220
 - Forest monitors (FM), 116, 117, 124, 127, 129, 131, 133, 134, 138
 - Forest owner organisation, 157, 158
 - Forest Policy of 1984, 179
 - Forest Policy of 1988, 181
 - Forest protection, 128, 129, 181, 223, 224
 - discourses, 130
 - REDD+ for, 62, 65, 127
 - Forest reserves, 23, 24, 93–96, 203
 - Forestry decentralisation, 204, 212, 228
 - to local governments, 209–211
 - Forestry laws, 24, 213, 244, 280
 - Forestry recentralisation, 211–212
 - in Brazil, 226–227
 - in Indonesia, 219–220
 - Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification, Bélgica community, 286–287
 - Fortress carbon, 122
 - Fragmentation, of forest governance, 135–137
 - Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) process, 2, 6, 18, 61–63, 65, 70, 74–76, 156, 251, 280, 283, 299
 - Fruit tree nursery, establishment of, 71
 - Functional participation, 99
- G**
- Garo Hills REDD+ Project, 190–191
 - Gemena pilot site, 56, 58, 61, 63, 65, 67–69
 - women focus group in, 66
 - Gender equality, 193
 - Geographic information systems (GIS) mapping, 136
 - Ghanaian Forestry Commission, 151, 152
 - Global carbon governance, 204–206
 - Global carbon markets, 119, 231
 - Global forest governance, 11–13
 - Global positioning system (GPS) devices, 116, 117, 129, 133
 - Globalisation, of climate, 123
 - Godfatherism, 34–36
 - Gombe National Park, 126
 - Government Regulation 34, 214

- Green India campaign, 187
 Green India Mission (GIM), 9, 176, 197
 Gupta, A., 119
- H**
- Hard adaptation, 188
 Harvey hurricane, 1
 Hirschman, A. O., 85
 Human agency, 54
 Human livelihood, benefits of, 120
 Hungarian Chambers of Agriculture, 158
 Hungary, private forest owners in, 157–159
- I**
- Illegitimate decision-making forum, 262–258
 Imoke, L., 29, 30
 Incentive fund, 128
 Inclusiveness, 262, 266, 268
 procedural legitimacy criteria, 246–247
 Inclusive representation, 5, 9, 12, 14
 Income-generating activities (IGAs), 99, 189
 Indian Forest Act of 1927, 179
 India, PFM
 benefit sharing mechanisms, 196
 CDM, 188–189
 climate change actions in, 186–191
 community involvement in MRV, 194–195
 emergence of participatory forestry, 181–183
 environmental safeguards, 194
 feasibility and significance of community involvement in, 184–186
 forest cover map of, 178
 forest management in, 177–181
 forestry post-independence, 179–181
 gender equality and women empowerment, 193
 ineffective implementation and enforcement of laws, 193–194
 intra-community and inter-community issues, 193
 NAPCC, 186–187
 REDD+ Governance Right, CDM for, 195–196
 REDD+ pilot projects, case studies, 189–191
 Resolution No. 25, 181
 SAPCC, 187–188
 scientific selection and location of REDD+ pilot sites, 195
 status of forests, 177–178
 Indigenous organisations, 280, 283
 Indigenous peoples, 27, 28, 37, 77n3, 93, 155, 164, 206, 245, 268, 269, 271n7, 280
 in forest governance, 209
 in Peru, 282–284
 Indigenous REDD+, framework for, 283
 Indonesia
 case study, 220
 central government level, authority reconfiguration, 215–217
 forestry recentralisation through REDD+, 219–220

- GHG reduction target, 214
 provincial and district government
 levels, authority
 reconfiguration, 217–219
 REDD+ in, 214–215
 situation in forestry sector,
 213–214
- Inferno community, 292–293
 ecotourism project, 1996,
 293–295
 REDD+ project, 2009–2013,
 295–297
- Institutional choice, 7, 82, 83, 122,
 138
- Instrumental freedoms, 54
- Integrated Conservation and
 Development Projects (ICDP),
 126, 127
- Interethnic Association for
 the Development of
 the Peruvian Amazon
 (AIDSESP), 280
 framework for Indigenous
 REDD+, 283
- Inter-ministerial Commission on
 Climate Change (CICC), 251,
 253
- International climate regime, 52
- International environmental
 governance policy, 85
- International organisations, 11, 12,
 82
- International Tropical Timber
 Organisations (ITTO), 295,
 296
- International Union for the
 Conservation of Nature
 (IUCN), 3, 21, 99
- Irma hurricane, 1
- J
- Joint Forest Management (JFM),
 127, 131
 in India, 2, 9, 175, 182–184,
 191–193, 196
- Joko Widodo, 216
- Joseph, R. A., 41n4
- Joshi, P. K., 195
- K
- Kapchebut Farmers CBO, 99–101
- Katoomba, 30
- Ke'eway Association, 293
- Kelsall, T., 41n2
- Khasi Hills PES/REDD+ Project,
 189
- Kigoma-based conservation NGO,
 136
- Kothari, U., 3
- Kwigate CBO, 99, 101, 102
- L
- Lake Victoria Basin Commission
 (LVBC), 99–101
- Lake Victoria Environment
 Commission (LVEC), 98
- Land tenure, 7, 121, 125, 135, 193,
 222, 279, 299
 security and institutional
 sustainability, 185
- Land Use Planning, 127, 130, 133,
 134
- Lankina, T., 21
- Larson, A. M., 210
- Law of Native Communities of
 1974, defined tribal peoples as,
 282

- Learning Group on Participatory Development, 85
- Legal plurality, of forest governance, 135–137
- Legitimacy
 actors' legitimacy perceptions, 265–268
 of CTC-Campeche, 260, 261, 264, 265
 defined as, 169n1
 perceived legitimacy of REDD+ multi-stakeholder forums, Mexico, 256–265
 procedural legitimacy criteria and indicators, 246–250
- Legitimate decision-making forum, 257–262
- Lidar sensing, 123
- Livelihoods, 119
 benefits on forest, 120
 community livelihoods, 118
 Cross River State forest, 24
 human, benefits of, 120
 in Tanzania, 11
- Local Government Service Commission, 23
- Logging, 24, 30, 58–60, 129, 191, 211, 213, 214, 244, 284, 295, 297
- Lohmann, L., 119
- Lula (Brazil President), 222, 223
- Lund, J. F., 160
- M**
- Management group, 25
- Manin, B., 83, 85, 281
- Marfo, E., 152, 153
- Marion, N. E., 37
- Masito forest, 126, 129, 130
- Matten, D., 18
- Mawphlang Pilot Project (2005–2010), 189–190
- Meaningful participation, procedural legitimacy criteria, 248–249
- Mexico, REDD+ readiness process in, 250–252
 actors' legitimacy perceptions, 265–268
 ENAREDD+'s Working Group (GT-ENAREDD+), 253–254
 perceived legitimacy of, 256–265
 REDD+ multi-stakeholder forums, normative and organisational characteristics, 252–257
 representation importance in evolution of, 269–270
 state-based Technical Advisory Committees, 254–255
 Technical Advisory Committee (CTC), 252–253
- Miombo woodland, 7, 126
- Mongo, 56
- Monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) processes, 118, 227, 280
 community involvement in, 194–195
- Montanaro, L., 19, 20
- Moral logic, procedural legitimacy, 250
- Mt Elgon Regional Ecosystem Conservation Programme (MERECP), 83
 case study overview and representation rhetoric, 98

- Kapchebut Farmers CBO,
100–101
- Kwigate CBO, 101–102
practice of representation in,
98–99
- Multidisciplinary team, 12
- Multilateral international
development organisations, 82
- Multi-stakeholders forums, 245,
246
illegitimate decision-making
forum, 262–258
information sharing between,
255–257
legitimate decision-making
forum, 257–262
- Murthy, I. K., 195
- Museveni, Y., 86, 108n3
- N**
- National Action Plan on Climate
Change (NAPCC), 8, 176,
186, 187
- National Commission on Agriculture
(NCA), 181
- National Forest Action Programme
(NFAP), 182
- National Forest Commission
(CONAFOR), 250–252, 257,
261–263, 266
federal and state offices, 256
recommendations to, 253
- National Forest Policy (NFP), 8, 9,
158, 175, 179
- National Forestry and Tree Planting
Act, 94
- National Forestry Authority (NFA),
88, 94, 109n10
- National Forestry Council
(CONAF), 251, 263, 264,
267–269, 271n8
ENAREDD+’s Working Group
of, 253–254
- National Law on Climate Change,
223
- National Legislative Framework for
Conservation and
Improvement of Environment
and Natural Resources, 177
- Nationally Determined
Contributions (NDCs), 9,
176, 197
- National Mission for a Green India,
187
- National REDD+ Agency, 217, 218
- National REDD+ governance, 244,
246
- National REDD Steering Committee
(NRSC), 152, 156
- National REDD+ strategy
(ENAREDD+), 177, 189, 215,
218, 220, 226, 250, 251, 262,
263
design and implementation of,
253
drafts of, 250
framework, 61
- National Resistance Movement
(NRM), 86, 108n3
- Native communities, 281–283, 292
- Nested approach, 136
- NFA-RECPA collaboration, 95–97
- NGOs, *see* Non-governmental
organisations
- Ngwaka*, 56
- Niemeyer, S., 19, 20
- Nigeria Land Use Act of 1978, 23

- Nigerian Conservation Foundation, 24
- Nigeria-REDD programme, 18, 21, 22, 39, 40
- elected local government design exclusion, 32–34
 - forest landscape, 21
 - ‘Godfatherism’ and local government authorities subordination, 34–36
 - institutional actors responsible for, 25–26
 - institutional structure, 22
 - local actors involved in design of, 29–32
 - political and environmental context, 22–25
 - strengthening status-quo and stifling local democracy, 36–37
 - UN-REDD approved, 37–38
- Nile Basin Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Reforestation Project, 83
- case study context, 94–95
 - NFA-RECPA collaboration, practice of representation, 95–97
- Nixon, R., 117
- Non-governmental organisations (NGOs), 4, 11, 19, 21, 24, 25, 33, 34, 36–39, 53, 82, 91, 93, 103, 106, 107, 109n9, 115, 118, 122, 124, 126, 128–130, 132, 133, 137, 146, 156, 160, 185
- authoritarian strategy of, 135
 - international and national, 58
 - and local government authorities, 131
 - as local representatives in UN-REDD policy board, 27–28
 - online database and paper report to CBO, 129
 - Participatory Forest Management implementation, 127
 - project designs of ICDP and PFM, 127
 - strategy of, 127
- Non-Governmental Organisations Coalition for the Environment (NGOCE), 28, 30
- Normative sense, procedural legitimacy, 249
- Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (NORAD), 98
- Norwegian embassy-supported extended consultations, 90, 92, 93
- Norwegian REDD+ funding, 136
- Ntomba, 56
- Nuesiri, E., 41n2
- O**
- Odigha, O., 33
- Ojha, H. R., 161
- Oliver, W. M., 37
- Onu, G., 34
- Ostrom, E., 209
- Overseas development assistance (ODA), 149, 150
- P**
- Palm oil, 115, 116
- Panchayat, 179, 181
- Paris Agreement, 1, 13, 176
- Article 5 of, 1, 177

- Participation, 145
 access to information, 164
 case studies, 150–162
 CSO establishment and
 experience, 165–166
 discretionary right to say no to
 interventions, 167–168
 funding autonomy, 165
 interests of represented
 constituency, 166–167
 rationale of, 145
 scope and quality of, 146
 supply and demand of, 149, 150,
 162, 163
- Participatory Forest Management
 (PFM), 125, 127, 175, 176
 in India (*see* India, PFM)
 project designs of, 127
- Participatory management approach,
 182
- Payments for ecosystem services
 (PESs), 81, 108n1, 120
- Peasant Development Organisation
 (OPD), 58
- Peasants Development Associations
 (OPD), 60
- People's Democratic Party (PDP),
 34, 35
- Performance-based payments, 119,
 138
- Permanent Forest Estate (PFE), 88
- Peru, 280, 282, 292
 Bélgica community (*see* Bélgica
 community)
 indigenous peoples representation
 in, 282–284
 Infierno community (*see* Infierno
 community)
- Pettit, P., 85
- Peuples Autochtones* (PA), 77n3
- Phelps, J., 212, 230
- Pilot projects, REDD+, 127, 132,
 138, 177
 funding process, 118
 objectives of, 118
 parallel authority and technologies
 of control, 129–133
 political, environmental and
 institutional context of case
 study, 125–127
 safeguards demonstration, 122
- Pitkin, H. F., 18, 19, 84, 146
- Political agency, 117, 149
- Political godfathers, in Nigeria, 6,
 22, 34
- Political representation
 defined as, 18
 inclusive and complementary,
 3–5
 types of, 40n1
- Posada Amazonas, 293
- Power asymmetries, 6, 8, 11, 121
- Power balance mechanism, 167
- Pragmatic logic, procedural
 legitimacy, 250
- Prasad Singh, M., 196
- Pretty, J. N., 77n1
- Procedural equity, 270n1
- Procedural legitimacy, 10, 251, 255,
 256, 258, 266, 268
 criteria and indicators, 246–250
- Project Design Document (PDD),
 128
- Projects Management Committee,
 294
- Proper behavior, 290, 291
- Property rights, 123
- Przeworski, A., 83, 281

Public authority reconfiguration,
205–208

in Brazil, 224–226

in Indonesia, 215–219

Public sector decentralisation

of forestry, 204

research on, 207

Pygmy, 77n3

R

Readiness Plan Idea Note (R-PIN),
151, 152

Readiness Preparation Proposal
(R-PP) development, 89,
151–155

practice of representation in,
90–92

representation rhetoric in
REDD+, 90

World Bank consultations,
91–92

Recentralisation, 9, 121, 138, 204,
213, 230

of forest governance, 118

of forestry through REDD+,
211, 212, 219, 220,
226–228

power dynamics of, 118

REDD+ Cancun agreement, 128

REDD+ CBO council, 132

REDD+ Focal Point, 68, 69

REDD+ Preparedness Proposal, 83

REDD+ Safeguards Information
System, 225

REDD+ Steering Committee, 155,
156

REDD+ Task Force, 215–217

Reducing Emissions from

Deforestation and Forest

Degradation plus (REDD+), 2,

11, 17, 52, 81, 106, 108n1,

118, 204, 243

adoption of, 2, 13

awareness of, 61

Bélgica community, 287–292

bilateral and multilateral

programmes for, 120

boundary delimitation, 133

in Brazil, 222–224

in Cameroon, mobilising civil
society for, 154–157

carbon-focused aspects of, 120

challenges of carbonisation, 119

community knowledge about, 62

community participation in, 12,
62–67, 69–72

compensation for, 123

conservation and livelihood
objectives of, 119

consultation in Ghana,
151–154

costs and benefits of, 2, 93, 119

decentralisation to local
government, 209–211

decision-making processes, 54,
73, 246

in DRC, 59–61

foundational principles, 244

implementation by international
conservation NGO, 126

implementation of, 58, 119, 130,
137

in Indonesia, 214–215

inequity in, 120–122

local knowledge of, 61–62

- local people in implementation, 54
 meetings and activities, 58
 in Mexico, 250–252 (*see also* Mexico, REDD+ readiness process in)
 negative impacts of, 283
 outcomes analyses of, 123
 participatory processes, in Uganda, 86
 policy debate and the implementation of, 52
 policy formation and local governance for, 127–129
 principal of additionality, 127
 project, 2009–2013 (Infierno community), 295–297
 public authority reconfiguration, 209–212
 recentralisation of forestry, 211–212
 requirement of, 93
 social and environmental standards for, 52
 social safeguards, 72, 76
 top-down approach, 121
 village committee, 68
 village populations, 125
 Warsaw Framework for, 177
 Regional Governance Laws, 213, 214, 219, 220
 Relational access mechanisms, 124, 131
 Remote sensing technology, 123
 Representation, 146
 access to information, 164
 accountability and responsiveness of, 146
 by civil society, 146
 CSO establishment and experience, 165–166
 discretionary right to say no to interventions, 167–168
 funding autonomy, 165
 interests of represented constituency, 166–167
 procedural legitimacy criteria, 247–248
 quality measures of, 146
 supply and demand of, 149, 150, 162, 163
 theory of, 12
 types of, 12, 18
 Representative democracy, 4, 19, 248
 Representativeness, procedural legitimacy criteria, 247
 Resource imperialism, 117
 Resource management, participation in, 60
 Responsive Forest Governance Initiative (RFGI), 3
 Responsiveness, 3, 6, 7, 18, 20, 26, 39, 82, 83, 85, 97, 102, 105, 107, 146, 148, 150, 164, 281
 Ribot, J. C., 21, 83, 124, 210, 232n1
 Rice production activity, 71
 Roe, S., 38
 Runciman, D., 85
 Rutt, R. L., 160
 Rwoho-Bagamba CFR management plan, 94
 Rwoho Central Reserve, 109n10
 Rwoho Environmental Conservation and Protection Association (RECPA), 95–97, 105, 109n12

S

Safeguards, 121, 128
 environmental, 194
 REDD+ projects demonstration,
 122

Saro-Wiwa, K., 24

Satyal, P., 152, 153, 156, 166

Saward, M., 19, 20

Sen, A., 53, 54

Severs, E., 19

Shafi, S., 195

Sharma, J., 196

Sharma, N., 195

Singh, O., 195

Slow violence, 117

Social identity, 124
 legal and informal norms of, 131

Social safeguards, 72, 76
 adoption of, 61
 development of, 52

Sociological sense, procedural
 legitimacy, 249

Soft adaptation, 187

Sovereignty rights, of national
 governments, 137

Stakeholder processes, 148

Stakeholders
 for development of REDD+
 strategies, 55
 local communities' participation
 in CTC-Campeche, 265
 procedural legitimacy criteria,
 recognition of, 246
 in REDD+ readiness, 246, 252,
 270n1

State Action Plan on Climate
 Change (SAPCC), 187–188

State-based Technical Advisory
 Committees, 254–255

Statutory authorities, 56, 58

Stavins, R. N., 19

Stern, P. C., 209

Stokes, S. C., 83, 281

Structural violence, 117

Substantive representation, 4, 7, 19,
 84–86, 89, 90, 98, 107, 146

Sud-Ubangi province, 56

Sukuma, 126

Supply driven representation, 150

Supply of participation, case studies,
 157–162

Swedish International Development
 Agency (SIDA), 3

Symbolic representation, 4, 7, 18,
 19, 22, 39, 146

T

Tanzanian pilot projects, initiation
 of, 128

Technical Advisory Committee
 (CTC) for REDD+,
 252–253
 as illegitimate decision-making
 forum, 262–258
 as legitimate decision-making
 forum, 257–262

Tokenism, 6, 11, 54, 75, 76

Top-down approach, 121

Top-down global governance, 206,
 207

Transparency, procedural legitimacy
 criteria, 248

Tribal communities, 179, 184, 186,
 192

Tropical forests
 contemporary scholarship, 120
 functions of, 119

- REDD+ and Enhancing Carbon Stock in, 52
- Trump, D., 1
- U**
- Uganda, 86
 carbon forestry in (*see* Carbon forestry, in Uganda)
 REDD+ participatory processes in, 86
- Uganda Wildlife Authority (UWA), 88, 99, 101, 104
- Unintended violence, 117
- United Cities and Local Government (UCLG), 29
- United Nations Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries (UN-REDD), 2, 12, 17, 20–22, 25, 34, 38–40, 90, 147
 all affected principle and representation in, 26–27
 approved Nigeria-REDD, 37–38
 international policy board, 22
 local government voice in, 28–29
 NGOs as local representatives, policy board, 27–28
 policy board, 39
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 25, 27
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 25, 27
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 2, 27, 28, 30, 85, 94, 120, 138, 147, 177, 188, 207, 208, 244
- United Nations Local Authorities Assembly (UNLAA), 29
- Unspectacular violence, 117
- Upadhyay, S., 196
- V**
- Village assembly, 7, 8, 63, 125
 recentralising power of, 133–135
- Village Environmental Committees, 127
- Village Environmental sub-Committees (VEC), 128, 132, 133
- Village Executive Officer (VEO), 126, 132, 134
- Village-level governmental structures, 125
- Village-level political structures, 125
- Village organisations, REDD+, 73, 75
 community participation in establishment of, 67–69
 establishment of, 58
- Violence
 forms of, 117
 slow violence, 117
 structural, 117
 unintended, 117
 unspectacular, 117
- Voluntary Forest Monitors, 115
- Voluntary Partnership Agreement (VPA), 153, 154, 169n3
- Vulnerability
 analysis of, 117
 climate-related causes of, 124

W

- Wani, A. A., 195
White, S. C., 77n1
WHRC, *see* Woods Hole Research Center
Wildlife Trust of India, 190, 191
Women empowerment, 193
Women focus group, 65, 71
 in Bikoro pilot site, 67
 in Gemena pilot site, 66
Woods Hole Research Center (WHRC), 55, 56, 62, 64, 66–69, 72
World Bank, 13, 28, 86, 94
 consultations of, 91–92
 Forest Carbon Partnership Facility, 2, 90
 Learning Group on Participatory Development, 85
 Norwegian embassy-supported extended consultation, 92–93
Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF), 24
Wunder, S., 212, 231

Y

Yudhoyono, S. B., 214–216

Z

Zamba Malamu projet, 55, 66