

Epilogue

The tendency toward environmental deterioration, currently seen as the most severe in the history of humanity, coupled with climate-change processes is illustrated through high rates of loss in terms of natural capital (Mayr 2000). In their turn, increased migration and disparities in energy consumption among different nations result in high rates of cultural capital loss (Ehrlich and Ehrlich 2005). The depletion of natural and cultural capital leads inexorably to reflection on new ways of understanding the cultural–natural dynamic and, eventually, to the search for alternatives to reverse the trend of anthropogenic degradation.

Environmental deterioration is a global issue with enormous local repercussions. On the one hand, the most industrially developed nations are identified as the causative agents behind the most major changes, while, on the other, developing nations are defined as industrial-impact buffer zones (Velázquez and Picón 2010b). Mexico, a country transitioning to industrialization, is undergoing a process of accelerated environmental deterioration, as seen through the loss of native natural capital and hence the loss of buffering capacity (Velázquez et al. 2010a). The challenge of being able to discern precisely what is being lost is not trivial, given that there is no consensus on how to define organizational levels for natural capital. The framework underlying the theme developed in this book, —SECLAVEMEX||, is an attempt to remedy this lack of definition. A rigorous academic implementation would affect various issues laid out below.

Vegetation types, as much in Mexico as in a large part of the world, are considered as the best indicators of ecosystem diversity within a given territory. Even so, there exists no global classification system sustained by rigorous definitions and typologies for ecosystems. For countries with low alpha and beta diversity, despite their size (e.g. Canada, Russia, Argentina, Australia, and among many others), the lack of a classification system is irrelevant, given the limited range of vegetation types discernible through remote sensing and the relatively few species distributed across enormous spaces. The task of discerning vegetation types in countries with high alpha and beta diversity (e.g. Madagascar, Colombia, Mexico, and among many others) is highly complex. There are many reasons, but three are irrefutable, namely the wide-ranging diversity of endemic species, the high rate of species replacement between contiguous sites, and the gradual substitution of vegetation

types along gradients (vegetation series), which impedes accurate discernment by remote sensing devices.

The aim of developing a single, accurate inventory of the natural capital in a given territory necessitates a rigorous systematization of vegetation-related information. In this contribution, the case of Mexico is presented, given that the previously proposed systems could not rely on the quantity of information now readily available. The necessity of cartographical expression was irrelevant, and the nomenclature was limited in terms of global context (Jardel et al. 2002; González-Elizondo et al. 2007).

—SECLAVEMEX|| contributes to the definition of levels of vegetation organization from two overall viewpoints. The first is the geographic vision beginning with the definition of major biomes based on physiognomic criteria discernible with a high degree of certainty via remote sensing images; the second is the botanical vision stemming from profound knowledge of species groupings in given territories denoting various levels of groupings along catenae. This —geobotanical|| approach was lacking in the education of biological diversity experts in Mexico and is a key to permitting the development of rigorous inventories of ecosystems.

A second implication refers to the necessity of there being a baseline when understanding processes of vegetation dynamics, whether they tend toward irreversible deterioration or toward processes of salvageable recovery. The precise description of the condition of the vegetation types and their tendencies are now fundamental to the ability to reverse undesirable processes or at least to document systematically those vegetation types vulnerable in the long and short terms.

It should be highlighted that, within the previous framework, the range of deterioration experienced by each vegetation type across its organizational levels varies drastically—generally known as the resilience mechanism. It is, for example, known that dry tropical deciduous forests are relatively more susceptible to certain deterioration processes than humid temperate perennial forests (Rzedowski 1978; Durán 2004). For this reason, it is fundamental to know each type of vegetation within an organized framework and hence to denote the resilience capacity of each. In Mexico, there is still a preponderance of dictating natural resource management and conservation policies regarding all vegetation type as functionally equal. As a result, an innovative and eventually fruitful policy such as sustainable forestry or payment for the provision of environmental water services is adequate for one type of vegetation but not for others as each has its own nature in terms of resilience capacity; all of which underscore the urgent need to develop uses and policies responsive to the particularities of each vegetation type. For such an aim, a single and rigorous system is crucial (Peterson 2002; Walker et al. 2002).

An additional advantage included in SECLAVEMEX is the possibility to bridge the gap between the various levels of biological organization and their eventual cartographical expression. This aspect is doubtless fundamental and far from exhausted. The analysis it provides is, moreover, sophisticated, given the complex nature of the vegetation patterns prevalent in Mexico.

Even so, it is from an organized outline that legends expressing vegetation distribution patterns are developed. Based on the various grouping levels, congruent

work scales can be derived, permitting the analysis of said patterns whether for purely academic ends or for management, conservation, and restoration purposes. Among the academic aspects, there stands out the lack of any reference framework for, among others, biogeographical, palaeoecological, synecological, and ecological niche studies. In terms of applied aspects, there is an increasingly urgent need for a single inventory of Mexican vegetation types in their diverse organizational levels with a corresponding cartographical expression so as to corroborate unequivocally the baseline for territorial planning or, as it is better known in Mexico, the land use planning (—Ordenamiento Ecológico Territorial||). Thematic mapping of some 60 distinct themes is currently necessary in order to substantiate the tasks of the —Ordenamientos Ecológicos Territoriales||. No less than half of these themes in their diagnostic, characterization, integration, analytical, prognostic, or projection phases rely on vegetation-type maps to determine the condition, the tendency, and the potential uses for a territory, hence rendering crucial the availability of a SECLAVEMEX replete with a congruent territorial expression. Without such a system, inter-regionally incompatible OETs will continue to be developed and will be impossible to nest in macroregional outlines.

One of the applications worthy of deep reflection is the theme of conservation. To date, conservation schemes in Mexico have followed three lines: the establishment of various categories of Áreas Naturales Protegidas (ANP—protected natural areas), the declaration of Unidades de Manejo de Vida Silvestre (UMAS—wildlife management units) and the environmental services payment schemes (PSA—pago por servicios ambientales). These three approaches have been successful in some aspects, all the while being questionable and even contradictory in certain regions for various reasons: ANPs are, by their very nature, predominantly exclusive of cultural capital and tend toward a low level of operative efficiency in some highly populated regions. UMAS seem destined to address proposals which, in the main, never move beyond adding to an increasingly large number of demands for infinity on infinitely small spaces. Finally, the PSA programme exists within an erroneous conceptual framework, which, on the one hand, gives relative importance to a vegetation type from which goods or services are derived, ignoring that said product prevails through the functional connection of a group of vegetation types, and, which, on the other hand, incentivizes assigning a purely economic value to nature, largely ignoring the fact that what it aims to preserve has had its functional integrity excellently maintained locally by those who hold to principles beyond commercialization, namely cultural and historical values. In the light of previous framework, it is hoped that SECLAVEMEX will be able to contribute to the development of *ad hoc* conservation policies for all vegetation types, policies designed with the specific characteristics of each region of widely diverse countries such as Mexico in mind. Analyses of gaps or —ecological niches|| need to be addressed in the short term such that policies strengthening existent conservation efforts can be derived—policies which can assist in correcting or reorienting conservation projects no longer deemed successful (Boege 2008).

New initiatives such as REED+ for the mitigation of climate change are also important given that the conceptualization and classification of as well as coherent

nomenclature for —forests||, for example, remain unresolved issues, not only in Mexico, but also in a large part of the world, thus providing another opportunity to test the relevance of SECLAVEMEX as much in the ecological definition of —forests|| as in their territorial delimitation.

Mexico, with one of the world's most important emerging economies, with education centres ranking among the best in the work and with its extraordinary cultural and natural heritage, deserves a vegetation classification system rigorous in its conceptualization and simple in its application partly in order to maximize existent knowledge and partly to minimize costs. This goal was, without a doubt, an additional cost-benefit strength afforded by SECLAVEMEX. There are multiple benefits stemming from the use of an inclusive system, congruent with local reality, which draws on the recapitulation of findings from preceding works as way of reorienting for future experiences. In Europe, for example, thanks to the fact that the phytosociological approach to the study of vegetation was taken over a century ago there exists today a congruent strategy for prioritising management and conservation strategies for natural resources (<http://www.rednatura2000.ue>). A decade ago, Canada and the USA took the same path and, although they are still a long way from achieving what exists in Europe, they do have a very similar and compatible scheme (FGDC 2006). Mexico can start with SECLAVEMEX, improving it and developing it into a solid territorial expression of vegetation types, or it can remain on the verge of being able to deepen nationwide knowledge and thus of being able to generate information which would, in real time, contribute to natural- and cultural-resource management and conservation strategies and policies. This task is not the responsibility of a single academic discipline, institution, or social group. On the contrary, it is a collective effort based on agreement and consensus, concepts which are the axis of development in Mexico, a country crying out for new ways to reconcile growing social demands on all that an natural world, ample though under increasing pressure, has to offer.

This book represents the first in a series of eight additional currently underway, the aim of which is to compile a mesoscale inventory of Mexican vegetation types with corresponding cartographical representation. This goal could be achieved within fifteen years and would be possible with massive participation on the part of institutions, researchers, and students. The conceptual framework, however, was the fundamental challenge, and it is SECLAVEMEX, as presented in this contribution, which defines it.

Appendices

See Appendices [A.1](#), [A.2](#), [A.3](#), [A.4](#), [A.5](#), [A.6](#), [A.7](#) and [A.8](#).

Appendix A.1 Common levels and criteria of four classification systems in Mexico

Author(s)	Criteria used in their categories	Level (nomenclature)	Application of the criteria and levels
Miranda and Hernández X. (1963)	1. Floristic	Level I (Vegetation types)	The selection criteria are not applied uniformly in defining each vegetation type
	2. Growth form		The nomenclature is clear, but with a prevalent use of traditional terms
	3. Function		
	4. Height		
	5. Spines		
	6. Habitat		
	7. Succulence		
Rzedowski (1978)	1. Growth form	Level I: (Vegetation types)	The selection criteria are not applied uniformly in defining each vegetation type
	2. Climate	Level II: (Other vegetation types)	It is a hierarchical proposal with two levels, but the nomenclature is not standardized
	3. Function		
	4. Floristic		
	5. Spines		
	6. Xeromorphy		
	7. Habitat		
	8. Substratum		

(continued)

Appendix A.1 (continued)

Author(s)	Criteria used in their categories	Level (nomenclature)	Application of the criteria and levels
COTECOCA (1994)	1. Growth form	Level I: (Vegetation types)	The first criterion is applied consistently in defining the large groups (e.g. forests, jungles, shrubland, and grassland)
	2. Height		The following criteria are applied inconsistently, thus making the nomenclature confusing
	3. Function		
	4. Leaf morphology		
	5. Spines		
	6. Succulence		
	7. Density		
	8. Development		
	9. Substratum		
	10. Management		
González-Medrano (2003)	a. Climate zone	Level I: (Climate zone)	Hierarchy and standardized use of criteria exist
	b. Zonality or azonality	Level II: (Vegetation zonal azonal)	Includes a zonality criterion which brings into consideration which renders system confusing to the point of incomprehensibility
	1. Growth form	Level III: (Bioma)	The nomenclature also relies on different bases and criteria
	2. Function	Level IV: (Series of formation)	
	3. Height	Level V: (Formations)	
	4. Texture and consistency of leaves and stems	Level VI: (Associations)	
	5. Land cover	Level VII: (Consociations)	

Appendix A.2 Criteria of selection SECLAVEMEX and their description

Criteria	Dominant attributes	Qualifier's definition and description of the dominant elements or attributes
Physiognomy	Forest	Dominated by woody stem plants above 5 m tall
Growth form	Shrubland	Dominated by plants with one of more woody or succulent stems. Usually of less than 5 m in height or arborescent or arbofrutescent plants over 5 m high
	Grassland	Dominated by plants with no woody base
	Non-vascular	Plants with limited or no vascular system
Climate	Humid temperate	Climates with a mean annual temperature between 5° and 18 °C and precipitation from 1,000 to >4,000 mm
	Dry temperate	Climates with a mean annual temperature between 5° and 18 °C and precipitation from 0 to 1,000 mm
	Humid tropical	Climates with a mean annual temperature above 18 °C and precipitation from 1,000 to >4,000 mm
	Dry tropical	Climates with a mean annual temperature above 18 °C and precipitation from 0 to 1,000 mm
	Cold	Type E (T) C (cold and temperate. Climates with mean annual temperatures between -2° and 5 °C and precipitation from 0 to 2,000 mm
	Euriclimatic	Cold, temperate, or tropical. Optional qualifier Euriclimatic
Phenology of the foliage	Deciduous	Plant communities where 75 to 100 % of the top stratum individuals lose their leaves during the dry season
	Subdeciduous	Plant communities where 50–75 % of the top stratum individuals lose their leaves
	Evergreen	Plant communities where 75–100 % of the superior-canopy individuals remain green year round
	Subevergreen	Plant communities where 25–50 % of the top stratum individuals lose their foliage during the dry season
Presence of spines or vertical spikes	Spiny	Plant community dominated by individuals with spines
	Spineless	Plant community dominated by individuals without spines
	Subspineless	Plant communities with a density superior to 30 % of individuals with spines but inferior to 70 %
Leaf characteristics	Needle-leaved	Needle-shaped leaf
	Clustered	A clustering of flexible sprouts over a small area
	<i>Angustifolia</i>	Flat, narrow leaf
	Caespitose	Turf like (developed through creeping stems)
	Scales-leaved	Scale-shaped leaf
	Broadleaved	Broad, flat leaf
	<i>Linearifolia</i>	Narrow leaf with parallel edges
	Megaphyllous	Leaf larger than ±150 cm ²
	Microphyllous	Leaf smaller than ±2.5 cm ²
	Rosetophilous	Leaf cluster, generally helically, forming a rosette
Others	Various unconsidered leaf types	

(continued)

Appendix A.2 (continued)

Criteria	Dominant attributes	Qualifier's definition and description of the dominant elements or attributes
Succulence	Succulent	Communities with a predominance of plants with succulent or fleshy stems, leaves, and roots
	Non-succulent	Community of non-succulent dominant plants, neither in leaves stems
Floristic composition	Family	Family is a mid-level taxon within the system of taxonomic categories containing one or more genera (The taxon "Family" can be dominant in some communities, for example herbs in grassland and Pinaceae in some temperate forests)
	Genus	The taxon "genus" can be dominant in some plant communities, for <i>Quercus</i> or <i>Pinus</i> in holm or pine forests
	Species	"Species" is the basic taxon with the hierarchical taxonomic system. Numerous plant communities can be discerned by the dominance of 1–3 or more species
Substratum type	<i>Gypsophile</i>	Plant community adapted to gypsum-rich soils
	Halophytic	Communities adapted to high-salinity soils
	Aquatic and subaquatic	Plants adapted to water covering the soil
	Others	Substrata which and be relevant, but which do form part of this work

Appendix A.3 Previous studies permitting categories and criteria suggested by SECLAVEMEX to be inferred

Case study	Level I Bioma	Level II Major formation	Level III Formation	Level IV Subformation	Level V Series of associations	Level VI Association	Level VII Subassociation
Low deciduous jungle in Kalakmul western Campeche. (Slope centre on light soil hills and NW to 200 and 250 m.s.n.m. M.a. T. 24.6 °C and precipitation 1,076 mm, Canopy 15 m. (Martínez and Galindo-L et al. 2002)	Forest	Humid tropical	Deciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Pseudobombax-Bursera</i>	<i>Pseudobombax ellipticum</i> -and <i>Bursera simaruba</i>	<i>Lysiloma latisiliqua</i>
Low deciduous jungle at the UNAM Chamela biology station Jalisco. M.a. T 24.6°C and Precip. 788 mm (Durán 2004)	Forest	Dry tropical	Deciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Apoplanesia-Lonchocarpus</i>	<i>Apoplanesia paniculata</i> , <i>Lonchocarpus constrictus</i>	
<i>Quercus</i> forest in the La Michilia biosphere reserve SE of Durango, semi-dry temperate climate. M.A.T. 11.6 °C. Precip. 789 mm. (González-Elizondo et al 1993)	Forest	Dry temperate	Deciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>	<i>Quercus obtusata</i> , <i>Q. crassifolia</i> , and <i>Arctostaphylos pungens</i>	
Holm forest. Cerro Huitepec Reserve, San Cristóbal de las Casas, Chis. E-NE of the hill/slope. Elev. 2,450–2,260 m. M.a.T. 14–15 °C. Precip. 1,300mm (Ramírez-Marcial et al. 1998)	Forest	Humid temperate	Deciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>	<i>Quercus</i> spp (95 %) <i>Arbutus xalapensis</i> and <i>Alnus acuminata</i>	
Mountain mesophyll forest in Sierra San Carlos, Tamps. At 1,300–1,400 MSNM. Precip. 734.8 mm (Luna et al. 2001)	Forest	Dry tropical	Evergreen	Linear and broadleaved	<i>Abies-Carya</i>	<i>Abies guatemalensis</i> , <i>Carya ovata</i> , <i>Quercus</i> spp. <i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>	

(continued)

Appendix A.3 (continued)

Case study	Level I		Level II		Level III		Level IV		Level V		Level VI		Level VII	
	Bioma	Major formation	Formation	Subformation	Series of associations	Association	Subassociation							
Mountain mesophyll forest in Chinantla, Oax. At 1,600–1,800 MSNM. M.a. T. 14–17 °C. Precip. 5,797.8 mm (Luna et al. 2001)	Forest	Humid temperate	Evergreen	Broadleaved	<i>Engelhardtia</i>		<i>Engelhardtia mexicana</i>							
Spiny shrubland. Tepeji del Rto. Altitude 2,250 m. M.a. T. 15–16 °C. Precip. 605–790 mm (Romero and Rojas 1991)	Shrubland	Dry temperate	Evergreen	Spiny	<i>Opuntia–Acacia</i>	<i>Opuntia lindheimeri</i> , <i>Acacia schaffneri</i> and <i>Mimosa aculeaticarpa</i> var. <i>biuncifera</i>								
Xeric shrubland. Nizanda, Itsmo de Tehuantepec, Oax. Altitud 100–700 m. m.a. T. 15 °C. Precip. 1,000 mm (Pérez-García et al 2001)	Shrubland	Humid tropical	Evergreen	Succulent	<i>Agave–Hechtia</i>	<i>Agave gihiesbreghtii</i> , <i>Hechtia caudata</i> , <i>H. rosea</i>								
Grassland. Lake Zirahuén, Mich. M. a.T. 10 °C. Precip. ±1,149 mm (Pérez-Calix 1996)	Herbaceous	Humid temperate	Perennial	<i>Angustifolia</i> —clustered		<i>Muhlenbergia gigantea</i>								
Grassland Sierra de San Carlos. Tamps. M.a.T. 23 °C. Precip. 734 mm (Briones 1991)	Herbaceous	Dry tropical	Deciduous	<i>Angustifolia</i> —caespitose		<i>Agrostis semiverticillata</i> , <i>Bothriochloa saccharoides</i> var. <i>torreyana</i> , <i>Danthonia spicata</i> , etc.								

Appendix A.4 Standardized hierarchical classification system for vegetation in Mexico

Hierarchical level						
Level I	II	III	IV	V		
Denomination						
Bioma	Major formation	Formation	Subformation	Series of associations		
Definition criteria						
Physiognomy	Climate type	Foliage phenology (Stratum height)	Morphology, presence of Spines and succulence	Genus level dominance		
Forest	Temperate, humid, or cold	Evergreen	<i>Linearifolia</i>	<i>Abies</i>		
				<i>Pseudotsuga and Picea</i>		
			Needle-leaved	<i>Pinus</i>		
			Scale leaf	<i>Cupressus</i>		
				<i>Juniperus</i>		
			Needle-leaved, scale-linearifolia	<i>Pinus and Juniperus</i>		
				<i>Pinus and Abies</i>		
			Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>		
			Needle-leaved, broadleaved	<i>Pinus and Quercus</i>		
				<i>Quercus</i>		
				Subevergreen or subdeciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>
				Deciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Alnus</i> <i>Quercus</i>
Temperate, humid, or dry	Evergreen	Spineless, broadleaved		<i>Quercus, Pinus and Juniperus</i>		
				<i>Quercus, Juniperus and Bouteloua</i>		
Temperate or tropical humid	Evergreen and subevergreen	Broadleaved		<i>Liquidambar, Ostrya and Carpinus</i>		
				<i>Alfaroa, Alnus and Carpinus</i>		
			<i>Liquidambar, Quercus and Podocarpus</i>			
		Megaphyllous		<i>Sabal, Brahea, Orbignya and Scheelea</i>		

(continued)

Appendix A.4 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
Level I	II	III	IV	V	
				<i>Sabal, Orbignya and Scheelea</i>	
				<i>Sabal, Orbignya and Brahea</i>	
				<i>Scheelea, Sabal and Pseudophoenix</i>	
	Dry temperate	Evergreen		Needle-leaved	<i>Pinus cembroides and P. pinceana</i>
				Scale leaf	<i>Juniperus flaccida and Juniperus sp.</i>
		Subdeciduous or deciduous		Spiny	<i>Acacia, Prosopis and Pithecellobium</i>
		Humid tropical	Evergreen		Broadleaved
	Sub-spineless				
	Needle-leaved or scale leaf				<i>Pinus–Juniperus</i>
	Needle-leaved, broadleaved				<i>Pinus– Quercus</i>
				Broadleaved	<i>Terminalia, Swietenia and Brosimum</i>
					<i>Terminalia, Swietenia and Brosimum</i>
					<i>Pachira, Chrysobalanus and Calophyllum</i>
					<i>Billia, Clusia, Engelhardtia and Meliosma</i>
					<i>Ryzophora, Avicennia, Laguncularia and Conocarpus</i>
Subevergreen					
					<i>Brosimum, Manilkara and Bursera</i>
			<i>Alseis, Pterocarpus, Carpodiptera and Manilkara</i>		

(continued)

Appendix A.4 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
Level I	II	III	IV	V	
				<i>Brosimum</i>	
				<i>Haematoxylum, Metopium, Byrsonima and Crescentia</i>	
				<i>Byrsonima, Curatella and Crescentia</i>	
		Subdeciduous	Broadleaved	<i>Enterolobium, Hymenaea and Orbignya</i>	
				<i>Hymenaea, Enterolobium and Cedrela</i>	
				Spiny	
	Dry temperate	Evergreen		Needle-leaved	<i>Pinus</i>
				Needle, broadleaved	<i>Pinus</i> and <i>Quercus</i>
				Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>
		Subevergreen or subdeciduous	Broadleaved	Spiny	<i>Prosopis (Acacia)</i>
					<i>Pithecellobium and Prosopis</i>
					<i>Brosimum, Ficus and Bursera</i>
Deciduous		Spiny	<i>Cercidium, Pithecellobium, Olneya and Prosopis</i>		
			<i>Cercidium, Pithecellobium, Olneya and Prosopis</i>		
		Broadleaved	<i>Ceiba, Lysiloma and Cochlospermum</i>		

(continued)

Appendix A.4 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
Level I	II	III	IV	V
	Cold, temperate, or tropical (euriclimatic)	Evergreen	Broadleaved	<i>Plumeria, Bursera, Gyrocarpus and Spondias</i>
				<i>Piscidia, Lysiloma and Cordia</i>
		Evergreen to deciduous	Not applicable	<i>Taxodium, Platanus and Populus</i>
				<i>Platanus, Populus, Salix and Taxodium</i>

Appendix A.5 Standardized hierarchical classification system for vegetation in Mexico

Hierarchical level				
Level I	II	III	IV	V
Denomination				
Bioma	Major formation	Formation	Subformation	Series of associations
Definition criteria				
Physiognomy	Climate type	Foliage phenology (stratum height)	Morphology, presence of spines and succulence	Genus level dominance
Shrubland	Humid temperate or cold	Evergreen to deciduous	Broadleaved, microphyllous	
	Dry temperate	Evergreen	Needle-leaved	<i>Pinus, Juniperus</i>
			Squamipholiolate-leaf	<i>Pinus</i>
				<i>Juniperus</i>
			Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>
				<i>Adenostoma, Arctostaphylos, Ceanothus, Quercus, etc.</i>
				<i>Quercus, Arctostaphylos, Cotoneaster, Adenostoma, etc.</i>
				<i>Quercus, Arctostaphylos, Cotoneaster, Adenostoma, etc.</i>
			Microphyllous	<i>Larrea, Fouquieria, Cercidium, Ambrosia, etc.</i>
				<i>Larrea, Flourenacia, etc.</i>
				<i>Larrea</i>
			Rosetophilous	<i>Yucca</i>
Succulent, rosetophilous and/or spiny	<i>Agave, Hechtia, Dasyilirion and Yucca</i>			
	<i>Agave, Fouquieria, Pachycormus, Machaerocereus, etc.</i>			
	<i>Agave, Bergerocactus, Dudleya, etc.</i>			
	<i>Agave, Hechtia, etc.</i>			
	<i>Agave</i>			
	<i>Agave, Hechtia, etc.</i>			
<i>Agave, Hechtia y/o Dasyilirion, etc.</i>				

(continued)

Appendix A.5 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
Level I	II	III	IV	V
		Deciduous	Spineless	
			Subspineless	<i>Helietta,</i> <i>Neopringlea,</i> <i>Gochnatia,</i> etc.
				<i>Helietta,</i> <i>Neopringlea,</i> <i>Cordia,</i> <i>Pithecellobium,</i> etc.
				<i>Helietta, Gochnatia,</i> etc.
				<i>Ipomoea, Bursera,</i> <i>Acacia,</i> etc.
				<i>Acacia,</i> <i>Eysenhardtia,</i> <i>Forestiera,</i> <i>Ipomoea,</i>
		Evergreen to deciduous	Spiny	<i>Condalia,</i> <i>Koeberlinia,</i> <i>Lycium,</i> etc.
				<i>Acacia</i>
				<i>Cercidium, Acacia,</i> <i>Leucophyllum,</i> <i>Condalia,</i> etc.
				<i>Acacia</i>
				<i>Prosopis, Acacia,</i> <i>Mimosa,</i> etc.
				Succulent-subspineless
				<i>Bursera, Jatropha,</i> <i>Ambrosia,</i> etc.
			Succulent	<i>Fouquieria</i>
				<i>Opuntia</i>
				Cactáceas
				<i>Cereus</i>
				<i>Myrtillocactus,</i> <i>Lemaireocereus,</i> <i>Escontria,</i> etc.
				<i>Pachycereus,</i> <i>Lophocereus,</i> <i>Cephalocereus,</i> <i>Opuntia,</i> etc.

(continued)

Appendix A.5 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
Level I	II	III	IV	V
				<i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Myrtillocactus</i> , <i>Carnegia</i> , <i>Stenocereus</i>
				Cactáceas
				<i>Lemaireocereus</i> , <i>Prosopis</i> , <i>Bursera</i> , <i>Karwinskia</i> , etc.
				<i>Fouquieria</i> , <i>Euphorbia</i> , <i>Lycium</i> , <i>Lophocereus</i> , etc.
				<i>Fouquieria</i> , <i>Yucca</i> , <i>Pachycereus</i> and <i>Stenocereus</i>
				<i>Fouquieria</i> , <i>Pachycereus</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , etc.
				<i>Pachycereus</i> , <i>Machaerocereus</i> , <i>Lophocereus</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , etc.
			Microphyllous, broadleaved, and succulent	<i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Coccoloba</i> , <i>Bromelia</i> , etc.
				<i>Ipomoea</i> , <i>Croton</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , etc.
				<i>Prosopis</i> , <i>Larrea</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Atriplex</i> , etc.
				<i>Hilaria</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Ephedra</i> , <i>Eriogonum</i> , etc.
	Cold, temperate, and tropical (euriclimatic)	Evergreen	Broadleaved to microphyllous	
		Deciduous	Broadleaved, microphyllous, succulent	

Appendix A.6 Vegetation study methods (Taken from Amador 2009)

Fixed surfaces or dimensions method

Minimum area. Quantitative approach for characterizing vegetation described by data. The sample size (collection of sampling units) must provide the most useful and accurate information possible. It is customary to define sampling units before carrying out any ecological study, given that these are regarded as the smallest area adequately representing the species composition within the community

In concordance with some authors, the following empirical values have been proposed:

Forest arboreal stratum: 200–500 m²

Shrubland: 10–25 m²

Forest herbaceous stratum: 50–200 m²

Herbaceous: 30–100 m²

Nested points method

The most usual method for defining the field area. A small area is recommended for initial consideration: 0.5 m² and that all species present should be noted. The area is doubled successively, and the additional species found in each duplication are noted

Simultaneously, a graph *i* constructed with the *y*- axis representing the number of species and the *x*-axis representing the samples area

The minimum area is the sampling area in which the curve turns almost horizontal

Square methods

Permits precise determination of species density, cover and frequency within the community and using this data to highlight the importance of each. This method does not necessarily imply square areas, and other forms can be used to delimit a constant and known area

Circular plane

Used widely in forest settings. The squared plane is used on flat terrain with abundant vegetation, whereas the rectangular plane is used where there are well-defined environmental gradients

Dimensional techniques (or variable dimensions)

There are various techniques using the distance between the plants or between the plants and a randomly chosen point. These techniques were developed and perfected for the study of the arboreal stratum of plant communities. They offer the advantage of not having delimited zones *a priori*. The two most commonly used techniques are those of points in a quadrant and random points

Points in a quadrant

Random points are located within the area to be sample. In many cases, however, it is appropriate to select points along a line or transect crossing the area to be described

A Cartesian coordinate axis is placed exactly at the sampling point dividing the zone of the site into four equal quadrants (hence the name of the technique). For each quadrant the distance from the centre of the coordinate axis to the nearest plant

Random points

Also require points selected at random. For each point, the plant closest to the centre of the quadrant is located. A line is traced from the point to the plant and then another line perpendicular to the first such that the second line divides the area into half. Then, the plant closest to the sampling point is located in the opposite half (that is the half not containing the first plant selected) with the distance between the two plants now being measured and recorded

Transect method

In some types of vegetation, many species present growth forms which make it difficult to distinguish the limits between individual plants. This characteristic renders inadequate the application of the surface (Fixed or Variable)

Canfield line

In 1941, R. Canfield proposed the “line intercept method” to obtain cover estimates of species frequency in low-height communities. A measuring tape covering from 10 to 100 m distance is laid (the distance is based upon plant height). All plants intercepted by the tape are recorded in terms of species, and total cover along the tape. This method is repeated *n* times until all plant species of the community to be characterized are comprised

Appendix A.7 Relevé sheet with minimum information for a baseline study

<i>Surveyor data and location of sampling unit</i>									
Name				Institution					
Date		Municipality		State					
Latitude		Longitude		Elevation					
Map		Photograph/Image		Polygon Number					
<i>Characterization data Landform</i>									
	Mountain	Low Hills	Plain	Meseta	Valley	Other			
Catenal	Crest	Slope	Piedmont	Valley					
Exposure		Slope	Concave slope			Convex slope			
<i>Soil</i>									
Type		Depth		Colour					
Texture		Structure		Drainage					
Substratum	Gypsophile	Halophytic	Hydrophilic	Other					
<i>Level I Physiognomy Stratification</i>									
	Height X	Coverage %		Classes of cover					
Arboreal				1 = <1-1 %					
Arbustive				2 = 2-5 %					
Herbaceous				3 = 6-25 %					
Flush				4 = 26-50 %					
Other				5 = >50 %					
<i>Note</i> Class coverage threshold values may vary according to the aim of the study									
<i>Level II climate</i>									
	Humid	Dry		Arboreal	Arbustive	Herbaceous			
Tropical			Deciduous						
Temperate			Subdeciduous						
Cold	NA	NA	Evergreen						
Euriclimatic			Subevergreen						

(continued)

Appendix A.7 (continued)

<i>Level IV Leaf Morphology</i>				
	Arboreal	Arbustive	Herbaceous	Other
Presence of spines	Spiny			
	Spineless			
	Subspineless			
Leaf type	Needle-leaved			
	Clustered			
	<i>Angustifoliate</i>			
	Caespitose			
	Scale-leaf			
	Broadleaved			
	<i>Linearifolia</i>			
	Megaphyllous			
	Microphyllous			
	Rosettophilous			
	Others			
	Succulent			
	No-succulence			
<i>Levels V-VII Floristic composition</i>				
	Collection number	Common name	Scientific name Family, genus, species	Stratum
				Coverage

Appendix A.8 Comparison among vegetation classification systems from Mexico

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
Bioma	Major formation	Formation	Subformation	Series of associations	
Physiognomy	Climate-type	Phenology	Morphology presence of spines and succulence	Correspondence with previous proposals (original nomenclature in Spanish)	Author(s)
Forests	Humid or cold temperate	Evergreen	<i>Linearifolia</i>	Bosque de <i>Abies</i>	Rzedowski
				Bosque de abetos u oyameles	Miranda and HX
				Bosque de oyamel (incluye ayarín & cedro)	I GEOGRAFÍA
				Bosque de oyamel	INEGI
				Bosque de ayarín	INEGI
				Bosque de <i>Pseudotsuga</i> & de <i>Picea</i>	Rzedowski
				Bosque templado alto de linearifolios	GLEZ-MED
				Bosque templado mediano linearifolio ripario	GLEZ-MED
				Bosque linearifolio	COTECOCA
			Needle-leaved	Bosque de <i>Pinus</i>	Rzedowski
				Pinares	Miranda and HX
					<i>Pinus</i>
					<i>Pseudotsuga</i> y/o <i>Picea</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Bosque de pino	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Bosque de pino	INEGI
			Bosque templado mediano aciculifolio gipsófilo	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque templado mediano de aciculifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque aciculifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque claro aciculifolio sempervirente	UNESCO
			Bosque de aciculifolios	INEGI
			Bosque de <i>Cupressus</i>	Rzedowski
			Cipresal	INEGI
			Bosque de cedro	INEGI
			Bosque de <i>Juniperus</i>	Rzedowski
			Bosque de enebros	Miranda and HX
			Bosque de táscate	INEGI
			Bosque de táscate	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Bosque escuamifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque templado bajo de escuamifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque aciculi-escuamifolio	COTECOCA
			Needle-leaved, scale-linearifolia	<i>Pinus & Juniperus</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Bosque templado bajo de escuamiaciculifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque aciculi-linearifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque templado & subpolar sempervirente de coníferas	UNESCO
			Bosque de coníferas	INEGI
			Bosque de coníferas	Rzedowski
			Bosque de <i>Quercus</i>	Rzedowski
			Bosque de encino	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Bosque de encino	INEGI
			Bosque esclerófilo	INEGI
			Encinar	Miranda and HX
			Bosque de roble de Veracruz	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de roble del centro de México	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque mediano perennifolio de durifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque templado mediano de durifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque latifoliado sempervirente esclerófilo con lluvias de invierno	UNESCO
			Broadleaved	<i>Quercus</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Bosque claro latifoliado sempervirente	UNESCO
			Bosque esclerófilo perennifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque aciculi-esclerófilo	COTECOCA
			Bosque de pino & roble de la Sierra de Juárez	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de pino & roble de la Sierra Madre del Sur	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de pino & roble de la Sierra Madre Oriental	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de pino & roble de San Lucas	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de pino & roble transvolcánica de México	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de pino-encino	INEGI
			Bosque de pino-encino (incluye encino-pino)	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Bosque esclero-aciculifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque templado mediano de aciculidurifolio	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque templado mediano de duriaciculifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque de encino-pino	INEGI
				<i>Pinus & Quercus</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Bosque templado bajo de duriescuamifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosques de coníferas & b. temp. de latifoliadas	DINERSTEIN
			Bosque de encino	INEGI
				<i>Quercus</i>
			Bosque de encino	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Bosque de <i>Quercus</i>	Rzedowski
			Bosque esclerófilo	INEGI
			Encinares	Miranda and HX
			Bosque esclerófilo subcaducifolio	COTECOCA
			Bosque mayormente sempervirente	UNESCO
			Bosque templado latifoliado sempervirente estacional con lluvias en verano	UNESCO
			Bosque de <i>Alnus</i>	Rzedowski
			Bosque templado mediano de durifolios	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque templado mediano caducifolio	GLEZ-MED
				<i>Alnus</i>
				<i>Quercus</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level						
I	II	III	IV		V	
	Humid or dry temperate	Evergreen	Spineless, broadleaved	Bosque esclerófilo caducifolio Bosque bajo abierto	COTECOCA I GEOGRAFÍA	<i>Quercus, Pinus, Juniperus</i> , etc.
	Humid temperate or tropical	Evergreen or/and subevergreen	Broadleaved	Bosque bajo abierto Bosque caducifolio	INEGI Miranda and HX	<i>Quercus, Juniperus, Bouteloua</i> , etc. <i>Liquidambar, Ostrya, Carpinus</i> , etc.
				Bosque caducifolio	COTECOCA	<i>Alfarroa, Alnus, Carpinus</i>
				Bosque caducifolio	INEGI	
				Bosque mesófilo de montaña	I GEOGRAFÍA	
				Bosque mesófilo de montaña	INEGI	<i>Liquidambar, Quercus, Podocarpus</i>
				Bosque mesófilo de montaña	Rzedowski	
			Megaphyllous	Bosque bajo hidrófilo pinnado palmatifoliado	GLEZ-MED	<i>Sabal, Brahea, Orbignya, & Scheelea</i>
				Palmar	DINERSTEIN	
					I GEOGRAFÍA	
					COTECOCA	
					INEGI	<i>Sabal, Orbignya, Scheelea</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
				<i>Sabal, Orbignya, Brahea</i>
	Dry temperate	Evergreen	Needle-leaved	Miranda and HX <i>Scheelea, Sabal, Pseudophoenix</i>
			Bosque de <i>Pinus</i>	Rzedowski <i>Pinus cembroides, P. pinceana</i>
			Scale leaf	INEGI <i>Juniperus flaccida, Juniperus</i>
		Subdeciduous or deciduous	Spiny	COTECOCA <i>Acacia, Prosopis, Pithecellobium</i>
			Bosque denso espinoso	UNESCO
			Bosque espinoso	INEGI
			Bosque espinoso	Rzedowski
			Bosque claro decidido por el frío, con árboles sempervirentes	UNESCO
			Bosque claro extremadamente xeromórfico dominado por esclerófilas	UNESCO
			Bosque decidido por el frío con árboles (o arbustos) sempervirentes entremezclados	UNESCO
			Bosque denso extremadamente xeromórfico dominado por esclerófilas	UNESCO

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Bosque claro decíduo por el frío, sin árboles sempervirentes	UNESCO
			Bosque decíduo por el frío sin árboles sempervirentes	UNESCO
		Subspineless	Bosque claro decíduo por la sequía	UNESCO
			Bosque claro mayormente decíduo	UNESCO
			Bosque mayormente decíduo	UNESCO
			Bosque claro espinoso	UNESCO
	Humid tropical	Evergreen	Bosque sempervirente tropical & subtropical de coníferas estacional con lluvias en verano	UNESCO
			Bosque tropical & subtropical de coníferas	DINERSTEIN
		Broadleaved	Bosque tropical alto perennifolio	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque tropical bajo perennifolio	GLEZ-MED
			Bosque tropical perennifolio	Rzedowski
			Selva alta perennifolia	Miranda and HX
			Selva alta perennifolia	INEGI
				<i>Terminalia, Swietenia, Brosimum, etc.</i>
				<i>Pinus -Juniperus</i>
				<i>Terminalia, Swietenia, Brosimum, etc.</i>
				<i>Terminalia, Swietenia, Brosimum, etc.</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level							
I	II	III	IV			V	
				Selva alta perennifolia	COTECOCA		
				Selva alta & mediana perennifolia	I GEOGRAFÍA		
				Selva baja perennifolia	I GEOGRAFÍA	<i>Pachira, Chrysobalanus, Calophyllum, etc.</i>	
				Selva baja perennifolia	COTECOCA		
				Selva baja perennifolia	INEGI		
				Selva mediana a baja perennifolia	Miranda and HX	<i>Billia, Clusia, Engelhardtia, Meliosma, etc.</i>	
				Selva mediana perennifolia	COTECOCA		
				Bosque tropical ombrófilo	UNESCO		
				Manglar	Rzedowski	<i>Ryzophora, Avicennia, Laguncularia & Conocarpus</i>	
				Manglar	Miranda and HX		
				Bosque de manglares	UNESCO		
				Manglar	COTECOCA		
				Manglar	I GEOGRAFÍA		
				Manglares	DINERSTEIN		

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
		Subevergreen	Broadleaved	INEGI
				GLEZ-MED
				GLEZ-MED
				GLEZ-MED
				Miranda and HX
				COTECOCA
				INEGI
				COTECOCA
				I GEOGRAFÍA
				INEGI
				I GEOGRAFÍA
				COTECOCA
				INEGI
				Miranda and HX
				Byrsonima, Curatella & Crescentia
				Byrsonima, Manilkara, Bursera, etc.
				Alseis, Pterocarpus, Carpodiptera, Manilkara, etc.
				Byrsonima
				Haematoxylum, Metopium, Byrsonima & Crescentia

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
		Subdeciduous	Broadleaved	Rzedowski
				I GEOGRAFÍA
				UNESCO
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				UNESCO
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				COTECOCA
				COTECOCA
			Spiny	COTECOCA

(continued)

Enterolobium, Hymenaea, Orbignya, etc.

Hymenaea, Enterolobium, Cedrela, etc.

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
	Dry tropical	Evergreen	Spiny	Selva baja subperennifolia subespinosa Bosque claro mayormente semperviviente COTECOCA
				UNESCO
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				DINERSTEIN
				<i>Prosopis (Acacia)</i>
				I GEOGRAFÍA
				Miranda and HX
				GLEZ-MED
				GLEZ-MED
				<i>Brosimum, Ficus, Bursera, etc.</i>
				INEGI
				INEGI
				COTECOCA

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	V
				Selva mediana subcaducifolia espinosa	COTECOCA
				Selva baja subcaducifolia subespinosa	COTECOCA
				Selva baja espinosa	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Cercidium,</i> <i>Pithecellobium,</i> <i>Olneya, Prosopis,</i> etc.
				Selva baja espinosa	INEGI
				Selva baja caducifolia espinosa	COTECOCA
				Selva baja espinosa caducifolia	Miranda and HX <i>Cercidium,</i> <i>Pithecellobium,</i> <i>Olneya, Prosopis,</i> etc.
				Selva mediana caducifolia espinosa	COTECOCA
				Selva mediana caducifolia	COTECOCA
				Selva mediana caducifolia	INEGI
				Selva alta caducifolia	COTECOCA
				Bosque seco de Baja California	DINERSTEIN
				Bosque seco de Balsas	DINERSTEIN
				Bosque seco de Jalisco	DINERSTEIN
				Bosque seco de Oaxaca	DINERSTEIN

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
	Bosque seco de Sinaloa			DINERSTEIN
	Bosque seco de Tamaulipas & Veracruz			DINERSTEIN
	Bosque seco de Veracruz			DINERSTEIN
	Bosque seco de Yucatán			DINERSTEIN
	Bosque seco tropical de hoja ancha			DINERSTEIN
	Bosques tropicales de latifoliadas			DINERSTEIN
	Bosque deciduo por la sequía (tropical & subtropical)			UNESCO
	Bosque tropical bajo caducifolio			GLEZ-MED
	Bosque tropical caducifolio			Rzedowski
	Bosque tropical mediano caducifolio			GLEZ-MED
	Bosques tropical secos			GLEZ-MED
	Selva baja caducifolia & subcaducifolia			I GEOGRAFÍA
	Selva baja caducifolia			Miranda and HX
				<i>Ceiba, Lysiloma, Cochlospermum, etc.</i>
				<i>Plumeria, Bursera, Gyrocarpus, Spondias, etc.</i>
				<i>Piscidia, Lysiloma, Cordia, etc.</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
Needle-leaved	Cold, temperate or tropical (euclimatic)	Evergreen	Broadleaved	Selva baja caducifolia	COTECOCA
				Selva baja caducifolia	INEGI
				Bosque subtropical ombrófilo	UNESCO
				Bosque bajo a mediano perennifolioshalófilo	GLEZ-MED
				Bosque de galería	INEGI
				Otras comunidades leñosas	Rzedowski
				Bosque bajo perennifolio hidrófilo	GLEZ-MED
				Bosque mediano a alto perennifolioripario	GLEZ-MED
				Selva de galería	INEGI
				Vegetación de galería	I GEOGRAFÍA
Evergreen to deciduous	Evergreen to deciduous	Not applicable	Bosque cultivado	INEGI	
			Plantación forestal	I GEOGRAFÍA	
Humid or cold temperate	Evergreen to deciduous	Broadleaved, microphyllous	Tundra de arbustos enanos, líquenes & musgos	UNESCO	
			Pantano turboso no elevado	UNESCO	
			Formación de pantano turboso de musgos con arbustos enanos	UNESCO	

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
				Pantano turboso elevado	UNESCO
				Matorral decíduo por el frío	UNESCO
				Matorral denso enano decíduos por el frío	UNESCO
				Matorral claro enano decíduos por el frío	UNESCO
	Dry temperate	Evergreen	Needle-leaved	Matorral de coníferas	I GEOGRAFÍA
				Matorral de coníferas	INEGI
				Matorral de <i>Pinus</i>	Rzedowski
				Matorral claro sempervirente aciculifolio micrófilo	UNESCO
				Matorral denso sempervirente aciculifolio micrófilo	UNESCO
			Scale leaf	Matorral de <i>Juniperus</i>	Rzedowski
			Broadleaved	Matorral mediano esclerófilo	COTECOCA
				Matorral mediano esclerófilo perennifolio	GLEZ-MED
				Matorral alto esclerófilo	COTECOCA
				Matorral bajo esclerófilo	COTECOCA
				Matorral arborescente	COTECOCA
				Matorral arbofrutescente	COTECOCA
				Chaparral	I GEOGRAFÍA
					<i>Adenostoma,</i> <i>Arctostaphylos,</i> <i>Ceanothus,</i> <i>Quercus,</i> etc.

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Chaparral	INEGI
			Matorral enano mayormente sempervirente	UNESCO
			Matorral denso enano sempervirente	UNESCO
			Matorral claro enano sempervirente	UNESCO
			Matorral de arbustos enanos sempervirente herbáceo	UNESCO
			Matorral mediterráneo	DINERSTEIN
			Matorral desértico micrófilo	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Matorral inerme	INEGI
			Matorral inerme parvifolio	Miranda and HX
			Matorral alto inerme	GLEZ-MED
			Matorral mediano parvifolio	COTECOCA
			Matorral mediano parvifolio crasicauléscente	COTECOCA
				<i>Larrea, Fouquieria, Cercidium, Ambrosia, etc.</i>
				<i>Larrea, Flourenzia, etc.</i>
				<i>Larrea</i>

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Matorral desértico micrófilo	INEGI
			Izotal	COTECOCA
			Izotales	Miranda and HX
			Izotal	INEGI
			Matorral desértico rosetófilo	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Succulent, rosetophilous and/or spiny	Agave, <i>Hechtia</i> , <i>Dasyliroton</i> <i>Yuca</i>
			Matorral rosetófilo costero	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Matorral rosetófilo costero	Agave, <i>Fouquieria</i> , <i>Pachycormus</i> , <i>Machaerocereus</i> , etc.
			Matorral con rosetófilos acaules	INEGI
			Matorral desértico rosetófilo	INEGI
			Crasi-rosulifolios espinosos	Miranda and HX
			Matorral crasirosulifolio espinoso	COTECOCA
			Crasi rosulifolios	INEGI
				Agave, <i>Hechtia</i> y/o <i>Dasyliroton</i> , etc.

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV		V
				Matorral alto rosetófilo espinoso	GLEZ-MED
				Matorral bajo rosetófilo espinoso	GLEZ-MED
		Deciduous	Spineless	Matorral mayormente deciduo	UNESCO
				Matorral claro subdesértico deciduo	UNESCO
				Matorral denso mayormente deciduo	UNESCO
				Matorral denso enano facultativamente deciduos por la sequía	UNESCO
				Matorral claro enano facultativamente deciduo por la sequía	UNESCO
				Matorral denso enano obligatoriamente deciduos por la sequía	UNESCO
				Matorral claro enano obligatoriamente deciduos por la sequía	UNESCO
				Matorral claro subdesértico deciduo	UNESCO
				Matorral denso enano & comunidades relacionadas	UNESCO

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Subspineless	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Helietta</i> , <i>Neoppringlea</i> , <i>Gochnatia</i> , etc.
				INEGI <i>Helietta</i> , <i>Neoppringlea</i> , <i>Cordia</i> , <i>Pithecellobium</i> , etc.
				COTECOCA <i>Helietta</i> , <i>Gochnatia</i> , etc.
				GLEZ-MED
				COTECOCA
				INEGI
				Miranda and HX
				COTECOCA
				UNESCO
				UNESCO
				Miranda and HX

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Matorral subtropical	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Ipomoea, Bursera, Acacia, etc.</i>
			Matorral subtropical	INEGI <i>Acacia, Eysenhardtia, Forestiera, Ipomoea</i>
			Matorral espinoso con espinas terminales	Miranda and HX <i>Condalia, Koerberlinia, Lycium, etc.</i>
			Matorral espinoso con espinas laterales	Miranda and HX <i>Acacia</i>
			Matorral espinoso tamaulipeco	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Cercidium, Acacia, Leucophyllum, Condalia, etc.</i>
			Matorral alto espinoso	GLEZ-MED <i>Acacia</i>
			Matorral alto espinoso	COTECOCA
			Matorral mediano espinoso	COTECOCA
			Matorral bajo espinoso	COTECOCA
			Huizachal	INEGI
			Matorral espinoso	INEGI <i>Prosopis, Acacia, Mimosa, etc.</i>
			Matorral espinoso tamaulipeco	INEGI
			Matorral sarcocaulle	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Bursera, Jatropha, Pachycereus, etc.</i>
			Matorral sarcocaullescente	COTECOCA
			Matorral sarcocaulle	INEGI <i>Bursera, Jatropha, Ambrosia, etc.</i>
			Matorral oliocilindrocaule afilo	COTECOCA

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Succulent	INEGI
				INEGI
				Miranda and HX
				INEGI
			Matorral de cactus de Guerrero	DINERSTEIN
			Matorral de cactus del centro de México	DINERSTEIN
			Matorral de cactus del norte de Sonora	DINERSTEIN
			Cardonales, tetecheras, etc.	Miranda and HX
			Cardonal	INEGI
			Matorral crasicaule	I GEOGRAFÍA
				Pachycereus, Lophocereus, Cephalocereus, Opuntia, etc.
			Matorral crasicaule	INEGI
				Opuntia, Myrtillocactus, Carnegiea, Stenocereus
			Matorral crasicaule	COTECOCA
			Matorral crasicaulescente	COTECOCA
				Cactáceas
				<i>Cereus</i>
				<i>Myrtillocactus</i> , <i>Lemaitreocereus</i> , <i>Escontria</i> , etc.
				<i>Pachycereus</i> , <i>Lophocereus</i> , <i>Cephalocereus</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , etc.
				<i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Myrtillocactus</i> , <i>Carnegiea</i> , <i>Stenocereus</i>
				Cactáceas

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Matorral arbocrasicaulescente	COTECOCA <i>Lemairoocereus, Prosopis, Burseria, Karwinskia, etc.</i>
			Matorral sarcocrasicaule de neblina	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Fouquieria, Euphorbia, Lycium, Lophocereus, etc.</i>
			Matorral sarcocrasicaule	I GEOGRAFÍA <i>Fouquieria, Yucca, Pachycereus & Stenocereus</i>
			Matorral sarco-crasicaule	INEGI <i>Fouquieria, Pachycereus, Opuntia, etc.</i>
			Matorral sarcocrasicaulescent e subinorme	COTECOCA <i>Pachycereus, Machaerocereus, Lophocereus, Opuntia, etc.</i>
			Matorral sarco-crasicaule de neblina	INEGI <i>Pachycereus, Machaerocereus, Lophocereus, Opuntia, etc.</i>
			Matorral alto crasicaule espinoso	GLEZ-MED
			Matorral mediano crasicaulescente espinoso	COTECOCA
			Matorral bajo crasifolio	COTECOCA

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Microphyllous— broadleaved, succulent	Vegetación de dunas COTECOCA
				Vegetación de dunas costeras INEGI
				Vegetación de dunas costeras Miranda and HX
				Vegetación de desiertos arenosos INEGI
				Vegetación de desiertos arenosos I GEOGRAFÍA
	Cold, temperate or tropical (euclimatic)	Evergreen	Broadleaved, microphyllous	<i>Hilaria, Opuntia, Ephedra, Eriogonum, etc.</i>
				Matorrals medianos hidrófilos GLEZ-MED
				Matarral claro latifoliado sempervirente UNESCO
				Matarral denso latifoliado sempervirente UNESCO
				Matarral mayormente sempervirente UNESCO
				Matarral claro subdesértico mayormente sempervirente UNESCO

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
Herbaceous		Deciduous	Broadleaved, microphyllous, succulent	Matorral claro subdesértico mayormente sempervirente	UNESCO
				Matorrales medianos halófilos	GLEZ-MED
		Perennial		Angustifolia—cluster	Matorrales medianos gipsófilos
	Matorrales bajos a mediano arenícolas				GLEZ-MED
	Matorrales medianos hidrohalófilos				GLEZ-MED
	Pastizal amacollado				GLEZ-MED
	Cold or temperate			Pastizal amacollado abierto	COTECOCA
				Pastizal amacollado de montaña	GLEZ-MED
				Pastizales de montaña	GLEZ-MED
				Pastizal amacollado de montaña con arbustos esparcidos	GLEZ-MED
				Pradera de alta montaña	I GEOGRAFÍA
				Pradera de alta montaña	INEGI
				Vegetación graminoides alta	UNESCO
Vegetación graminoides alta con una simusia leñosa que cubre más del 10 %				UNESCO	

(continued)

Festuca,
Calamagrostis,
Trisetum,
Arenaria, etc.

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				V
I	II	III	IV	
			Vegetación graminoide alta con una sinusia leñosa que cubre más del 10 %	UNESCO
			Vegetación graminoide alta con una sinusia leñosa que cubre más del 10 %	UNESCO
			Zacatonal	DINERSTEIN
			Zacatonales	Miranda and HX
			Páramo	DINERSTEIN
			Angustifolia—broad-leaved	
			Tundra alpina	COTECOCA
			Tundra alpina de México	DINERSTEIN
			Vegetación de páramos de altura	Miranda and HX
			Pastizal amacollado arbofrutescente	COTECOCA
			Pastizal amacollado arborescente	COTECOCA
			Vegetación graminoide alta con sinusia arbórea que cubre el 10–40 %	UNESCO
			Vegetación graminoide alta con una sinusia arbórea que cubre del 10–40 %	UNESCO
	Dry temperate	Perennial	Angustifolia—cluster	

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	V
			<i>Angustifolia</i> — <i>Caespitose</i>	Vegetación graminoide alta con una sinusia arbórea que cubre del 10–40 %	UNESCO
				Herbazal templado	GLEZ-MED
				Pastizal cespitoso	GLEZ-MED
				Pastizal cespitoso, con arbustos	GLEZ-MED
				Pastizal del centro de México	DINERSTEIN
				Pastizal del oriente de México	DINERSTEIN
				Pastizal mediano abierto	COTECOCA
				Pastizal mediano arbofrutescente	COTECOCA
				Pastizal mediano arborescente	COTECOCA
				Pastizal natural	INEGI
				Pastizal natural (incluye pastizal-hizachal)	I GEOGRAFÍA
				Pastizales	Miranda and HX
				Vegetación graminoide baja	UNESCO
				Vegetación graminoide baja sin sinusia leñosa	UNESCO

(continued)

Bouteloua, Buchloë
Bouteloua,
Trichachne,
Hilaria, Aristida,
etc.

Bouteloua,
Muhlenbergia,
Lycurus, etc.

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Vegetación graminoide de altura intermedia	UNESCO
			Vegetación graminoide de altura intermedia prácticamente sin sinusia leñosa	UNESCO
			Vegetación graminoide mesofítica intermedia a baja	UNESCO
		Deciduous	Pastizal natural-Huizachal	INEGI
			Angustifolia—microphyllous	<i>Bouteloua</i> , <i>Sporobolus</i> , <i>Muhlenbergia</i> , <i>Acacia</i> , etc.
			Restinga (dunas costeras)	DINERSTEIN
			Vegetación de dunas costeras	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Vegetación de desiertos áridos arenosos	Miranda and HX
			Sabana	INEGI
			Sabana	<i>Andropogon</i> , <i>Paspalum</i> , <i>Trichachne</i> , etc.
	Humid or dry tropical	Perennial	Angustifolia—broadleaved	<i>Andropogon</i> , <i>Paspalum</i> , <i>Setaria</i> , etc.

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Sabana	COTECOCA
			Sabana de Tabasco & Veracruz	DINERSTEIN
			Sabana de Tehuantepec	DINERSTEIN
			Vegetación graminoide alta con una sinusia arbustos (sabana arbustiva)	UNESCO
			Sabana de palmas de Jalisco	DINERSTEIN
			Sabana de palmas de Veracruz	DINERSTEIN
			Vegetación graminoide de altura intermedia con una sinusia abierta de plantas empenachadas	UNESCO
			Herbazal angustifolio inundado	GLEZ-MED
			Herbazal inundable angustifolio	GLEZ-MED
			Humedal del centro de México	DINERSTEIN
			Pastizales inundables con o sin árboles esparcidos	GLEZ-MED
			Popal	INEGI
			Popal	Rzedowski
				<i>Thalia, Calathea,</i> etc.

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
			Popal	Miranda and HX	<i>Calathea, Thalia, Leersia</i> , etc.
			Popal-tular	I GEOGRAFÍA	<i>Calathea, Thalia, Heliconia & Typha</i>
			Tular	INEGI	
			Tular & carrizal	Rzedowski	<i>Typha, Phragmites, Scirpus</i> , etc.
			Tulares, carrizales	Miranda and HX	<i>Typha, Phragmites, Scirpus</i> , etc.
			Comunidad de agua dulce arraigada	UNESCO	
			Comunidad de agua dulce que flota libremente	UNESCO	
			Herbazal flotante	GLEZ-MED	
			Herbazal inundable latifoliado	GLEZ-MED	
			Herbazal latifoliado inundado	GLEZ-MED	
			Herbazal ripario	GLEZ-MED	
			Herbazal ripario	GLEZ-MED	
			Herbazales hidrófilos	GLEZ-MED	
			Otras comunidades herbáceas anfibias & subacuáticas	Rzedowski	<i>Acrostichum, Ammannia, Crinum</i> , etc.
			Pastizal hidrófilo con arbustos escuamifolios	GLEZ-MED	

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level					
I	II	III	IV	V	
			Vegetación flotante	Rzedowski	<i>Lemma, Spirodela, Wolffia</i> , etc.
			Vegetación hidromórfica de agua dulce	UNESCO	
			Vegetación hidrófila dulceacuicola	GLEZ-MED	
			Vegetación de galería	INEGI	
			Vegetación sumergida	Rzedowski	<i>Cabomba, Ceratophyllum, Myriophyllum</i> , etc.
	Deciduous	Angustifolia, broadleaved and succulent	Agrupaciones de halófitos	Miranda and HX	<i>Suaeda, Atriplex, Distichlis</i> , etc.
			Pastizal amacollado arenicola	GLEZ-MED	
			Pastizal amacollado halófilo	GLEZ-MED	
			Pastizal cespitoso gipsófilo	GLEZ-MED	
			Pastizal cespitoso halófilo	GLEZ-MED	
			Pastizal edáfico	GLEZ-MED	
			Pastizal gipsófilo	INEGI	
			Pastizal halófilo	INEGI	
			Pastizal halófito abierto	COTECOCA	
			Pastizal halófito arbofrutecente	COTECOCA	
			Pastizales halófilos amacollados	GLEZ-MED	

(continued)

Appendix A.8 (continued)

Hierarchical level				
I	II	III	IV	V
			Pastizales halófilos cespitosos	GLEZ-MED
			Pastizales templados edáficos	GLEZ-MED
			Vegetación halófta	Rzedowski
			Vegetación halófta	COTECOCA
			Vegetación halófila	INEGI
			Vegetación halófila & gipsófila	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Pastizal cultivado	INEGI
			Pastizal cultivado	I GEOGRAFÍA
			Pastizal inducido	INEGI
			Pastizal inducido	COTECOCA
			Pastizal inducido	I GEOGRAFÍA
Non-vascular	Humid cold or temperate	Perennial	Not applicable	UNESCO
			Tundra mayormente de briofitas	UNESCO
			Tundra mayormente de líquenes	UNESCO
			Área sin vegetación aparente	I GEOGRAFÍA

SECLA VEMEX provides sound criteria to organize, name, and describe vegetation types consistently with previous contributions, hierarchically and rigorous in its application. Original vernacular names are given in Spanish to avoid misunderstandings when translating them

References

- Amador GAL, Torres R, Aguirre L, Ortega JM, Villaseñor G, Ayala G (2001) Manifestación de impacto ambiental L.T. Agustín Millán II-Volcán Gordo (415 kV), Edo. de México. CFE-UMICH.
- Amador GA (2009). Estructura de la vegetación y unidades de respuesta hidrológica. Tesis doctoral. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales. Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro. 134 pp.
- Anónimo (2003) Perfect scientific classification is first possible when one know? Document of Microsoft Word. <http://www.fs.fed.us/emc/nfma/includes/revise viability alternative.pdf>.
- Boege E (2008) Patrimonio Biocultural de los pueblos indígenas de México. Hacia la conservación in situ de la biodiversidad y agrobiodiversidad en los territorios indígenas, Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Comisión Nacional para el Desarrollo de los Pueblos Indígenas, México.
- Braun-Blanquet J (1979) Fitosociología. Bases para el estudio de las comunidades vegetales. Blume, Madrid.
- Briones O (1991) Sobre la flora, vegetación and fitogeografía de la Sierra de San Carlos, Tamaulipas. Acta Botánica Mexicana. Instituto de Ecología A.C. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán México, 16: 15–43.
- Canfield R (1941) Application of the line interception method in sampling range vegetation. Journal of Forestry 39: 388–394.
- Carpenter JR (1940) The grassland biome. Monogr. 10: 617–687.
- COTECOCA (1994) Revegetación and reforestación de las áreas ganaderas en las zonas áridas and semiáridas de México. Comisión Técnico Consultiva para la definición de los Coeficientes de Agostadero. México.
- Don_Faber-Langendoen (2004) HRWG: Compilation of Issues and Decisions. Microsoft Words document.
- Drude O (1890) über Prinzipien in der Unterscheidung von Vegetations formationen, erläutert an der Cetraleuropäischen. Flora/Engler Bot. Jahrb., 11.
- Durán ME (2004) Estructura, diversidad and mortalidad del componente arbóreo en un mosaico ambiental de Chamela, México. Tesis de Doctorado. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. México, D. F.
- Ehrlich R, Ehrlich A (2005) One with Nineveh: Politics, Consumption, and the Human Future. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- FGDC (Federal Geographic Data Committee) (2006) National Vegetation Classification Standard, Version 2 – Working Draft. Vegetation Subcommittee. Federal Geographic Data Committee, August 2006.
- González-Elizondo S, González-Elizondo M, A Cortés O (1993) Vegetación de la Reserva de la Biosfera - La Michilía, Durango, México. Acta Botánica Mexicana. Instituto de Ecología, A.C. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán. México. 22: 1–104.

- González-Medrano F (2003). Las comunidades vegetales de México. Propuesta para la unificación de la clasificación y nomenclatura de la vegetación de México. Instituto Nacional de Ecología, México, DF.
- González-Elizondo S, González-Elizondo M, Márquez M (2007) Vegetación y ecorregiones de Durango. Plaza y Valdez Editores. México, D. F.
- González CO, Giménez de Azcárate J, García-Pérez J, Aguirre-Rivera R (2007) Flórua vascular de la Sierra de Catorce and Territorios adyacentes, San Luis Potosí, México. *Acta Botánica Mexicana*. Enero, número 078. Instituto de Ecología, A.C. Pátzcuaro, México: 1–38.
- Herrera A (1869) Apuntes para la geografía botánica de México. *Naturaleza* 1: 81–86.
- Humboldt A von (1807) *Essay sur la Géographie des Plantes*. Par Al. de Humboldt and Bonpland, redigé par Al. de Humboldt. Levrault, Schoell et Cie, Paris.
- INEGI (Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografía e Informática). (2005) Estados Unidos Mexicanos. Principales Avances en Materia Cartografica. VIII Conferencia Cartografica Regional de las Naciones Unidas para America, New York.
- Jardel P, Graf S, Gómez G (2002). Manejo forestal comunitario y conservación en la Reserva de la Biosfera Sierra de Manantlán. V Congreso Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas de México. Asociación Mexicana para la Conservación de las Áreas Naturales Protegidas A.C. y Gobierno del Estado de Jalisco. Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.
- Koepfen W (1948) *Climatología*. Fondo de Cultura Económica. México, D.F.
- Luna I, Velázquez A, Velázquez E (2001) México. In: Kappelle, M. and A. D. Brown. Bosques 427 nublados del neotrópico. Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad. Fundación Agroforestal de 428 Argentina. Comité Holandés de la Unión Mundial para la Conservación de la Naturaleza.
- Linnæus C (1735–1770) *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. con 13 ediciones corregidas and aumentadas. <https://www.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=Linn%C3%A6us+C+1735&hl=es&authuser=0#imgsrc=zvt2-t4YmsH8CM%3A>.
- Lott EJ (1993) Annotated checklist of the vascular flora of the Chamela Bay Region, Jalisco, Mexico. *Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences* 148: 1–60.
- Martens M, Galeotti H (1842) *Mémoire sur les fougères du Mexique et considerations sur la géographie de cette contrée*. *Mém. Acad. Sci. Bruxelles* 15: 1–99.
- Martínez E, Galindo-Leal C (2002) La vegetación de Calakmul, Campeche, México: Clasificación, Descripción and Distribución. *Bol. Soc. Bot. México* 71: 7–32.
- Mayr E (2000) Biology in the twenty-first century. *BioScience*, 50(10): 895–897.
- Miranda F, Hernández XE (1963) Los tipos de vegetación de México y su clasificación. *Bol. Soc. Bot. Méx.* 28: 29–179.
- Ochotorena I (1918) Las regiones geográfico-botánicas de México. *Bol. Soc. Mex. Geog. Est.* V (8): 221–231.
- Pedrotti F (2013) *Plant and vegetation mapping*. Springer, Heidelberg.
- Pérez-Calix E (1996) Flora and vegetación de la cuenca del Lago de Zirahuén, Michoacán, México. Flora del Bajío and de Regiones Adyacentes. Fascículo Complementario XIII. Instituto de Ecología. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán. México.
- Pérez-García EA, Meave J, Gallardo C (2001) Vegetación and flora de la región de Nizanda, Istmo de Tehuantepec, Oaxaca, México. *Acta Botánica Mexicana*. Instituto de Ecología A.C. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán. México, 56: 19–88.
- Peterson D (2002) Contagious disturbance, ecological memory, and the emergence of landscape pattern. *Ecosystems*, 5:329-338.
- Ramírez-Marcial N, Ochoa-Gaona S, González-Espinosa M, Quintana-Ascencio PF (1998) Análisis florístico and sucesional en la estación biológica Cerro Huitepec, Chiapas, México. *Acta botánica Mexicana*. Instituto de Ecología A.C. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán. México, 44: 59–85.
- Rangel SR & Zenteno ECR. (1991) Estudio florístico de la Región de Huehuetoca, Estado de México. *Acta Botánica Mexicana*. Instituto de Ecología A.C. Pátzcuaro, Michoacán. México, 14: 33–58.
- Riley D, Young A (1966) *World Vegetation*. Cambridge University Press. London.

- Rivas-Martínez S, Sánchez-Mata D, Costa M (1999) North American Boreal and Western Temperate Forest Vegetation. (Syntaxonomical Synopsis of the potential natural plant communities of North America, II) *Itinera Geobot.* 12: 5–316.
- Romero S, Rojas E (1991). Estudio florístico de la región de Huehuetoca, Estado de México. *Acta Bot. Mex.* 14: 33-57.
- Rzedowski J (1978) *Vegetación de México*. Limusa. México, D.F.
- Strasburger E, Noll F, Schenck H, Schimper AFW (1982) *Tratado de Botánica*. Omega, 7ª ed., Barcelona.
- Velázquez A, Medina C, Reygadas D (2010a) Síntesis geobotánica de las sierras Ajusco-Chichinautzin. *Investigación ambiental. Ciencia and política pública*, Instituto Nacional de Ecología-SEMARNAT. 2(1) <http://www.revista.ine.gob.mx/article/view/90>.
- Velázquez A, Picón AR (2010b) Los retos para lograr un bienestar ambiental duradero. *Interciencia* 35 (2) 5.
- Walker B, Carpenter S, Anderies J, Abel N, Cumming G, Janssen M, Lebel L, Norberg J, Peterson G, Pritchard R (2002) Resilience management in social-ecological systems: a working hypothesis for a participatory approach. *Conservation Ecology*, 6(1): 14.