

Bibliography

- Alston, W. (1989). An internalist externalism. In W. Alston (Ed.), *Epistemic justification: essays in the theory of knowledge* (pp. 227–245). Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Armstrong, D. (2009). Questions about states of affairs. In M. Reicher (Ed.), *States of affairs* (pp. 39–50). Frankfurt: Ontos.
- Ayers, M. (2004). Sense experience, concepts and content: objections to Davidson and McDowell. In R. Schumacher (Ed.), *Perception and reality: from Descartes to the present* (pp. 239–262). Paderborn: Mentis.
- Bayne, T., & Montague, M. (Eds.). (2011). *Cognitive phenomenology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bengson, J., Grube, E., & Korman, D. (2011). A new framework for conceptualism. *Noûs*, 45, 167–189.
- Bermúdez, J. (1998). *The paradox of self-consciousness*. Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Bermúdez, J. (2003a). Nonconceptual content: from perceptual experience to subpersonal computational states. In Y. Gunther (Ed.), *Essays on nonconceptual content* (pp. 183–216). Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Bermúdez, J. (2003b). Peacocke's argument against the autonomy of nonconceptual content. In Y. Gunther (Ed.), *Essays on nonconceptual content* (pp. 293–308). Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Bermúdez, J. (2003c). *Thinking without words*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bermúdez, J. (2005). *Philosophy of psychology: a contemporary introduction*. New York: Routledge.
- Bermúdez, J. (2007). What is at stake in the debate on nonconceptual content? *Philosophical Perspectives*, 21, 55–72.
- Bermúdez, J. (2009). The distinction between conceptual and nonconceptual content. In A. Beckermann, B. McLaughlin, & S. Walter (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of philosophy of mind* (pp. 457–474). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bermúdez, J., & MacPherson, F. (1998). Nonconceptual content and the nature of perceptual experience. *EJAP*, 6, n.a.
- BonJour, L. (1985). *The structure of empirical knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- BonJour, L. (1999). The dialectic of foundationalism and coherentism. In J. Greco & E. Sosa (Eds.), *The Blackwell guide to epistemology* (pp. 117–144). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- BonJour, L. (2001). Toward a defense of empirical foundationalism. In M. DePaul (Ed.), *Resurrecting old-fashioned foundationalism* (pp. 21–38). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

- BonJour, L. (2010a). Epistemological self-profile. In J. Dancy, E. Sosa, & M. Steup (Eds.), *A companion to epistemology* (pp. 114–118). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- BonJour, L. (2010b). Recent work on the internalism-externalism controversy. In J. Dancy, E. Sosa, & M. Steup (Eds.), *A companion to epistemology* (pp. 33–43). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Botterill, G., & Carruthers, P. (1999). *The philosophy of psychology*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Brewer, B. (1999). *Perception and reason*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Brewer, B. (2005). Perceptual experience has conceptual content. In M. Steup & E. Sosa (Eds.), *Contemporary debates in epistemology* (pp. 217–230). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Brewer, B. (2006). Perception and content. *European Journal of Philosophy*, 14, 165–181.
- Brewer, B. (2011). *Perception and its objects*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Burge, T. (2003). Perceptual entitlement. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 67, 503–548.
- Burge, T. (2005). Disjunctivism and perceptual psychology. *Philosophical Topics*, 33, 1–78.
- Burge, T. (2009). Perceptual objectivity. *Philosophical Review*, 118, 285–324.
- Burge, T. (2010). *Origins of objectivity*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Byrne, A. (2003). Consciousness and nonconceptual content. *Philosophical Studies*, 113, 261–274.
- Byrne, A. (2005). Perception and conceptual content. In M. Steup & E. Sosa (Eds.), *Contemporary debates in epistemology* (pp. 231–250). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Byrne, A., & Logue, H. (2008). Either/or. In A. Haddock & F. Macpherson (Eds.), *Disjunctivism: perception, action, knowledge* (pp. 57–94). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chalmers, D. (2006). Perception and the fall from Eden. In T. Gendler & J. Hawthorne (Eds.), *Perceptual experience* (pp. 49–125). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Chisholm, R. M. (1977). *Theory of knowledge*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Chuard, P. (2006). Demonstrative concepts without re-identification. *Philosophical Studies*, 130, 153–201.
- Chuard, P. (2007). The riches of experience. In R. Genarro (Ed.), *Consciousness and concepts: special issue of the journal of consciousness studies* (pp. 20–42). Exeter: Imprint Academic.
- Chuard, P. (n.d.). Perceptual reasons. http://philrssi.anu.edu.au/people-defaults/philippe/perceptual_reasons.pdf. Accessed 27 Oct 2010.
- Coliva, A. (2003). The argument from the finer-grained content of colour experiences: a redefinition of its role within the debate between McDowell and non-conceptual theorists. *Dialectica*, 57, 57–70.
- Conee, E., & Feldman, R. (2004). Internalism defended. In E. Conee & R. Feldman (Eds.), *Evidentialism: essays in epistemology* (pp. 53–82). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Crane, T. (1988). The waterfall illusion. *Analysis*, 48, 142–147.
- Crane, T. (1992a). Introduction. In T. Crane (Ed.), *The contents of experience: essays on perception* (pp. 1–17). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Crane, T. (1992b). The nonconceptual content of experience. In T. Crane (Ed.), *The contents of experience: essays on perception* (pp. 136–157). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Crane, T. (2001). *Elements of mind: an introduction to the philosophy of mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Crane, T. (2009). Is perception a propositional attitude? *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 59, 452–469.
- Crane, T. (2011). The problem of perception. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (spring 2011 edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2011/entries/perception-problem/>
- Crowther, T. (2006). Two conceptions of conceptualism and nonconceptualism. *Erkenntnis*, 65, 245–276.
- Cussins, A. (2003). Content, conceptual content, and nonconceptual content. In Y. Gunther (Ed.), *Essays on Nonconceptual Content* (pp. 133–163). Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Davidson, D. (1980). Mental events. In D. Davidson (Ed.), *Essays on actions and events* (pp. 207–225). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Davidson, D. (1986/2008). A coherence theory of truth and knowledge. In E. Sosa, J. Kim, & M. McGrath (Eds.), *Epistemology: an anthology*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

- Dennett, D. C. (1971). Intentional systems. *Journal of Philosophy*, 68, 87–106.
- Dennett, D. (1998). *Brainchildren: essays on designing minds*. London: Penguin Books.
- Dixon, M., Smilek, D., & Merikle, P. (2004). Not all synaesthetes are created equal: projector versus associator synaesthetes. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 4, 335–343.
- Dretske, F. (1969). *Seeing and knowing*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Dretske, F. (1981). *Knowledge and the flow of information*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Dretske, F. (1988). *Explaining behavior: reasons in a world of causes*. Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Dretske, F. (1995). *Naturalizing the mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Dreyfus, H. (2005). Overcoming the myth of the mental: how philosophers can profit from the phenomenology of everyday expertise. *Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association*, 79, 47–65.
- Dreyfus, H. (2007). The return of the myth of the mental. *Inquiry*, 50, 352–365.
- Duhau, L. (2009). Conceptuality and generality: a criticism of an argument for content dualism. *Critica*, 41, 39–63.
- Duhau, L. (2011). Perceptual nonconceptualism: disentangling the debate between content and state nonconceptualism. *European Journal of Philosophy*. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0378.2011.00492.x. Article first published online 12/16/2011.
- Dummett, M. (1993). *The seas of language*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Egan, A. (2006). Appearance properties? *Noûs*, 40, 495–521.
- Evans, G. (1982). *The varieties of reference*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Evans, J. (2008). Dual-processing accounts of reasoning, judgment, and social cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 255–278.
- Evans, J., & Stanovich, K. (2013). Dual-process theories of higher cognition: advancing the debate. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 223–241.
- Feldman, R. (2004). In search of internalism and externalism. In R. Schantz (Ed.), *The externalist challenge* (pp. 143–156). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Firth, R. (1978). Are epistemic concepts reducible to ethical concepts?. In A. Goldman & J. Kim (Eds.), *Values and morals* (pp. 215–229). Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Fish, W. (2010). *Philosophy of perception: a contemporary introduction*. New York: Routledge.
- Fodor, J. (1981). The present status of the innateness controversy. In J. Fodor (Ed.), *Representations* (pp. 257–316). Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Fodor, J. (1987). *Psychosemantics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Fodor, J. (2007). The revenge of the given. In J. Cohen & B. McLaughlin (Eds.), *Contemporary debates in philosophy of mind* (pp. 105–116). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Gennaro, R. (2012). *The consciousness paradox: consciousness, concepts, and higher-order thoughts*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Genone, J. (n.d.). Memory and the content of experience. http://philosophy.berkeley.edu/file/233/Memory_and_the_Content_of_Experience.pdf. Accessed 28 Aug 2008.
- Gettier, E. (1963). Is justified true belief knowledge? *Analysis*, 23, 121–123.
- Glock, H.-J. (2010). Concepts, abilities, and propositions. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 81, 115–134.
- Goldman, A. (1976). Discrimination and perceptual knowledge. *Journal of Philosophy*, 73, 771–791.
- Goldman, A. (2006). *Simulationg minds*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gopnik, A., & Wellman, H. M. (1992). Why the child's theory of mind really is a theory. *Mind and Language*, 7, 145–171.
- Gunther, Y. (2001). Content, illusion, partition. *Philosophical Studies*, 102, 185–202.
- Gunther, Y. (2003). *Essays on nonconceptual content*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Hanna, R. (2008). Kantian non-conceptualism. *Philosophical Studies*, 137, 41–64.
- Hanna, R. (n.d.). Cognition, content, and the a priori. Unpublished manuscript. Fall 2013 version.
- Heck, R. (2000). Nonconceptual content and the 'space of reasons'. *Philosophical Review*, 109, 483–523.

- Heck, R. (2007). Are there different kinds of content?. In J. Cohen & B. McLaughlin (Eds.), *Contemporary debates in philosophy of mind* (pp. 117–138). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hopp, W. (2011). *Perception and knowledge: a phenomenological account*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Huemer, M. (2001). *Skepticism and the veil of perception*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hurley, S. (2001). Overintellectualizing the mind. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 63, 423–431.
- Hutto, D. (1998). Nonconceptual content and objectivity. <http://ejap.louisiana.edu/EJAP/1998/hutto98.html>. Accessed 24 May 2006.
- Jackson, F. (2003). Mind and illusion. In A. O’Hear (Ed.), *Minds and persons* (pp. 251–271). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Kant, I. (1787/1970). *Critique of pure reason* (trans: Norman Kemp Smith). London: Macmillan.
- Kelly, S. (1999). What do we see (when we do)? *Philosophical Topics* 27, 107–128.
- Kelly, S. (2001a). Demonstrative concepts and experience. *Philosophical Review*, 110, 397–420.
- Kelly, S. (2001b). The non-conceptual content of perceptual experience: situation dependence and fineness of grain. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 62, 601–608.
- Laurier, D. (2004). Nonconceptual contents vs nonconceptual states. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 68, 23–43.
- Lennox, J. (2015). Darwinism. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (Summer 2015 Edition)*. Forthcoming. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/darwinism/>
- Lerman, H. (2010). Non-conceptual experiential content and reason-giving. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 81, 1–23.
- Lindsay, S. (2000). *Handbook of applied dog behavior and training, vol. 1: adaptation and learning*. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lycan, W. (2003). *Philosophy of language: a contemporary introduction*. London: Routledge.
- Macpherson, F. (2006). Ambiguous figures and the content of experience. *Noûs*, 40, 82–117.
- Markie, P. (2005). The mystery of direct perceptual justification. *Philosophical Studies*, 126, 347–373.
- Martin, M. (1992). Perception, concepts, and memory. *The Philosophical Review*, 101, 745–763.
- Martin, M. (1997/2009). The reality of appearances. In A. Byrne & H. Logue (Eds.), *Disjunctivism: contemporary readings* (pp. 91–115). Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Martin, M. (2001). Epistemic openness and perceptual defeasibility. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 63, 441–448.
- Martin, M. (2004/2009). The limits of self-awareness. In A. Byrne & H. Logue (Eds.), *Disjunctivism: contemporary readings* (pp. 271–317). Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- McDowell, J. (1982/2009). Criteria, defeasibility and knowledge. In A. Byrne & H. Logue (Eds.), *Disjunctivism: contemporary readings* (pp. 75–85). Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- McDowell, J. (1994a). *Mind and world*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McDowell, J. (1994b). The content of perceptual experience. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 175, 190–205.
- McDowell, J. (1998). Reply to commentators. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 58, 403–431.
- McDowell, J. (2007). What myth? *Inquiry*, 50, 338–351.
- McDowell, J. (2009a). Avoiding the myth of the given. In J. McDowell (Ed.), *Having the world in view: essays on Kant, Hegel, and Sellars* (pp. 256–272). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McDowell, J. (2009b). Conceptual capacities in perception. In J. McDowell (Ed.), *Having the world in view: essays on Kant, Hegel, and Sellars* (pp. 127–144). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McDowell, J. (2009c). Sellars on perceptual experience. In J. McDowell (Ed.), *Having the World in view: essays on Kant, Hegel, and Sellars* (pp. 3–22). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- McDowell, J. (2009d). The logical form of an intuition. In *Having the world in view: essays on Kant, Hegel, and Sellars* (pp. 23–43). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mellor, D. (1988). Crane's waterfall illusion. *Analysis*, 48, 147–150.
- Merleau-Ponty, M. (1974). *Phänomenologie der Wahrnehmung*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Müller-Lyer, F. C. (1889). Optische urteilstäuschungen. *Archiv für Physiologie Suppl.* 2, 263–270.
- Nanay, B. (Ed.). (2010). *Perceiving the world*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Neave, P. (n.d.). <http://www.neave.com/strobe/>. Accessed 20 May 2007.
- Necker, L. A. (1832). Observations on some remarkable optical phaenomena seen in Switzerland; and on an optical phaenomenon which occurs on viewing a figure of a crystal or geometrical solid. *London and Edinburgh Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 1, 329–337.
- Nida-Rümelin, M. (2010). Qualia: the knowledge argument. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (summer 2010 edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2010/entries/qualia-knowledge/>
- Noë, A. (2004). *Action in perception*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Olson, J., & Svensson, F. (2005). Regimenting reasons. *Theoria*, 71, 203–214.
- Peacocke, C. (1983). *Sense and content: experience, thought, and their relations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Peacocke, C. (1992). *A study of concepts*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Peacocke, C. (1994). Non-conceptual content: kinds, rationales and relations. *Mind and Language*, 9, 419–429.
- Peacocke, C. (1998). Nonconceptual content defended. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 58, 381–388.
- Peacocke, C. (2001a). Does perception have a nonconceptual content?. *Journal of Philosophy*, 98, 239–264.
- Peacocke, C. (2001b). Phenomenology and nonconceptual content. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 62, 609–615.
- Peacocke, C. (2003). Postscript: the relations between conceptual and nonconceptual content. In Y. Gunther (Ed.), *Essays on nonconceptual content* (pp. 318–322). Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books.
- Peacocke, C. (2014). *The mirror of the world: subjects, consciousness, and self-consciousness*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Peacocke, C. (2015). Perception and the first person. In M. Matthen (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of perception*. Oxford University Press.
- Piccinini, G. (2011). Two kinds of concept: implicit and explicit. *Dialogue*, 50, 179–193.
- Priest, S. (1991). *Theories of the mind*. London: Penguin Books.
- Proust, J. (2000). L'animal intentionnel. *Terrain*, 34, 23–36.
- Pryor, J. (2000). The skeptic and the dogmatist. *Noûs*, 34, 517–549.
- Pryor, J. (2005). There is immediate justification. In M. Steup & E. Sosa (Eds.), *Contemporary debates in epistemology* (pp. 181–201). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Putnam, H. (2000). Brains in a vat. In S. Bernecker & F. Dretske (Eds.), *Knowledge: readings in contemporary epistemology* (pp. 385–399). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Quine, W. V. O. (1960). *Word and Object*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Ravenscroft, I. (2010). Folk psychology as a theory. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (fall 2010 edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2010/entries/folkpsych-theory/>
- Robertson, L., & Sagiv, N. (2005). Synesthesia and the binding problem. In L. Robertson & N. Sagiv (Eds.), *Synesthesia: perspectives from cognitive neuroscience* (pp. 90–107). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Roskies, A. (2008). A new argument for nonconceptual content. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 76, 633–659.
- Roskies, A. (2010). 'That' response doesn't work: against a demonstrative defense of conceptualism. *Noûs*, 44, 112–134.
- Russell, B. (1959). *The problems of philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Samet, J., & Zaitchik, D. (2014). Innateness and contemporary theories of cognition. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (fall 2014 edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2014/entries/innateness-cognition/>
- Schmidt, E. (2010). The argument from animal and infant perception. *Teorema*, 29, 97–110.
- Schmidt, E. (2015). Does perceptual content have to be objective? A defense of nonconceptualism. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science*, 46, 201–214.
- Schwitzgebel, E. (2014). Belief. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (Spring 2014 Edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2014/entries/belief/>
- Sellars, W. (1956). Empiricism and the philosophy of mind. *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, 1, 253–329.
- Siegel, S. (2006). Which properties are represented in perception?. In T. Gendler & J. Hawthorne, (Eds.), *Perceptual experience* (481–503). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Siegel, S. (2012). *The contents of visual experience*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Siegel, S. (2013). The contents of perception. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (fall 2013 edition)*. Stanford University. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2013/entries/perception-contents/>
- Siewert, C. (1998). *The significance of consciousness*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Speaks, J. (2005). Is there a problem about nonconceptual content?. *Philosophical Review*, 114, 359–398. <http://www.arts.mcgill.ca/philospeaks/papers/nonconceptual-penultimate.pdf>. Accessed 20 May 2006.
- Spelke, E. (1990). Principles of object perception. *Cognitive Science*, 14, 29–56.
- Stalnaker, R. (1998). What might nonconceptual content be? *Philosophical Issues*, 9, 339–352.
- Strawson, P. (1959). *Individuals: an essay in descriptive metaphysics*. London: Methuen.
- Strawson, P. (1981). Perception and its objects. In G. Macdonald (Ed.), *Perception and identity*, (pp. 41–60). London: Macmillan Press.
- Swain, M. (1981). *Reasons and knowledge*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Toribio, J. (2008). State versus content: the unfair trial of perceptual nonconceptualism. *Erkenntnis*, 69, 351–361.
- Toribio, J. (2011). Compositionality, iconicity, and perceptual nonconceptualism. *Philosophical Psychology*, 24, 177–193.
- Tye, M. (1995). *Ten problems of consciousness*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Tye, M. (2000). *Consciousness, color, and content*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Tye, M. (2003a). On the virtue of being poised: reply to Seager. *Philosophical Studies*, 113, 275–280.
- Tye, M. (2003b). The Panic theory: reply to Byrne. *Philosophical Studies*, 113, 287–290.
- Tye, M. (2005). On the nonconceptual content of experience. In M. Reicher & J. Marek (Eds.), *Experience and analysis: proceedings of the 27th international Wittgenstein symposium* (pp. 221–242). Vienna: öbv&hpt.
- Tye, M. (2006). Nonconceptual content, richness, and fineness of grain. In T. Gendler & J. Hawthorne (Eds.), *Perceptual experience* (pp. 504–530). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Van Cleve, J. (2012). Defining and defending nonconceptual contents and states. *Philosophical Perspectives*, 26, 411–430.
- Veillet, B. (2014). Belief, re-identification and fineness of grain. *European Journal of Philosophy*, 22, 229–248.
- Wright, C. (2002a). Human nature? In N. Smith (Ed.), *Reading McDowell: on mind and world*, (pp. 140–159). London: Routledge.
- Wright, C. (2002b). Postscript to Chapter 8. In N. Smith (Ed.), *Reading McDowell: on mind and world*. London: Routledge, pp. 160–173.