

Appendix A

Proof of Lemma 3.22

Keeping to the semisimple notation in use in Sect. 3.6, we recall that the **radial component** of $g \in G$ is defined as the unique $R(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ such that

$$g = ke^{R(g)}k'$$

for some $k, k' \in K$ (Cartan decomposition in G , see [27, p. 402]). Let $|X| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$ denote the norm on \mathfrak{g} , o the origin of G/K and $d(., .)$ its distance function.

Lemma A.1. *For all $g, g' \in G$*

$$|R(g) - R(g')| \leq d(g \cdot o, g' \cdot o).$$

Remark. This inequality is equivalent to $d(a \cdot o, a' \cdot o) \leq d(ka \cdot o; a' \cdot o)$ for all $a, a' \in \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $k \in K$.

Proof. ¹The classical decomposition $G = (\exp \mathfrak{p})K$ reduces the problem to the case $g = e^X, g' = e^{X'}$ with $X, X' \in \mathfrak{p}$. We split the proof into two steps.

(i) $|R(e^X) - R(e^{X'})| \leq |X - X'|.$

Indeed, by Cartan decomposition in \mathfrak{p} we may write $X = k \cdot H, X' = k' \cdot H'$ for some $k, k' \in K, H, H' \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ and Proposition 5.18 in [28, p. 196] implies

$$|R(e^X) - R(e^{X'})| = |H - H'| \leq |k \cdot H - k' \cdot H'| = |X - X'|.$$

(ii) $|X - X'| \leq d(\text{Exp } X, \text{Exp } X') = d(e^X \cdot o, e^{X'} \cdot o).$

Indeed $d(\text{Exp } X, \text{Exp } X')$ is the length of the geodesic segment Γ joining both points. Let γ be the corresponding curve segment in the chart Exp , joining

¹I am indebted to Sigurður Helgason for shortening my original proof of the lemma.

X to X' in \mathfrak{p} . Then (ℓ denoting length) $|X - X'| \leq \ell(\gamma)$ in the Euclidean space \mathfrak{p} . Besides $\ell(\gamma) \leq \ell(\Gamma)$ by a general property, due to Élie Cartan, of the exponential chart in a Riemannian manifold of negative curvature [27, p. 73]. Thus $|X - X'| \leq \ell(\Gamma)$ and the proof is complete. ■

Remark. For G/K Cartan's theorem is easily proved as follows. The point is to show that $|(D_X \text{Exp}) V| \geq |V|$ for $X, V \in \mathfrak{p}$, with $D_X \text{Exp} = D_o \tau(e^X) \circ (\text{sh ad } X / \text{ad } X)$. By Cartan decomposition of X it suffices to consider $X \in \mathfrak{a}$. In the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha>0} \mathfrak{p}_\alpha)$ the endomorphism $(\text{ad } X)^2$ is then diagonal with respective eigenvalues $0, \alpha(X)^2$, therefore $\text{sh ad } X / \text{ad } X = 1 + \sum_1^\infty (\text{ad } X)^{2n} / (2n + 1)!$ is diagonal with eigenvalues ≥ 1 and the result follows.

Proof of Lemma 3.22. We now explain how Lemma A.1 implies the estimate

$$R(e^X e^{tH}) = tH + A(X) + O(e^{-t\mu(H)})$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for $X \in \mathfrak{p}, H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, with $\mu(H) := \inf_{\alpha>0} \alpha(H)$.

First, replacing g by $e^{H'} g$ and g' by $e^{H'}$ with $H' \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, the lemma gives

$$\left| R(e^{H'} g) - H' \right| \leq d(e^{H'} g \cdot o, e^{H'} \cdot o) = d(o, g \cdot o). \tag{A.1}$$

Then let $e^X = k(X)e^{A(X)}e^{\sum X_\alpha}$ be the Iwasawa decomposition for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, with $k(X) \in K, A(X) \in \mathfrak{a}, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, the sum Σ running over all positive roots α . Fixing $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and remembering $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)X_\alpha$ we obtain

$$e^X e^{tH} = k(X)e^{tH+A(X)}e^{V(t)} \text{ with } V(t) := \sum_{\alpha>0} e^{-t\alpha(H)} X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

Since $\alpha(H) > 0$ for all positive roots α , we have $tH + A(X) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ for t large enough (uniformly for X in a compact subset of \mathfrak{p}) and (A.1) applies to $R(e^{tH+A(X)}e^{V(t)}) = R(e^X e^{tH})$:

$$\left| R(e^X e^{tH}) - tH - A(X) \right| \leq d(o, e^{V(t)} \cdot o).$$

The latter distance can be evaluated by means of the decomposition $G = (\exp \mathfrak{p})K$. Forgetting t for the moment let us write $e^{sV} = e^{W(s)}k(s)$ with $W(s) \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $k(s) \in K$, smooth functions of $s \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $e^{2W(s)} = e^{sV}e^{-s\theta V}$ and $d(o, e^V \cdot o) = |W(1)|$. Disregarding a trivial case we assume $V \neq 0$. For the s -derivative W' we obtain, with $w = \text{ad } W(s)$,

$$\frac{1 - e^{-2w}}{2w} 2W' = (e^{-2w} - 1)V + (V - \theta V).$$

Since $(1 - e^{-2w})/2w = e^{-w}(\text{sh } w)/w$ is, for $W \in \mathfrak{p}$, an invertible endomorphism of \mathfrak{p} it follows that $2W' = V - \theta V + [W, \dots]$ and, by scalar product with W ,

$$2\langle W(s), W'(s) \rangle = \langle W(s), V - \theta V \rangle,$$

hence $f'(s) \leq |V - \theta V| \sqrt{f(s)}$ with $f(s) = |W(s)|^2$. To integrate this, observe that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(s) > 0$ for $s \neq 0$ because $W(s) = 0$ implies $e^{sV} = e^{s\theta V} \in N \cap \theta N = \{e\}$ hence $s = 0$. Thus $\sqrt{f(s)} \leq \frac{s}{2} |V - \theta V|$ and

$$d(o, e^V \cdot o) = \sqrt{f(1)} \leq \frac{1}{2} |V - \theta V|.$$

For $V = V(t)$ defined above we have $|V - \theta V| \leq Ce^{-t\mu(H)}$ with $\mu(H) = \inf_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(H) > 0$ and a constant C uniform for all X in a compact subset of \mathfrak{p} . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.22. ■

Appendix B

Proof of Theorem 3.23

Here G/K is a rank one Riemannian symmetric space of the noncompact type and we use the notation of Sect. 3.7. As explained in the outline (Sect. 3.7.1) our main task is to make explicit the kernels a, b in the integral formulas (Lemmas B.2 and B.5 below)

$$\int_K \varphi (\|xH + k \cdot yH\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z)a(x, y, z) dz \tag{B.1}$$

$$\int_K \varphi (\|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z)b(x, y, z) dz, \tag{B.2}$$

where φ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty[$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\alpha(H) = 1$, $\|H\| = 1$ and $x, y > 0$. As usual the Haar measure dk over K is normalized by $\int_K dk = 1$. After identification of $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H$ with \mathbb{R} the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is $\pi(X) = X \cdot H$ (the dot denotes here the scalar product on \mathfrak{p} corresponding to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in (3.44)).

Lemma B.1. *Let f be a continuous function on $[-1, 1]$. Then*

$$\int_K f(\pi(k \cdot H)) dk = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) (1-t^2)^{(n-3)/2} dt.$$

Proof. The map $k \mapsto k \cdot H = \text{Ad}(k)H$ induces a diffeomorphism of K/M onto the unit sphere Σ of \mathfrak{p} . The classical spherical measure $d\sigma$ on Σ is invariant under all isometries preserving the origin of \mathfrak{p} , therefore under the adjoint action of K , and $d\sigma$ corresponds to a K -invariant measure on K/M : there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_K f(\pi(k \cdot H)) dk = \int_{K/M} f(\pi(k \cdot H)) d(kM) = C \int_{\Sigma} f(x_1) d\sigma(X).$$

Here $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are coordinates with respect to an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p} , with H as the first basis vector. Given $t \in [-1, 1]$ the intersection of Σ with the hyperplane $x_1 = t$ is (for $n \geq 3$) a $(n - 2)$ -dimensional sphere with radius $\sqrt{1 - t^2}$ and it follows that (with another constant C')

$$\int_K f(\pi(k \cdot H)) dk = C' \int_{-1}^1 f(t) (1 - t^2)^{(n-3)/2} dt,$$

which remains valid for $n = 2$ too. Taking $f = 1$ we obtain the value of C' and the lemma. ■

Lemma B.2. *Given $x, y > 0$ let φ be continuous on $[|x - y|, x + y]$. Then, for all rank one spaces,*

$$\int_K \varphi(\|xH + k \cdot yH\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z)a(x, y, z) dz$$

with

$$a(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{3-n} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \times z \frac{((x + y + z)(x + y - z)(x - y + z)(-x + y + z))^{(n-3)/2}}{(xy)^{n-2}}$$

One has $a(x, y, z) > 0$ for $x, y > 0$ and $|x - y| < z < x + y$.

Proof. Since $\|xH + k \cdot yH\|^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 2xy\pi(k \cdot H)$, this follows from the previous lemma with $f(t) = \varphi(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + 2xyt})$ and the change of variable $t \mapsto z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + 2xyt}$. ■

Remark. A similar proof would give John’s formula for the iterated spherical means (see [29, p. 356]), where the same factor $a(x, y, z)$ appears.

Lemma B.1 turns out to imply (B.2) too in the simple case of real hyperbolic spaces, as follows.

Lemma B.3. *Given $x, y > 0$ let φ be continuous on $[|x - y|, x + y]$. Then, for $H^n(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\int_K \varphi(\|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z)b(x, y, z) dz$$

with

$$b(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{n-3} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z)^{(n-3)/2}}{(\operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y)^{n-2}} \operatorname{sh} z B^{(n-3)/2}$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z} \times \operatorname{sh} \left(\frac{x + y + z}{2} \right) \operatorname{sh} \left(\frac{x + y - z}{2} \right) \operatorname{sh} \left(\frac{x - y + z}{2} \right) \operatorname{sh} \left(\frac{-x + y + z}{2} \right)$$

Proof. Here $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = G/K$ with $G = SO_0(n, 1)$, $K = SO(n) \times \{1\}$, and \mathfrak{p} is the set of matrices

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_n \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

identified with $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for short. We take the unit vector $H = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ as a basis of \mathfrak{a} . The adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{p} is the natural action of $SO(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Since

$$e^Y = I + \frac{\operatorname{sh} y}{y} Y + \frac{\operatorname{ch} y - 1}{y^2} Y^2$$

with $y = \|Y\| = (\sum_1^n y_i^2)^{1/2}$, the equality $e^Z K = e^{xH} e^Y K$ implies (looking at the element in the last row and column) $\operatorname{ch} z = \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y + \operatorname{sh} x \frac{\operatorname{sh} y}{y} y_1$ with $z = \|Z\|$, hence $z \in [|x - y|, x + y]$. Taking $Y = k \cdot yH$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in K$ we see that $z = \|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|$ is given by

$$\operatorname{ch} z = \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y + \pi(k \cdot H) \operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y.$$

Then, by Lemma B.1,

$$\int_K \varphi(z) dk = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) (1 - t^2)^{(n-3)/2} dt$$

if φ and f are related by $\varphi(z) = f(t)$ and $\operatorname{ch} z = \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y + t \operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y$. Expressing the latter integral with the variable z , the result now follows since

$$1 - t^2 = 4 \frac{\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z}{\operatorname{sh}^2 x \operatorname{sh}^2 y} B(x, y, z).$$



Our goal is now to prove Lemma B.5, giving (B.2) for the other hyperbolic spaces, by the classical technique of reduction to $SU(2, 1)$ [27, Chap. IX, §3]. Let $V \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$ be fixed, with $\|V\| = \|W\| = \sqrt{2}$. The next lemma reduces integration of M -bi-invariant functions on K to a 2-dimensional integral; for a similar lemma with different coordinates see Orloff [39, p. 588]. Let us recall that $\dim G/K = n = p + q + 1$ with $p = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, $q = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$ and let $g = k(g)e^{H(g)}n(g)$ denote the Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G$.

Lemma B.4. *Let $k(r, \omega) := k(\bar{n})$ be the K -component of $\bar{n} = \exp(vV + wW) \in \bar{N} = \theta N$, where $v > 0, w > 0$ are related to (r, ω) by*

$$1 + re^{i\omega} = \frac{2}{1 + v^2 - 2iw}.$$

Then, for any continuous function f on K such that $f(mkm') = f(k)$ for $m, m' \in M$ and $k \in K$,

(i) *if $q > 1$ (quaternionic and exceptional hyperbolic spaces)*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K f(k) dk \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2})\Gamma(\frac{q}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^{(p/2)-1} r^q dr \int_0^\pi f(k(r, \omega)) \sin^{q-1} \omega d\omega \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *if $q = 1$ (complex hyperbolic spaces)*

$$\int_K f(k) dk = \frac{p}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^{(p/2)-1} r dr \int_{-\pi}^\pi f(k(r, \omega)) d\omega.$$

Proof. (i) The M -invariance of f implies

$$\int_K f(k) dk = \int_{\bar{N}} f(k(\bar{n})) e^{-2\langle \rho, H(\bar{n}) \rangle} d\bar{n}$$

by a classical integral formula valid for arbitrary rank ([28] p. 198), if the Haar measure $d\bar{n}$ is suitably normalized. In the rank one case $\bar{N} = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha})$; if $q > 1$ we may use polar coordinates (v, σ) in $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, resp. (w, τ) in $\mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K f(k) dk \\ &= C \int f(k(\exp(v\sigma + w\tau))) e^{-2\langle \rho, H(\exp(v\sigma + w\tau)) \rangle} v^{p-1} w^{q-1} dv dw d\sigma d\tau \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant, v, w run over $]0, \infty[$ and σ, τ over the unit spheres S_1, S_2 of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$ with measures $d\sigma, d\tau$. By a theorem of Kostant [60, p. 265] $\text{Ad } M$ acts transitively on $S_1 \times S_2$ if $q > 1$ so that, in view of $\|V\| = \|W\| = \sqrt{2}$, we have $v\sigma + w\tau = m \cdot (\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}V + \frac{w}{\sqrt{2}}W)$ for some $m \in M$. But M commutes with A and normalizes N , therefore

$$k(m\bar{n}m^{-1}) = mk(\bar{n})m^{-1}, H(m\bar{n}m^{-1}) = H(\bar{n})$$

for $m \in M, \bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ and, by the M -invariance of f ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K f(k)dk \\ &= C' \int f(k(\exp(vV + wW)))e^{-2\langle \rho, H(\exp(vV + wW)) \rangle} v^{p-1} w^{q-1} dv dw, \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

an integral over $v > 0, w > 0$ with another constant C' .

The relation $(1 + re^{i\omega})(1 + v^2 - 2iw) = 2$ defines a change of variables $(v, w) \mapsto (r, \omega)$ (which will be convenient to prove the next lemma), a diffeomorphism of $]0, \infty[\times]0, \infty[$ onto $]0, 1[\times]0, \pi[$ inverted by

$$v = \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{1 + 2r \cos \omega + r^2} \right)^{1/2}, w = \frac{r \sin \omega}{1 + 2r \cos \omega + r^2}.$$

Besides

$$v dv dw = (1 + 2r \cos \omega + r^2)^{-2} r dr d\omega.$$

As usual let θ denote the Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} . To compute the Iwasawa decomposition of $\bar{n} = \exp(vV + wW)$ it suffices to work in the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by $V, W, \theta V$ and θW , a method known as $SU(2, 1)$ -reduction since this subalgebra corresponds to a Lie subgroup of G isomorphic to $SU(2, 1)$. By [27, Chap. IX, Theorem 3.8] we have (remembering our choice (3.44) of the norm and $\|V\| = \|W\| = \sqrt{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\langle \rho, H(\bar{n}) \rangle} &= \left((1 + v^2)^2 + 4w^2 \right)^{-(p/2)-q} \\ &= 2^{-p-2q} (1 + 2r \cos \omega + r^2)^{(p/2)+q} \end{aligned}$$

and the integral formula follows, with C' given by the case $f = 1$.

- (ii) For $q = 1$ the group $\text{Ad } M$ acts transitively on the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ by Kostant's theorem and trivially on $\mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$. The integral (B.3) now runs over $v > 0$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and the change $(v, w) \mapsto (r, \omega)$ is a diffeomorphism of $(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}) \setminus ([1, \infty[\times \{0\})$ onto $]0, 1[\times]-\pi, \pi[$. The result follows as above.



Lemma B.5. *Given $x, y > 0$ let φ be continuous on $[|x - y|, x + y]$. Then, for all rank one spaces,*

$$\int_K \varphi (\|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z)b(x, y, z) dz$$

with

$$b(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{n-3} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z)^{(p/2)-1}}{(\operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y)^{n-2}} \\ \times \operatorname{sh} z (\operatorname{ch} z)^q B^{(n-3)/2} {}_2F_1\left(1 - \frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; B\right)$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z} \\ \times \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2}\right) \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2}\right) \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{2}\right)$$

One has $b(x, y, z) > 0$ for $x, y > 0$ and $|x - y| < z < x + y$.

Proof. For $q = 0$ the hypergeometric factor is 1 and the result is given by Lemma B.3, where $n = p + 1$.

We shall prove the lemma for $q > 1$; the case $q = 1$ is similar with minor changes.

- (i) Since the function $k \mapsto z = \|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|$ is M -bi-invariant we only need to compute it, by the previous lemma, for $k = k(r, \omega)$. In order to find $z \geq 0$ such that

$$e^{xH} k(r, \omega) e^{yH} = k' e^{zH} k''$$

for some $k', k'' \in K$, we use $SU(2, 1)$ -reduction again. By [27, Chap. IX, Theorem 3.1] the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by $V, W, \theta V$ and θW contains H and is isomorphic to $su(2, 1)$. Under this isomorphism H, V, W respectively correspond to

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

All computations can now be performed in $SU(2, 1)$ with the maximal compact subgroup $S(U(2) \times U(1))$; we use subscripts 0 for all notions relative to this group. Let $\bar{n}_0 = k_0 e^{iH_0} n_0$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of

$$\bar{n}_0 = \exp(vV_0 + wW_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{v^2}{2} + iw & v & -\frac{v^2}{2} + iw \\ -v & 1 & -v \\ \frac{v^2}{2} - iw & -v & 1 + \frac{v^2}{2} - iw \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$k_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U(2), ad - bc = u, |u| = 1.$$

Applying \bar{n}_0 to the (column) vector $\mathbf{v} = {}^t(1, 0, 1)$ it is easily checked, since $H_0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ and $n_0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, that

$$au = \frac{1 - v^2 + 2iw}{1 + v^2 - 2iw} = re^{i\omega}$$

with $(1 + re^{i\omega})(1 + v^2 - 2iw) = 2$ as in the previous lemma.

Besides, a look at the matrix element on third column and third row of $e^{xH_0}k_0e^{yH_0} = k'_0e^{zH_0}k''_0$ gives (with u', u'' corresponding to k'_0, k''_0)

$$uu'^{-1}u''^{-1} \operatorname{ch} z = \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y + au \operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y.$$

Setting $e^{i\psi} := uu'^{-1}u''^{-1}$ we conclude, first in $SU(2, 1)$ then in G , that $e^{xH}k(r, \omega)e^{yH} = k'e^{zH}k''$ implies

$$e^{i\psi} \operatorname{ch} z = \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y + re^{i\omega} \operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y \tag{B.4}$$

for some $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) Keeping $x, y > 0$ fixed let us look at the map $(r, \omega) \mapsto (z, \psi)$ defined by (B.4), with $0 < r < 1, 0 < \omega < \pi$ and $z \geq 0, -\pi \leq \psi \leq \pi$. Taking the imaginary part we have

$$\operatorname{ch} z \sin \psi = r \sin \omega \operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y, \tag{B.5}$$

thus $\sin \psi > 0$ and $0 < \psi < \pi$. Taking the modulus, $\operatorname{ch}(x - y) < \operatorname{ch} z < \operatorname{ch}(x + y)$, thus $|x - y| < z < x + y$. With B defined in the lemma it is readily checked that

$$B = \frac{(\operatorname{ch}(x + y) - \operatorname{ch} z)(\operatorname{ch} z - \operatorname{ch}(x - y))}{4 \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z} \tag{B.6}$$

$$1 - 2B = \frac{\operatorname{ch}^2 x + \operatorname{ch}^2 y + \operatorname{ch}^2 z - 1}{2 \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z}, \tag{B.7}$$

thus $0 < B < 1/2$. Furthermore (B.4) implies $|e^{i\psi} \operatorname{ch} z - \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y|^2 = r^2 \operatorname{sh}^2 x \operatorname{sh}^2 y$, that is

$$2 \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y \operatorname{ch} z (\cos \psi - 1 + 2B) = (1 - r^2) \operatorname{sh}^2 x \operatorname{sh}^2 y. \tag{B.8}$$

Therefore $\cos \psi > 1 - 2B > 0$ and we conclude that $(r, \omega) \mapsto (z, \psi)$ is a diffeomorphism of $]0, 1[\times]0, \pi[$ onto the open set defined by

$$|x - y| < z < x + y, 0 < \psi < \pi/2, \cos \psi > 1 - 2B(x, y, z).$$

By Lemma B.4 the integral $I = \int_K \varphi (\|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|) dk$ is

$$I = C \int_0^\pi \varphi(z)(1 - r^2)^{(p/2)-1} (r \sin \omega)^{q-1} r dr d\omega$$

with $z = z(r, \omega)$ given by (B.4) and C by the previous lemma. Using (B.5), (B.8) and $\operatorname{sh} z \operatorname{ch} z dz d\psi = \operatorname{sh}^2 x \operatorname{sh}^2 y r dr d\omega$ the integral becomes

$$I = C \frac{(2 \operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y)^{(p/2)-1}}{(\operatorname{sh} x \operatorname{sh} y)^{n-2}} \times \int \varphi(z)(\operatorname{ch} z)^{(p/2)-1+q} \operatorname{sh} z dz \int (\cos \psi - 1 + 2B)^{(p/2)-1} (\sin \psi)^{q-1} d\psi.$$

Considering the domain of ψ for a given z it is now natural to introduce a variable t , running over $]0, 1[$, such that

$$\cos \psi = 1 - 2tB.$$

Then $\sin^2 \psi = 4tB(1 - tB)$ and the integral with respect to ψ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{(p/2)+q-2} B^{(n-3)/2} \int_0^1 t^{(q/2)-1} (1 - t)^{(p/2)-1} (1 - tB)^{(q/2)-1} dt \\ & = 2^{(p/2)+q-2} \frac{\Gamma(p/2)\Gamma(q/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} B^{(n-3)/2} {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; B \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Euler’s integral representation of the hypergeometric function. Since $0 < B < 1/2$ the left-hand side is strictly positive. This implies the lemma, with $b(x, y, z) > 0$ for $|x - y| < z < x + y$. ■

Remarks. (a) Similar computations appear in the study of generalized translation operators by Flensted-Jensen and Koornwinder ([22], or [34] §7.1) in the more general framework of Jacobi functions.

(b) The easy Lemma B.2 may be viewed as a flat limit of Lemma B.5. Indeed on the left-hand side of the integral formula $\varepsilon^{-1}Z(\varepsilon X, \varepsilon Y)$ tends to $X + Y$ as ε tends to 0 whereas, replacing x, y, z by $\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z$ respectively in the right-hand side, B tends to 0, the hypergeometric factor to 1 and $\varepsilon b(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z)$ to $a(x, y, z)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.23. We now combine Lemmas B.2 and B.5. The function

$$\frac{b}{a}(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{ch} z}{\operatorname{ch} x \operatorname{ch} y} \right)^{q/2} \frac{\sigma(z)}{(\sigma(x)\sigma(y))^{n-2}} {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; B \right) \\ \times \left(\sigma \left(\frac{x+y+z}{2} \right) \sigma \left(\frac{x+y-z}{2} \right) \sigma \left(\frac{x-y+z}{2} \right) \sigma \left(\frac{-x+y+z}{2} \right) \right)^{(n-3)/2}$$

(where $\sigma(t) = \operatorname{sh} t/t$ and B is defined in the previous lemma) is continuous on the set of $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|x - y| \leq z \leq x + y$. Indeed $0 \leq B < 1/2$ in this domain by (B.6), (B.7), and the hypergeometric factor is continuous. Taking φ continuous on $[|x - y|, x + y]$ we may therefore replace $\varphi(z)$ by $\varphi(z)(b/a)(x, y, z)$ in Lemma B.2, whence

$$\int_K \varphi(\|Z(xH, k \cdot yH)\|) dk = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi(z) \frac{b}{a}(x, y, z) a(x, y, z) dz \\ = \int_K \varphi(\|xH + k \cdot yH\|) \frac{b}{a}(x, y, \|xH + k \cdot yH\|) dk.$$

Our claim follows, as explained in the outline (Sect. 3.7.1), with

$$e(X, Y) = \frac{j(X)j(Y)}{j(X + Y)} \frac{b}{a}(\|X\|, \|Y\|, \|X + Y\|).$$

We now specialize to $j = J^{1/2}$. In the rank one case any $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ may be written as $X = k \cdot xH$ with $x \geq 0, k \in K$. Since $\alpha(xH) = x = \|X\|$ the Jacobian of Exp is

$$J(X) = J(xH) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sh} x}{x} \right)^p \left(\frac{\operatorname{sh} 2x}{2x} \right)^q = \sigma(x)^{n-1} (\operatorname{ch} x)^q.$$

For $j = J^{1/2}$ we thus obtain

$$e(X, Y) = A(x, y, z)^{(n-3)/2} {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; B(x, y, z) \right)$$

as claimed, with $x = \|X\|, y = \|Y\|, z = \|X + Y\|$. Clearly $e(k \cdot X, k \cdot Y) = e(X, Y)$ for $k \in K$.

Besides, A and B are analytic functions of $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, even with respect to each variable, therefore define analytic functions of (x^2, y^2, z^2) . Thus $A(\|X\|, \|Y\|, \|X + Y\|)$ is an analytic function of $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ and the

same holds for B . Since $|x - y| \leq z \leq x + y$ for the chosen values we have $0 \leq B(x, y, z) < 1/2$, which implies analyticity of the hypergeometric factor too. The theorem now follows from Proposition 3.16. ■

Bibliography

1. Albert, L., Harinck, P., Torossian, C.: Solution non universelle pour le problème KV 78. *J. Lie Theory* **18**, 617–626 (2008); [arXiv:0802.2049](#) (2008)
2. Alekseev, A., Enriquez, B., Torossian, C.: Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **112**, 146–189 (2010); [arXiv:0903.4067](#) (2009)
3. Alekseev, A., Meinrenken, E.: Poisson geometry and the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **335**, 723–728 (2002)
4. Alekseev, A., Meinrenken, E.: Lie theory and the Chern-Weil homomorphism. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **38**, 303–338 (2005)
5. Alekseev, A., Meinrenken, E.: On the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **164**, 615–634 (2006)
6. Alekseev, A., Petracci, E.: Low order terms of the Campbell-Hausdorff series and the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *J. Lie Theory* **16**, 531–538 (2006); [arXiv:math.QA/0508077](#) (2005)
7. Alekseev, A., Torossian, C.: The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld’s associators. *Ann. Math.* **175**, 415–463 (2012); [arXiv:0802.4300](#) (2008)
8. Alekseev, A., Torossian, C.: On triviality of the Kashiwara-Vergne problem for quadratic Lie algebras. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I*, **347**, 1231–1236 (2009); [arXiv:0909.3743](#) (2009)
9. Andler, M., Sahi, S., Torossian, C.: Convolution of invariant distributions: proof of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **69**, 177–203 (2004); [arXiv:math.QA/0104100](#) (2001).
10. Benoist, Y.: Sur l’algèbre des opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un espace symétrique nilpotent. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série A* **295**, 59–62 (1982)
11. Bonfiglioli, A., Fulci, R.: Topics in Noncommutative Algebra: The Theorem of Campbell, Baker, Hausdorff and Dynkin. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2034. Springer, Heidelberg (2012)
12. Burgunder, E.: Eulerian idempotent and Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **58**, 1153–1184 (2008); [arXiv:math.QA/0612548](#) (2006)
13. Casas, F., Murua, A.: An efficient algorithm for computing the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series and some of its applications. *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 033513 (2009); [arXiv:0810.2656](#) (2008)
14. Cattaneo, A., Torossian, C.: Quantification pour les paires symétriques et diagrammes de Kontsevich. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **41**, 789–854 (2008); [arXiv:math.RT/0609693](#) (2006)

15. Cattaneo, A., Rossi, C., Torossian, C.: Biquantization of symmetric pairs and the quantum shift. *J. Geom. Phys.* **74**, 211–250 (2013); [arXiv:1105.5973v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5973v2) (2012)
16. Dooley, A.H.: Orbital convolutions, wrapping maps and e-functions. In: *Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications*, vol. 39, pp. 42–49. Australian National University Press, Canberra (2001)
17. Dooley, A.H., Rice, J.W.: On contractions of semi-simple Lie groups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **289**, 185–202 (1985)
18. Duflou, M.: Opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **10**, 265–288 (1977)
19. Duflou, M.: Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un espace symétrique. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I Math.* **289**, 135–137 (1979)
20. Duistermaat, J.J.: On the similarity between the Iwasawa projection and the diagonal part. *Mém. Soc. Math. France n° 15*, 129–138 (1984)
21. Etingof, P., Schiffman, O.: *Lectures on Quantum Groups*. International Press, Cambridge (1998)
22. Flensted-Jensen, M., Koornwinder, T.: The convolution structure for Jacobi function expansions. *Ark. Mat.* **10**, 245–262 (1973)
23. Graczyk, P., Sawyer, P.: On the kernel of the product formula on symmetric spaces. *J. Geom. Anal.* **14**, 653–672 (2004)
24. Gray, A., Willmore, T.J.: Mean-value theorems for Riemannian manifolds. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb.* **92A**, 343–364 (1982)
25. Guillemin, V., Sternberg, S.: *Symplectic Techniques in Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984)
26. Harish-Chandra: Invariant eigendistributions on a semi-simple Lie group. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **119**, 457–508 (1965)
27. Helgason, S.: *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*. Academic Press, New York (1978)
28. Helgason, S.: *Groups and Geometric Analysis*. Academic Press, Orlando (1984)
29. Helgason, S.: *Geometric Analysis on Symmetric Spaces*, 2nd edn. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2008)
30. Kashiwara, M., Vergne, M.: The Campbell-Hausdorff formula and invariant hyperfunctions. *Invent. Math.* **47**, 249–272 (1978)
31. Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K.: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol. 2. Interscience Publishers, London (1969)
32. Kontsevich, M.: Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66**, 157–216 (2003); [arXiv:math.QA/9709040](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9709040) (1997)
33. Koornwinder, T.: A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform. *Arkiv för Mat.* **13**, 145–159 (1975)
34. Koornwinder, T.: Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups. In: Askey, R. (ed.) *Special Functions: Group Theoretical Aspects and Applications*. D. Reidel, Amsterdam (1984)
35. Koornwinder, T.: Invariant differential operators on nonreductive homogeneous spaces. [arXiv:math/0008116](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0008116) (2000)
36. Lichnerowicz, A.: Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un espace homogène. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **81**, 341–385 (1964)
37. Loos, O.: *Symmetric Spaces*, vol. 1. Benjamin, New York (1969)
38. Minemura, K.: Invariant differential operators and spherical sections on a homogeneous vector bundle. *Tokyo J. Math.* **15**, 231–245 (1992)
39. Orloff, J.: Invariant Radon transforms on a symmetric space. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **318**, 581–600 (1990)
40. Raïs, M.: Solutions élémentaires des opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série A* **273**, 495–498 (1971)

41. Rossi, C.: On the compatibility between cup products, the Alekseev-Torossian connection and the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **350**, 823–826, 871–874 (2012); [arXiv:1205.7029v3](#) (2012)
42. Rouvière, F.: Démonstration de la conjecture de Kashiwara-Vergne pour l’algèbre $sl(2)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **292**, 657–660 (1981)
43. Rouvière, F.: Espaces symétriques et méthode de Kashiwara-Vergne. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **19**, 553–581 (1986)
44. Rouvière, F.: Invariant analysis and contractions of symmetric spaces I. *Compositio Math.* **73**, 241–270 (1990)
45. Rouvière, F.: Invariant analysis and contractions of symmetric spaces II. *Compositio Math.* **80**, 111–136 (1991)
46. Rouvière, F.: Une propriété de symétrie des espaces symétriques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **313**, 5–8 (1991)
47. Rouvière, F.: Fibrés en droites sur un espace symétrique et analyse invariante. *J. Funct. Anal.* **124**, 263–291 (1994)
48. Rouvière, F.: “Trivialité” du problème de Kashiwara-Vergne pour les algèbres de Lie résolubles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **348**, 739–742 (2010)
49. Rouvière, F.: Mean value theorems on symmetric spaces, *Contemp. Math.* **598**, 209–219 (2013)
50. Shimura, G.: Invariant differential operators on hermitian symmetric spaces. *Ann. Math.* **132**, 237–272 (1990)
51. Thompson, R.C.: Proof of a conjectured exponential formula. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **19**, 187–197 (1986)
52. Torossian, C.: Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur les espaces symétriques, II: L’homomorphisme de Harish-Chandra généralisé. *J. Funct. Anal.* **117**, 174–214 (1993)
53. Torossian, C.: L’homomorphisme de Harish-Chandra pour les paires symétriques orthogonales et parties radiales des opérateurs différentiels invariants sur les espaces symétriques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **126**, 295–354 (1998)
54. Torossian, C.: Sur la conjecture combinatoire de Kashiwara-Vergne. *J. Lie Theory* **12**, 597–616 (2002)
55. Torossian, C.: Méthode de Kashiwara-Vergne-Rouvière pour certains espaces symétriques. In: *Non-commutative Harmonic Analysis. Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 220, pp. 459–486. Birkhäuser, Boston (2004); [arXiv:math.QA/0202217](#) (2002)
56. Torossian, C.: Paires symétriques orthogonales et isomorphisme de Rouvière. *J. Lie Theory* **15**, 79–87 (2005)
57. Torossian, C.: La conjecture de Kashiwara-Vergne [d’après Alekseev et Meinrenken], Séminaire Bourbaki n° 980. *Astérisque* **317**, 441–465 (2008)
58. Varadarajan, V.S.: *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations*. Springer, New York (1984)
59. Vergne, M.: Le centre de l’algèbre enveloppante et la formule de Campbell-Hausdorff. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I* **329**, 767–772 (1999)
60. Wallach, N.: *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces*. Marcel Dekker, New York (1973)
61. Wolf, J.A.: *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, 3rd edn. Publish or Perish, Boston (1974)

Index

- $A(X, Y)$, 129
Abel transform, 100
associator, 48
- Bessel function, 65, 84, 93, 104
- $c(\lambda)$, 91, 103
 $C(X, Y)$, 129
 $c(X, Y)$, 88, 134
Campbell-Hausdorff
 e -function, 140
 formula, 3
contraction, 121
convolution
 on homogeneous space, 51
 on line bundle, 56
- $\mathbb{D}(S)$, 53, 59
density, 55
divergence, 33
Drinfeld associator, 48
Duflo isomorphism, 3
 generalized, 77, 99
- e_∞ , 91
 $e(X, Y)$, 139
 $e_\chi(X, Y)$, 113, 157
 e -function
 construction of, 139
 definition of, 61
 expansion of, 164, 172
- structure of, 150
 symmetry of, 156
expansion
 of e, e_χ , 164, 172
 of Φ , 162, 171
 of mean value operator, 85
 of spherical function, 85
 of Z, h, A, C , 161, 167
- $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$, 7, 129
Fischer product, 68
free Lie algebra, 10
 \mathcal{F}_S , 99
- \mathfrak{h}_* , xiv
 $h(X, Y)$, 125
hyperbolic space, 74, 90, 105, 111, 121, 165
- invariant differential operator
 and convolution, 53
 and e -function, 69, 143
 transfer of, 59
invariant measure, 59
isotropic symmetric space, 79
Iwasawa projection, 91
- J , 59
 j , 2, 58
 (j, Φ) -function, 140
 (j, F, G) -function, 140
 (j, Φ, χ) -function, 157

Jacobian

- "Jacobian" \mathcal{J} , 34
- of Exp , xv
- of exp , xiv

Kashiwara-Vergne

- conjecture, 11
- first equation, 7, 129, 147
- method, 3
- second equation, 9, 147

 L_X , 54 \mathfrak{l}_2 , 10

Laplace-Beltrami operator, 73

Lie series, 10, 122

line bundle, 54, 113, 157

mean value operator

- general case, 84
- on isotropic space, 80

 \mathcal{O} , 124 Ω , 131 Ω_1 , 61

orthogonal projection, 91

 $P_e(X, \partial_X)$, 70 $P \times Q$, 71 π , 91 $\Phi(X, Y)$, 131 ψ_X , 88, 146

Pizzetti's formula, 82

quadratic Lie algebra, 44

radial component, 97, 177

Riemannian symmetric space, 91

of rank one, 105

 s' , 58

scaling, 31

semi-invariant measure, 138, 156

solvable

Lie algebra, 44

symmetric space, 154

special line bundle, 114, 159

special symmetric space, 61, 63, 154

spherical function, 65, 92

expansion of, 85

rank one case, 112

spherical transform, 99

strongly symmetric, 153

 $SU(2, 1)$ -reduction, 185

symmetry

of e , 156of e_X , 160

of the KV problem, 22

tangential automorphism, 30

tangential derivation, 29

test function, xiii

transfer, 2, 58, 113

 \mathcal{U} , 123 $V(X, Y)$, 3 $Z(X, Y)$, 62, 125

Edited by J.-M. Morel, B. Teissier; P.K. Maini

Editorial Policy (for the publication of monographs)

1. Lecture Notes aim to report new developments in all areas of mathematics and their applications - quickly, informally and at a high level. Mathematical texts analysing new developments in modelling and numerical simulation are welcome.

Monograph manuscripts should be reasonably self-contained and rounded off. Thus they may, and often will, present not only results of the author but also related work by other people. They may be based on specialised lecture courses. Furthermore, the manuscripts should provide sufficient motivation, examples and applications. This clearly distinguishes Lecture Notes from journal articles or technical reports which normally are very concise. Articles intended for a journal but too long to be accepted by most journals, usually do not have this “lecture notes” character. For similar reasons it is unusual for doctoral theses to be accepted for the Lecture Notes series, though habilitation theses may be appropriate.

2. Manuscripts should be submitted either online at www.editorialmanager.com/lnm to Springer’s mathematics editorial in Heidelberg, or to one of the series editors. In general, manuscripts will be sent out to 2 external referees for evaluation. If a decision cannot yet be reached on the basis of the first 2 reports, further referees may be contacted: The author will be informed of this. A final decision to publish can be made only on the basis of the complete manuscript, however a refereeing process leading to a preliminary decision can be based on a pre-final or incomplete manuscript. The strict minimum amount of material that will be considered should include a detailed outline describing the planned contents of each chapter, a bibliography and several sample chapters.

Authors should be aware that incomplete or insufficiently close to final manuscripts almost always result in longer refereeing times and nevertheless unclear referees’ recommendations, making further refereeing of a final draft necessary.

Authors should also be aware that parallel submission of their manuscript to another publisher while under consideration for LNM will in general lead to immediate rejection.

3. Manuscripts should in general be submitted in English. Final manuscripts should contain at least 100 pages of mathematical text and should always include

- a table of contents;
- an informative introduction, with adequate motivation and perhaps some historical remarks: it should be accessible to a reader not intimately familiar with the topic treated;
- a subject index: as a rule this is genuinely helpful for the reader.

For evaluation purposes, manuscripts may be submitted in print or electronic form (print form is still preferred by most referees), in the latter case preferably as pdf- or zipped ps-files. Lecture Notes volumes are, as a rule, printed digitally from the authors’ files. To ensure best results, authors are asked to use the LaTeX2e style files available from Springer’s web-server at:

<ftp://ftp.springer.de/pub/tex/latex/svmonot1/> (for monographs) and
<ftp://ftp.springer.de/pub/tex/latex/svmult1/> (for summer schools/tutorials).

Additional technical instructions, if necessary, are available on request from lnm@springer.com.

4. Careful preparation of the manuscripts will help keep production time short besides ensuring satisfactory appearance of the finished book in print and online. After acceptance of the manuscript authors will be asked to prepare the final LaTeX source files and also the corresponding dvi-, pdf- or zipped ps-file. The LaTeX source files are essential for producing the full-text online version of the book (see <http://www.springerlink.com/openurl.asp?genre=journal&issn=0075-8434> for the existing online volumes of LNM). The actual production of a Lecture Notes volume takes approximately 12 weeks.
5. Authors receive a total of 50 free copies of their volume, but no royalties. They are entitled to a discount of 33.3 % on the price of Springer books purchased for their personal use, if ordering directly from Springer.
6. Commitment to publish is made by letter of intent rather than by signing a formal contract. Springer-Verlag secures the copyright for each volume. Authors are free to reuse material contained in their LNM volumes in later publications: a brief written (or e-mail) request for formal permission is sufficient.

Addresses:

Professor J.-M. Morel, CMLA,
École Normale Supérieure de Cachan,
61 Avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 Cachan Cedex, France
E-mail: morel@cmla.ens-cachan.fr

Professor B. Teissier, Institut Mathématique de Jussieu,
UMR 7586 du CNRS, Équipe “Géométrie et Dynamique”,
175 rue du Chevaleret
75013 Paris, France
E-mail: teissier@math.jussieu.fr

For the “Mathematical Biosciences Subseries” of LNM:

Professor P. K. Maini, Center for Mathematical Biology,
Mathematical Institute, 24-29 St Giles,
Oxford OX1 3LP, UK
E-mail: maini@maths.ox.ac.uk

Springer, Mathematics Editorial, Tiergartenstr. 17,
69121 Heidelberg, Germany,
Tel.: +49 (6221) 4876-8259

Fax: +49 (6221) 4876-8259
E-mail: lnm@springer.com