

# Appendices

## Appendix 1: Calculation Methods

The formulas used in the book are shown and explained below. The value added is the sale of products minus the purchase of resources. It shows deliveries of capital, labour and knowledge in an organisation, excluding purchases of materials, energy and hired labour, formally represented as:

$$V_i = (P_{s,i} - P_{r,i}) \cdot Q_i \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Where ‘ $V_i$ ’ is value added in monetary terms; ‘ $P_s$ ’ is the sales price; ‘ $P_r$ ’ is the resource price; ‘ $Q_i$ ’ denotes the quantity of resources in terms of mass; for each resource, purchase prices are multiplied by quantities.

Wherein historical changes are analysed, current prices of consumer goods are converted into the constant prices of a year i.e., real prices. Estimates of constant prices ‘ $p_r$ ’ are corrections of current prices with the inflation coefficients ‘ $r$ ’ in databases. If uncorrected in the databases, the World Bank inflation rates are used for the most part of price estimates, formally represented as:

$$p_r = p_t / \left( \frac{r}{100} + 1 \right) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The prices corrected for inflation are expressed in the money value of a particular year; for instance, US<sub>2011</sub> means prices in US Dollars as per the year 2011. Note that when the annual rate of inflation is high, these corrections are laborious and somewhat arbitrary because they refer to the prices of traded goods rather than the prices of consumer goods on the domestic market.

Changes are called ‘growth’. Growth ‘ $g_t$ ’ is assumed to be an exponential function of time ‘ $t$ ’ per year, formally represented as:

$$g_t = n_{t+1}/n_t - 1 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The growth rate 'g' is often an annual average of several years over a period of time. High growth rates generate large changes within a few years, but a low rate spanning a long period of time also causes large changes; for example, twice the volume is generated in 70 years with 1% growth  $(1+0.01)^{70}$ ; in 36 years with 2% growth; and in 24 years with 3% growth. Herewith, low rates are relevant because long-time spans are assessed.

Trends in the growth rate during a period are called accelerators, 'a', formally represented as:

$$a_t = g_{t+1}/g_t - 1 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A trend above 1 implies acceleration of growth; and below 1 a slow-down. Acceleration over a few subsequent decades is an augmenting trend; whereas, the slow-down over a few decades indicates saturation. For instance, augmenting renewable energy is an acceleration of the growth, but a saturating population means slow-down of the growth.

The trends encompass fluctuations, such as booms and slumps of income. Herewith, the standard deviation 's' is used to indicate the scale of fluctuations. This is formally represented as:

$$s = \sqrt{\left[ \frac{1}{(N-1)} \right] \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

It is calculated in Excel. A large standard deviation indicates strong fluctuations. Increasing standard deviation spanning a period of time indicates a diverging trend, and a decreasing one shows a converging trend; for example, divergence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita across countries and convergences in energy consumption. The standard deviation, when compared to the average, is also used as an indication of the validity of conclusions; when the standard deviation is higher than the average, the validity of conclusion is low. For example, it can be a disputable statement with respect to large fluctuations.

Links between observations are correlated; for example, the changes in income when compared to changes in energy consumption. The Pearson correlation is used because it is a reliable and well-known method that is easy to handle in Excel by the interested public. A regression is formally represented as:

$$R^2(x, y) = \sum (x-\bar{x}) \cdot (y-\bar{y}) / \sqrt{\sum (x-\bar{x})^2 \cdot \sum (y-\bar{y})^2} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For example, 'x', is the annual average growth of income and, 'y', is the annual average growth of energy consumption during a period. Herewith, a stringent

criterion is used because regressions equal to and above 0.8 ( $R^2 \geq 0.8$ ) are considered correlated, and between 0.5 and 0.8 moderately correlated but lower are assumed uncorrelated.

A mainstream assumption is that higher prices reduce demands. The price elasticities of demand refer to the effects of price changes on demand changes; for instance, the effect of higher prices of energy resources on the consumed volume of energy. The mid-price elasticities are calculated and formally represented as:

$$k = \frac{P_{i+1} - P_i}{(p_{i+1} + p_i)/2} / \frac{q_{i+1} - q_i}{(q_{i+1} + q_i)/2} \tag{A.7}$$

For  $p_i = \sum_i^n p_i/n$ , also for  $q_i$ ;  $p_{i+1} - p_i$  is price change,  $q_{i+1} - q_i$  volume change

In energy, low price elasticity 'e' is usually assumed ( $e > -1$ ), meaning that an increase of the unit price causes less than a unit consumption decrease. The income elasticity is estimated in a similar way for the incomes instead of prices. In energy, income elasticity above +1 is usually assumed; it means that a unit of additional income causes more than a unit of additional consumption.

The energy-efficiencies of conversions usually increase over time, called the effect-increasing technical change. It is expressed in percentage annual change, formally represented as:

$$k_t = k_0 a^t \tag{A.8}$$

Herewith, 'k<sub>t</sub>' is the effect due to the change; 'k<sub>0</sub>' the initial effect; 'a' the coefficient of the effect-increasing technical change usually assumed due to know-how and scale of activities over time 't', usually in years.

The costs of technologies often decrease over time. This cost-reducing technical change is expressed in a percentage average change of unit cost per year, formally represented as:

$$c_t = c_0 a^t$$

The unit cost, 'c', also called 'marginal cost', is total cost divided by volume in a year. A popular measurement is the unit costs per double output, formally shown as:

$$c_t = c_0 \left( \frac{o_t}{o_0} \right)^b \tag{A.9}$$

Wherein 'c<sub>t</sub>' denotes the unit cost in year t; 'c<sub>0</sub>' the unit cost in base year; 'o<sub>t</sub>' the output in year t; 'o<sub>0</sub>' output in the base year; and 'b' the inclination of the curve that reflects the cost-reducing technical change. The cost reduction is measured by half-time, which is the time needed for the reduction of unit costs by half; it is  $c_t/c_0 = 0.5$ .

This is much used to estimate the increases in the required scale of the production, given the cost reducing technical change.

In engineering, the effect-increasing change is often used to indicate changes in energy efficiency; and in economics, the cost-reducing changes are used to indicate impacts of technological changes on prices. These two are often combined and called 'technological learning'; whilst the effect-increasing technical change always reduces some costs, the cost changes may not influence the effect when cheaper resources are used without further effect.

The conventional indicator of business performance is profitability. This is estimated with the Net Present Value (NPV). Firstly, the Present value is calculated followed by the Net Present Value:

$$PV_t = \sum_{t=1}^n C/(1 + r/b)^{bt} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

For example, if 1 million USD is invested (also called the outgoing cash flow) 'I' and 1 million USD of income is generated per year during two years (also called the incoming cash flow) 'C' at 10% interest 'r', the NPV is:

$$\text{1st year } 1/(100\%+10\%)^1 = 0.91$$

$$\text{2nd year } 1/(100\%+10\%)^2 = 0.83 \text{ million Euro}$$

$$PV = 1.74$$

$$NPV = PV - I$$

$$NPV \text{ is } 1.74 - 1 = + 0.74$$

Positive NPV indicates potentially profitable investment, negative NPV a loss. When several investments over time are done, they should be discounted much in the same way as the income. If alternative proposals with different timelines are compared, the present value of average annual cash flows are estimated called the 'uniform annual stream' (UAS), 'equivalent annual cost' (EAC), 'present value annuity', or suchlike names. These terms are often used to express annual capital costs of investments. It is calculated as the present value or net present value divided by the annuity factor. The annuity factor  $A_t$  is the sum of the discount factors over time, and the uniform annual streams (UAS) is NPV (or PV for nil investment) divided by  $A_t$ :

$$A_t = \sum_t^n 1/(100\% + r)^t$$

$$UAS = NPV/A_t$$

$$\text{Based on the above: } A_t = (100\% + 10\%)^1 + (100\% + 10\%)^2 = 1.1 + 2.21 = 3.31$$

$$UAS = 0.74/3.31 = 0.22 \text{ average profit a year.}$$

## Appendix 2: Countries Income and Energy

Table A.1 covers: countries' GDP and energy production per capita in the years 1900 and 2000; as well as the annual average growth in ten-year intervals based on the average of the preceding ten years, and correlations between GDP and TWh production in these countries. Highlighted in bold are the net exporters of energy, being larger producers than consumers.

**Table A.1** Countries' income and energy indicators; () means negative number

Data Excluding a Few Extremes in the 1900s	GDP USD/cap in 2000	Energy kWh/Cap in 2000	USD/ kWh in 1900 or Earliest	USD/ kWh in 2000	1900–1950 growth	1960–2000 growth	Correl GDP: TWh
1. Argentina	14,918	28,673	46	0.5	–7%	–3%	0.33
<b>2. Australia</b>	36,001	170,035	0.4	0.2	1%	–3%	(0.07)
3. Austria	35,714	19,109	0.1	1.9	3%	3%	0.87
4. Belgium	33,427	14,192	0.2	2.4	0.4%	4%	0.74
<b>5. Bolivia</b>	2984	17,084	43	0.2	–11%	–4%	0.51
6. Brazil	8316	12,905	35	0.6	–6%	–2%	0.20
7. Bulgaria	8671	14,097	11.1	0.6	–6%	1%	0.37
<b>8. Canada</b>	37,446	174,462	0.4	0.2	–0.5%	–1%	0.09
9. Chile	10,903	6422	1.2	1.7	–1%	1%	0.37
10. China	2460	16,288	10	0.2	–6%	–2%	0.69
11. Colombia	6860	25,115	0.8	0.3	–2%	–1%	0.47
12. Cuba	4882	3888	83	1.3	–4%	–7%	0.15
13. Czech & Slovakia	16,153	29,050	0.4	0.6	–1%	2%	0.69
14. Denmark	35,923	63,797	4.3	0.6	17%	–3%	0.86
<b>15. Germany</b>	33,975	18,114	0.3	1.9	–1%	4%	0.86
<b>16. Egypt</b>	5269	13,824	35	0.4	–7%	–3%	0.59
17. Finland	32,972	25,424	3.1	1.3	–3%	–0.1%	0.29
18. France	31,771	24,628	0.6	1.3	–0.2%	2%	0.64
19. Ghana	2337	1054	1.7	2.2			N.A.
20. Greece	23,138	10,111	71	2.3	–4%	–3%	0.42
21. Hungary	14,757	11,279	0.3	1.3	–0.3%	3%	0.41
22. India	2003	3083	5.1	0.6	–2%	–2%	0.74
<b>23. Indonesia</b>	3472	12,312	3.6	0.3	–3%	–1%	0.70
<b>24. Iran</b>	7573	53,767	2.1	0.1	–6%	–0.04%	(0.04)
<b>25. Iraq</b>	3147	55,066	3.6	0.1	–13%	1%	0.41
26. Ireland	39,152	2902	21	13	–7%	6%	0.94
27. Italy	33,185	6185	27	5.4	–6%	3%	0.63
28. Jamaica	5342	561	100	9.5	–9%	–1%	0.11
29. Japan	33,294	9574	0.8	3.5	–1%	4%	0.94
30. Jordan	3896	662	16	5.9		–5%	(1.00)

(continued)

**Table A.1** (continued)

Data Excluding a Few Extremes in the 1900s	GDP USD/cap in 2000	Energy kWh/Cap in 2000	USD/kWh in 1900 or Earliest	USD/kWh in 2000	1900–1950 growth	1960–2000 growth	Correl GDP: TWh
31. Korea	22,930	13,491	25	1.7	–7%	2%	0.78
32. Lebanon	11,232	536	36	21	–4%	–0.2%	0.09
<b>33. Mexico</b>	11,338	29,254	2.9	0.4	–3%	–0.2%	(0.55)
34. Morocco	4580	165	34	28	–9%	3%	0.18
35. Myanmar	999	2890	1.1	0.3	6%	–5%	0.25
36. Netherlands	39,923	49,412	5	0.8	–4%	1%	(0.16)
37. New Zealand	25,868	49,389	1.0	0.5	0%	–1%	0.63
<b>38. Norway</b>	54,594	672,999	7.1	0.1	–9%	–3%	0.72
39. Peru	4777	5326	1.2	0.9	–2%	1%	(0.15)
40. Philippines	4187	1301	91	3.2	–3%	–2%	0.89
41. Poland	13,207	20,743	0.2	0.6	–1%	3%	0.71
42. Portugal	21,497	4054	90	5.3	–7%	1%	0.71
43. Romania	7430	15,116	0.9	0.5	–5%	4%	0.02
<b>44. Saudi Arabia</b>	20,129	330,486	3.0	0.1	–20%	1%	0.44
45. South Africa	8478	38,859	0.4	0.2	–0.1%	–1%	0.34
<b>46. CIS</b>	11,532	50,627	1.2	0.2	–2%	–1%	(0.20)
47. Spain	26,424	10,284	3.2	2.6	–2%	2%	0.90
48. Sri Lanka	4391	499	29	8.8		–2%	0.85
49. Sweden	36,374	67,085	7.9	0.5	–4%	–1%	0.46
50. Switzerland	42,752	24,985	1.3	1.7	–1%	1%	0.40
<b>51. Syria</b>	4946	23,334	40	0.2		–11%	0.34
52. Taiwan	31,937	13,080	3.3	2.4	–5%	5%	0.89
53. Thailand	6921	7836	131	0.9		–9%	(0.23)
54. Tunisia	8440	7925	32	1.1		–6%	N.A.
55. Turkey	11,830	4493	5.6	2.6	–3%	2%	0.33
56. United Kingdom	23,696	45,814	0.1	0.5	2%	1%	0.35
57. United States of America	45,887	71,283	0.2	0.6	1%	2%	0.29
58. Uruguay	6269	8929	2.9	0.7	3%	–3%	0.66
<b>59. Venezuela</b>	7927	100,449	5.8	0.1	–12%	3%	0.42
60. Vietnam	2792	29,030	6.8	0.1	–2%	–6%	0.49
61. Yugoslavia	15,524	13,359	3.1	1.2	–3%	1%	0.44
World Total	10,814	27,751	0.4	0.4	–1%	0.5%	0.24

**Appendix 3: Success Rates of Innovations in EU (Table A.2)**

**Table A.2** Rates of successful innovation processes across the EU countries in all sectors (ALL) and in the broadened renewable energy sector (RES+)

	ALL: all firms				RES+			
	Development performance		Diffusion performance		Development performance		Diffusion performance	
	Patent/R&D projects	Success rate of R&D	Survivor / Birth firms	Success rate of R&D	Patent/R&D projects	Success rate of R&D	Survivor / Birth firms	Success rate of R&D
Assumed USD <sub>2010</sub> 0.5 million /R&D project	Number of R&D projects				Number of R&D projects			
EU	699,209	8%	10%	1%	9931	18%	74%	13%
Belgium	22,787	6%	44%	2%	356	9%	93%	8%
Bulgaria	715	3%	20%	1%	8	21%	59%	12%
Czech	6940	4%	25%	1%	85	6%	122%	8%
Denmark	19,693	6%	11%	1%	322	53%	-3%	-2%
Germany	203,003	12%	-8%	-1%	1834	39%	68%	27%
Estonia	746	5%	18%	1%	53	3%	-13%	-0%
Ireland	7391	5%	50%	2%	92	11%	116%	13%
Greece	3982	2%	-18%	-0%	10	35%	33%	11%
Spain	35,281	4%	-11%	-0%	295	28%	249%	69%
France	118,989	8%	36%	3%	2626	10%	81%	8%
Croatia	950	N.A.	-8%	N.A.	22	N.A.	40%	N.A.
Italy	53,879	8%	-9%	-1%	982	10%	107%	11%
Cyprus	228	4%	-26%	-1%	N.A.	N.A.	74%	N.A.
Latvia	342	4%	27%	1%	N.A.	N.A.	57%	N.A.
Lithuania	784	3%	21%	1%	15	N.A.	50%	N.A.
Luxembourg	1634	5%	30%	1%	N.A.	N.A.	73%	N.A.
Hungary	3361	6%	-4%	-0%	142	2%	31%	1%
Malta	136	8%	15%	1%	N.A.	N.A.	73%	N.A.
Netherlands	31,850	9%	27%	3%	438	16%	35%	6%
Austria	23,731	8%	2%	0%	304	15%	42%	6%
Poland	8465	5%	11%	1%	174	7%	29%	2%

(continued)

Table A.2 (continued)

	ALL: all firms				RES+							
	Number of R&D projects	Development performance		Diffusion performance		Success rate of R&D	Number of R&D projects	Development performance		Diffusion performance		Success rate of R&D
		Patent/R&D projects		Survivor / Birth firms				Patent/R&D projects	Survivor / Birth firms			
Assumed USD <sub>2010</sub> 0.5 million /R&D project												
Portugal	6371	2%	-8%	-0%	12	46%	86%	39%				
Romania	1759	3%	33%	1%	66	3%	37%	1%				
Slovenia	2088	5%	23%	1%	111	1.5%	76%	1%				
Slovakia	1430	3%	27%	1%	41	5%	83%	5%				
Finland	17,145	8%	8%	1%	551	7%	64%	4%				
Sweden	35,035	7%	29%	2%	363	13%	180%	23%				
United Kingdom	90,490	47%	15%	7%	1022	100%	61%	61%				

**Table A.3** Carbon intensity, carbon performance and carbon efficiency in the EU

	Carbon intensity in tonne CO <sub>2</sub> per capita		Carbon performance in € <sub>2010</sub> per kg		Carbon efficiency in kg CO <sub>2</sub> / kWh	
	2018	Growth 2008–2018	2018	Growth 2008–2018	2018	Growth 2008–2018
EU28	5.5	–1.9%			0.15	–1.1%
Belgium	6.8	–2.3%	10.7	–1.4%	0.12	–1.0%
Bulgaria	5.8	–2.4%			0.18	–1.8%
Czechia	8.1	–1.3%	5.3	–3.5%	0.17	–1.4%
Denmark	11.8	–2.0%	7.1	–2.9%	0.33	–1.0%
Germany	7.5	–2.7%	9.0	–2.2%	0.17	–0.6%
Estonia	13.0	–1.5%	2.5	–1.6%	0.24	–1.0%
Ireland	8.9	0.8%			0.25	4.2%
Greece	5.5	2.7%	5.3	–0.5%	0.21	–1.3%
Spain	4.6	–3.6%			0.14	–1.5%
France	3.4	–2.3%			0.08	–1.3%
Croatia	3.1	–2.3%			0.13	–2.0%
Italy	4.2	–2.8%			0.14	–2.2%
Cyprus	6.9	–3.8%			0.20	–1.3%
Latvia	4.0	–3.1%	5.8	–0.5%	0.14	–1.1%
Lithuania	5.0	0.5%			0.15	3.2%
Luxembourg	12.6	2.2%	21.5	–4.9%	0.15	0.8%
Hungary	3.8	–1.7%	7.0	–2.8%	0.12	–1.5%
Malta	7.4	–1.3%			0.36	2.2%
Netherlands	8.5	–0.7%			0.16	–0.1%
Austria	5.5	–1.1%			0.12	–1.0%
Poland	7.8	–1.7%			0.24	–0.8%
Portugal	4.2	0.1%			0.15	–0.9%
Romania	3.2	–1.2%			0.16	–2.4%
Slovenia	6.2	–3.2%			0.16	0.0%
Slovakia	5.5	–1.7%			0.15	–0.7%
Finland	8.0	–1.5%	8.8	–3.2%	0.11	–1.7%
Sweden	3.8	–2.2%	21.5	–.26%	0.07	–1.9%
Un. Kingdom	4.6	–2.5%			0.01	0.7%

### Appendix 4: Checklist Possible Benefits

Possible benefits of energy services with renewable resources in the literature

	Producers' attributes	Consumers' attributes
Individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resource diversification Local resources can be identified and utilized (NREL, 1997; Resch et al., 2008; EPA, 2011) Financial risks in resource purchase can be spread (Awerbuch, 2004; Adamec et al., 2011)</li> <li>• Management Congestion on grid can be relieved with flexible production (EPA, 2011; Richter, 2012; Eyer &amp; Corey, 2010; Castillo &amp; Gayme, 2014; Burger &amp; Luke, 2016) Attention for stability of voltage is invoked (Eyer &amp; Corey 2010; Adamec, 2011; EPA, 2011; Richter, 2012; Castillo &amp; Gayme, 2014) Back up and reserves can expand to reduce power losses (Schiermeier et al., 2008; EPA, 2011; Richter, 2012; Castillo &amp; Gayme, 2014; Siao, 2014; Bronski et al., 2015) Lower peak costs when production is more flexible (Eyer &amp; Corey, 2010; EPA, 2011; Richter, 2012; Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014; Neubauer &amp; Simpson, 2015)</li> <li>• Marketing Price volatility is mitigated when renewables are add-on (Awerbuch, 2004; Adamec et al., 2011; EPA, 2011) Certification of the sustainability performance can reduce regulation (Schiermeier et al., 2008; Richter, 2012) Branding of renewable energy enables new tariffs (Adamec et al., 2011) Flat rate fee due to renewables add-on is easier to manage (Schleicher-Tappeser, 2012) Diverse renewable energy justifies the dynamic pricing (Schleicher-Tappeser, 2012; Eid et al., 2016)</li> <li>• Change of current Distributed systems foster cheaper supply of direct current (Pepermans et al., 2003) Cheaper low voltage infrastructure is possible (Levin &amp; Thomas, 2016)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prices Monopoly price of suppliers are mitigated (Pepermans et al., 2003; Schleicher-Tappeser, 2012; Eid et al., 2016) Peaks in supplies can be stored in appliances (Adamec et al., 2011; Neubauer &amp; Simpson, 2015) Pricing can be based on local nodes rather than generic (Schleicher-Tappeser, 2012) Charges on the decreasing demands can be avoided (Richter, 2012)</li> <li>• Volume Electricity from waste heat can be generated (Pepermans et al., 2003; Rismanchi, 2017) Incentive to reduce peaks are easier to introduce (Tuballa &amp; Abundo, 2016) Appliance can be integrated into a system (Adamec et al., 2011; Tuballa &amp; Abundo, 2016) Consumption of energy can be better monitored (Adamec et al., 2011) Individual and collective autonomy increase (NREL, 1997; Pepermans et al., 2003; Richter, 2012; Siao, 2014; Eid et al., 2016)</li> </ul>

(continued)

	Producers' attributes	Consumers' attributes
Collective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mitigation of impacts</li> </ul> <p>External effects on environment are reduced (NREL, 1997; Schiermeier et al., 2008; EPA, 2011; Eller &amp; Gauntlett, 2017)</p> <p>Import dependency is reduced (Resch et al., 2008; Eller &amp; Gauntlett, 2017)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local stakeholders</li> </ul> <p>Local constructors' capabilities are developed (NREL, 1997)</p> <p>Local business in electricity storage is generated (Schiermeier et al., 2008; EPA, 2011; Eller &amp; Gauntlett, 2017)</p> <p>Polluted land and wasteland can be used (Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Wasted energy can be recovered (EPA, 2011; Rismanchi, 2017)</p> <p>Use of renewable resources can be optimised (Rismanchi, 2017)</p> <p>Large-scale, costly investment can be deferred (Adamec et al., 2011; EPA, 2011; Bronski et al., 2015; Burger &amp; Luke, 2016)</p> <p>Energy consumption in the remote areas is enhanced (Eller &amp; Gauntlett, 2017)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economy</li> </ul> <p>Larger local income is generated (Richter, 2012; Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>More local jobs are created (NREL, 1997; Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Higher and more stable tax income is generated (NREL, 1997; Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Better regional image attracts business (Richter, 2012; Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Diversification enables price stability (Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Diversification reduces investment peaks (Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social interest</li> </ul> <p>Cumulative benefits are generated (Adamec et al., 2011)</p> <p>Isolated consumers can be better served (Akinyele &amp; Rayudu, 2014)</p> <p>Social capabilities increase (Busch &amp; McCormick, 2014)</p> <p>Services can be tuned to demands in communities (Tuballa &amp; Abundo, 2016)</p>

## Appendix 5: Carbon Intensity, Performance, Efficiency

	Carbon intensity in tonne CO <sub>2</sub> per capita		Carbon performance in € <sub>2010</sub> per kg		Carbon efficiency in kg CO <sub>2</sub> / kWh	
	2018	Growth 2008-2018	2018	Growth 2008-2018	2018	Growth 2008-2018
EU28	5.5	-1.9%			0.15	-1.1%
Belgium	6.8	-2.3%	10.7	-1.4%	0.12	-1.0%
Bulgaria	5.8	-2.4%			0.18	-1.8%
Czechia	8.1	-1.3%	5.3	-3.5%	0.17	-1.4%
Denmark	11.8	-2.0%	7.1	-2.9%	0.33	-1.0%
Germany	7.5	-2.7%	9.0	-2.2%	0.17	-0.6%
Estonia	13.0	-1.5%	2.5	-1.6%	0.24	-1.0%
Ireland	8.9	0.8%			0.25	4.2%
Greece	5.5	2.7%	5.3	-0.5%	0.21	-1.3%
Spain	4.6	-3.6%			0.14	-1.5%
France	3.4	-2.3%			0.08	-1.3%
Croatia	3.1	-2.3%			0.13	-2.0%
Italy	4.2	-2.8%			0.14	-2.2%
Cyprus	6.9	-3.8%			0.20	-1.3%
Latvia	4.0	-3.1%	5.8	-0.5%	0.14	-1.1%
Lithuania	5.0	0.5%			0.15	3.2%
Luxembourg	12.6	2.2%	21.5	-4.9%	0.15	0.8%
Hungary	3.8	-1.7%	7.0	-2.8%	0.12	-1.5%
Malta	7.4	-1.3%			0.36	2.2%
Netherlands	8.5	-0.7%			0.16	-0.1%
Austria	5.5	-1.1%			0.12	-1.0%
Poland	7.8	-1.7%			0.24	-0.8%
Portugal	4.2	0.1%			0.15	-0.9%
Romania	3.2	-1.2%			0.16	-2.4%
Slovenia	6.2	-3.2%			0.16	0.0%
Slovakia	5.5	-1.7%			0.15	-0.7%
Finland	8.0	-1.5%	8.8	-3.2%	0.11	-1.7%
Sweden	3.8	-2.2%	21.5	-2.6%	0.07	-1.9%
Un. Kingdom	4.6	-2.5%			0.01	0.7%

Table A.3 shows the carbon intensity, carbon performance, and carbon efficiency in the EU28 member countries. Totals in 2018 and annual average growth during 2008–2018 are shown.

## Appendix 6: Inputs and Outputs of Hydrogen Production

### Data for the Hydrogen Production

Impacts of hydrogen for coal substitution on CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction and costs in USD<sub>2019</sub> are estimated. Tables show energy and emission coefficients, input-output data, substitution, emission reduction and cost of four technologies:

1. Steam Methane Reforming with Water Gas Shift (SMR + WGS), about 97% of global production
2. Steam Methane Reforming with Water Gas Shift and Carbon Capture and Storage (SMR + WGS + CCS)
3. Methane Pyrolysis (MP) in the pilot stage
4. Electrolysis of water (EL), about 3% of global production (up to kWh 50–55 per kg H<sub>2</sub>) (Tables A.4, A.5, A.6 and A.7).

**Table A.4** Assumed coefficients for the estimates

per kg H <sub>2</sub>	kWh/kg	CO <sub>2</sub> kg/kWh	CO <sub>2</sub> kg/kg
Hydrogen	33	0	0
Natural gas	14	0.2	2.6
Coal (bisesous)	7	0.3	2.3

**Table A.5** Shows input–output data based on the Wikipedia

kWh, kg, TWh, million tonnes	SMR +WGS	SMR+WHG – CCS	Methane Pyrolysis	Electrolysis
<b>Inputs</b>				
Heat kWh	5.7	5.7	5.2	0
Electra kWh	0	0	0	39.4
Methane kg	2.2	2.9	4.4	0
Water kg	4.9	3.3	0	9.1
<b>Outputs</b>				
H <sub>2</sub> kg	1	1	1	1
CO <sub>2</sub> kg	6.0	1.5	0	0
CO <sub>2</sub> storage kg	0	3.6	0	0
O <sub>2</sub> kg	0	0	0	8.0
Carbon kg	0	0	3.3	0
<b>Energy kWh</b>				
In	36	45	65	39
Out	33	33	33	33
Out-In	–2	–12	–32	–6

**Table A.6** CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction due to those substitutions in kg/kg H<sub>2</sub>

Coal reduction	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7
Net CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	5.7	10.2	11.7	11.7
CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent oil	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5
Net CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	2.5	7.0	8.5	8.5
CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent gas	7	7	7	7
Net CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	1.0	5.5	7.0	7.0

**Table A.7** Costs and emission reduction (all costs in USD<sub>2019</sub>)

Electra	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.5
Power gas	0.4	0.4	0.7	0
CCS	0.0	0.5	0	0
Total	0.6	1.1	0.9	1.5
Cost-effectiveness estimates				
CO <sub>2</sub> reduction for coal	49%	87%	100%	100%
Cost-effect USD <sub>2019</sub> /tonne	103	106	80	128
CO <sub>2</sub> reduction for gas	14%	78%	100%	100%
Cost-effect USD <sub>2019</sub> /tonne	606	198	135	215

## Appendix 7: Indicators of the Valorisation

Table A.8 shows the purchasing power per capita in 2015, and its growth based on the World Bank data. The countries with more accessible energy due to income growth and declining disparities are shown bold. Income disparities within countries are shown with Gini coefficients in the years of the earliest estimate in the database and in 2015. Gini coefficients indicate division of income in ten equal income classes indexed from 0 to 1; 0 being no income difference and 1 the maximum difference in two categories: extremely poor and rich. Although the Gini coefficients are criticised because not cover all countries and wealth groups, do not consider the division between wages and capital, do not specify the highest few percent of income which covers a large part of all income; yet, they are widely used.

Table A.9 shows the energy indicators in 2015, and the average growth in the period from 1990 to 2015; the growth rates during 1990–2005 and 2005–2015 are also estimated, and discussed below; they are not presented in this table. The indicators are: energy performance, energy and electricity consumption, shares of renewable energy and modern renewable energy in energy consumption.

**Table A.8** Incomes per capita: annual average growth and disparities

Bold: growing accessibility	GDP-PPP		Gini coefficients	
	USD per capita	Growth 1990–2015	Earliest found	2015
World	15,694	<b>4.4%</b>	N.A.	N.A.
USA	56,469	<b>3.5%</b>	38	41
Japan	40,607	<b>3.0%</b>	32	–
EU	38,447	<b>3.9%</b>	N.A.	N.A.
Russia	24,692	<b>5.5%</b>	48	<b>38</b>
Mexico	16,983	<b>4.6%</b>	50	<b>46</b>
Brazil	15,617	<b>3.8%</b>	61	<b>51</b>
China	14,450	<b>11.5%</b>	43	<b>42</b>
Indonesia	11,040	<b>5.5%</b>	40	–
Philippines	7320	<b>4.2%</b>	N.A.	N.A.
India	6127	<b>7.0%</b>	35	–
Nigeria	6038	<b>4.9%</b>	45	<b>43</b>
Pakistan	5000	<b>3.8%</b>	33	34
Bangladesh	3336	<b>5.7%</b>	28	32
Ethiopia	1633	<b>5.6%</b>	45	<b>39</b>

**Table A.9** Indicators for assessing valorisation of energy consumption: energy performance, energy and electricity consumption, share of all renewable energy and modern renewable energy in 2015 and annual average growth

	Energy performance		Energy consumption		Electricity consumption		Share renewables in consumption		Share modern renewables in consumption	
	USD/kWh	Growth	kWh/cap	Growth	kWh/capita	Growth	Share	Growth of share	Share	Growth of share
	2015	1990–2015	2015	1990–2015	2015	1990–2015	2015	1990–2015	2015	1990–2015
Growth is annual average during 1990–2015										
World	0.7	1.6%	21,642	0.5%	3052	1.1%	14%	0.2%	1.5%	5.2%
USA	0.2	0.2%	79,107	-0.4%	12,833	0.9%	7%	1.1%	1.4%	2.8%
Japan	0.9	1.0%	39,359	-0.2%	7864	0.8%	6%	2.5%	1.5%	3.2%
EU	0.9	1.7%	36,205	-0.4%	5968	1.0%	14%	4.6%	2.9%	11.7%
Russia	0.4	1.4%	57,278	-0.7%	6588	0.7%	3%	0.2%	0.0%	12.1%
Mexico	0.9	1.1%	17,974	0.3%	2231	2.4%	8%	-1.3%	2.2%	-1.5%
Brazil	0.9	-0.3%	16,606	1.7%	2506	0.5%	41%	-0.6%	0.9%	18.3%
China	0.5	4.7%	25,218	4.3%	4047	4.2%	9%	-3.8%	1.6%	31.6%
Indonesia	1.0	1.5%	10,176	1.9%	823	4.8%	33%	-1.3%	7.7%	5.5%
Philippines	1.1	1.9%	6061	0.5%	749	2.5%	59%	-1.9%	18.4%	0.4%
India	0.7	2.3%	7550	2.5%	859	2.2%	25%	-2.4%	0.6%	24.0%
Nigeria	0.6	2.1%	8998	0.4%	144	1.9%	80%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Pakistan	0.8	0.86%	5781	0.89%	488	1.4%	38%	-0.9%	0.1%	0.0%
Bangladesh	1.1	0.9%	2738	2.7%	326	5.1%	25%	-3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	0.3	3.3%	5850	0.2%	86	5.3%	94%	-0.1%	0.0%	-3.1%

# Literature

- Adamec, M, Pavlatka, P., & Sary, O. (2011). *Costs and benefits of smart grids and accumulation in Czech distribution system*, ICSGCE 2011: 27–30 September 2011, Chengdu, China
- Ahaerne, J. F. (2011). Prospects for the nuclear energy. *Energy Policy*, 33, 572–580.
- Ahrens, W. A., & Sharma, V. R. (1997). Trends in natural resource commodity prices: Deterministic or stochastic? *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 33, 59–74.
- Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The market for “lemons”: Quality uncertainty and market mechanism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488–500.
- Akinyele, D. O., & Rayudu, R. K. (2014). Review of energy storage technologies for power networks. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessment*, 8, 74–91.
- Al Musa, S. A., & Khidzir, N. Z. (2018). Critical review of intellectual property of its issue and challenge. *Asian of Public Administration and Law*, 1(1), 9–17.
- Aljazeera. (2020). [https://interactive.aljazeera.com/aje/2020/saving-the-nile/index.html?mc\\_cid=39b6440fe8&mc\\_eid=5ae3a1dd3f](https://interactive.aljazeera.com/aje/2020/saving-the-nile/index.html?mc_cid=39b6440fe8&mc_eid=5ae3a1dd3f). Accessed 4 Apr 2020.
- Allen, R. (2012). Backward into the future, The shift to coal and implications for the next energy transition. *Energy Policy*, 50, 17–23.
- Amran, U. I., Ahmad, A., & Othman, M. R. (2017). Life cycle assessment of simulated hydrogen production by methane steam reforming. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 11(3), 43–50.
- APGA. (2020). American Public Gas Association. *A brief history of natural gas*. <https://www.apga.org/apgamainsite/aboutus/facts/history-of-natural-gas>. Accessed 17 Feb 2020.
- Apostolides, A., Broadberry, S., Campbell, B., Overton, M., & Leeuwen, B. (2008). *English agricultural productivity 1250–1850: Some preliminary estimates*. Reconstructing the national income of Britain and Holland, c 1270/1500 to 1850.
- Arentsen, M., & Bellekom, S. (2014). Power to the people: Local energy initiatives as seeds of innovations? *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 4(2), 2–12.
- Arentsen, M. J., Dinica, V., & Marquart, E. (2001). Innovating innovation policy. In *Rethinking green innovation policy in evolutionary perspective* (Économies et Sociétés, Série Dynamique technologique et organisation, nr 4) (pp. 563–583).
- Arora, V. (2014). *Estimates of the price elasticity of the natural gas supply in the United States*. <https://mpr.ub.uni-muenchen.de/54232/>. Accessed 12 June 2017.
- Asplund, R. W. (2008). *Profiting from clean energy*. Wiley.
- Awerbuch, S. (2004). *Portfolio-based electricity generation planning: Implications for renewables and energy security* (SPRU). University of Sussex.

- Ayres, R., & Voudouris, V. (2014). The economic growth enigma: Capital, labour and useful energy? *Energy Policy*, *64*, 16–28.
- Bar-On, Y., Philips, R., & Milo, R. (2018). The biomass distribution on earth, 2018. *PNAS*, *115*, 6506–6511.
- Battery University. (2021). *Battery statistics*. [https://batteryuniversity.com/learn/archive/battery\\_statistics](https://batteryuniversity.com/learn/archive/battery_statistics). Accessed 21 May 2021.
- Bayer, P., & Aklın, M. (2020). The European Union Emissions Trading System reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions despite low prices. *PNAS*, *117*(16), 8804–8812.
- Beaudreau, B. C., & Lightfoot, H. D. (2015). The physical limits to economic growth by R&D funded innovation. *Energy*, *84*, 45–52.
- Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Tomelleri, R., Ciais, P., Jung, M., Carvalhais, N., Rödenbeck, C., Arain, M. A., Baldocchi, D., Bonan, G. B., Bondeau, A., Cescatti, A., Lasslop, G., Lindroth, A., Lomas, M., Luyssaert, S., Margolis, H., Oleson, K. W., Rouspard, O., Papale, D. (2010). Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: Global distribution and covariation with climate. *Science*, *329*(5993), 834–838.
- Bergkek, A., & Jacobsson, S. (2003). The emergence of a growth industry; a comparative analysis of the German, Dutch and Swedish wind turbine industries. In J. S. Metcalfe & U. Canter (Eds.), *Change, transformation and development* (pp. 197–227). Physics-Verlag.
- Bertoldi, P., & Boza-Kiss, B. (2017). Analysis of barriers and drivers for the development of the ESCO markets in Europe. *Energy Policy*, *107*, 345–355.
- Bertoldi, P., Boza-Kiss, B., Panev, S., & Labanca, N. (2014). *ESCO market report 2013, JRC science and policy reports*. European Commission, Ispra.
- Bhattacharya, M., Paramati, R., Ozturk, I., & Bhattacharya, S. (2016). The effect of renewable energy consumption on economic growth: Evidence from top 38 countries. *Applied Energy*, *162*, 733–741.
- Block, F., & Keller, M. R. (2008). *Where do innovations come from? Transformations in the U.S. National Innovation System, 1970–2006*, The Information Technology & Innovation Foundation.
- Böhme, D., & Dürschmidt, W. (2007). *Renewable energy sources in figures – National and international development: Status 2007*. Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety, Berlin, mimeo.
- Bonaventura, M., Ciotti, V., Panzarasa, P., Liverani, S., Lucasa, L., & Latora, V. (2015). Predicting success in worldwide start-up network. *Scientific Reports* (2020). *10*, 345. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57209-w>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- Boon, F. (2012). *Local is beautiful*. Master thesis, University of Utrecht – Geoscience, mimeo.
- Boulding, K. E. (1966). *The economics of the coming spaceship earth*. John Hopkins University Press. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Economics-of-the-Coming-Spaceship-Earth-Boulding/88cb333c9c7ebb5d852c037372eccc39c12ca691>. Accessed 6 May 2020.
- Brahic C. (2007). Climate myths: Human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are too tiny to matter. *New Scientist*, 16-5-2007; <https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn11638-climate-myths-human-co2-emissions-are-too-tiny-to-matter/>. Accessed 31 July 2020.
- Brito, M., & Sousa, T. (2015). World primary energy production & consumption 1900–2010: What can be learned from past trends. In *12th international conference on energy for a clean environment*, July 5–9, 2015, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Bronski, P., Creyts, J., Crowdis, M., Doig, S., Glassmire, J., Guccione, L., Lilienthal, P., Mandel, J., Rader, B., Seif, D., Tocco, H., & Touati, H. (2015). *The Economics of Load Defection*. Rocky Mountains Institute, Boulder
- Bunger, J. W. (2011). Oil share and tar sand. In D. S. Ginley & D. Cohen (Eds.), *Fundamentals for energy materials and environmental sustainability*. Materials Research Society.
- Burger, S. P., & Luke, M. (2016). *Business models for distributed energy resources: A review and empirical analysis*. MIT.

- Burrows, L. (2021). *Deaths from fossil fuel emissions higher than previously thought*. Harvard John Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Science. <https://www.seas.harvard.edu/news/2021/02/deaths-fossil-fuel-emissions-higher-previously-thought>. Accessed 13 Mar 2021.
- Busch, H., & McCormick, K. (2014). Local power: exploring the motivation of mayors and key success factors for local municipalities to go for 100% renewable energy. *Energy Sustainability and Society*, 4, 1–15.
- Byrne, J. T., Wang, J., & Taminiou, L. M. (2014). The promise of a green energy economy. In J. Byrne & T. Wang (Eds.), *Green Energy Economies*. Routledge.
- Carlson, W. B. (2019). *Edison tesla current war ushered electric age*. National Geographic, 27-9-2019. <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/history/magazine/2016/07-08/edison-tesla-current-war-ushered-electric-age/>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- Castillo, A., & Gayme, D. F. (2014). Grid-scale energy storage applications in renewable energy, integration: A survey. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 87, 885–894.
- CEER. (2018). Council of European Energy Regulators. In *Status review of renewable energy and energy efficiency support schemes in EU*, Brussels, data 2009–2017.
- Celsius City. (2020). *Thermal energy storage*. <https://celsiuscity.eu/thermal-energy-storage/>. Accessed 24 May 2021.
- Cheng, V. K. M., & Hammond, G. P. (2017). Life-cycle energy densities and land-take requirements of various power generators: A UK perspective. *Journal of the Energy Institute*, 90(2017), 201–213.
- Christensen, C. M. (2000). *The innovator's dilemma*. Harper Business.
- Ciarreta, A., Espinoza, M. P., & Pizarro-Irizar, C. (2014). Is green energy expensive? Empirical evidence from the Spanish electricity markets. *Energy Policy*, 69, 205–215.
- Cipolla, C. M. (1993). *Before the industrial revolution: European society and economy 1000 – 1700*. W.W. Norton & Co.
- Clark, G., & Jacks, D. (2007). Coal and the industrial revolution, 1700–1869. *European Review of Economic History*, 11(1), 39–72.
- Clements, B., Coady, D., Fabrizio, S., Gupta, S., Alleyne, T., & Sdravovich, C. (2013). *Energy subsidies reform: Lessons and implications*. International Monetary Fund.
- Climate Cleanup. (2020). This initiative of entrepreneurs in the Netherlands aims at applications of CO<sub>2</sub>. <https://climatecleanup.org/partners/>. Accessed 19 Nov 2020.
- Cloete, S. (2020). Green or blue hydrogen: Cost analysis uncovers which is best for the hydrogen economy. *Energy Post*, EU 9-11-2020. <https://energypost.eu/green-or-blue-hydrogen-cost-analysis-uncovers-which-is-best-for-the-hydrogen-economy/>. Accessed 5 May 2021.
- Coady, D., Parry, I., Sears, L., & Shang, B. (2015). *How large are global energy subsidies?* (Report, WP/15/105). International Monetary Fund.
- Colinsk, J. (1996). Why bounded rationality. *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXXIV, 669–700.
- Coolman, F. (2021). *Venturing the green transformation?* Social Europe. <https://socialeurope.eu/venturing-the-green-transformation/>. Accessed 16 Aug 2021.
- Craig, J. F., Gerali, F., & MacAuley, R. S. (2020). *The history of the European oil and gas industry (1600s–2000s)* (Special Publication, 465, pp. 1–24). Geological Society, London. <https://sp.lyellcollection.org/content/465/1/1.1>. Accessed 9 Mar 2020.
- Creys, J., Derkach, A., Nyquist, S., Ostrowski, K., & Stephenson, J. (2007). *Reducing U.S. Greenhouse emissions, how much at what cost*. McKinsey & Company.
- Daly, H. E., & Cobb, J. B. (1994). *For the common good* (2nd ed.). Beacon Press.
- Demographia. (2021). *World urban areas*. <http://demographia.com/db-worldua.pdf>. Accessed 22 May 2021.
- Deng, Y. Y., Blok, K., & van der Leun, K. (2012). Transition to a fully sustainable global energy system. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 1, 109–121.
- Dismukes, J. P., Miller, L. K., & Bers, J. A. (2009). The industrial life cycle of wind energy electric power generation ARI methodology modelling of life cycle dynamics. *Technology Forecasting & Social Change*, 76, 178–191.
- Dixon, L., Porche, I., & Kulick, J. (2003). *Driving emissions to zero*. Rand Corporation.

- DOE. (2021). *Global energy storage database*. <https://www.sandia.gov/ess-ssl/global-energy-storage-database-home/>. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- Doelman, J. C., Stehfest, E., van Vuuren, D. P., Tabeu, A., Hof, A. F., Braakhekke, M. C., Gernaat, D. E. H. J., van den Berg, M., van Zeist, W.-J., Daioglou, V., van Meijl, H., & Lucas, P. L. (2020). Afforestation for climate change mitigation: Potential, risks and trade-offs. *Global Climate Change Biology*, 26, 1576–1591.
- Dosi, G. (1997). Opportunity incentives and the collective patterns of technological change. *The Economic Journal*, 107, 1530–1547.
- Doyne, F. J., & Lafond, F. (2016). How predictable is technological progress? *Research Policy*, 45, 647–665.
- Dragoman, M. C. (2014). *Factors influencing local renewable energy initiatives in different contexts*. Master thesis, University Twente, mimeo.
- Duffield, J. A., & Collins, K. (2006). Evolution of renewable energy policy, *Choices*, 21 (1). <https://www.choicesmagazine.org/2006-1/biofuels/2006-1-02.htm>. Accessed 31 Feb 2021.
- Dyke, J., Watson, R., & Knorr, W. (2021). *Climate scientists: Concept of net zero is a dangerous trap*. Social Europe, 27-4-2021. <https://www.socialeurope.eu/climate-scientists-concept-of-net-zero-is-a-dangerous-trap>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- EC. (2019). *Energy subsidies and government revenues from energy products*. SWD (2019) 1 final, Brussels.
- EC. (2021). [https://ec.europa.eu/info/horizon-europe/missions-horizon-europe\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/horizon-europe/missions-horizon-europe_en). Accessed 10 Mar 2021.
- Edler, J., & Georghiu, L. (2007). Public procurement and innovation – Resurrecting the demand side. *Research Policy*, 36, 949–963.
- EEA. (2011). *Efficiency of conventional thermal electricity and heat production*. European Environmental Agency, EN 19.
- EIA. (2020). *Cost and performance characteristics of new generating technologies, annual energy outlook*. US Energy Information Administration.
- EIA prices. (2017). <https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data.php#avgcost> is used until 2012, then extrapolated and corrected based on the US Energy Information Administration, Short-Term Energy Outlook Real and Nominal Prices 2017. <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/steo/realprices/>. Prices for coal are similar but for oil and gas somewhat different. Accessed 16 May 2021.
- EIA total energy. (2021). <https://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/annual/index.php>. Accessed 16 May 2021.
- Eid, C., Bollinger, L. A., Koirala, B., Scholten, D., Facchinetti, E., Lillestam, J., & Hakvoort, R. (2016). Market integration of local energy systems: Is local energy management compatible with the European regulation retail competition. *Energy*, 114, 913–922.
- Eller, A., & Gauntlett, D. (2017). *Energy storage trends, markets and opportunities*. Energy Sector Management Assistance Program and International Finance Corporation, World Bank Group.
- Emission trading. (2021). <https://icapcarbonaction.com/en/ets-prices>. Accessed 31 Mar 2021.
- Encyclopedia.com. (2020). *Tax law and alcohol*. <https://www.encyclopedia.com/education/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/tax-laws-and-alcohol#:~:text=HISTORY,throughout%20much%20of%20U.S.%20history.&text=The%20states%20also%20impose%20special,state%20and%20local%20sales%20taxes>. Accessed 22 June 2020.
- Engineering Toolbox. (2020). [https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/fossil-fuels-energy-content-d\\_1298.html](https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/fossil-fuels-energy-content-d_1298.html). Accessed 28 Feb 2020.
- Enos, J. L. (1962). *The rate and direction of inventive activity: Economic and social factors*. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c2124>. Accessed 25 May 2020.
- Entele, B. R. (2020). Analysis of households' willingness to pay for a renewable source of electricity service connection: Evidence from a double-bounded dichotomous choice survey in rural Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 6, 1–13.
- EPA. (2011). *Assessing the Multiple Benefits of Clean Energy* (Report EPA-430-R-11-014). Environmental Protection Agency.

- Eriksson, O. (2017). Nuclear power and resource efficiency—A proposal for a revised primary energy factor. *Sustainability*, 9, 1063. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9061063>
- Etemad, B., & Luciani, J. (1991). *World energy production 1800–1985*. DROZ.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (1995). The triple helix – University-industry-government relations: A laboratory for knowledge based economic development. *EASST Review*, 14(1), 14–19.
- European Commission. (2020). *2020 report on the State of the Energy Union pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 on Governance of the Energy Union and Climate Action*, Brussels, 14.10.2020 COM(2020) 950 final.
- Eurostat. (2021). <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>. Accessed 15 May 2021.
- Eyer, J., & Corey, G. (2010). *Energy storage for the electricity grid: Benefits and market potential assessment guide*, SAND2010-0815, Albuquerque, Sandia National Laboratories.
- FAO. (2001). *State of the world 2001*. Climate change. <http://www.fao.org/3/y0900e/y0900e06.htm#:~:text=Carbon%20sequestration&text=Typical%20sequestration%20rates%20for%20afforestation,et%20al.%2C%201996>. Accessed 05 Jan 2021.
- FAO. (2012). *State of the world's forest* (Chapter 2) (p. 9). FAO.
- FAO. (2016). *National statistics related to woodfuel and international recommendations*. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca6504en/ca6504en.pdf>. Accessed 10 Jan 2021.
- FAO, UNEP. (2020). *The state of the world's forests 2020. Forests, biodiversity and people*, Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8642en>. Accessed 10 July 2021.
- Farhar B. C. (1999). *Willingness to pay for electricity from renewable resources: A review of utility market research*. NREL, US Department of Energy.
- Fasol, K. H. (2002). A short history of hydropower control. *IEEE Control System Magazine*, 68–76.
- Fattouh, B., Poudineh, R., & West, R. (2019). The rise of renewables and energy transition: What adaptation strategy exists for oil companies and oil-exporting countries? *Energy Transitions*, 3, 45–58.
- Fell, M. J. (2017). Energy services: A conceptual review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 27, 129–140.
- Ferguson, J. (2021). *Europe's love affair with fossil fuels*. <https://www.investigate-europe.eu/en/2020/europes-love-affair-with-fossil-fuels/>. Accessed 20 Apr 2021.
- Forbes. (2020). <https://www.forbes.com/sites/tomzeller/2015/04/21/natural-gas-leaks-a-30-billion-opportunity-and-global-warming-menace/>. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- Fouquet, R. (2011). Divergences in long run trends in the prices of energy and energy services. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 5(2), 196–218.
- Fouquet, R. (2016). Historical energy transitions: Speed, prices and system transformation. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 22, 7–12. ISSN 2214-6296.
- FuelCellsWorks. (2021). Massive Green Hydrogen Production Project will soon be unveiled in Bécancourt, H2 V Energies, Québec. <https://fuelcellsworks.com/news/h2-v-energies-massive-green-hydrogen-production-project-will-soon-be-unveiled-in-becancour-quebec/>. Accessed 5 July 2021.
- Gales, B., Kander, A., Malanima, P., & Rubio, M. (2007). North versus South: Energy transition and energy intensity in Europe over 200 years. *European Review of Economic History*, 11(2), 219–253.
- Gasparatos, A., Borzoni, M., & Abramovay, R. (2012). The Brazilian bioethanol and biodiesel programs: Drivers, policies and impacts. In A. Gasparatos & P. Stromberg (Eds.), *Socio-economic and environmental impacts of biofuels*. Cambridge University Press.
- Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971). *The entropy law and the economic process*. A Harvard Paperback. <https://www.scribd.com/document/267519123/The-Entropy-Law-and-the-Economic-Process-by-Nicholas-Georgescu-Roegen-pdf>. Accessed 3 May 2020.
- Ghosh, T. K., & Prelas, M. A. (2009). *Energy Resources and Systems, Volume 1: Fundamentals of non-renewable energy* (pp. 649–676). Springer.
- Ghosh, T. K., & Prelas, M. A. (2011). *Energy resources and systems, Volume 2: Renewable energy* (Vol. II). Springer.

- Gianfreda, A., Parisio, L., & Pelagatti, M. (2016). Revisiting long-run relations in power markets with high RES penetration. *Energy Policy*, *94*, 432–445.
- Gibney, E. (2021). Fuel for world's largest fusion reactor ITER is set for run. *Nature*, 22-2-2021. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-00408-1>
- Gielen, D., Boshell, F., Saygin, D., Bazilian, M. D., Wagner, N., & Gorini, R. (2019). The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *24*, 38–50.
- Gillingham, K., & Stock, J. H. (2018). The cost of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *32*(4), 53–72.
- Goldenberg, J., & Reddy, A. K. N. (1990). Energy for the developing world. *Scientific American*, *263*, 111–118.
- Gordon, R. J. (1990). *The measurement of durable goods prices*. University of Chicago Press.
- Griffith, S., & Calish, S. (2020). *Mobilizing for a zero carbon America: Jobs, jobs, jobs, and more jobs, rewiring America*. <https://www.rewiringamerica.org/jobs-report>. Accessed 28 Dec 2020.
- Griliches, Z. (1996). The discovery of the residual: A historical note. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *XXXIV*, 1324–1330.
- Grilli, G. (2018). Renewable energy and willingness to pay: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Economics and Policy of Energy and the Environment*, *19*, 253–271.
- Grübler, A. (2012). Energy transitions research: Insights and cautionary tales. *Energy Policy*, *50*, 8–16.
- Grübler, A., & Nakicenovic, N. (1996). Decarbonizing the global energy system. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *53*(1), 97–110.
- Grübler, A., Johansson, T. B., Mundaca, J., Nakicenovic, N., Pachauri, A., Riahi, K., Rogner, H.-H., & Strupeit, L. (2005). *Global energy assessment, energy primer*. IIASA, Laxenburg. [https://iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/Flagship-Projects/Global-Energy-Assessment/GEA\\_Chapter1\\_primer\\_hires.pdf](https://iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/Flagship-Projects/Global-Energy-Assessment/GEA_Chapter1_primer_hires.pdf). Accessed 27 May 2020.
- Grübler, A., Wilson, C., & Nemet, G. (2016). Appels, oranges and consistent comparison of temporary dynamics of energy transition. *Energy Policy*, *22*, 18–25.
- Gupta, A. K., & Hall, C. A. S. (2011). A review of the past and current state of EROI data. *Sustainability*, *3*, 1796–1809.
- Guruswamy, L. (2011). Energy poverty. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, *36*, 139–161. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-040610-090118>. Accessed 11 July 2021.
- Haberl, H., Erb, K. H., Krausmann, F., Gaube, V., Bondeau, A., Plutzer, C., Gingrich, S., Lucht, W., & Fischer-Kowalski, M. (2007). Quantifying and mapping the human appropriation of net primary production in earth's terrestrial ecosystems. *PNAS*, *104*(31), 12942–12947.
- Haldane, J. B. S. (1923, February 4). *DAEDALUS or science and the future*. Paper read to the Heretics Society, Cambridge.
- Hall, C. A. S. (2017). Will EROI be the primary determinant of our economic future? The view of the natural scientist versus the economist. *Joule*, *1*(20), 635–638.
- Hall, C. A. S., Lambert, J. G., & Balogh, S. B. (2014). EROI of different fuels and the implications for society. *Energy Policy*, *64*, 141–152.
- Harrison, K.W., Remick, R., & Martin, G. D. (2010). *Hydrogen production: Fundamentals and case study summaries*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, NREL/CP-550-47302 88, Oak Ridge.
- Hecht, J. (2010). *Short history of laser development*. SPIE. <https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Short-history-of-laser-development/volume-49/issue-09/091002/Short-history-of-laser-development/10.1117/1.3483597.full?webSyncID=e46e9e6e-c7a4-9dab-6a0c-bad059329ad8&sessionGUID=83c9d902-bc99-93ce-d268-bead49a28531&SSO=1>. Accessed 11 Jan 2021.
- Helpman, E. (2004). *The mystery of economic growth* (1st ed.). The Belknap press of the Harvard University Press.
- Herman, R., Ardekanian, A. A., & Ausubel, J. H. (1989). Dematerialization. In J. H. Ausubel & H. E. Sladovich (Eds.), *Technology and environment* (1st ed., pp. 50–69). National Academy Press.

- Hirth, L. (2013). The market value of variable renewable, the effect of solar wind power variability on their relative price. *Energy Economics*, 38, 218–236.
- Horizon2020. (2021). [https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/sites/horizon2020/files/h2020\\_threeyearson\\_a4\\_horizantal\\_2018\\_web.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/sites/horizon2020/files/h2020_threeyearson_a4_horizantal_2018_web.pdf). Accessed 12 Feb 2021.
- Horses. (2021). There were 58 million horses and twenty times more cars by 2000s. <https://www.google.com/search?q=number+of+horses+worldwide&oq=number+of+horses%2C+world&aqs=chrome..69i57j0l5.14907j0j8&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8>. Accessed 10 July 2021.
- Howard, R. W., & Jacobson, M. Z. (2021). How green is blue hydrogen. *Energy Science & Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.956>
- IEA. (2014). *Africa energy outlook*. International Energy Agency.
- IEA. (2015). *Estimates for 2015 are based on database of the International Energy Agency*.
- IEA. (2017). [http://www.iea.org/bookshop/729-CO2\\_Emission\\_from\\_Fuel\\_Combustion](http://www.iea.org/bookshop/729-CO2_Emission_from_Fuel_Combustion). Accessed 26 July 2017.
- IEA. (2019). *CO2 emissions from fuel combustion* (p. 147). International Energy Agency.
- IEA. (2020). <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-access-outlook-2017>. Accessed 13 Nov 2020.
- IEA. (2021a). <https://www.iea.org/classicstats/statisticssearch/>. Accessed 10 July 2021.
- IEA. (2021b). <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-investment-2020/key-findings>. Accessed 3 Apr 2021.
- IEA batteries. (2021). <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/evolution-of-li-ion-battery-price-1995-2019>. Accessed 20 May 2021.
- IEA EV. (2021). <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/evolution-of-li-ion-battery-price-1995-2019>
- IEA grid. (2021). <https://www.iea.org/fuels-and-technologies/smart-grids>. Accessed 22 May 2021.
- IEA materials. (2021). *The role of critical minerals in clean energy transition*. World Energy Outlook Special Report, Paris.
- IEA R&D. (2005). <https://www.iea.org/subscribe-to-data-services/energy-technology-rdd>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- IEA RD&D. (2021). <https://www.iea.org/subscribe-to-data-services/energy-technology-rdd>. Accessed 2 Mar 2021.
- IEA storage. (2021). *Energy storage*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-storage>. Accessed 20 May 2021.
- IEA subsidies. (2021). <https://www.iea.org/topics/energy-subsidies>. Accessed 3 Apr 2021.
- IEA-ETSAP, IRENA. (2013). *Thermal energy storage, technology brief*. <https://www.irena.org/publications/2013/Jan/Thermal-energy-storage>. Accessed 24 May 2021.
- IEG. (2008). *The welfare impact of rural electrification: A reassessment of the costs and benefits*. Independent Evaluation Group, World Bank.
- IHA. (2020). International Hydropower Association. *A brief history of hydropower*. <https://www.hydropower.org/a-brief-history-of-hydropower>. Accessed 4 Apr 2020.
- Interest rate. (2020). *Interest rates from 1694 to 2013*. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1OKo38R1blO71SGNIVuhaarZ4P2GYPPJQklmOymQiXixQ/edit?hl=en&hl=en#gid=0>. Accessed 22 May 2020.
- Interreg. (2021). *Renewable energy communities policy brief interreg Europe*. [https://www.interregeurope.eu/fileadmin/user\\_upload/plp\\_uploads/policy\\_briefs/2018-08-30\\_Policy\\_brief\\_Renewable\\_Energy\\_Communities\\_PB\\_TO4\\_final.pdf](https://www.interregeurope.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/plp_uploads/policy_briefs/2018-08-30_Policy_brief_Renewable_Energy_Communities_PB_TO4_final.pdf). Accessed 9 July 2021.
- Invest Europe. (2019). *Investing in Europe, private equity activity 2019*, Brussels.
- IPCC. (2012). *Change, renewable energy sources and climate change mitigation* (Intergovernmental, Panel on Climate) (p. 19). Cambridge University Press.
- IRENA. (2017). *Electricity storage and renewables: Costs and markets to 2030*. International Renewable Energy Agency.

- IRENA. (2020). <https://www.irena.org/publications/2020/Jul/Renewable-energy-statistics-2020>. Accessed 7 Mar 2021.
- IRENA. (2021a). <https://www.irena.org/newsroom/pressreleases/2020/Nov/Tripling-Renewables-Investment-to-Reach-Climate-Goal>. Accessed 3 Apr 2021.
- IRENA. (2021b). <https://www.irena.org/Statistics>. Accessed 2 Apr 2021.
- IRENA hydrogen. (2020). *Green hydrogen cost reduction: Scaling up electrolyzers to meet the 1.5°C climate goal*. International Renewable Energy Agency.
- IRENA, IEA and REN21. (2018). *Renewable energy policies in a time of transition*. IRENA, OECD/IEA and REN21.
- IRENA Jobs. (2020). *Renewable energy and jobs, annual review 2020*, Abu Dhabi.
- IRENA secretariate. (2012). *Hydropower; renewable energy technologies*, Cost analysis series, vol 1(3/5).
- Iszak, K., & Edler, J. (2011). *Trends and challenges in demand-side innovation policies*. Technopolis group, mimeo.
- Jackson, T. (2011). *Prosperity without growth* (2nd ed.). Earthscan.
- Jacobsson, S., & Bergkek, A. (2004). Transforming the energy sector: The evolution of technological systems in renewable energy technologies. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 13(5), 815–849.
- Jessel, S., Sawyer, S., & Hernandez, D. (2019). Energy, poverty, and health in climate change: A comprehensive review of an emerging literature. *Frontiers Public Health*, 12 December 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00357>. Accessed 11 July 2021.
- JRC. (2012). *Analysis of energy saving potentials in energy generation*. Joint Research Center.
- Jurich, K. (2016). *CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors for Fossil Fuels*. Umwelt Bundesamt, report 28, Berlin.
- Kaiser, B. A. (2020). *The transition from whale oil to petroleum*. University of South Denmark. [https://www.sdu.dk/-/media/files/om\\_sdu/institutter/miljo/energycenter/brooks+kaiser.pdf](https://www.sdu.dk/-/media/files/om_sdu/institutter/miljo/energycenter/brooks+kaiser.pdf). Accessed 17 Feb 2020
- Kalimeris, P., Richardson, C., & Bithas, K. (2014). A meta-analysis investigation of the direction of the energy–GDP causal relationship: implications for the growth–degrowth dialogue. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 67, 1–13.
- Kamien, M. I., & Schwarz, N. L. (1982). *Market structure and innovation*. Cambridge Publishers.
- Kammertlander, A., & Schultze, G. G. (2020). Are democracies cleaner? *European Journal of Political Economy*, 64, 101920.
- Kamp, L. M. (2006). Danish and Dutch wind energy policy 1970–2000: Lessons for the future. *International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development*, 5(2), 213–220.
- Kaplan, S. N., Sensoy, B. A., & Strömberg, P. (2009). Should investors bet on the jockey or the horse? Evidence from the evolution of the firms from early business plan to public companies. *The Journal of Finance*, LXIV(1), 75–115.
- Keen, M., Parry, I., & Davies, M. (2019). *Fiscal policies for Paris climate change strategies—From principle to practices*. International Monetary Fund.
- Kim, J., Park, J., Kim, J., & Heo, E. (2013). Renewable electricity as a differentiated good? The case of the Republic of Korea. *Energy Policy*, 54, 327–334.
- Kirzner, I. (1997). Entrepreneurial discovery and the competitive market process: An Austrian approach. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(1), 60–85.
- Klein, A., Pfluger, B., Held, A., Ragwitz, M., Resch, G., & Faber, T. (2008). *Evaluation of different feed-in tariff design options – Best practice paper for the international feed-in cooperation*. Fraunhofer Institut, Institut for Systems and Innovation Research.
- Kodama, F. (1995). *Emerging patterns of innovation; ; Sources of Japan's technological edge*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Koornneef, J., Junginger, M., & Faaij, A. (2007). Development of fluidized bed combustion—An overview of trends, performance and cost. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 33, 19–55.
- Kotler, P., & Zaltman, G. (1971). Social marketing: An approach to planned social change. *Journal of Marketing*, 35, 3–12.

- Krausmann, F., Gingrich, S., Eisenmenger, N., Erb, K.-H., Haberl, H., & Fischer-Kowalski, M. (2009). Growth in global materials use, GDP and population during the 20th century. *Ecological Economics*, 68, 2696–2705.
- Krepelkova, M. (2017). *Evolution of batteries: From experiments to everyday usage*. [https://www.google.com/search?ei=Gbb8X9bhJ4WakwX0i6rwAg&q=history+of+batteries+pdf&oq=history+of+batteries&gs\\_lcp=CgZwc3ktYWIQARgBMgUIABDJAzICCAAyAggAMgIIADIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEb4yBggAEbYQHjIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEb4yBggAEbYQHjoECAARzoHCAAQyQMzQzoECAAAQzoCCC46CAgAEMkDEJECogUIABCRAjoICCC4QxwEQrWfQjAxYsiox3Dl0AHAcACAAUAIAIDIGkGECMTSYAQCGAQGqAQdnd3Mtd2l6yAEIwAEB&scient=psy-ab](https://www.google.com/search?ei=Gbb8X9bhJ4WakwX0i6rwAg&q=history+of+batteries+pdf&oq=history+of+batteries&gs_lcp=CgZwc3ktYWIQARgBMgUIABDJAzICCAAyAggAMgIIADIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEb4yBggAEbYQHjIGCAAQFhAeMgYIABAWEb4yBggAEbYQHjoECAARzoHCAAQyQMzQzoECAAAQzoCCC46CAgAEMkDEJECogUIABCRAjoICCC4QxwEQrWfQjAxYsiox3Dl0AHAcACAAUAIAIDIGkGECMTSYAQCGAQGqAQdnd3Mtd2l6yAEIwAEB&scient=psy-ab). Accessed 11 Jan 2021.
- Krlev, G., Münscher, R., Mülberr, K. (2013). *Social Return on Investment (SROI): State-of-the-art and perspectives*. Centre for Social Investment, University of Heidelberg. <http://www.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/archiv/18758>. Accessed 31 May 2019.
- Krohn, S. P.-E., & Morthorst, S. A. (2009). *The economics of wind energy*. Risoe National Laboratory.
- Krozer, Y. (1987). Biomassa, product van de toekomst? In F. Hendriks (Ed.), *Shell. SOMO/Jan van Arkel*.
- Krozer, Y. (2008). *Innovations and the environment*. Springer.
- Krozer, Y. (2012). Renewable energy in European regions. *International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, 4(1).
- Krozer, Y. (2015). *Theories and practices on innovations for sustainable development*. Springer.
- Krozer, Y. (2017). Energy initiatives in Europe. In I. Visa & A. Duta (Eds.), *Nearly zero energy communities*. Springer.
- Krozer, Y. (2019). Valorisation of energy services: Essay on the value addition due to renewable energy. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 9(9), 1–16.
- Krozer, Y., & Nentjes, A. (2006). An essay on innovation for sustainable development. *Journal on Intergative Environmental Science*, 3, 163–174.
- Krozer, Y., & Vis, J. C. (1998). How to get LCA in the right direction? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 6(1), 53–61.
- Krozer, Y., Coenen, F., Hanganu, J., Lordkipanidze, M., & Sbarcea, M. (2020). Towards innovative governance of nature areas. *Sustainability*, 122, 624.
- Krzywiac, P. (2018). The birth and development of oil and gas industry in the Northern Carpathian. In J. Graig, F. Gerals, F. MacAulay, & R. Sorkhabi (Eds.), *History of the European oil and gas industry* (Special Publication 465) (pp. 165–189). Geological Society.
- Kuipers, S. K., & Nentjes, A. (1973). Pollution in a neo-classical world: The classics rehabilitated? *The Economist*, 121(1), 52–67.
- Labandeira, X., Labeaga, J. M., & Lopez-Otero, X. (2015). *A meta-analysis on the price elasticity of energy demand*. University de Vigo, WP04/2015.
- Lagarde, C., & Gaspar, V. (2019). *Getting real on meeting Paris climate change commitments*. IMF. [https://blogs.imf.org/2019/05/03/getting-real-on-meeting-paris-climate-change-commitments/?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=govdelivery](https://blogs.imf.org/2019/05/03/getting-real-on-meeting-paris-climate-change-commitments/?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery). Accessed 14 Dec 2020.
- Lancaster, K. J. (1966). A new approach to consumer theory. *Journal of Political Economy*, 74(2), 132–157.
- Lander, D. S. (1998). *The wealth and poverty of nations*. W.W. Norton & Co.
- Layton, B. E. (2008). A comparison of energy densities of prevalent energy sources in units of joules per cubic meter. *International Journal of Green Energy*, November.
- Lazard. (2020). *Lazard levelized cost of energy analysis- version 13*. November 2019.
- Lenzen, M. (2008). Life cycle energy and greenhouse gas emissions of nuclear energy: A review. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 49, 2178–2199.
- Levin, T., & Thomas, V. M. (2016). Can developing countries leapfrog the centralized electrification paradigm? *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 31, 97–107.
- Li, P., Peng, C., Wang, M., Li, W., Zhao, P., Wang, K., Yang, Y., & Zhu, Q. (2017). Quantification of the response of global terrestrial net primary production to multifactor global change. *Ecological Indicators*, 76, 245–255. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1470160X17300274>. Accessed 11 July 2021.

- Lovering, J. R., Yip, A., & Nordhaus, T. (2016). Historical construction costs of global nuclear powers reactors. *Energy Policy*, *91*, 371–382.
- Ma, C., Rogers, A. A., Kragt, M. E., Zhang, F., Polyakov, M., Chalak, M., Pandit, R., & Tapsuwan, S. (2015). Consumers' willingness to pay for renewable energy: A meta-regression analysis. *Resource and Energy Economics*, *42*, 93–109.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (2009). *Sustainable energy without hot air*. UIT.
- Maddison, A. (2007). Measuring and interpreting world economic performance 1500–2001. *Review of Income and Wealth*, *51*(1), 1–35.
- Maddison project database, version. (2018). Jutta, B., Inklaar, R., de Jong, H., & Luiten van Zanden J. (2018). *Rebasing 'Maddison': new income comparisons and the shape of long-run economic development*. Maddison Project Working Paper, nr. 10. Available for download at [www.ggdc.net/maddison](http://www.ggdc.net/maddison). Accessed 21 Mar 2020.
- Malamina, P. (2016). Energy consumption in England and Italy, 1560–1913. Two pathways toward energy transition. *Economic History Review*, *69*(1), 78–103.
- Malanima, P. (2014). Energy in history. In N. Agnoletti & S. Neri Serneri (Eds.), *The basic environmental history*. Springer.
- Malthus, T.R. ((1798) 1826). *An essay on the principle of population* (Vol. 1, 1826, 6th ed.) <https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/malthus-an-essay-on-the-principle-of-population-vol-1-1826-6th-ed>. Accessed 2 May 2020.
- Markandya, A., & Wilkinson, P. (2007). Electricity generation and health. *Lancet.com*, Vol. 370 September 15, 2007.
- Masdar. (2021). <https://grist.org/climate-energy/the-worlds-first-zero-carbon-city-is-a-big-failure/>. Accessed 11 July 2021.
- Mazzucato, M. (2018). Mission-oriented innovation policies: Challenges and opportunities. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *27*(5), 803–815.
- Mazzucato, M., & Semieniuk, G. (2017). Public financing of innovation: New questions. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, *33*(1), 24–48.
- McDonald, A., & Schratzenholzer, L. (2003). *Learning curves and technology assessment*. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens III, W. W. (1972). *Limits to growth*. A Potomac Associates Book. <http://donellameadows.org/the-limits-to-growth-now-available-to-read-online/>. Accessed 2 May 2020.
- Mehmeti, A., Angelis-Dimakis, A., Arampatzis, G., McPhail, S. J., & Ulgiati, S. (2018). Life cycle assessment and water footprint of hydrogen production methods: From conventional to emerging technologies. *Environments*, *5*, 1–19.
- Melsted, O., & Palua, I. (2018). The historical transition from coal to hydrocarbons; previous explanations and the need for an integrative perspective. *Canadian Journal of History*, *53*(3), 395–423.
- Mercure, J.-F., Pollitt, H., Chewprecha, U., Salas, P., Foley, A. M., Holden, P. B., & Edwards, N. R. (2014). The dynamics of technology diffusion and the impacts of climate policy instruments in the decarbonisation of the global electricity sector. *Energy Policy*, *73*, 686–700.
- Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H., Loos, M., & Meyer, L. (2005). *Carbon dioxide capture and storage* (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) (pp. 43–44). Cambridge University Press.
- Milanovic, B. (2012). *Global income inequality by the numbers: In history and now*. The World Bank, report nr. 6259.
- Miranowski, J. (2005). Energy consumption in US agriculture. In J. Outlaw, K. Collins, & J. Duffield (Eds.), *Agriculture as a producer and consumer of energy* (pp. 68–111). CAB.
- Mitrova, T. (2019). *Global and Russian energy outlook*. Skolkovo Energy Centre, Moscow School of Management.
- Mokyr, J. (2005). *The gift of Athene* (Chapter 2). Princeton University Press.
- Murkin, C., & Brightling, J. (2016). Eighty years of steam reforming. *The Johnson Matthey Technology Review*, *60*(4), 263–269.

- Nakicenovic, N. (2012). *Global energy assessment – Toward a sustainable future*. Cambridge University Press/The International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- Narodoslawski, M. (2019). Bioresources and technologies. In Y. Krozer & M. Narodoslawski (Eds.), *Economics of bioresources*. Springer.
- NaturalGas.org. (2020). <http://naturalgas.org/overview/history/>. Accessed 4 Apr 2020.
- Negro, S. O., Alkemade, F., & Hekkert, M. P. (2012). Why does renewable energy diffuse so slowly, a review of innovation system problems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16, 3836–3846.
- Nelson, R. (1995). Recent evolutionary theorizing about economic change. *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXXIII, 48–90.
- Neubauer J., & Simpson, M. (2015). *Deployment of behind-the-meter energy storage for demand charge reduction*. NREL/TP-5400-63162, Golden.
- Nordhaus, W. (1973). The allocation of energy resources. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 3. [http://www.brookings.edu/~media/projects/bpea/1973%203/1973c\\_bpea\\_nordhaus\\_houthakker\\_solow.pdf](http://www.brookings.edu/~media/projects/bpea/1973%203/1973c_bpea_nordhaus_houthakker_solow.pdf). Visited, 20 Nov 2014.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (1994). *Do real output and real wages measures capture realities? The history of lighting suggests not*. Cowles Foundation.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (2010). *The perils of learning model for modelling endogenous technical change*. <https://www.nap.edu/read/13023/chapter/15>. Accessed 25 May 2020.
- NREL. (1997). *Dollars from sense, the economic benefits of renewable energy*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- NREL. (2020). *Cell-efficiency*. <https://www.nrel.gov/pv/cell-efficiency.html>. Accessed 20 Apr 2020.
- NREL. (2021a). *Levelized cost of energy calculator*. <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/tech-lcoe.html>. Accessed 17 Apr 2021.
- NREL. (2021b). <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/tech-lcoe-re-cost-est.html>. Accessed 17 Apr 2021.
- Ntanos, S., Kyriakopoulos, G., Chalikias, M., Arabatzis, G., & Skordoulis, M. (2018). Public perceptions and willingness to pay for renewable energy: A case study from Greece. *Sustainability*, 10(687), 1–16.
- Nuvolari, A. (2010). The theory and practice of steam engineering in Britain and France, 1800–1850, *Documents pour l'histoire des techniques*, 19, p. 189–197. <https://journals.openedition.org/dht/1439>. Accessed 10 July 2021.
- O'Connor, P. A., & Cleveland, C. J. (2014). U.S. energy transition 1780–2010. *Energies*, 7, 7955–7993.
- O'Mahony, T. (2020). State of the art in carbon taxes: A review of the global conclusions. *Green Finance*, 2(4), 409–423.
- OECD. (2021). [https://stats.oecd.org/OECDStat\\_Metadata/ShowMetadata.ashx?Dataset=RE\\_FIT&ShowOnWeb=true&Lang=en](https://stats.oecd.org/OECDStat_Metadata/ShowMetadata.ashx?Dataset=RE_FIT&ShowOnWeb=true&Lang=en). Accessed 3 Apr 2021.
- OECD RD&D. (2021). *Total RD&D, Group 1. Energy efficiency*. <https://stats.oecd.org/>. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- Ornetzeder, M., & Rohracher, H. (2006). User-led innovations and participation processes: Lessons from sustainable energy technologies. *Energy Policy*, 34, 138–150.
- Ortiz-Rivera, E. I., Reyes-Hernandez, A. L., & Febo, R. A. (2007). *Understanding the history of fuel cells*. IEEE. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4510259>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- Pandiyaswargo, A. H., Jagath, P., Gamaralalage, D., Chen, L., Knaus, M., Onoda, H., Mahichi, F., & Yanghui, G. (2019). Challenges and an implementation framework for sustainable municipal organic waste management using biogas technology in emerging asian countries. *Sustainability*, 11(6631), 1–27.
- Papaioannou, K. J., & Luiten van Zanten, J. (2015). The dictator effect: How long years in office affect economic development. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 11(1), 111–139.
- Pepermans, G., Driesen, J., Haeseldonckx, D., D'haeseleer, W., & Belmans, R. (2003). *Distributed generation, definition, benefits and issues*. University of Leuven.
- Pernick, R., & Wilder, C. (2008). *The cleantech revolution*. Harper.

- Perrin, B., (2021), How to – and how not to – evaluate innovation. <http://www.mande.co.uk/docs/perrin.htm>. Accessed 9 July 2021.
- Petrovsky, H. (1994). *The evolution of useful things* (1st ed.). Vintage Books.
- Petrovsky, H. (1996). *Invention by design*. Harvard University Press.
- Pieprzyk, B., & Hilje, P. R. (2015). *Flaring and venting of associated gas, current development and impacts of marginal oils*. Energy Research Architecture and <https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/global-gas-flaring-inches-higher-first-time-five-years>. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century* (1st ed.). The Belknap Press the Harvard University Press.
- Pinker, S. (2018). *Enlightenment now, the case for reason. Science, humanism and progress*. Penguin Books, Random House.
- Plumer, B. (2016). *Solar power is contagious. This map shows how it spreads*. <https://www.vox.com/2016/5/4/11590396/solar-power-contagious-maps>. Accessed 18 Nov 2020.
- Podobnik, B. (2006). *Global energy shifts*. TERI.
- Pommeranz, K. (2000). *The great divergence*. Princetown University Press.
- Popkin, G. (2019). How much can forests fight climate change? *Nature*, 565, 280–282. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-00122-z>
- PWC. (2017). *Effectiveness of tax incentives for venture capital and business angels to foster the investment of SMEs and start-ups* (European Commission, Working Paper 68-2017).
- Pyper, J. (2017). *US advanced energy revenue grew by just 1% in 2016*, GTM, 7-3-2017. <https://www.greentechmedia.com/articles/read/u.s.-advanced-energy-revenue-grew-by-just-1-in-2016>. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- Quaschnig, V. (2008). *Renewable energy and climate change*. Carl Hanser Verlag.
- Ramirez, C. A., & Worrell, E. (2006). Feeding fossil fuels to the soil. An analysis of energy embedded and technological learning in the fertilizer industry. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 46, 75–93.
- Rapier, R. (2020). Life cycle emission of hydrogen. *Climate* 5/6/2020. <https://4thgeneration.energy/life-cycles-emissions-of-hydrogen/>. Accessed 14 May 2021.
- Raugeri, M., & Leccisi, E. (2016). A comprehensive assessment of the energy performance of the full range of electricity generation technologies deployed in the United Kingdom. *Energy Policy*, 90, 46–59.
- Raworth, K. (2017). *Donut Economie, Nieuw Amsterdam*, Amsterdam (Dutch translation).
- REFINITIV. (2021). *Carbon market year in review*, Oslo, Norway.
- Reichmuth, D., & Goldman J. (2017). *Accelerating US leadership in electric vehicles union of concerned scientists*. Policy Brief, August, 2017. <https://www.ucsusa.org/clean-vehicles/electric-vehicles/accelerating-us-leadership-electric-vehicles-2017>. Accessed 11 Feb 2019.
- Reij, C., & Winterbottom, R. (2015). *Scaling up greening: Six steps to success*. World Resource Institute. <https://www.wri.org/insights/can-we-restore-350-million-hectares-2030#:~:text=Many%20national%20tree%2Dplanting%20campaigns.require%20some%20%2434%20billion%20annually>. Accessed 23 May 2021.
- Resch, G., Held, A., Faber, T., Panzer, C., Toro, F., & Haas, R. (2008). Potentials and prospects for renewable energies at global scale. *Energy Policy*, 36, 4048–4056.
- Richard, K. R., & Stokes, C. (2004). A review of forest carbon sequestration cost studies: A dozen years of research. *Climatic Change*, 63, 1–48.
- Richter, M. (2012). Utilities’ business models for renewable energy: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16, 2483–2493.
- Rismanchi, B. (2017). District energy networks (DEN), current global status and future development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 75, 571–579.
- Ritchie, H., & Roser, M. (2020). *Energy*, Published online at *OurWorldInData.org*. Retrieved from: <https://ourworldindata.org/energy> [Online Resource]. Accessed 21 Mar 2020.
- Roberts, D. (2019). Getting to 100% renewables requires cheap energy storage. But how cheap? *VOX*, 20-9-2019. <https://www.vox.com/energy-and-environment/2019/8/9/20767886/>

- renewable-energy-storage-cost-electricity#:~:text=To%20provide%20base%20load%2C%20intermediate%2C%20bipeaker.%2410%E2%80%9330%2FkWh%20. Accessed 11 July 2021.
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E., Lenton, R. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H., Nykvist, B. C. A., de Wit, A., Hughes, T., van Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., . . . Foley, J. (2009). Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecology and Society*, 14(2), 32. [online] URL: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol14/iss2/art32/>. Accessed 11 July 2021
- Rogers, E. M. (1983). *Diffusion of innovations* (3rd ed.). The Free Press.
- Rogner, H.-H. (2000). *Energy resources in UNDP* (p. 167). World Energy Assessment.
- Rojas, J., Duprat, C., Engrand, E., Dartois, I., Delauche, M., Godard, M., Gounelle, J. D., Carrillo-Sánchez, P., & Pokorný, J. M. C. (2021). The micrometeorite flux at Dome C (Antarctica), monitoring the accretion of extraterrestrial dust on Earth. *Earth and Planetary Letters*, 560(116794).
- Rosenberg, N. (1982). Learning by using. In N. Rosenberg (Ed.), *Inside the black box* (pp. 104–119). Cambridge University Press.
- Rosenberg, N. (1994). Energy efficient technologies. In A. Q. Curzio, M. Fortis, & R. Zoboli (Eds.), *Innovation, resources and economic growth* (pp. 63–82). Springer.
- Rosenberg, N., & Birdzell, L. E. (1986). *How the west grew rich*. I.B. Tauris & Co. Ltd, Publishers.
- Rosenberg, D., & Tarasenko, G. (2020). Innovation for despots? How dictators and democratic leaders differ in stifling innovation and misusing natural resources across 114 countries. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 68, 101543.
- Rubin, E. S., Azevedo, I. M. L., Jaramillo, P., & Yeh, S. (2015). A review of learning rates for electricity supply technologies. *Energy Policy*, 86, 198–218.
- Ruether, J. A., Ramezan, M., & Balash, P. C. (2004). Greenhouse gas emission from coal gasification power generation system. *Journal of Infrastructure System*, 10(3), 111–119.
- Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (2001). Natural resources and economic development, the curse of natural resources. *European Economic Review*, 45, 827–838.
- Sarasvathy, S. (2001). Causation and effectuation: Toward a theoretical shift from economic inevitability to entrepreneurial contingency. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 243–263.
- Saygin, D., Worrel, E., Patel, M. K., & Gielen, D. J. (2011). Potential of best practice technologies to improve energy efficiency in the global chemical and petrochemical sector. *Energy*, 36, 5579–5790.
- Scarpa, R., & Willis, K. (2010). Willingness-to-pay for renewable energy: Primary and discretionary choice of British households' for micro-generation technologies. *Energy Economics*, 32(1), 129–136.
- Schiermeier, Q., Tollefson, J., Scully, T., Witze, A., & Morton, O. (2008). Energy alternatives: Electricity without carbon. *Nature*, 454, 816–823.
- Schleicher-Tappesser, R. (2012). How renewables will change electricity markets in the next five years. *Energy Policy*, 48, 64–75.
- Schumacher, E. F. (1973). *Small is beautiful*. Blond & Briggs.
- Schumpeter, J. A. ((1939) 1989). *Business cycles* (4th ed.). Porcupine Press.
- Sciadirect. (2021). Table 3.8. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/engineering/hydrogen-production-cost#:~:text=Hydrogen%20Production%20and%20Current%20Technologies&text=For%20instance%2C%20the%20hydrogen%20production,of%200.3%20US%24%2Fkg.> Accessed 11 July 2021.
- Scientific American. (2020). <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/earthtalks-volcanoes-or-humans/> published 11-2-2009. Accessed 31 July 2020.
- Seijlhouwer M. (2021). Dit zijn de grootste waterstofprojecten ter wereld. *Change Inc.* <https://www.change.inc/energie/grootste-waterstof-fabriek-project-ter-wereld-36699>. Accessed 5 July 2021.
- Sen, A. (2002). *Rationality and freedom*. The Belknap Press of Harvard University.
- Sen, A. (2009). *The idea of justice* (1st ed.). Penguin Books.

- Seyfang, G., & Haxeltine, A. (2012). Growing grassroots innovations: Exploring the role of community-based initiatives in governing sustainable energy transition. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 30, 381–400.
- Shafiee, S., & Topal, E. (2009). When will fossil fuel reserves be diminished. *Energy Policy*, 37, 181–189.
- Shafiee, S., & Topal, E. (2010). A long term view on fossil fuel prices. *Applied Energy*, 87, 988–1000.
- Shahan, Z. (2020). *History of wind turbines, renewable energy world*. <https://www.renewableenergyworld.com/2014/11/21/history-of-wind-turbines/#gref>. Accessed 4 Apr 2020.
- Shane, S. (2000). Prior knowledge and discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Organization and Science*, 11(4), 448–469.
- Siao, P. (2014). Demand response and smart grid – A survey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 461–478.
- Siegel, J. (2008). *Investing in renewable energy*. Wiley.
- Smil, V. (2000). Energy in the twentieth century: Resources, conversions, costs, uses, and consequences. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment*, 25, 21–51.
- Smil, V. (2004). *World history and energy* (Encyclopedia of Energy, Vol. 6. r 2004). Elsevier.
- Smil, V. (2016). Examining energy transitions: A dozen insights based on performance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 22, 194–197.
- Smil, V. (2017). *Energy transition*. Praeger.
- Smil, V. (2019). *Energy and civilization, a history*. The MIT Press.
- Solana, J. (2020). Climate change litigation as financial risk. *Green Finance*, 2(4), 344–372.
- Solorio, T., & Bocquillon, P. (2017). EU renewable energy policy: A brief overview of its history and evolution. In I. Solorio & H. Jorgens (Eds.), *A guide to EU renewable energy policy* (Monograph Book) Elgar Online, <https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781783471553/9781783471553.00011.xml>. Accessed 31 Mar 2021
- Solow, R. M. ((1973) 1977). The economics of resources or the resources of economics, reprint. In R. Dofman, & N. S. Dorfman (Eds.), *Economics of the environment* (1st ed., pp. 354–370). W. W. Norton & Company Inc.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2012). The political economy of energy poverty: A review of key challenges. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 16(2012), 272–282.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2016). How long will it take, conceptualizing the temporary dynamics of energy transition. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 13, 202–215.
- Spath, P. L., & Mann, M. K. (2001). *Life cycle assessment of hydrogen production via natural gas steam reforming*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Spiesberger, M., & Schönbeck, J. (2019). Innovation vouchers for the transition of energy and innovation systems. *Foresight and STI Governance*, 13(1), 70–76.
- Staffel, I., Scamman, D., Velazquez Abad, A., Balcombe, P., Dodds, P. E., Ekins, P., Shah, N., & Ward, K. R. (2018). The role of hydrogen and fuel cells in the global energy system. *Energy and Environmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ee01157e>
- Stahel, W. R. (2010). *The performance economy*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Statista. (2021). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/878705/investments-by-venture-capital-in-europe/>. Accessed 27 Feb 2021.
- Statista electrolyzers. (2021). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1179559/hydrogen-electrolyzers-by-capacity-worldwide-location/>. Accessed 3 July 2021.
- Statista smart grid. (2021). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/222082/projected-us-smart-grid-market-size>. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- Statista Solar Power. (2021). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/289149/revenue-solar-power-industry-united-states>. Accessed 16 May 2021. Sales prices are calculated as sales divided by deliveries; the sales are assumed equivalent of revenues.
- Stobaugh, R. (1988). *Innovation and competition* (1st ed.). Harvard Business School Press.
- Stoneman, P. (1983). *The economic analysis of technological change*. Oxford University Press.

- Streimikiene, D., Balezentis, T., Alisauskaite-Seskiene, I., Stankuniene, G., & Simanaviciene, Z. (2019). A review of willingness to pay studies for climate change mitigation in the energy sector. *Energies*, 12(1481), 1–33.
- Sullivan, W. G., Wicks, E. M., & Koelling, P. (2009). *Engineering economy*. Pearson.
- Suneeta, D., Fernandes, N., Trautmann, M., Streets, D. G., Roden, C. A., & Bond, T. C. (2007). Global biofuel use, 1850–2000. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, 1–15.
- Symons, J., & Karlsson, R. (2015). Green political theory in a climate changed world: between innovation and restraint. *Environmental Politics*, 24(2), 173–192.
- Taleb, N. N. (2012). *Antifragile* (1st ed.). Random House.
- Taylor, B. (2017). *The future of energy prices: Lessons from 750 years of history*, January, 5, 2017, blog Global Financial Data. <http://www.globalfinancialdata.com/the-future-of-energy-prices-lessons-from-750-years-of-history/>. Accessed 14 Dec 2020.
- Taylor, M. (2020). *Energy subsidies: Evolution in the global energy transformation to 2050*. International Renewable Energy Agency.
- Teske, S., Sawyer, S., & Schäfer, O. (2015). *Energy [R]evolution*. Greenpeace.
- The Economist. (2015, August 8). <https://www.economist.com/leaders/2015/08/08/time-to-fix-patents>. Accessed 11 Feb 2021.
- Thurow, L. (1980). *Zero Sum Society* (p. 197). Basic Books Inc.
- Timilsina, G. R. (2020). *Demystifying the costs of electricity generation technologies* (World Bank, Policy paper 9303). Washington, DC.
- Tirole, J. (2017). *Economics for the common good*. Princeton University Press.
- Toffler, A. (1980). *The third wave* (1st ed.). Bantam Books.
- Tol, R. S. J. (2005). Marginal damage costs of carbon dioxide emissions. *Energy Policy*, 33, 2064–2074.
- Trancik, J. E. (2006). Scale and innovation in energy sector: A focus on photovoltaics and nuclear fission. *Environmental Research Letters*, 1, 1–7.
- Tuballa, M. L., & Abundo, M. (2016). A review of the development of smart grids. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 59, 710–725.
- Tyl, B., & Lizarralde, I. (2017). The citizen funding: An alternative to finance renewable energy projects. *Procedia CIRP*, 64, 199–204.
- Ulrich, O. (1979). *Weltniveau*. Ritbuch Verlag.
- UN. (2020). <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/>. Accessed 14 Nov 2020.
- UNEP/BNEF, Frankfurt School-UNEP Centre/BNEF. (2018). *Global trends in renewable energy investment 2018*. Frankfurt am Main. <http://www.fs-unep-centre.org>. Accessed 7 Mar 2021.
- US-DOE. (2020). *Energy storage grand challenge energy storage market, technical report*, NREL/TP-5400-78461 DOE/GO-102020-5497.
- Usher, B. (2019). *Renewable energy*. Columbia University Press.
- van Ermen, R. (2007). *Comparison and assessment of funding schemes for development of new activities and investments in environmental technologies*. Fundetec, rapport number 044370, Brussels.
- van Leeuwen, C. (1989). De organisatie van milieu en veiligheid in een grote onderneming. In H. Vollebergh (Ed.), *Milieu en Innovatie* (pp. 155–176). Wolters Noordhoff.
- Velthuisen, J.-W. (2018). *Vergelijking Elektriciteitsprijzen 2017*. PWC.
- Vohra, K., Vodonos, A., Schwartz, J., Marais, E. A., Sulprizio, M. P., & Mickley, L. J. (2021). Global mortality from outdoor fine particle pollution generated by 1 fossil fuel combustion: Results from GEOS-Chem. *Environmental Research*, 195, 110754.
- Voltage. (2021). <https://learn.sparkfun.com/tutorials/voltage-current-resistance-and-ohms-law/all>. Accessed 10 July 2021. Resistance ( $\Omega$ ) and voltage (V) is reciprocal to current (A) given that power in Watt (W) is current in Ampere (A) times voltage in Volt (V), its  $W = A \times V$ . Ratio current to voltage depends on resistance in Ohm ( $\Omega$ ):  $A = V/\Omega$ , or  $V = (W \times \Omega)^{0.5}$ . It implies that high voltage is needed to overcome resistance, usually 48400 V (48.4 kV) is distributed for 220 V consumption.
- von Hippel, E. (2005). *Democratization innovations* (1st ed.). MIT Press.

- von Hippel, E., de Jong, J. P. J., & Flowers, S. (2012). Comparing business and household sector innovation in consumer products: Findings from a representative study in the United Kingdom. *Management Science*, 58(9), 1669–1681.
- Walker, G., & Devine-Wright, P. (2008). Community renewable energy: What should it mean? *Energy Policy*, 36, 497–500.
- Wallerstein, I. (1974). *The modern world system I: Capitalist agriculture and the origins of the European world-economy in the sixteenth century*. Academic.
- Warde, P. (2007). *Energy consumption in England & wales, 1560–2000*. Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Studi sulle Società del Mediterraneo.
- Watanabe, C., Griffy-Brown, C., Zhu, B., & Nagamatsu, A. (2002). Inter-firm Technology Spillover and the “Virtuous Cycle” of Photovoltaic development in Japan. In A. Grübler, N. Nakicenovic, & W. D. Nordhaus (Eds.), *Technological change and the environment (Resources for the Future)* (pp. 127–159).
- WEC. (2010). *Energy efficiency: A recipe for success*. World Energy Council.
- WEC. (2020). *Five steps to energy storage*. World Business Council.
- Wiersma, D. (1989). *De Efficiëntie van een Marktconform Milieubeleid, een uitwerking van de SO<sub>2</sub> emissiebestrijding van de Nederlandse Electriciteitssector*, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, dissertation.
- Wikipedia. (2021). *Hydrogen economy*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen\\_economy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen_economy). Accessed 12 May 2021.
- Wilson M., Jones, J. B., & Audinet, P. (2010). *A new slant on slopes, measuring the benefits of increased electricity access in developing countries* (World Bank, Washington, Report No. 53963-GLB).
- World Bank. (2016). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2016*. World Bank.
- Yeh, S., & Rubin E.S. (2012). A review of uncertainties in technology experience curves. *Energy Economics*, 34, 762–771.
- Ye, S., & Rubin, E. R. (2020). *Uncertainties in technology experience curves for energy – Economic models*. <https://www.nap.edu/read/13023/chapter/16#88>. Accessed 25 May 2020.
- Yildiz, Ö. (2014). Financing renewable energy infrastructures via financial citizen participation – The case of Germany. *Renewable Energy*, 68, 677–685.
- Ziegler, M. S., Mueller, J. M., Pereira, G. D., Song, J., Ferrara, M., Chiang, Y.-M., & Trancik, J. E. (2019). Storage requirements and costs of shaping renewable energy toward grid decarbonization. *Joule*, 3, 2134–2153.
- Ziegler, T., Shneor, R., & Zhang, B. Z. (2020). The global status of the crowdfunding industry. In R. Shneor, L. Zhao, & B.-T. Flåten (Eds.), *Advance in crowdfunding*. Springer.
- Zito, R. (2010). *Energy storage: A new approach, appendix: A history of batteries*. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9780470922330.app1>. Accessed 1 Jan 2021.
- Zorić, J., & Hrovatin, N. (2012). Household willingness to pay for green electricity in Slovenia. *Energy Policy*, 47(2012), 180–187.