

# References

- Anthony, G., & Burgess, T. (2014). Solving linear equations: A balanced approach. In F. K. S. Leung, K. Park, D. Holton, & D. Clarke (Eds.), *Algebra teaching around the world* (pp. 17–37). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Asikainen, K., Fälden, H., Nyrhinen, K., Rokka, P., Vehmans, P., Törnroos, S., et al. (2007a). *Min matematik 5 [My mathematics 5]*. Helsingfors: Schildts Förlag.
- Asikainen, K., Fälden, H., Nyrhinen, K., Rokka, P., Vehmans, P., Törnroos, S., et al. (2007b). *Min matematik 5. Lärarhandledning [My mathematics 5. Teacher's study guide]*. Helsingfors: Schildts Förlag.
- Asikainen, K., Fälden, H., Nyrhinen, K., Rokka, P., Vehmans, P., Törnroos, S., et al. (2008a). *Min matematik 6 [My mathematics 6]*. Helsingfors: Schildts Förlag.
- Asikainen, K., Fälden, H., Nyrhinen, K., Rokka, P., Vehmans, P., Törnroos, S., et al. (2008b). *Min matematik 6. Lärarhandledning [My mathematics 6. Teacher's study guide]*. Helsingfors: Schildts Förlag.
- Bailey, T., Jeong, D. W., & Chi, S.-W. (2009). *Referral, enrollment, and completion in developmental education sequences in community colleges* (CCRC Working Paper No. 15). New York, NY: Columbia University, Teachers College, Community College Research Center.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (C. Emerson & M. Holquist, Trans.). Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1986). *Speech genres and other late essays* (V. W. McGee, Trans.). Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Balacheff, N. (2001). Symbolic arithmetic vs algebra the core of a didactical dilemma. Postscript. In R. Sutherland, T. Rojano, A. Bell, & R. Lins (Eds.), *Perspectives on school algebra* (pp. 249–260). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Becker, H., Geer, B., & Hughes, E. (1968). *Making the grade: The academic side of college life*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Bednarz, N., & Janvier, B. (1996). Emergence and development of algebra as a problem-solving tool: Continuities and discontinuities with arithmetic. In N. Bednarz, C. Kieran, & L. Lee (Eds.), *Approaches to algebra: Perspectives for research and teaching* (pp. 115–136). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Bednarz, N., Kieran, C., & Lee, L. (Eds.). (1996). *Approaches to algebra: Perspectives for research and teaching* (Vol. 18). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Begehr, A. (2006). Students' verbal actions in German mathematics classes. In D. Clarke, C. Keitel, & Y. Shimizu (Eds.), *Mathematics classrooms in twelve countries. The insider's perspective* (pp. 167–182). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Bellack, A. A. (1969). Methods for observing classroom behaviors of teachers. In K. Ingenkamp (Ed.), *Methods for the evaluation of comprehensive schools*. Berlin: Beltz.

- Bellack, A. A., Kliebard, H. M., Hyman, R. T., & Smith, F. L. (1966). *The language of the classroom*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Bennett, J. M., Berger, E. B., Chard, D. J., Jackson, A. L., Kennedy, P. A., Renfro, F. L., et al. (2008). *California mathematics course 2: Pre-algebra*. Orlando, FL: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Berg, C. V. (2009). *Developing algebraic thinking in a community of inquiry: Collaboration between three teachers and a didactician*. Diss., The University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway.
- Bills, L., Dreyfus, T., Mason, J., Tsamir, P., Watson, A., & Zaslavsky, O. (2006). Exemplification in mathematics education. In J. Novotna, H. Moraova, M. Kratka, & N. Stehlikova (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 30th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 126–154). Prague: Charles University.
- Black, L., Mendick, H., & Solomon, Y. (Eds.). (2009). *Mathematical relationships in education. Identities and participation*. London: Routledge.
- Blanton, M., Stephens, A., Knuth, E., Murphy Gardiner, A., Isler, I., & Kim, J.-S. (2015). The development of children's algebraic thinking: The impact of a comprehensive early algebra intervention in third grade. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 46(1), 39–87.
- Britt, M. S., & Irwin, K. C. (2011). Algebraic thinking with and without algebraic representation. In J. Cai & E. Knuth (Eds.), *Early algebraization* (pp. 137–159). Berlin: Springer.
- Brousseau, G. (1997). *Theory of didactical situations in mathematics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Brown, A. L. (1992). Design experiments: Theoretical and methodological challenges in creating complex interventions in classroom settings. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 2(2), 141–178.
- Bush, S., & Karp, K. (2013). Prerequisite algebra skills and associated misconceptions of middle grade students: A review. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 32(3), 613–632.
- Cai, J., & Knuth, E. (Eds.). (2011). *Early algebraization. A global dialogue from multiple perspectives*. Berlin: Springer.
- Cai, J., & Moyer, J. (2008). Developing algebraic thinking in earlier grades: Some insights from international comparative studies. In *70th Yearbook Algebra and algebraic thinking in school mathematics* (pp. 69–182). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Carlgen, I., Klette, K., Mýrdal, S., Schnack, K., & Simola, H. (2006). Changes in Nordic teaching practices: From individualised teaching to the teaching of individuals. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 50(3), 301–326.
- Carlsen, M. (2010). Appropriating geometric series as a cultural tool: A study of student collaborative learning. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 74(2), 95–116.
- Carlsson, S., Liljegren, G., & Picetti, M. (2004). *Matte Direkt Borgen 6B [Maths Directly The Castle]*. Stockholm: Bonniers.
- Carraher, D. W., Martinez, M. V., & Schliemann, A. D. (2008). Early algebra and mathematical generalization. *ZDM: The International Journal on Mathematics Education*, 40(3), 349–361.
- Carraher, D., & Schliemann, A. (2007). Early algebra and algebraic reasoning. In F. Lester (Ed.), *Handbook of research in mathematics education* (pp. 669–705). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Carraher, D. W., Schliemann, A. D., Brizuela, B. M., & Earnest, D. (2006). Arithmetic and algebra in early mathematics education. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 37(2), 87–115.
- Carraher, D. W., Schliemann, A. D., & Schwartz, J. (2008). Early algebra is not the same as algebra early. In J. Kaput, D. Carraher, & M. Blanton (Eds.), *Algebra in the early grades* (pp. 235–272). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Caspi, S., & Sfard, A. (2012). Spontaneous meta-arithmetic as a first step toward school algebra. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 51–52, 45–65.
- Cazden, C. (1988). *Classroom discourse. The language of teaching and learning*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

- Cestari, M. L. (1998). *Communication in mathematics classrooms: A dialogical approach*. Diss., University of Oslo, Norway.
- Clarke, S., Howley, I., Resnick, L., & Penstein Rosé, C. (2016). Student agency to participate in dialogic science discussions. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 10*, 27–39.
- Clarke, D., Keitel, C., & Shimizu, Y. (2006). *Mathematics classrooms in twelve countries. The insider's perspective*. Rotterdam: Sense.
- Cobb, P. (1987). An investigation of young children's academic arithmetic contexts. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 18*, 109–124.
- Cole, M. (1996). *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press.
- Collis, K. F. (1975). *The development of formal reasoning*. New South Wales: University of Newcastle.
- Da Rocha Falcão, J. (1995). A case study of algebraic scaffolding: From balance scale to algebraic notation. In L. Meira & D. Carraher (Eds.), *19th International Conference for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 2, pp. 66–73). Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco.
- Daniels, H. (2008). *Vygotsky and research*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Davydov, V. V., Gorbov, S. F., Mikulina, G. G., & Savaleva, O. V. (1999). *Mathematics class 1* (J. Schmittau, Trans.). Binghamton, NY: State University of New York.
- de Freitas, E., & Sinclair, N. (2012). Diagram, gesture, agency: Theorizing embodiment in the mathematics classroom. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 80*, 133–152.
- Derry, S. J., Pea, R. D., Barron, B., Engle, R. A., Ericson, F., Goldman, R., et al. (2010). Conducting video research in the learning sciences: Guidance on selection, analysis, technology, and ethics. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 19*, 3–53.
- Donald, M. (2018). The evolutionary origins of human cultural memory. In B. Wagoner (Ed.), *Handbook of culture and memory* (pp. 19–40). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dossey, J. A., Mullis, I. V. S., Lindquist, M. M., & Chambers, D. L. (1988). *The mathematics report card: Are we measuring up? Trends and achievement based on the 1986 National Assessment* (NAEP Report No. 17-M-01). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Edwards, D., & Mercer, N. (1989). *Common knowledge: The development of understanding in the classroom*. London: Routledge.
- Emanuelsson, J., & Sahlström, F. (2008). The price of participation: Teacher control versus student participation in classroom interaction. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 52*(2), 205–223.
- Even, R. (1998). Factors involved in linking representations of functions. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 17*(1), 105–121.
- Falkner, K. P., Levi, L., & Carpenter, T. P. (1999). Children's understanding of equality: A foundation for algebra. *Teaching Children Mathematics, 6*(4), 232–236.
- Filloy, E., & Rojano, T. (1984). From an arithmetical to an algebraic thought. In J. Moser (Ed.), *Proceedings of PME-NA VI* (pp. 51–56). Madison, WI: PME.
- Filloy, E., & Rojano, T. (1989). Solving equations: The transition from arithmetic to algebra. *For the Learning of Mathematics, 9*(2), 19–25.
- Flanders, N. A. (1964). *Interaction analysis in the classroom: A manual for observers*. School of Education, University of Michigan.
- Flanders, N. A. (1970). *Analyzing teaching behavior*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Frank, M. L. (1988). Problem solving and mathematical beliefs. *The Arithmetic Teacher, 35*(5), 32–34.
- Franke, M. L., & Carey, D. A. (1997). Young children's perceptions of mathematics in problem-solving environments. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28*(1), 8–25.
- Freudenthal, H. (1968). Why to teach mathematics so as to be useful. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 1*(1), 3–8.
- Freudenthal, H. (1973). *Mathematics as an educational task*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Freudenthal, H. (1983). *Didactical phenomenology of mathematical structures*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Freudenthal, H. (1991). *Revisiting mathematics education: China lectures*. Hingham, MA: Kluwer.

- Fried, M. N. (2009). Similarity and equality in Greek mathematics: Semiotics, history of mathematics and mathematics education. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 29(1), 2–7.
- Gallardo, A. (2001). Historical-epistemological analysis in mathematics education: Two works in didactics of algebra. In R. Sutherland, T. Rojano, A. Bell, & R. Lins (Eds.), *Perspectives on school algebra* (Vol. 22, pp. 121–139). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Garfinkel, H. (1967). *Studies in ethnomethodology*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Garofalo, J. (1989). Beliefs and their influence on mathematical performance. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 82(7), 502–505.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Giroux, H. (2001). *Theory and resistance in education*. London: Bergin & Garvey.
- Giroux, H., & Purpel, D. (Eds.). (1983). *The hidden curriculum and moral education*. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan Publishing.
- Givvin, K. B., Stigler, J. W., & Thompson, B. J. (2011). What community college developmental mathematics students understand about mathematics, Part II: The interviews. *MathAMATYC Educator*, 2(3), 4–18.
- Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Goldin, G., & Shteingold, N. (2001). Systems of representations and the development of mathematical concepts. In A. Cuoco & F. Curcio (Eds.), *The roles of representation in school mathematics* (pp. 1–23). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Goldman, R., Pea, R. D., Barron, B., & Derry, S. J. (Eds.). (2007). *Video research in the learning sciences*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Goodwin, C., & LeBaron, C. (2011). *Embodied interaction: Language and body in the material world*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Gray, E., & Tall, D. (1994). Duality, ambiguity and flexibility: A proceptual view of simple arithmetic. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 115–141.
- Gresalfi, M., Martin, T., Hand, V., & Greeno, J. (2008). Constructing competence: An analysis of student participation in the activity systems of mathematics classrooms. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 70, 49–70.
- Grover, S., & Pea, R. (2013). Computational thinking in K–12: A review of the state of the field. *Educational Researcher*, 42(1), 38–43. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x12463051>
- Gumperz, J. J., & Hymes, D. (1972). *Directions in sociolinguistics: The ethnography of communication*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Hammersley, M. (1990). *Classroom ethnography: Empirical and methodological essays*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- Hansson, Å. (2010). Instructional responsibility in mathematics education: Modelling classroom teaching using Swedish data. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 75(2), 171–189.
- Hansson, Å. (2011). *Ansvar för matematiklärande, effekter av undervisning i det flerspråkiga klassrummet [Responsibility for mathematics learning, effects of instructional responsibility in the multilingual classroom]*. Gothenburg: ACTA Universitatis Gothenburgensis.
- Harel, G. (2000). Three principles of learning and teaching mathematics. In J. L. Dorier (Ed.), *On the teaching of linear algebra. Mathematics education library* (Vol. 23, pp. 177–189). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Heath, C., Hindmarsh, J., & Luff, P. (2010). *Video in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- Herscovics, N., & Linchevski, L. (1994). A cognitive gap between arithmetic and algebra. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 27, 59–78.
- Hewitt, D. (2014). A symbolic dance: The interplay between movement, notation, and mathematics on a journey toward solving equations. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 16(1), 1–31.
- Hiebert, J. (Ed.). (1986). *Conceptual and procedural knowledge: The case of mathematics*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hitt, F., & González-Martín, A. S. (2016). Generalizations, covariation, functions, and calculus. In A. Gutiérrez, G. C. Leder, & P. Boero (Eds.), *The second handbook of research on the psychology of mathematics education: The journey continues* (pp. 3–38). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Hjardar, E., & Pedersen, J.-E. (2006). *Faktor 1 [Factor 1]*. Oslo: Cappelen Damm.

- Hohenwarter, M., & Jones, K. (2007). BSRLM Geometry Working Group: Ways of linking geometry and algebra, the case of Geogebra. *Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathematics*, 27(3), 126–131.
- Hoon, S. L., Kaur, B., & Kiam, L. H. (2006). Case studies of Singapore secondary mathematics classrooms: The instructional approaches of two teachers. In D. Clarke, C. Keitel, & Y. Shimizu (Eds.), *Mathematics classrooms in twelve countries. The insider's perspective* (pp. 151–165). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Hopkins, D. (2014). *A teacher's guide to classroom research* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Open University Press.
- Jackson, P. W. (1968). *Life in classrooms*. New York, NY: Holt, Rhinehart & Winston.
- Johansson, M. (2006). *Teaching mathematics with textbooks*. Diss., Luleå University of Technology, Luleå.
- John-Steiner, V., & Mahn, H. (1996). Sociocultural approaches to learning and development: A Vygotskian framework. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(3/4), 191–206.
- Jordan, B., & Henderson, A. (1995). Interaction analysis: Foundations and practice. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4(1), 39–103.
- Kaiser, G., Luna, E., & Huntley, I. (Eds.). (1999). *International comparisons in mathematics education*. London: Falmer Press.
- Kaput, J. (1987). Representation systems and mathematics. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems of representation in the teaching and learning of mathematics* (pp. 18–26). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Kaput, J. J. (2000). *Teaching and learning a new algebra with understanding*. Dartmouth, MA: National Center for Improving Student Learning and Achievement in Mathematics and Science.
- Kaput, J., Blanton, M., & Moreno, L. (2008). Algebra from a symbolization point of view. In J. Kaput, D. Carraher, & M. Blanton (Eds.), *Algebra in the early grades* (pp. 19–56). New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Kaput, J., Carraher, D., & Blanton, M. (Eds.). (2008). *Algebra in the early grades*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kaur, B., Anthony, G., Ohtani, M., & Clarke, D. (2013). *Student voice in mathematics classrooms around the world*. Rotterdam: Sense.
- Kazemi, E., & Stipek, D. (2001). Promoting conceptual thinking in four upper-elementary mathematics classrooms. *The Elementary School Journal*, 102(1), 59–80.
- Kendal, M., & Stacey, K. (2004). Algebra: A world of difference. In R. Stacey (Ed.), *The future of the teaching and learning of algebra* (pp. 329–346). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Kentli, F. D. (2009). Comparison of hidden curriculum theories. *European Journal of Educational Studies*, 1(2), 83–88.
- Kieran, C. (1981). Concepts associated with the equality symbol. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 12(3), 317–326.
- Kieran, C. (1992). The learning and teaching of school algebra. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 390–419). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Kieran, C. (1996). The changing face of school algebra. In C. Alsina, J. Alvarez, B. Hodgson, C. Laborde, & A. Pérez (Eds.), *8th International Congress on Mathematical Education: Selected lectures* (pp. 271–290). Seville: S.A.E.M. Thales.
- Kieran, C. (2004). Algebraic thinking in the early grades: What is it? *The Mathematics Teacher*, 8(1), 139–151.
- Kieran, C. (2007a). *What do we know about the teaching and learning of algebra in the elementary grades?* (NCTM Brief). Retrieved from <http://mason.gmu.edu/~jsuh4/impact/research.algebra.pdf>
- Kieran, C. (2007b). Learning and teaching algebra at the middle school through college levels: Building meaning for symbols and their manipulation. In F. K. Lester (Ed.), *Second Handbook of research on mathematics teaching* (pp. 707–762). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

- Kieran, C. (2014). The false dichotomy in mathematics education between conceptual understanding and procedural skills: An example from algebra. In K. Leatham (Ed.), *Vital directions in mathematics education research* (pp. 153–172). New York, NY: Springer.
- Kieran, C. (Ed.). (2018). *Teaching and learning algebraic thinking with 5- to 12-year-olds: The global evolution of an emerging field of research and practice*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Kieran, C., Pang, J. S., Schifter, D., & Ng, S. F. (2016). *Early algebra: Research into its nature, its learning, its teaching. ICME 13 Topical Surveys*. Hamburg: Springer Open.
- Kilhamn, C., & Hillman, T. (2015). Structural and pedagogical diversity in Swedish Grade six algebra classrooms. In O. Helenius, et al. (Eds.), *Development of mathematics teaching; design, scale, effects. Proceedings of the 9th Research Seminar of the Swedish Society for Research in Mathematics Education*. Madif 9 i Umeå 2014. Linköping: SMDF.
- Kilhamn, C., & Røj-Lindberg, A.-S. (2013). Seeking hidden dimensions of algebra teaching through video analysis. In B. Grevholm, P. S. Hundeland, K. Juter, K. Kislenko, & P.-E. Persson (Eds.), *Nordic research in mathematics education, past, present and future* (pp. 299–328). Oslo: Cappelen Damm.
- Knuth, E. J., Alibali, M. W., McNeil, N., Weinberg, A., & Stephens, A. C. (2011). Middle school students' understanding of core algebraic concepts: Equivalence & variable. In J. Cai & E. Knuth (Eds.), *Early algebraization. A global dialogue from multiple perspectives* (pp. 259–276). Berlin: Springer.
- Knuth, E. J., Stephens, A. C., McNeil, N. M., & Alibali, M. W. (2006). Does understanding the equal sign matter? Evidence from solving equations. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 37(4), 297–312.
- Kramer, S. N. (1963). *The Sumerians. Their history, culture, and character*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Kramer, S. N. (1981). *History begins at Sumer*. Philadelphia, PA: The University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Küchemann, D. (1978). Children's understanding of numerical variables. *Mathematics in School*, 9, 23–26.
- Küchemann, D. (1981). Algebra. In K. Hart (Ed.), *Children's understanding of mathematics: 11-16* (pp. 102–119). London: John Murray.
- Lave, J. (1988). *Cognition in practice: Mind, mathematics and culture in everyday life*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Leont'ev, A. N. (1981). *Problems of the development of the mind* (M. Kopylova, Trans.). Moscow: Progress Publishers.
- Lesh, R., & Landau, M. (1983). *Acquisition of mathematics concepts and processes*. New York, NY: Academic.
- Lesh, R., Post, T., & Behr, M. (1987). Representations and translations among representations in mathematics learning and problem solving. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems of representation in the teaching and learning of mathematics* (pp. 33–40). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Leung, F. K. S., Park, K., Holton, D., & Clarke, D. (Eds.). (2014). *Algebra teaching around the world*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Linell, P. (1998). *Approaching dialogue. Talk, interaction and contexts in dialogical perspectives*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Linell, P. (2011). *Samtalskultur: Vol. 1 [Conversation cultures]. Studies in Language and Culture, 18*. Linköping: Linköping University.
- Littleton, K., & Mercer, N. (2013). *Interthinking: Putting talk to work*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Lundgren, U. P. (1972). *Frame factors and the teaching process. A contribution to curriculum theory*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Lundgren, U. P. (1977). *Model analysis of pedagogical processes*. Lund: Liber/Gleerup.
- Lundgren, U. P., & Säljö, R. (2017). Skolans tidiga historia och utveckling – från skrivarskola till folkskola i Sverige (The early history and development of schooling – from scribal schools to folkschools in Sweden). In U. P. Lundgren, R. Säljö & C. Liberg (Eds.), *Lärande, skola, bildning* (Learning, schooling, Bildung) (pp. 29–64). Stockholm: Natur & Kultur.

- MacGregor, M., & Stacey, K. (1997). Students' understanding of algebraic notation: 11-15. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 33(1), 1-19.
- Mannila, L., Dagiene, V., Demo, B., Grgurina, N., Mirolo, C., Rolandsson, L., et al. (2014). Computational thinking in K-9 education. In A. Clear & R. Lister (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Working Group Reports of the 2014 on Innovation & Technology in Computer Science Education Conference* (pp. 1-29). New York, NY: ACM.
- Mason, J. (1996). Expressing generality and the roots of algebra. In N. Bednarz, C. Kieran, & L. Lee (Eds.), *Approaches to algebra. Perspectives for research and teaching* (pp. 65-86). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Mason, J., Graham, A., & Johnston-Wilder, S. (2005). *Developing thinking in algebra*. London: Sage.
- Mason, J., & Johnston-Wilder, S. (2004). *Fundamental constructs in mathematics education*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Mehan, H. (1979). *Learning lessons: Social organisation in the classroom*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Ministry of Education and Research. (2013). *Curriculum for the common core subject of mathematics* (MAT1-04). Retrieved from <http://www.udir.no/kl06/mat1-04/Hele/?!plang=eng>
- Mook, I. A. C. (2006). Teacher-dominating lessons in Shanghai: The insiders' story. In D. Clarke, C. Keitel, & Y. Shimizu (Eds.), *Mathematics classrooms in twelve countries. The insider's perspective* (pp. 87-98). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Myhill, D., & Dunkin, F. (2005). Questioning learning. *Language and Education*, 19(5), 415-427.
- Nachlieli, T., & Tabach, M. (2012). Growing mathematical objects in the classroom. The case of function. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 51, 10-27.
- Nardi, E., Ryve, A., Stadler, E., & Viirman, O. (2014). Commognitive analysis of the learning and teaching of mathematics at university level: The case of discursive shifts in the study of calculus. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 16(2), 182-198.
- National Board of Education. (2004). *The curriculum for basic education*. Helsinki: National Board of Education.
- Ng, S. F. (2004). Developing algebraic thinking in the early grades: Case study of the Singapore primary mathematics curriculum. *The Mathematics Educator*, 8(1), 39-59.
- Niss, M. (2003). Mathematical competencies and the learning of mathematics. The Danish KOM Project. In A. Gagatses et al. (Eds.), *The 3rd Mediterranean Conference on Mathematical Education* (pp. 115-124). Athens: Hellenic Mathematical Society.
- Nyman, R., & Kilhamn, C. (2014). Enhancing engagement in algebra: Didactical strategies implemented and discussed by teachers. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 59(6), 623-637. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2014.965790>
- Ochs, E. (1979). Transcription as theory. In E. Ochs & B. Schiefflin (Eds.), *Developmental pragmatics* (pp. 43-72). New York, NY: Academic.
- Palmér, H. (2013). *To become, or not to become, a primary school mathematics teacher: A study of novice teachers' professional identity development*. Diss., Linneaus University Press, Växjö.
- Pepin, B. (2011). Pupils' attitudes towards mathematics: A comparative study of Norwegian and English secondary students. *ZDM: The International Journal on Mathematics Education*, 43(4), 535-546.
- Philipp, R. A. (1992). The many uses of algebraic variables. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 85(7), 557-561.
- Radford, L. (2000). Signs and meanings in students' emergent algebraic thinking: A semiotic analysis. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 42, 237-268.
- Radford, L. (2002). The seen, the spoken and the written. A semiotic approach to the problem of objectification of mathematical knowledge. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 22(2), 14-23.
- Radford, L. (2003). On the epistemological limits of language. Mathematical knowledge and social practice during the Renaissance. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 52(2), 123-150.
- Radford, L. (2009). Why do gestures matter? Sensuous cognition and the palpability of mathematical meanings. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 70, 111-126.

- Radford, L. (2010). Algebraic thinking from a cultural semiotic perspective. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 12(1), 1–19.
- Radford, L. (2011). Embodiment, perception and symbols in the development of early algebraic thinking. In B. Ubuz (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 35th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 4, pp. 17–14). Ankara: PME.
- Radford, L. (2014). Towards an embodied, cultural, and material conception of mathematics cognition. *ZDM: The International Journal of Mathematics Education*, 46(3), 349–361.
- Radford, L. (2018). The emergence of symbolic algebraic thinking in primary school. In C. Kieran (Ed.), *Teaching and learning algebraic thinking with 5- to 12-year-olds: The global evolution of an emerging field of research and practice* (pp. 1–23). New York: Springer.
- Reinhardtson, J. (2012). *The introduction of algebra: Comparative studies of textbooks in Finland, Norway, Sweden and USA* (Master's thesis at the University of Agder). Kristiansand: University of Agder.
- Reinhardtson, J., Carlsen, M., & Säljö, R. (2015). Capturing learning in classroom interaction in mathematics: Methodological considerations. In K. Krainer & N. Vondrová (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education* (pp. 1474–1481). Prague: Charles University in Prague.
- Remillard, K. S. (2014). Identifying discursive entry points in paired-novice discourse as a first step in penetrating the paradox of learning mathematical proof. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 34, 99–113.
- Rissland-Michner, E. (1978). Understanding mathematics. *Cognitive Science*, 2(4), 361–383.
- Rittle-Johnson, B., Siegler, R. S., & Alibali, M. W. (2001). Developing conceptual understanding and procedural skill in mathematics: An iterative process. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(2), 346–362.
- Rittle-Johnson, B., & Star, J. R. (2009). Compared with what? The effects of different comparisons on conceptual knowledge and procedural flexibility for equation solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 529–544.
- Roser, M., & Ortiz-Ospina, E. (2017). *Global rise of education*. Retrieved March 13, 2017, from <https://ourworldindata.org/global-rise-of-education>
- Rowland, T. (2008). The purpose, design and use of examples in the teaching of elementary mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 69(2), 149–163.
- Rystedt, E., Kilhamn, C., & Helenius, O. (2016). What's there in an  $n$ ? *Nordic Studies in Mathematics Education*, 21(1), 5–26.
- Sacks, H., & Jefferson, G. (1995). Lectures on conversation. *Human Studies*, 18(2), 327–336.
- Sahlström, F., & Lindblad, S. (1998). Subtexts in the science classroom—An exploration of the construction of science lessons and student careers. *Learning and Instruction*, 8(3), 194–214.
- Säljö, R. (2006). *Læring og kulturelle redskaper; om læreprosesser og den kollektive hukommelsen [Learning and cultural tools: On processes of learning and the collective memory]*. Oslo: Cappelen Akademiske.
- Säljö, R. (2009). Learning, theories of learning and units of analysis in research. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(3), 202–208.
- Säljö, R. (2019). Materiality, learning and cognitive practices—Artefacts as instruments of thinking. In T. Cerrato Pargman & I. Jahnke (Eds.), *Emergent practices and material conditions in learning and teaching with technologies* (pp. 31–52). New York, NY: Springer.
- Schliemann, A. D., Carraher, D. W., & Brizuela, B. M. (2013). *Bringing out the algebraic character of arithmetic*. London: Routledge.
- Schmittau, J. (2011). The role of theoretical analysis in developing algebraic thinking: A Vygotskian perspective. In J. Cai & E. Knuth (Eds.), *Early algebraization* (pp. 71–81). Berlin: Springer.
- Schmittau, J., & Morris, A. (2004). The development of algebra in the elementary mathematics curriculum of V. V. Davydov. *Mathematics Educator*, 8, 60–87.
- Schoenfeld, A. (1983). Beyond the purely cognitive: Belief systems, social cognitions, and meta-cognitions as driving forces in intellectual performance. *Cognitive Science*, 7(4), 329–363.



- Schoenfeld, A. (1989). Explorations of students' mathematical beliefs and behavior. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 20(4), 338–335.
- Sfard, A. (1991). On the dual nature of mathematical conceptions: Reflections on processes and objects as different sides of the same coin. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 22, 1–36.
- Sfard, A. (2008). *Thinking as communicating: Human development, the growth of discourses, and mathematizing*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Sfard, A., & Linchevski, L. (1994). The gains and the pitfalls of reification: The case of algebra. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 26, 191–228.
- Shimizu, Y., Kaur, B., Huang, R., & Clarke, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Mathematical tasks in classrooms around the world*. Rotterdam: Sense.
- Sinclair, J., & Coulthard, M. (1975). *Towards an analysis of discourse*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Skolverket. (2003). *Lusten att lära—med fokus på matematik [The desire to learn—Focussing mathematics]* (Report 221). Stockholm: Skolverket.
- Skolverket. (2011a). *Curriculum for the compulsory school, preschool class and the leisure-time centre 2011*. Retrieved July 20, 2012, from <http://www.skolverket.se/publications>
- Skolverket. (2011b). *Laborativ matematik, konkretiserande undervisning och matematikverkstäder [Experiment-based mathematics, embodied teaching and mathematics workshops]* (Report 366). Stockholm: Skolverket.
- Skolverket. (2012). *Likvärdig utbildning i svensk skola: en kvantitativ analys av likvärdighet över tid [Equal education in Swedish school: A quantitative analysis of equality over time]*. Stockholm: Skolverket.
- Snyder, B. R. (1971). *The hidden curriculum*. New York, NY: Alfred Knopf.
- Stacey, K. (1989). Finding and using patterns in linear generalising problems. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 20(2), 147–164.
- Stacey, K., & MacGregor, M. (1997). Building foundations for algebra. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 2(4), 253–260.
- Stacey, K., & MacGregor, M. (2000). Learning the algebraic method of solving problems. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 18(2), 149–167.
- Stephens, A. C., Ellis, A. B., Blanton, M., & Brizuela, B. M. (2017). Algebraic thinking in the elementary and middle grades. In J. Cai (Ed.), *Compendium for research in mathematics education* (pp. 386–420). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Stevenson, H. W., & Stigler, J. W. (1992). *The learning gap: Why our schools are failing and what we can learn from Japanese and Chinese education*. New York, NY: Summit Books.
- Stigler, J. W., Gallimore, R., & Hiebert, J. (2000). Using video surveys to compare classrooms and teaching across cultures: Examples and lessons from the TIMSS video studies. *Educational Psychologist*, 35(2), 87–100.
- Stigler, J. W., Gonzales, P., Kawanaka, T., Knoll, S., & Serrano, A. (1999). *The TIMSS videotape classroom study: Methods and findings from an exploratory research project on eighth graders mathematics instruction in Germany, Japan and the United States*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Stigler, J. W., & Hiebert, J. (1999). *The teaching gap: Best ideas from the world's teachers for improving education in the classroom*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Stipek, D. J., Givvin, K. B., Salmon, J. M., & MacGyvers, V. L. (2001). Teachers' beliefs and practices related to mathematics instruction. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(2), 213–226.
- Stodolsky, S. S. (1985). Telling mathematics: Origins of mathematics aversion and anxiety. *Educational Psychologist*, 20(3), 125.
- Stodolsky, S. S., Salk, S., & Glaessner, B. (1991). Student views about learning math and social studies. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28(1), 89–116.
- Sutherland, R. (1987). *A longitudinal study of the development of pupils' algebraic thinking in a logo environment*. Diss., University of London, Institute of Education.
- Sutherland, R. (1993). Connecting theory and practice: Results from the teaching of Logo. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 24(1), 95–113.

- Thomas, R. (1992). *Literacy and orality in ancient Greece*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Thompson, A. G. (1984). The relationship of teachers' conceptions of mathematics and mathematics teaching to instructional practice. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 15(2), 105–127.
- TIMSS & PIRLS. (2009). *TIMSS 2007 user guide for the international database: Released items, mathematics eighth grade*. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center: Boston College. Retrieved from <http://timss.bc.edu/timss2007/items.html>
- Törnroos, J. (2001, June). *Finnish mathematics textbooks in grades 5-7*. Presentation at the Second Scandinavian Symposium on Research Methods in Science and Mathematics Education, Helsinki, Finland.
- Treffers, A. (1987). *Three dimensions: A model of goal and theory description in mathematics instruction—The Wiskobas Project*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Treffers, A., & Vonk, H. (1987). *Three dimensions: A model of goal and theory description in mathematics instruction—The Wiskobas Project*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Unesco. (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015. Achievements and challenges*. Retrieved January 12, 2017, from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0023/002325/232565e.pdf>
- Usiskin, Z. (1988). Conceptions of school algebra and uses of variables. In A. Coxford & A. Shulte (Eds.), *The ideas of algebra, K-12* (pp. 8–19). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Varadarajan, V. (1998). *Algebra in ancient and modern times*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- Vergnaud, G. (1982). Cognitive and developmental psychology and research in mathematics education: Some theoretical and methodological issues. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 3(2), 31–41.
- Vergnaud, G. (1998). A comprehensive theory of representation for mathematics education. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 17(2), 167–181.
- Vieira, L., Gimenez, J., & Palhares, P. (2013). Relational understanding when introducing early algebra in Portuguese schools. In B. Ubuz, C. Haser, & M. A. Mariotti (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Eight Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education* (pp. 550–557). Manavgat, Side: Middle East Technical University, Turkey on behalf of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education.
- Vlassis, J. (2002). The balance model: Hindrance or support for the solving of linear equations with one unknown. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 49, 341–359.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1981). The genesis of higher mental functions. In J. V. Wertsch (Ed.), *The concept of activity in Soviet psychology* (pp. 144–188). Armonk, NY: Sharpe.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1987). Thinking and speech (N. Minick, Trans.). In R. W. Rieber & A. S. Carton (Eds.), *The collected works of L.S. Vygotsky: Vol. 1. Problems of general psychology* (pp. 39–285). New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Waller, W. (1932). *The sociology of teaching*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Watanabe, T. (2011). Shiki: A critical foundation for school algebra in Japanese elementary school mathematics. In J. Cai & E. Knuth (Eds.), *Early algebraization: A global dialogue from multiple perspectives* (pp. 109–124). Berlin: Springer.
- Watson-Gegeo, K. (1999). Classroom ethnography. In N. H. Homberger & D. Corson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of language and education: Research methods in language and education* (Vol. 8, pp. 135–144). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Wells, G., & Mejia Arauz, R. (2006). Dialogue in the classroom. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(3), 379–428.
- Wertsch, J. V. (1991). *Voices of the mind: A sociocultural approach to mediated action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wertsch, J. V. (1998). *Mind as action*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Wertsch, J. V. (2002). *Voices of collective remembering*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Wertsch, J. V., & Addison Stone, C. (1985). The concept of internalization in Vygotsky's account of the genesis of higher mental functions. In J. V. Wertsch (Ed.), *Culture, communication, and cognition: Vygotskian perspectives* (pp. 162–179). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wertsch, J. V., & Kazak, S. (2011). Saying more than you know in instructional settings. In T. Koschmann (Ed.), *Theories of learning in studies of instructional practice* (pp. 153–166). New York, NY: Springer.
- Westbury, I., & Bellack, A. A. (Eds.). (1971). *Research into classroom processes: Recent developments and next steps*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Willis, P. (1977). *Learning to labour: How working class boys get working class jobs*. Farnborough: Saxon House.
- Wing, J. M. (2006). Computational thinking. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(3), 33–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1118178.1118215>
- Wood, T., Cobb, P., & Yackel, E. (1991). Change in teaching mathematics: A case study. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28(3), 587–616.
- Yackel, E., & Cobb, P. (1996). Sociomathematical norms, argumentation, and autonomy in mathematics. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 27, 458–477.

# Index

## A

### Algebra

conceptions of, 5, 10, 141–143, 163, 235, 243, 244

curriculum, 2, 4, 31, 73, 74, 100, 103, 108

discourse, 165, 175–180, 182, 188, 194, 204, 212–214, 217, 219–221, 223, 227, 230–233

#### exercises

generality, 1, 3, 7, 35, 177, 181, 219, 231, 240, 243, 246

instructional strategies, 235, 236

introduction of, 1, 2, 4, 31, 73, 74, 85, 99, 100, 102, 141–143, 162, 163, 167, 232, 236, 243, 246

learning, 2, 10, 11, 24, 31, 67, 71, 108, 175, 176, 227, 229, 231, 234, 236

learning and the body, 93, 106, 236

#### pre-

school, 37

symbols, 6

### Algebraic objects

abstract, 3, 5, 11, 113, 137, 206, 224, 225

concrete, 104, 105, 108, 182, 193, 202, 214–217, 222, 224

syntax, 113, 116, 176, 218, 222, 230–233, 244

### Appropriation, 26, 27, 187, 237

### Artifacts, 26–28, 32, 72, 85, 89, 166, 181

## B

Bakhtin, M.M., 26, 27

## C

Comognition, 166, 167, 174, 181

Computational thinking, 236, 244

### Concepts

everyday, 14, 63, 65, 66, 104, 137, 235, 236

pseudo-mathematical, 10

scientific, 14, 58, 66, 68

Constant, 3, 5, 6, 94–96, 98, 158, 177, 179,

180, 186, 187, 191, 199, 202, 204,

213–215, 219, 221–223, 227,

229–231, 244

Cultural tools, 26, 27, 187, 213, 220, 221,

230, 233

## D

Davydov, V.V., 2, 108

Didactic contract, 19

Digital technologies, 237

## E

Encapsulation, 224, 225, 233, 245

Episodes, 35, 36, 38, 74–76, 87, 102, 107,

111, 113, 121, 123, 126, 128–131,

134, 247

### Equation

in curriculum, 114–116

linear, 8, 29, 112–116, 121–131

solving of, 3, 8, 33, 48, 57, 66, 68, 99,

111–121, 126, 131, 137, 243, 244, 247

### Expression

algebraic, 4, 6, 8, 38, 57, 59, 63, 71–74,

80–82, 84–87, 89, 95, 98–108, 138,

145–147, 150, 151, 154–156, 159,

170, 177, 188, 213, 236, 237, 243

Expression (*cont.*)

- arithmetic, 4, 201, 202, 204, 217–220, 229
- numerical, 4, 41, 78–80, 82, 85, 86, 95–96, 100, 101, 104, 106, 107, 151, 159, 186, 187, 189, 190, 193, 194, 203, 209, 210, 213, 215, 217, 218, 221, 222, 224, 230, 236

**F**

## Formula

- in-action, 180, 218, 219, 224, 226–229

Fragments, 21, 75, 76, 78–80, 82–86, 88–92, 94–97, 99, 100, 102–104, 106, 108

Freudenthal, H., 34, 176

**G**

Garfinkel, H., 17

Goffman, E., 35

Goodwin, C., 18

Ground rules, 14

Gumperz, J.J., 16

**H**

Hidden curriculum, 16

**I**

Idea units, 75

Interactive whiteboard (IWB), 59–64, 237

Interthink, 67

**J**

Jefferson, G., 17

**L**

Learner's perspective study (LPS), 20, 21

## Learning

- deductive, 104, 106, 108, 236
- dialogical perspectives
  - inductive, 19, 26
- sociocultural approaches, 16, 19, 26, 74, 166
- through participation, 16, 17, 21, 27, 31, 139, 238

Lesson graphs, 31, 74, 76, 77, 88

Logo Maths Project, 8

**M**

## Mathematics

- beliefs about, 10, 31, 139–163
- notations, 32, 54, 57–59, 62, 108, 140, 143–144, 147, 148, 150, 152, 159, 167, 171, 236, 242
- procedures, 10, 19, 140, 145–148

Mathematizing, 33–35, 43, 46–47, 57–58, 65, 66, 237

Meaning-making, 19, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 179, 186–188, 192–195, 201–204, 212–218, 220–223, 236, 238–242

Mediation, 7, 27, 58, 72, 86, 87, 101, 102, 105–106

Meta-arithmetical, 175–178, 186, 193, 203, 212, 219, 227, 229–231, 233, 234

Modeling, 4, 11, 34, 57, 98, 177, 194, 195, 202, 203, 209, 221–223, 239, 246

## Models

- manipulatives, 7, 8, 10, 34, 138, 156

**N**

Node, 187, 194, 203, 217, 218, 224, 226, 233

**P**

## Pattern

- patterning tasks, 31, 165–234

PIRLS, 165

**R**

Reification, 177, 224–226, 233, 245

Representations, 2, 7–10, 14, 26, 32, 44, 45, 47, 51, 54, 55, 58, 113, 116, 138, 143, 151, 153, 154, 158, 159, 163, 236, 239, 240, 244

**S**

Sacks, H., 17

Sameness, 115, 116, 176, 240

Saming, 177, 178, 223, 224, 226, 233

## Semiotic

- mediation, 27, 72, 86, 87, 101, 102, 105, 106
- node, 187, 194, 203, 217, 224, 226, 233

Sociomathematical norms, 10, 28, 35, 36, 47, 66–69, 238–241, 246

**T**

## Tools

cultural, 26, 27, 187, 213, 220, 221, 230, 233

Transcriptions, 31–32, 167

Trends in International Mathematics and

Science Study (TIMSS), 19, 20, 165

Turns, 13, 16, 17, 27, 29, 44, 45, 56, 64, 65,

75, 84, 89, 109, 119, 165, 183, 184,

186–201, 203–210, 212, 213, 215,

217, 218, 221, 222, 226, 233, 246

**V**

## Variable

concept of, 8, 46, 58, 59, 64, 66, 67, 83,  
87, 93, 95, 100, 102, 105–106, 138,  
143, 236, 238, 244

designed examples, 85, 99, 100, 102, 105,  
106, 240

Video in classroom research, 18–21

Vygotsky, L.S., 14, 26, 27, 58, 72, 245