

# Appendix A

## POSEIDON Project Partners

This appendix provides an overview of the organisations that have participated in the POSEIDON project.

- Embedded Systems Institute, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Thales Nederland B.V., P.O. Box 42, 7550 GD Hengelo, The Netherlands.
- Noldus Information Technology B.V., P.O. Box 268, 6700 AG Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Embedded Software Group, Department of Software Technology, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands.
- Architecture of Information Systems, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Security and Embedded Networked Systems, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Algorithms and Visualization, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Informatics Institute, Faculty of Science, Universiteit van Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94216, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Tilburg center for Cognition and Communication (TiCC), School of Humanities, Tilburg University, P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands.
- Business Web and Media, Department of Computer Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

## Appendix B

# POSEIDON Publications

1. W. M. P. van der Aalst, A. J. Mooij, C. Stahl, and K. Wolf. Service Interaction: Patterns, Formalization, and Analysis. In M. Bernardo, L. Padovani, and G. Zavattaro, editors, *Advanced Lectures of the 9th Int. School on Formal Methods for Web Services*, volume 5569 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 42–88. Springer, 2009.
2. C. J. van Aart, B. J. Wielinga, and W. R. van Hage. Mobile Cultural Heritage Guide: Location-Aware Semantic Search. In P. Cimiano and H. S. Pinto, editors, *17th Int. Conf. on Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management by the Masses (EKAW'10)*, volume 6317 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 257–271. Springer, 2010.
3. M. L. Bernardi, M. Cimitile, and F. M. Maggi. Model Driven Development of Process-centric Web Applications. In S Hammoudi, M. van Sinderen, and J. Cordeiro, editors, *ICSOFT 2012 – 7th Int. Conf. on Software Paradigm Trends*, pages 340–346. SciTePress, 2012.
4. K. Böhm, S. Etalle, J. den Hartog, C. Hütter, S. Trabelsi, D. Trivellato, and N. Zannone. Flexible Architecture for Privacy-Aware Trust Management. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 5(2):77–96, August 2010.
5. A. Burattin, F. M. Maggi, W. M. P. van der Aalst, and A. Sperduti. Techniques for A Posteriori Analysis of Declarative Processes. In *16th Int. EDOC Conf. (EDOC 2012)*, 2012.
6. D. Ceolin, P. Groth, and W. R. van Hage. Calculating the Trust of Event Descriptions using Provenance. In S. S. Sahoo, J. Zhao, P. Missier, and J. M. Gomez-Perez, editors, *Second Int. Workshop on the Role of Semantic Web in Provenance Management (SWPM 2010)*, 2010. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-670/completeSWPM10Proceedings.pdf>.
7. D. Ceolin, W. R. van Hage, and W. Fokkink. A Trust Model to Estimate the Quality of Annotations using the Web. In *Web Science Conference (WebSci10)*, April 26–27 2010. <http://journal.webscience.org/315>.
8. D. Ceolin, W. R. van Hage, W. Fokkink, and G. Schreiber. Estimating Uncertainty of Categorical Web Data. In F. Bobillo *et al.*, editor, *7th Int. Workshop on Uncertainty Reasoning for the Semantic Web (URSW 2011)*, pages 15–26, 2011. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-778/proceedings.pdf>.
9. M. T. M. Emmerich, R. Li, A. Zhang, I Flesch, and P. Lucas. Mixed-Integer Bayesian Optimization Utilizing A-Priori Knowledge on Parameter Dependences. In A. Nijholt, M. Pantic, M. Poel, and G. H. W. Hondorp, editors, *Belgian-Dutch Conference on Artificial Intelligence (BNAIC 2008)*, pages 65–72, Enschede, The Netherlands, 2008. University of Twente. <http://eprints.eemcs.utwente.nl/13354/>.

10. M. van Erp, W. R. van Hage, L. Hollink, A. Jameson, and R. Troncy, editors. *Int. Workshop on Detection, Representation, and Exploitation of Events in the Semantic Web (DeRiVe 2011)*, Bonn, Germany, 2011. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-779>.
11. M. van Erp, V. Malaisé, W. R. van Hage, V. Osinga, and J.M Coletto. Parse and Tag Somali Pirates (Abstract). In *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands (CLIN 2011)*, page 53, 2011. [http://lt3.hogent.be/clin21/book\\_clin21\\_final.pdf](http://lt3.hogent.be/clin21/book_clin21_final.pdf).
12. I. Flesch and P. J. F. Lucas. Combining Abduction with Conflict-Based Diagnosis. In M. Ghallab, C. D. Spyropoulos, N. Fakotakis, and N. Avouris, editors, *European Conf. of Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2008)*, pages 807–808. IOS Press, 2008.
13. I. Flesch and P. J. F. Lucas. Comparing GDE and Conflict-Based Diagnosis. In B. Peischl, N. Snooke, G. Steinbauer, and C. Witteveen, editors, *European Conf. of Artificial Intelligence – Workshop on Model-Based Systems (MBS 2008)*, pages 1–6, 2008. <http://www.ist.tugraz.at/mbs08/w11.pdf>.
14. I. Flesch and P. J. F. Lucas. The Probabilistic Interpretation of Model-Based Diagnosis. In M. Jaeger and T. D. Nielsen, editors, *Workshop on Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM 2008)*, pages 113–120, 2008. [http://pgm08.cs.aau.dk/Misc/pgm08\\_proceedings.pdf](http://pgm08.cs.aau.dk/Misc/pgm08_proceedings.pdf).
15. I. Flesch and E. O. Postma. Simplifying Learning in Non-repetitive Dynamic Bayesian Networks. In C. Sossai and G. Chemello, editors, *Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty*, volume 5590 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 216–227. Springer, 2009.
16. C. Gierds, A. J. Mooij, and K. Wolf. Specifying and Generating Behavioral Service Adapters based on Transformation Rules. Preprint CS-02-08, Institut für Informatik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, 2008.
17. C. Gierds, A. J. Mooij, and K. Wolf. Reducing Adapter Synthesis to Controller Synthesis. *IEEE Trans. on Services Computing*, 5(1):72–85, 2012.
18. M. Glandrup. Towards Improving Situation Awareness for Operators in the Maritime Domain. In J. Janssens, E. Postma, and J. Hellemons, editors, *Int. Workshop on Maritime Anomaly Detection (MAD 2011)*, page 17, 2011. <http://mad.uvt.nl/mad/mad2011-proceedings.pdf>.
19. A. González-Sánchez. *Cost Optimizations in Runtime Testing and Diagnosis*. PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2011. <http://repository.tudelft.nl/assets/uuid:58b2acc2-e97e-4c2c-b40f-c05c49b5d1fd/thesis.pdf>.
20. A. González-Sánchez, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. Gemund. Prioritizing Tests for Fault Localization through Ambiguity Group Reduction. In *26th Int. Conf. on Automated Software Engineering (ASE'11)*, pages 83–92. IEEE, 2011.
21. A. González-Sánchez, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Spectrum-Based Sequential Diagnosis. In S. Poll, J. de Kleer, I. Roychoudhury, and M. Daigle, editors, *21st Int. Workshop on the Principles of Diagnosis (DX'10)*, pages 55–62. PHM Society, 2010.
22. A. González-Sánchez, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. An Empirical Study on the Usage of Testability Information to Fault Localization in Software. In *26th Int. Symp. On Applied Computing (SAC'11)*, pages 1398–1403. ACM Press, 2011.
23. A. González-Sánchez, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Spectrum-Based Sequential Diagnosis. In *25th Int. Conf. on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'11)*, pages 189–196, 2011. <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI11/paper/download/3565/3847>.
24. A. González-Sánchez, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Modeling the Diagnostic Efficiency of Regression Test Suites. In *Software Testing, Verification and Validation Workshops – Workshop on Testing & Debugging (TeBug'11)*, pages 634–643. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
25. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Prioritizing Tests for Software Fault Diagnosis. *Software: Practice and Experience*, 41(10):1105–1129, 2011.
26. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, R. Abreu, H.-G. Gross, and A.J.C. van Gemund. Prioritizing Tests for Software Fault Localization. In *10th Int. Conf. on Quality Software (QSIC'10)*, pages 42–51. IEEE Computer Society, 2010.

27. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, and H.-G. Gross. Architecture Support for Runtime Integration and Verification of Component-Based Systems of Systems. In M. Caporuscio, A. Di Marco, L. Mariani, H. Muccini, A. Ploni, and O. Sheory, editors, *23rd IEEE/ACM Int. Conf. on Automated Software Engineering Workshops – Workshop on Automated Engineering of Autonomous and Run-Time Evolving Systems (ARAMIS 2008)*, pages 41–48. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
28. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, and H.-G. Gross. A Model for the Measurement of Runtime Testability of Component-Based Systems. In *IEEE Int. Conf. on Software Testing, Verification, and Validation Workshops*, pages 19–28. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
29. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, and H.-G. Gross. RiTMO: A Method for Runtime Testability Measurement and Optimisation. In *9th Int. Conf. on Quality Software (QSIC'09)*, pages 377–382. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
30. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Minimising the Preparation Cost of Runtime Testing Based on Testability Metrics. In *34th Annual IEEE Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, pages 419–424, 2010.
31. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Runtime Testability in Dynamic High-Availability Component-Based Systems. In *2nd Int. Conf. on Advances in System Testing and Validation Lifecycle (VALID'10)*, pages 37–42. IEEE Computer Society, 2010.
32. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. A Diagnostic Point of View for the Optimization of Preparation Costs in Runtime Testing. In *1st Workshop on Testing & Debugging (TeBug'11)*, pages 654–660. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
33. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. A Runtime Testability Metric for Dynamic High-Availability Component-Based Systems. *Journal On Advances in Systems and Measurements*, 4(1&2):122–134, 2011.
34. A. González-Sánchez, E. Piel, H.-G. Gross, and M. Glandrup. Testing Challenges of Maritime Safety and Security Systems-of-Systems. In *Testing: Academic and Industry Conference – Practice And Research Techniques (TAIC PART'08)*, pages 35–39. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
35. H.-G. Gross, M. Lormans, and J. Tretmans, editors. *Software Integration and Evolution @ Runtime – SINTER '09; An 2009 ESEC/FSE Workshop*. ACM, 2009. <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1596495>.
36. W. R. van Hage, V. Malaisé, and M. van Erp. Linked Open Piracy. In M. van Erp, W. R. van Hage, L. Hollink, A. Jameson, and R. Troncy, editors, *Int. Workshop on Detection, Representation, and Exploitation of Events in the Semantic Web (DeRiVE 2011)*, pages 88–97, 2011. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-779>.
37. W. R. van Hage, V. Malaisé, M. van Erp, and G. Schreiber. Linked Open Piracy. K-CAP 2011 Poster Session, 2011. <http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/pdf/kcapPoster.pdf>.
38. W. R. van Hage, V. Malaisé, R. Segers, and L. Hollink. Design and Use of the Simple Event Model (SEM). *Journal of Web Semantics*, 9(2):128–136, 2011.
39. W. R. van Hage, V. Malaisé, G. de Vries, G. Schreiber, and M. van Someren. Combining Ship Trajectories and Semantics with the Simple Event Model (SEM). In *1st ACM Int. Workshop on Events in Multimedia (EiMM'09)*, pages 73–80. ACM, 2009. <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1631024.1631039>.
40. W. R. van Hage, V. Malaisé, G. K. D. de Vries, G. Schreiber, and M. W. van Someren. Abstracting and Reasoning over Ship Trajectories and Web Data with the Simple Event Model (SEM). *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 57(1):175–197, 2012.
41. W. R. van Hage, G. de Vries, V. Malaisé, G. Schreiber, and M. van Someren. Spatial and Semantic Reasoning to Recognize Ship Behavior (Demo). In *8th Int. Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2009)*, 2009. <http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/papers/iswc2009demo.pdf>.
42. W. R. van Hage, J. Wielemaker, and G. Schreiber. The Space Package: Tight Integration Between Space and Semantics. In *TerraCognita 2009 Workshop*, 2009. [http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/papers/terra09\\_vanhage.pdf](http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/papers/terra09_vanhage.pdf).

43. W. R. van Hage, J. Wielemaker, and G. Schreiber. The Space Package: Tight Integration between Space and Semantics. *Transactions in GIS*, 14(2):131–146, 2010.
44. W.R. van Hage, N. Stash, Y. Wang, and L.M. Aroyo. Adaptation Step-by-Step: Challenges for Real-time Spatial Personalization. In S. Berkovsky *et al.*, editor, *Workshop on Pervasive User Modeling and Personalization (PUMP'10)*, pages 40–47, 2010.
45. W.R. van Hage, N. Stash, Y. Wang, and L.M. Aroyo. Finding Your Way through the Rijksmuseum with an Adaptive Mobile Museum Guide. In L. Aroyo, G. Antoniou, E. Hyvönen, A. ten Teije, H. Stuckenschmidt, L. Cabral, and T. Tudorache, editors, *The Semantic Web: Research and Applications*, volume 6088 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 46–59. Springer, 2010.
46. K. van Hee, A. Mooij, N. Sidorova, and J. M. van der Werf. Soundness-Preserving Refinements of Service Compositions. In Mario Bravetti and Tefvik Bultan, editors, *7th Int. Workshop on Web Services and Formal Methods (WS-FM 2010)*, volume 6551 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 131–145. Springer, 2011.
47. Intermediar (2009) Wetenschapsbeeld. VNU Media: Amsterdam, The Netherlands 40:34. <http://www.intermediar.nl>
48. J. Janssens, E. Postma, and J. Hellemons, editors. *Int. Workshop on Maritime Anomaly Detection (MAD 2011)*, Tilburg Center for Cognition and Communication, Tilburg University, The Netherlands, 2011. <http://mad.uvt.nl/mad/mad2011-proceedings.pdf>.
49. J. H. M. Janssens, I. Flesch, and E. O. Postma. Outlier Detection with One-Class Classifiers from ML and KDD. In *8th Int. Conf. on Machine Learning and Applications*, pages 147–155. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
50. J. H. M. Janssens, H. Hiemstra, and E. O. Postma. Creating Artificial Vessel Trajectories with Presto. In *22nd Benelux Conf. on Artificial Intelligence (BNAIC 2010)*, 2010.
51. J. H. M. Janssens, F. Huszar, E. O. Postma, and H. J. van den Herik. Stochastic Outlier Selection. Technical report TiCC TR 2012-001, Tilburg University, Tilburg Center for Cognition and Communication, Tilburg, The Netherlands, 2012.
52. J. H. M. Janssens and E. O. Postma. One-Class Classification with LOF and LOCI: An Empirical Comparison. In M. van Erp, H. Stehouwer, and M. van Zaanen, editors, *18th Annual Belgian-Dutch Conf. on Machine Learning (Benelearn 09)*, pages 56–64, 2009. [http://benelearn09.uvt.nl/Proceedings\\_Benelearn\\_09.pdf](http://benelearn09.uvt.nl/Proceedings_Benelearn_09.pdf).
53. T. Kanstrén, E. Piel, A. González-Sánchez, and H.-G. Gross. Observation-Based Modeling for Testing and Verifying Highly Dependable Systems – A Practitioner’s Approach. In A Wagner, editor, *Workshop on Design of Dependable Critical Systems at Safecom (DDCS'09)*, 2009. <http://www.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/archiv/10095>.
54. T. Kanstrén, E. Piel, and H.-G. Gross. Observation-Based Modeling for Model-Based Testing. Technical Report Series TUD-SERG-2009-012, Delft University of Technology, Software Engineering Research Group, Delft, The Netherlands, 2009.
55. T. Kanstrén, E. Piel, and H.-G. Gross. Trace-Based Code Generation for Model-Based Testing. Technical Report Series TUD-SERG-2009-017, Delft University of Technology, Software Engineering Research Group, Delft, The Netherlands, 2009.
56. Keulen, J-P (2010) Vaart in kaart. Kijk, 2013 Sanoma Media Netherlands B.V.: Hoofddorp, The Netherlands 24(2):64–65 <http://www.kijkmagazine.nl/>
57. R. Lagerweij. Learning a Model of Ship Movements. Thesis for Bachelor of Science, University of Amsterdam, Faculty of Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2009.
58. F. M. Maggi, J. C. Bose, and W. M. P. van der Aalst. Efficient Discovery of Understandable Declarative Process Models from Event Logs. In J. Ralyté, X. Franch, S. Brinkkemper, and S. Wrycza, editors, *Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2012)*, volume 7328 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 270–285. Springer, 2012.
59. F. M. Maggi, M. Montali, and W. M. P. van der Aalst. An Operational Decision Support Framework for Monitoring Business Constraints. In J. Lara and A. Zisman, editors, *Fundamental Approaches to Software Engineering*, volume 7212 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 146–162. Springer, 2012.

60. F. M. Maggi, M. Montali, M. Westergaard, and W. M. P. van der Aalst. Monitoring Business Constraints with Linear Temporal Logic: An Approach Based on Colored Automata. In R. Rinderle-Ma, F. Toumani, and K. Wolf, editors, *Int. Conf. on Business Process Management (BPM 2011)*, volume 6896 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 132–147. Springer, 2011.
61. F. M. Maggi, A. J. Mooij, and W. M. P. van der Aalst. User-Guided Discovery of Declarative Process Models. In *IEEE Symp. on Computational Intelligence and Data Mining (CIDM)*, pages 192–199. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
62. F. M. Maggi, M. Westergaard, M. Montali, and W. M. P. van der Aalst. Runtime Verification of LTL-Based Declarative Process Models. In S. Khurshid and K. Sen, editors, *Int. Conf. on Runtime Verification (RV 2011)*, volume 7186 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 131–146. Springer, 2012.
63. I. Magilsen. Poseidon Ontsluiert Geheimen op Zee. *Matrix*, 18(1):46–47, 2011. [http://w3.tue.nl/fileadmin/csc/matrix/Matrix\\_2011-1.pdf](http://w3.tue.nl/fileadmin/csc/matrix/Matrix_2011-1.pdf).
64. M. Montali, F. M. Maggi, F. Chesani, P. Mello, and Aalst W. M.P. van der. Monitoring Business Constraints with the Event Calculus. Technical Report DEIS-LIA-002-11, Università degli Studi di Bologna DEIS, Bologna, Italy, 2012. <http://www-lia.deis.unibo.it/Research/TechReport/LIA-002-11.pdf>.
65. A. J. Mooij. System integration by developing adapters using a database abstraction. *Inf Softw Technol.* 55(2):357–364, (2013). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2012.08.015>.
66. A. J. Mooij, J. Parnjai, C. Stahl, and M. Voorhoeve. Constructing Replaceable Services using Operating Guidelines and Maximal Controllers. In M. Bravetti and T. Bultan, editors, *Web Services and Formal Methods (WS-FM 2010)*, volume 6551 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 116–130. Springer, 2011.
67. A. J. Mooij, C. Stahl, and M. Voorhoeve. Relating Fair Testing and Accordance for Service Replaceability. *Journal of Logic and Algebraic Programming*, 79(3–5):233–244, 2010.
68. A. J. Mooij and M. Voorhoeve. Proof Techniques for Adapter Generation. In R. Bruni and K. Wolf, editors, *Web Services and Formal Methods (WS-FM 2008)*, volume 5387 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 207–223. Springer, 2009.
69. A. J. Mooij and M. Voorhoeve. Trading Off Concurrency to Generate Behavioral Adapters. In *9th Int. Conf. on Application of Concurrency to System Design (ACSD 2009)*, pages 109–118. IEEE, 2009.
70. NewScientist. Visions of Data, September 2009. <http://www.newscientist.com/gallery/dn17746-visions-of-data>.
71. E. Piel and A. González-Sánchez. Data-flow Integration Testing Adapted to Runtime Evolution in Component-Based Systems. In H.-G. Gross, M. Lormans, and J. Tretmans, editors, *ESEC/FSE Workshop on Software Integration and Evolution @ Runtime (SINTER'09)*, pages 3–10. ACM, 2009.
72. E. Piel, A. González-Sánchez, and H.-G. Gross. Automating Integration Testing of Large-Scale Publish/Subscribe Systems. In A. M. Hinze and A. Buchmann, editors, *Principles and Applications of Distributed Event-Based Systems*, pages 140–163. IGI Global, 2010.
73. E. Piel, A. González-Sánchez, and H.-G. Gross. Built-in Data-Flow Integration Testing in Large-scale Component-Based Systems. In A. Petrenko, A. da Silva Simão, and J. C. Maldonado, editors, *Testing Software and Systems (ICTSS 2010)*, volume 6435 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 79–94. Springer, 2010.
74. E. Piel, A. González-Sánchez, H.-G. Gross, and A. J. C. van Gemund. Spectrum-Based Health Monitoring for Self-Adaptive Systems. In *5th IEEE Int. Conf. on Self-Adaptive and Self-Organizing Systems (SASO'11)*, pages 99–108. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
75. E. Piel, A. González-Sánchez, H.-G. Gross, A. J. C. van Gemund, and R. Abreu. Online Spectrum-Based Fault Localization for Health Monitoring and Fault Recovery of Self-Adaptive Systems. In F. Bodendorf and W. Powley, editors, *8th Int. Conf. on Autonomic and Autonomous Systems*, pages 64–73. IARIA, 2012.



76. R. Scheepens, N. Willems, Wetering H. van de, and J. J. van Wijk. Density Based, Visual Anomaly Detection. In J. Janssens, E. Postma, and J. Hellemons, editors, *Int. Workshop on Maritime Anomaly Detection (MAD 2011)*, pages 11–12, 2011. <http://mad.uvt.nl/mad/mad2011-proceedings.pdf>.
77. R. Scheepens, N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, G. Andrienko, N. Andrienko, and J. J. van Wijk. Composite Density Maps for Multivariate Trajectories. *IEEE Trans. on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 17(12):2518–2527, 2011.
78. R. Scheepens, N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. van Wijk. Interactive Visualization of Multivariate Trajectory Data with Density Maps. In *IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium (PacificVis 2011)*, pages 147–154, 2011.
79. R. Scheepens, N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. van Wijk. Interactive Density Maps for Moving Objects. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 32(1):56–66, 2012.
80. R. J. Scheepens. GPU-Based Track Visualization of Multivariate Moving Object Data. Master's thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Visualization Group, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 2010. <http://www.win.tue.nl/visnet/wiki/doku.php?id=finished:densityplot>.
81. D. M. M. Schunselaar, F. M. Maggi, and N. Sidorova. Patterns for a Log-Based Strengthening of Declarative Compliance Models. In J. Derrick, S. Gnesi, D. Latella, and H. Treharne, editors, *Integrated Formal Methods (IFM 2012)*, volume 7321 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 327–342. Springer, 2012.
82. D. M. M. Schunselaar, F. M. Maggi, N. Sidorova, and W.M.P van der Aalst. Configurable Declare: Designing Customisable Flexible Models. In *20th Int. Conf. on Cooperative Information Systems (CoopIS 2012)*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2012.
83. M. van Someren et al., editor. *Workshop on Modelling Moving Objects in the Netherlands*, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2010. <http://staff.science.uva.nl/~maarten/MMO-webpage.htm>.
84. F. Spiessens, J. den Hartog, and S. Etalle. Know what you Trust: Analyzing and Designing Trust Policies with Scoll. In P. Degano, J. Guttman, and F. Martinelli, editors, *Formal Aspects in Security and Trust (FAST 2008)*, volume 5491 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 129–142. Springer, 2009.
85. Thales (2009) Poseidon Verhoogt Veiligheid op Zee. In: Login to Thales-Magazine of Thales Nederland B.V., July/Aug 2009, pp 8–9.
86. X. Theunissen. Poseidon Signaleert Afwijkend Gedrag Schepen. *De Technologiekrant*, 19:11, 2009.
87. X. Theunissen. Zeeverkeersinformatie. *De Ingenieur*, 14/15:HTS 6–7, 2009.
88. D. Trivellato. *Protecting Information in Systems of Systems*. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2012.
89. D. Trivellato, F. Spiessens, N. Zannone, and S. Etalle. POLIPO: Policies & OntoLogies for Interoperability, Portability, and Autonomy. In *10th IEEE Int. Symp. on Policies for Distributed Systems and Networks (POLICY'09)*, pages 110–113. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
90. D. Trivellato, F. Spiessens, N. Zannone, and S. Etalle. Reputation-Based Ontology Alignment for Autonomy and Interoperability in Distributed Access Control. In *IEEE Int. Conf. on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE'09)*, volume 3, pages 252–258. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
91. D. Trivellato, N. Zannone, and S. Etalle. GEM: A Distributed Goal Evaluation Algorithm for Trust Management. Computer Science Report CS 10-15, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2010. <http://alexandria.tue.nl/repository/books/695281.pdf>.
92. D. Trivellato, N. Zannone, and S. Etalle. A Security Framework for Systems of Systems. In *12th IEEE Int. Conf. on Policies for distributed systems and networks (POLICY'11)*, pages 182–183. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
93. D. Trivellato, N. Zannone, and S. Etalle. Poster: Protecting Information in Systems of Systems. In Y. Chen, G. Danezis, and V. Shmatikov, editors, *18th ACM Conf. on Computer and Communications Security (CCS'11)*, pages 865–868. ACM, 2011.

94. M. van de Ven. Veilig en Alert Heersen over de Zee. *Cursor*, 52(32):12, June 3 2010. [http://web.tue.nl/cursor/internet/jaargang52/\\_pdf/cursor32.pdf](http://web.tue.nl/cursor/internet/jaargang52/_pdf/cursor32.pdf).
95. G. de Vries, V. Malaisé, M. van Someren, P. Adriaans, and G. Schreiber. Semi-Automatic Ontology Extension in the Maritime Domain. In A. Nijholt, M. Pantic, M. Poel, and G. H. W. Hondorp, editors, *Belgian-Dutch Conference on Artificial Intelligence (BNAIC 2008)*, pages 265–272, Enschede, The Netherlands, 2008. University of Twente. <http://eprints.eemcs.utwente.nl/13354/>.
96. G. de Vries and M. van Someren. Unsupervised Ship Trajectory Modeling and Prediction using Compression and Clustering. In M. van Erp, H. Stehouwer, and M. van Zaanen, editors, *The 18th Annual Belgian-Dutch Conf. on Machine Learning (Benelearn 09)*, pages 7–12, 2009. [http://benelearn09.uvt.nl/Proceedings\\_Benelearn\\_09.pdf](http://benelearn09.uvt.nl/Proceedings_Benelearn_09.pdf).
97. G. de Vries and M. van Someren. Clustering Vessel Trajectories with Alignment Kernels under Trajectory Compression. In J. L. Balcázar, F. Bonchi, A. Gionis, and M. Sebag, editors, *Eur. Conf. on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD 2010)*, volume 6321 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 296–311. Springer, 2010.
98. G. K. D. Vries, Hage W. R. van, and M. van Someren. Comparing Vessel Trajectories Using Geographical Domain Knowledge and Alignments. In Wei Fan, Wynne Hsu, Geoffrey I. Webb, Bing Liu, Chengqi Zhang, Dimitrios Gunopulos, and Xindong Wu, editors, *The 10th IEEE Int. Conf. on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW 2010)*, pages 209–216. IEEE Computer Society, 2010.
99. G. K. D. Vries, Hage W. R. van, and M. van Someren. Comparing Vessel Trajectories using Geographical Domain Knowledge and Alignments. In J. Janssens, E. Postma, and J. Hellemons, editors, *Int. Workshop on Maritime Anomaly Detection (MAD 2011)*, pages 15–16, 2011. <http://mad.uvt.nl/mad/mad2011-proceedings.pdf>.
100. G. K. D. Vries, Hage W. R. van, and M. van Someren. Comparing Vessel Trajectories using Geographical Domain Knowledge and Alignments. In P. van der Putten, C. Veenman, J. Vanschoren, M. Israel, and H. Blockeel, editors, *The 20th Annual Belgian-Dutch Conf. on Machine Learning (Benelearn 2011)*, pages 125–126, 2011. [http://www.liacs.nl/~putten/benelearn2011/Benelearn2011\\_Proceedings.pdf](http://www.liacs.nl/~putten/benelearn2011/Benelearn2011_Proceedings.pdf).
101. G. K. D. de Vries. *Kernel Methods for Vessel Trajectories*. PhD thesis, University of Amsterdam, 2012. <http://dare.uva.nl/document/357350>.
102. G. K. D. de Vries and M. van Someren. Machine Learning for Vessel Trajectories using Compression, Alignments and Domain Knowledge. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(18):13426–13439, 2012.
103. M. Westergaard and F. M. Maggi. Modelling and Verification of a Protocol for Operational Support using Coloured Petri Nets. In L. M. Kristensen and L. Petrucci, editors, *Applications and Theory of Petri Nets (Petri Nets 2011)*, volume 6709 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 169–188. Springer, 2011.
104. N. Willems. Shipping Routes North Sea. *Geo-info*, 8(6):9, 2011. <http://www.geo-info.nl/download/?id=17685718>.
105. N. Willems. *Visualization of Vessel Traffic*. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2011. <http://alexandria.tue.nl/extra2/719764.pdf>.
106. N. Willems, W. R. van Hage, G. de Vries, J. H. M. Janssens, and V. Malaisé. An Integrated Approach for Visual Analysis of a Multisource Moving Objects Knowledge Base. In *13th AGILE Int. Conf. on Geographic Information Science – Workshop on Geospatial Visual Analytics: Focus on Time (GeoVa(t) 2010)*, 2010. [http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/papers/geoVa\(t\)10.pdf](http://www.few.vu.nl/~wrvhage/papers/geoVa(t)10.pdf).
107. N. Willems, W. R. van Hage, G. de Vries, J. H. M. Janssens, and V. Malaisé. An Integrated Approach for Visual Analysis of a Multisource Moving Objects Knowledge Base. *Int. Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 24(10):1543–1558, 2010.
108. N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. Wijk. Evaluation of the Visibility of Vessel Movement Features in Trajectory Visualizations. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 30(3):801–810, 2011. Proceedings of EuroVis 2011.



109. N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. van Wijk. Interactive Poster: Visualization of Vessel Trajectories for Maritime Safety and Security Systems. In *IEEE Information Visualization Conference (InfoVis 2008)*, 2008.
110. N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. van Wijk. Visualization of Vessel Trajectories for Maritime Safety and Security Systems. In *SIREN 2008*, 2008. Poster; [http://www.ictonderzoek.net/3/assets/File/posters/2008\\_70/2008\\_70.pdf](http://www.ictonderzoek.net/3/assets/File/posters/2008_70/2008_70.pdf).
111. N. Willems, H. van de Wetering, and J. J. van Wijk. Visualization of Vessel Movements. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 28(3):959–966, 2009. Proceedings of EuroVis 2009.

# Index

- A
  - Access control, 7, 48–49, 56, 58, 60, 190, 191, 200, 201, 205
  - Adapter, 15, 60, 61, 173, 174, 177–186
    - adapter generation, 174, 180, 181, 184–186
  - AIS. *See* Automatic Identification System (AIS)
  - AIS receiver, 43, 56, 59–61, 67, 133, 147, 174–178, 182
  - Alignment
    - ontology alignment, 6
    - semantic alignment, 190, 191, 198, 200–202, 206
  - Anomaly detection, 10, 11, 14, 18, 63, 66, 82–83, 113, 116, 117, 120, 134
    - density-based anomaly detection, 14, 83, 119–130
    - rule-based anomaly detection, 14, 18
    - runtime anomaly detection, 18
  - Architecture, 12, 13, 39–52, 55–58, 65, 68, 77, 78, 181, 184, 186, 191, 196, 197, 199–202, 206, 240, 241, 244, 256
    - architectural reasoning, 39, 44–49
  - Automatic Identification System (AIS), 11–15, 24, 26–28, 30, 31, 33–35, 40, 43, 49, 56, 59, 60, 66, 67, 79, 86, 90, 94, 95, 101, 105–111, 116, 117, 133, 134, 140, 142, 143, 145, 147, 150, 154, 157, 163, 164, 174–177, 179, 182, 183, 209, 221–226, 242
- B
  - Behavior
    - behavior analysis, 13
    - behavior recognition, 13
    - behavior rule, 14
    - emergent behavior, 46
    - historical behavior, 14
    - interface behavior, 15, 176–179
  - Beta probability distribution, 219, 220
- C
  - Carrying Industrial Partner (CIP), 17, 19, 55, 68
  - Clustering, 14, 18, 57, 107, 110–117, 249
  - Coast guard, 10, 12, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, 33–35, 93, 100
  - Collaborative system, 7
  - Confidentiality, 6, 23, 190, 191, 195, 196, 198, 200, 205, 206
  - Connector, 8
  - Context-aware access control, 15, 190, 196–198
  - Controller, 178–181, 186
    - controller synthesis, 15, 174, 177–181, 185, 186
- D
  - Database, 6, 15, 21, 40, 49, 58, 59, 106, 116, 150, 165, 166, 169, 174, 182–186, 227, 235
  - Data Distribution Service (DDS), 43, 56
  - Data source, 6, 49, 74
  - Declare miner, 57, 140–144
  - Demonstrator, 4, 13, 19, 50, 55–68, 185, 186
  - Density, 62, 66, 74–86, 120–125, 127, 130
    - density map, 13, 61, 74, 75, 77–86

- Diagnosis, 8, 18, 229, 231–237, 239, 242–244, 249–253, 255, 256  
 self-diagnosis,  
 runtime diagnosis, 15, 46, 47  
 Distance function, 14, 108, 109, 113, 117  
 Distributed access control, 18
- E**  
 EthoVision XT, 89–96, 99–102  
 Event, 4, 21, 50, 103, 105, 119, 133, 149, 192, 211, 234, 248  
 Evolving system, 244
- F**  
 Fault localization  
 runtime fault localization, 16, 62  
 Spectrum-based Fault Localization (SFL), 16, 230–233, 244, 248  
 Federation of systems, 7  
 Functional, 12, 42, 50, 196, 199, 233, 241, 242
- G**  
 Geographic information layer, 58, 61  
 Glue logic, 173  
 Google Earth, 15, 25, 58, 61, 64, 175–178, 180, 182, 185, 202
- H**  
 Health  
 information health, 42, 47–48  
 system health, 46–47, 147  
 Human operator, 5, 40, 52, 119
- I**  
 IMO. *See* International Maritime Organization (IMO)  
 Incident, 22, 23, 25, 26, 31–36  
 Incremental view maintenance, 15, 174, 182–186  
 Industry-as-laboratory, 17, 18, 55, 65, 68  
 Information  
 information aggregation, 4–6  
 information complexity, 22  
 information flow, 40–50  
 information fusion, 6, 41, 66  
 information overload, 21, 22, 27  
 information visualization, 6, 19  
 Integration  
 runtime integration, 44  
 system integration, 15, 173–186
- Integrity, 6, 190, 191, 195, 196, 200, 205  
 Interface, 66, 83, 173, 176–177, 179–181, 183–186, 196, 198, 200, 202  
 International Maritime Organization (IMO), 11, 34, 60, 221–223  
 International Telecommunications Union (ITU), 11, 225  
 Interoperability  
 semantic interoperability, 6, 190, 205  
 syntactic interoperability, 6  
 Interpolation, 95, 101
- K**  
 Kernel density estimation, 75  
 Knowledge  
 domain knowledge, 29, 50, 57, 67, 79, 86, 112–115, 190, 191, 197, 201, 205, 227  
 knowledge base, 18, 57, 61–63, 112–117, 190, 197, 198, 200–202  
 knowledge representation, 196
- L**  
 Linear temporal logic, 139  
 Linked data, 152
- M**  
 Machine learning, 52, 130, 249  
 Maritime Mobile Service Identity (MMSI), 11, 34, 60, 62, 63, 175, 182, 203, 221–224, 226  
 Maritime Safety and Security (MSS), 3–19, 21–24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 37, 39, 55, 59, 67, 89, 93, 100, 103, 105, 106, 112, 113, 116, 117, 119, 124, 133, 136, 139, 142, 145, 147, 151, 174, 185, 191, 197, 206, 232, 247, 248  
 Mediator, 173  
 Meta-information, 8, 42, 45, 49  
 Metis, 19  
 MMSI. *See* Maritime Mobile Service Identity (MMSI)  
 Model, 14, 15, 77, 109, 134, 137–140, 143–147, 149, 151, 154, 166, 169, 177–181, 186, 191, 205, 220, 221, 226, 227, 233–234, 243, 248, 249  
 Monitoring, 134  
 health monitoring, 15, 16, 62, 229  
 runtime monitoring, 8, 15, 18  
 MSS. *See* Maritime safety and security (MSS)

## N

Non-functional, 12, 44, 242  
 North Sea, 4, 22, 26, 55, 60, 85, 100, 111

## O

Online. *See* Runtime  
 Ontology, 14, 15, 18, 113–115, 117, 151, 160, 162, 191, 197–199, 201, 202, 206  
 OODA loop, 28–30, 33  
 Open provenance model, 220–222  
 Operational picture, 18, 50  
 Opinion, 211–220, 222–224, 226  
 Outlier, 13, 14, 18, 92, 117, 120–124, 126–130, 201, 203, 204  
     outlier detection, 57, 120–124, 126–130

## P

Petri net, 177, 178, 180  
 Piecewise linear segmentation, 14, 107, 108, 116  
 POLIPO, 190, 191, 196–202, 205, 206  
 POSEIDON, 3, 4, 13, 16–19, 26, 51, 55–68, 158, 159, 163, 166–168, 180, 184–186, 196, 202  
 Prioritization  
     diagnostic prioritization, 253  
     test prioritization, 248–251, 253, 255  
 Privacy, 6, 9, 60, 175  
 Probe, 185, 186  
 Process discovery, 147  
 Process mining, 14, 18, 61, 133–147, 179, 185  
 Protocol, 6, 11, 44, 45, 48, 52, 106, 173, 176, 189  
 Provenance, 41, 42, 45, 49, 210, 220–228

## R

RDF. *See* Resource Description Framework (RDF)  
 RDFS. *See* Resource Description Framework Schema language (RDFS)  
 Reasoning, 5, 6, 14, 19, 29–31, 36, 46, 49, 52, 57, 58, 139, 166, 168, 169, 198, 210–216, 221, 222, 232, 249–252  
     semantic reasoning, 6, 18

Recognition, 7, 13, 14, 41, 44, 52, 94, 105, 109, 117, 254  
 Reconfiguration, 7–9, 229, 247  
     runtime reconfiguration, 8  
 Reproducibility, 59, 65–66, 91  
 Resource Description Framework (RDF), 57, 149, 152, 154, 156–158, 161, 165, 166, 168, 169  
 Resource Description Framework Schema language (RDFS), 149, 151, 157, 168, 169  
 Runtime, 7, 14, 43, 59, 137, 185, 189, 247

## S

Sea lane, 25, 26, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 77, 79, 85, 86, 91, 112, 119  
 Security  
     ontology-based security policy, 15  
     POLIPO security framework, 15, 189–206  
     security framework, 189–191, 195, 196, 199, 203, 205  
 Segmentation, 18  
 Segmenter, 57  
 SEM. *See* Simple Event Model (SEM)  
 Semantic web, 6, 40, 149, 150, 161, 206  
 Sensor network, 27–28  
 Similarity  
     similarity calculation, 18  
 Simple Event Model (SEM), 14, 18, 62, 113, 149–169, 202, 221, 222  
 Simulation environment, 66–67  
 SPARQL, 149, 166–169  
 Spatiotemporal indexing, 57  
 Specification, 15, 36, 150, 156, 173–186, 190, 191, 195, 197, 198, 205, 206  
 Stochastic outlier selection, 14, 18, 124–126  
 Subjective logic, 211–216, 220, 222, 223, 227

## T

Testing, 7, 9, 15, 94, 190, 229, 230, 232, 235, 248, 250–252, 256  
     runtime testing, 8, 9, 248, 256  
 Track  
     sensor track, 57  
     system track, 57

- Tracking
  - animal tracking, 13, 90, 91, 93–94, 99–102
  - object tracking, 13, 19, 74
  - vessel tracking, 11–13, 90, 93–94, 99–102
- Traffic separation scheme, 25–26
- Training set, 6
- Trajectory
  - trajectory alignment, 109, 115
  - trajectory classification, 107
  - trajectory clustering, 14, 18, 107, 110, 112
  - trajectory compression, 117
- Transition system, 177
- Transport mechanism, 43–44
- Trust
  - trust calculation, 18
  - trust management, 15, 190, 191, 196–198, 201, 205
- U
  - Uncertainty, 6, 8, 19, 39, 41, 42, 45–46, 48, 86, 162, 211–220, 224, 226, 227
  - Usability, 147, 199
- V
  - Verification, 52, 139, 205, 247
    - runtime verification, 8
  - View
    - database view, 183
    - functional view, 13, 49
    - system architect’s view, 40
  - Visual analysis, 58, 86
  - Visualization, 6, 12, 13, 19, 30, 56, 73–87, 96, 97, 100, 105–107, 116, 174, 202, 203, 224, 225
    - multi-objective visualization, 18