

# References

- Abreu D (1988) On the theory of infinite repeated games with discounting. *Econometrica* 56:383–396
- Alesina A (1988) Credibility and policy convergence in a two-party system with rational voters. *Am Econ Rev* 78(4):796–805
- Alesina A (1998) Too large and too small governments. Conference on economic policy and equity, Washington, DC, USA
- Alesina A, Angeletos G (2005) Fairness and redistribution. *Am Econ Rev* 94(4):960–980
- Alesina A, Perotti R (1992) Credibility and policy convergence in a two-party system with rational voters. *Am Econ Rev* 78(4):796–805
- Alesina A, Rodrik D (1994) Distributive politics and economic growth. *Q J Econ* 109:465–490
- Azariadis C, Galasso V (1997) Fiscal constitutions and the determinacy of intergenerational transfers. Manuscript, UCLA and Universidad Carlos III
- Bandyopadhyay D, Basu P (2005) What drives the cross-country growth and inequality correlation? *Canad J Econ* 38(4):1272–1297
- Banerjee A, Duflo E (2003) Inequality and growth: What can the data say? *J Econ Growth* 8(3): 267–299
- Barro R (1991) Economic growth in cross section of countries. *Q J Econ* 106:407–443
- Barro R (1997) Determinants of economic growth: A cross-country empirical study. MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
- Barro R (2000) Inequality and growth in a panel of countries. *J Econ Growth* 5:5–32
- Barro R, Lee JW (1993) International comparisons of educational attainment. *J Monetary Econ* 32:363–394
- Baumol WJ, Strom RJ (2010) Entrepreneurship & Economic Growth, *Strategic Ent J*, 1(3–4): 233–237
- Baumol WJ, et al (2011) *Innovation Entrepreneurship and Policy: Toward Initiation & Preservation of Growth*, Contributions to Economics, Springer Publications.
- Benhabib J, Rustichini A (1996) Social conflict, growth and income distribution. *J Econ Growth* 1(1):125–142
- Besley T, Coate S (1998) Sources of inefficiency in a representative democracy: A dynamic analysis. *Am Econ Rev* 88(1):139–156
- Bjørnskov C (2005) Does political ideology affect economic growth. *Publ Choice*, 123(1–2): 133–146
- Bjørnskov C (2008) The growth-inequality association: Government ideology matters. *J Develop Econ* 87:300–308
- Bourguignon F (1981) Pareto superiority of unegalitarian equilibria in stiglitz’ model of wealth distribution with convex saving function. *Econometrica* 49(6):1469–1475

- Chang R (1998) Credible monetary policy in and infinite horizon model: Recursive approaches. *J Econ Theor* 81:431–461
- Chari V, Kehoe P (1990) Sustainable plans. *J Polit Econ* 98(4):783–801
- Chari V, Kehoe P, Prescott E (1989) Time consistency and policy. In: R Barro (ed) *Modern business cycle theory*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA
- Deininger K, Squire L (1996) A new data set measuring income inequality. *World Bank Econ Rev* 78(5):565–591
- Fedderke J, Klitgaard R (1998) Economic growth and social indicators: An exploratory analysis. *Econ Develop Cult Change* 46(3):455–489
- Forbes K (2000) Reassessment of the relationship between inequality and growth. *Am Econ Rev* 90(4):869–887
- Frazer G (2006) Inequality and development across and within countries. *World Develop* 34(9): 1449–1481
- Friedman J (1986) *Game theory with applications to economics*. Oxford University Press, New York, USA
- Friedman J (1990) *Game theory with application to economics*. Oxford University Press, New York, USA
- IMF (1975–1993) *Government finance statistics yearbook*, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC, USA
- Iradian G (2005) Inequality, poverty, and growth: Cross-country evidence. Working paper May 28. International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC, USA
- Kaldor N (1957) A model of economic growth. *Econ J* 67(268):591–624
- Knack S, Keefer P (1995) Institutions and economic performance: Cross country tests using alternative institutional measures. *Econ Polit* 7(3):207–227
- Krusell P, Quadrini V, Rios-Rull J (1997) Politico-economic equilibrium and economic growth. *J Econ Dyn Contr* 21(1):243–271
- Kuznets S (1955) Economic growth and income inequality. *Am Econ Rev* 65:1–28
- Lee A (1999) Is inequality harmful for growth? Revisited: How much do institutions matter. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Department of Economics, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- Levine R, Renelt D (1992) A sensitivity analysis of cross-country growth regressions. *Am Econ Rev* 82(4):942–963
- Maddex R (1995) *Constitution of the World*. Congressional Quarterly Inc., Washington, DC, USA
- Meltzer A, Richard S (1981) A rational theory of the size of government. *J Polit Econ* 89(5): 914–927
- Perotti R (1996) Growth, income distribution, and democracy: What the data say. *J Econ Growth* 1:149–189
- Persson T (2003) Consequences of constitutions. NBER Working Paper, 10170:803–832
- Persson T, Tabellini G (1994) Is inequality harmful for growth? theory and evidence. *Am Econ Rev* 49:803–832
- Persson T, Tabellini G (2000) Comparative politics and public finance. *J Polit Econ* 108(6): 1121–1161
- Persson T, Tabellini G (2003) *Constitutional rules and Fiscal policy outcomes*. MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
- Persson T, Tabellini G (2004) Constitutional rules and fiscal policy outcomes. *Am Econ Rev* 94(1): 25–45
- Rios-Rull J (1997) Computation of equilibrium in heterogeneous agents economies. Research Department Report Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis
- Roberts K (1975) Voting over income tax schedule. *J Publ Econ* 8:329–340
- Roberts K (1977) Voting over income tax schedules. *J Publ Econ* 8(3):329–340
- Shu-Chin L, Huang H, Kim D, Chih-Chuan Y (2009) Nonlinearity between inequality and growth. *Stud Nonlinear Dyn Econ* 13(2):Article 3
- Stokey N, Lucas R (2005) *Recursive methods in economic dynamics*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA

- Tsebelis G, Money J (1997) *Bicameralism*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA
- Voltchovsky S (2005) Does the profile of income inequality matter for economic growth? distinguishing between the effects of inequality in different parts of the income distribution. *J Econ Growth* 10(3):273–296
- White H (1982) Instrumental variable regression with independent observation. *Econometrica* 50(2):482–500

# Index

## C

capital, 1, 6–10, 13–17, 25, 49  
  accumulation, 1  
  competitive market, 6  
  human, 37  
  investment, 6, 8  
  level, 48  
  market, 8  
  steady state, 50  
  stock, 9, 10, 13–17, 23, 49, 50, 52  
Constitutional Bicameralism, 44  
cooperation, ix, 17, 19, 32, 33, 42, 44  
  intergenerational, ix, 17, 19, 32, 33, 42, 44  
  intragenerational, 19  
correspondence, 19, 44

## D

dynamic, ix, 44  
  game, 45  
  general equilibrium, ix  
  inefficiency, 44  
  tax game, ix, 4, 10, 11, 15

## E

economic growth, 3, 32, 33, 37, 42  
equilibrium, 3, 4, 9, 12, 15–17, 21, 24, 25, 33,  
  34, 46, 49, 52  
  closed-loop, 4  
  competitive, 8, 44  
  correspondence, 12, 15, 45, 49  
  dynamic, 14  
  dynamic game, 12  
  economic, 4, 7, 8, 49  
  Markovian, 21, 24, 25, 49, 52  
  median voter, 44

Nash, 15–17, 19, 34  
  non-cooperative, 11, 12, 16, 17  
  open-loop, 9, 11–13, 16, 24, 25, 45–47, 49,  
    50  
  political, 4, 7  
  Ramsey, ix, 15–17, 44  
  steady state, 12, 16, 49  
  subgame perfect, 15, 16, 19  
  sustainable, 16, 17, 49  
  tax rate, 11  
  time-consistent, 17, 19  
externalities, 44

## F

failure  
  institutional, 44  
  political, 44  
forward, viii

## G

Gini Coefficient, 34  
government budget constraint, 7, 16, 25, 26, 47  
growth, ix, 1–3, 5, 16, 32–34, 37, 39–42, 44, 53  
  differential, 41  
  economic, 41, 44  
  population, 53

## I

income, 26, 34, 46, 48  
  after tax, 14, 24  
  inequality, 26  
  capital, 37, 48  
  convergence, 42  
  distribution, 33

- income (*cont.*)  
 labor, 48  
 national, 41  
 pre tax, 46, 48  
   distribution, 26, 34
- inequality, ix, 1–3, 13, 17, 23, 30, 32–35, 37,  
 39, 40, 44, 50  
 asset, 26  
 condition, 17  
 income, 2, 3  
 pre tax, 30
- institutional, ix, 3, 6, 17, 33, 44, 52  
 arrangement, 34, 39, 42, 44  
 effect, 41  
 fiscal, ix, 3, 6, 8, 17, 32, 33, 44, 52  
 parameter, 3, 25–27, 30, 33–35, 39, 41, 53  
 technology, 25, 39, 41, 52
- L**  
 law of motion, 13, 14, 16, 25, 48  
 life time utility, 9, 17  
 Lipschitz condition, 12, 45
- M**  
 Markovian, 4, 13, 14  
 mean-median, ix, 3, 13, 26, 30, 32, 34, 53  
 ability, 26  
 asset ratio, 26, 30, 32, 53  
 income ratio, 53  
 ratio, 3, 13, 34  
 mechanism  
   economic, 32, 33, 37, 39, 40  
   political, 32, 33, 37, 39, 40  
 median, 6, 15–17, 19, 22, 32, 46, 48–50  
 ability, 13, 32, 46, 48  
 characteristics, 4  
 voter, 15–17, 19, 32, 46, 49, 50  
   rational, 19
- O**  
 OECD, ix, 30  
 overlapping generation, ix, 15  
 model, 5, 10, 52
- P**  
 Pareto improvement, 19  
 payoff function, 10–14, 21, 49  
   dynamic game, 10  
 perfect foresight, 8, 12, 13  
 policy  
   commitment, ix, 15  
   convergence, 19  
   equilibrium, 9, 15, 17, 19, 52  
   programming, 16  
   stationary, 16  
   steady state, 16, 50
- political  
 economy, 3  
 process, 6  
 systems, 3
- preface, ix  
 Prisoner's Dilemma, 15
- R**  
 Ramsey Frontier, 17, 34  
 rational expectations, 7, 9, 50  
 rationality restriction, 15, 16  
 redistribution  
   inter-generational, 23  
 redistributive, 35  
 policy, 3  
 politics, 3  
 tax, 48, 52
- S**  
 simulation, ix, 25, 26  
 state variable, 10, 13, 14, 25  
   capital, 52  
 stationarity, 10, 11, 16  
 steady state, 3, 11, 21, 46, 49  
   equilibrium, 26  
   income, 32  
   value, 25, 27  
 strategy, 15, 17  
   trigger, 4, 15, 17, 50  
   non-cooperation, 19  
 structural, 2, 4, 33, 34, 37, 39–42  
   assumption, 27  
   equation, 33  
   estimate, 2, 39, 42  
   model, 3, 34  
   regression, 37, 39–41  
 sustainability  
   policy  
   steady state, 49  
 Ramsey equilibrium, 50
- T**  
 tax rate, ix, 3, 4, 9–12, 14, 15, 17, 22, 24–26,  
 46, 48  
 equilibrium, 12, 13, 15, 17, 25, 26, 32, 33  
 redistributive, 32

- higher, 23
- income, 6
- initial, 12
- Markovian, 26
- maximal, 24
- optimal, 23
- per capita transfer, 47
- proportional, 32, 48
- redistributive, 14, 33
- stationary, 24
- steady state, 12
- wage, 22
- zero, 22

- Theorem, 13, 33, 46
  - First Welfare, 44
  - Folk, 17, 49
  - Median Voter, 13, 33, 46
- time, ix, 5, 6
  - consistent, ix
  - constraint, 6
  - invariant distribution, 6
  - separable utility function, 5

**U**

- U.S. Constitution, 44