

# Index

## A

- Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), 167
- Advanced laparoscopic skills training
  - acquisition of skills, 79–80
  - highly structured training programmes, 95
  - identification of trainees, 91
  - implementation of laparoscopic colorectal surgery, 88–90
  - learning curve, proficiency gain, and competence, 91–92
  - learning process monitoring and assessment, 92–93
  - practical training considerations, 95–96
    - basic, fundamental laparoscopic skills, 96–97
    - macroretraction and microretraction, 100
    - necessary safety measures, 98
    - operating field exposure, 99–100
    - patient selection and pre-operative workup, 99
    - safe and competent colorectal surgeon, 96
    - stepwise approach, 100–101
    - understanding the procedure, 97–98
    - video recording and analysis, 101
  - proficiency-gain curve, 101–102
  - simulation, skill labs and video analysis
    - cadaveric model, 86
    - clinically significant exercise models, 83
    - deliberate practice, 82
    - external feedback, 82
    - hybrid PromIS simulator, 85–87
    - inanimate physical models, 84
    - laparoscopic cholecystectomy, 88
    - MIST-VR simulator, 84
    - motor skills acquisition process, 81
    - NOTES, 88–90
    - procedure effectiveness, 81
    - simulator registered instrument
      - movement path, 85, 88
    - stepwise approach, 82
  - standard training models
    - apprenticeship, general surgery, 93
    - dedicated fellowships and postgraduate training, 93
    - master classes/short courses, 94
    - preceptorship, 94
    - supervision and feedback, 94–95
- Assessment, minimal access surgery (MAS)
  - accreditation, 177
  - components
    - learning and training behavior, 171
    - modified hierarchy, 169–170
    - organizational results, 171
    - pre-training assessment, 170
    - reactions/motivation, 170
    - transfer of training, 171
  - curriculum-based context
    - learning from mistakes, 174–175
    - surgical course development
      - steps, 172–173
    - technical skills, 173–174
  - formative and summative assessment, 172
  - need for, 168–169
  - self-assessment, 175

**B**

- Bariatric surgery
  - bariatric service and hospital infrastructure, 122–123
  - centre of excellence, 124
  - obesity, 107–108
  - surgical technique, 108–109
    - bilio-pancreatic diversion, 114
    - gastric banding, 111–112
    - Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, 109–110
    - sleeve gastrectomy, 112–113
  - surgical training, 114–115
    - challenges, 115–116
    - competency assessment, 121–122
    - educational tools, 117–120
    - learning and teaching style, 118, 120–121
    - practical application, 120–121
    - proficiency-gain curve, 116
- Basic laparoscopic skills teaching
  - ergonomics
    - monitor position, 53
    - operating table height, 52
    - surgeon's position, 52
  - goal setting, 50–51
  - inside OR, 53
  - outside OR, 50–52
  - safe access techniques
    - bipolar dissectors, 56–57
    - energy sources, 55–56
    - grasping, 54
    - haemostasis, 55
    - irrigation/suction, 57
    - suturing, 57
    - trocarr wound closure, 57–58
    - ultrasonic dissection, 56
  - situational awareness, 53
- Bilio-pancreatic diversion (BPD), 114
- Bipolar dissectors, 56–57
- British Obesity & Metabolic Surgery Society (BOMSS), 122

**C**

- Cadaveric training, 6–7
- Certificate of Completion of Training (CCT), 115
- Clinically significant exercise models, 83
- Cognitive overload, 70–71
- Competency Assessment Tool (CAT), 25
- Concurrent feedback, 190
- Concurrent validity, 36
- Conscious competence, 187–188
  - learning cycle, 62–63

- performance enhancing instruction, 188–189
- strategy to avoid taking over, 189–190
- trainer, 188

- Construct validity, 36
- Content validity, 36
- Crew Resource Management (CRM) training, 204

**D**

- Debriefing, 206
- Decision making, 200–202
- Decision training, 70
- Direct Observation of Polypectomy Skills (DOPyS), 76
- Direct Observation of Procedure Skills (DOPS), 76
- Dry lab
  - ex-vivo models, 5–6
  - synthetic simulations, 5
- Dual task interference and training, 203

**E**

- Educational contract, 67
- Endoscopy training
  - competence assessment, 76–77
  - components of closure, 72–73
  - components of dialogue, 67–68
    - cognitive overload, 70–71
    - decision training, 70
    - performance enhancing training, 71
    - specific language, 68–69
    - timing of instruction, 69
    - training vignettes, 72
    - type of instruction, 69
  - core attributes
    - conscious competence, 62–64
    - critical reflection and analysis, 64
    - modelling behaviours, 62
    - performance, 62
    - technical skills, 64–65
  - endoscopic non-technical skills, 75
  - physical set, 67
  - practice in training, 75
  - scope handling techniques, 74
  - skills acquisition and retention, 74–75
  - training beyond certification, 77
  - verbal set
    - assessment, 65
    - educational contract, 67
    - learning objectives, 66–67
    - trainer's and trainee's agendas, 66

**Ergonomics**

- monitor position, 53
- operating table height, 52
- surgeon's position, 52

**European Association of Endoscopic Surgery (EAES), 27****European School of Laparoscopic Surgery (ESLS), 160–161****European Work Time Directive (EWTD), 27****F**

- Face validity, 36
- Feedback model, LAPCO TT, 191
- Formative assessment, 172, 192
- Fundamental of Laparoscopic Surgery (FLS), 16

**G**

- Gastric banding, 111–112
- Global Assessment of Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Skills (GAGES), 24
- Global Assessment of Skills (GAS) tool, 24

**H**

- Haemostasis, 55
- Human cadavers, 39–40
- Human factor
  - aviation industry, 204–205
  - briefings, 205–206
  - communication, 198–199
  - debriefing, 206
  - dual task interference and training, 203
  - SBAR communication tool, 207
  - situational awareness and decision making, 200–202
  - stress, fatigue and distraction, 202–203
  - team training, healthcare, 205
  - team working and leadership, 199–200
  - WHO Safer Surgery Checklist, 207

**I**

- Integrated operating rooms (IOR), 2–3
- Intercollegiate Surgical Curriculum Project (ISCP), 115
- International tele-conferencing programme, 159
- Inter-rater reliability, 36

**J**

- Joint Committee on Surgical Training (JCST), 130–131

**K**

- Knowledge development, 143

**L**

- Laparoscopic colorectal training the trainers (LapCo TT), 62
- Laparoscopic radical nephrectomy, 129
- Laparoscopic Surgical Skills (LSS) program
  - accreditation, 28
  - comprehensive assessment, 29–31
  - core competencies, 27
  - EAES, 27
  - EWTD, 27
  - multimodality simulation training, 28
  - outline, 29
- Laparoscopy
  - mentorship, 134–135
  - minimal access surgery, 133–134
  - modular training, 135–136
- LapMentor™, 38
- LapSim®, 38
- Learning and training behavior, 171
- Learning from mistakes, 174–175
- Local and remote telementoring
  - national programme, 164
  - residency programme, 162–163
- Low-bandwidth internet-based telemedicine, 160

**M**

- Macroretraction and microretraction, 100
- MAS accreditation, 177
- MAS training environment
  - assessment of educational activities, 11
  - cadaveric training, 6–7
  - definition, 1
  - dry lab
    - ex-vivo models, 5–6
    - synthetic simulations, 5
  - educational events
    - conducting, 10–11
    - planning, 10
  - equipment and industrial support, 9
  - networking with faculty, 11

- MAS training environment (*cont.*)  
 OR/endoscopic suite  
 attributes, 4  
 patient safety, 3  
 physical environment, 2–3  
 practical issues, 9  
 tips, 8–9  
 training centre set up, 7–8  
 very high fidelity learning environments, 4  
 wet lab and live animal lab, 6
- McGill Inanimate System for Training and Evaluation of Laparoscopic Skills (MISTELS), 168
- Mentoring, robotic surgery, 146
- Metabolic Bariatric Surgery and Quality Improvement Program (MBSAQIP), 124
- MIST-VR simulator, 37–38, 84
- Modular training, 135–136
- Motor and Cognitive Modelling Diagram (MCMD), 16–17
- Motor skills acquisition process, 81
- N**
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines, 108
- National Training Programme for Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery, 11
- Natural orifice trans-luminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES), 88–90
- O**
- Objective Structured Assessment of Technical Skills (OSATS), 24, 175
- Observational Clinical Human Reliability Analysis (OCHRA), 24, 93
- P**
- Patient selection and pre-operative workup, 99
- Performance enhancing feedback, 72–73
- Performance enhancing instruction, 188–189
- Performance enhancing training, 71
- Preceptorship, 94
- Predictive validity, 36
- Pre-training assessment, 170
- Procedure-specific skills assessment, 24
- Proctoring, 145–146
- Proficiency-based training, 21–22
- Proficiency-gain curve, 116
- ProMIS simulator  
 circular stapling, 85, 87  
 end result of stapling, 85, 87  
 NOTES, 88–90  
 synthetic anatomical tray and VR metrics, 85, 86
- R**
- Robotic surgery  
 live surgery demonstrations, 147  
 mentoring, 146  
 proctoring, 145–146  
 simulation-based training, 142–143  
 fresh frozen cadaver, 145  
 knowledge development, 143  
 live animal simulation model, 145  
 structured inanimate skills tasks, 143  
 virtual reality simulator, 143–145  
 video clinics, 146–147
- Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), 109–110
- S**
- Safe access techniques  
 bipolar dissectors, 56–57  
 energy sources, 55–56  
 grasping, 54  
 haemostasis, 55  
 irrigation/suction, 57  
 suturing, 57  
 trocar wound closure, 57–58  
 ultrasonic dissection, 56
- Scope handling techniques, 74
- Simulation-based training  
 animal models, 39  
 definitions  
 fidelity, 36  
 reliability, 36  
 simulation and simulators, 35–36  
 validity, 36  
 human cadavers, 39–40  
 modern surgical practice, 36–37  
 physical context, 40–41  
 robotic surgery, 142–143  
 fresh frozen cadaver, 145  
 knowledge development, 143  
 live animal simulation model, 145  
 structured inanimate skills tasks, 143  
 virtual reality simulator, 143–145  
 surgical simulation, 42  
 competence for clinical practice, 43–44  
 open surgical skills, 44

- procedure rehearsal, 44
  - simulation curriculum, 43
  - specialist simulation training centres, 43
  - testing and integration of new technologies, 44
  - synthetic physical models, 38–39
  - temporal context and sequential simulation, 42
  - virtual reality, 37–38
- Situational awareness (SA), 53, 200–202
- Situation, Background, Assessment and Recommendation (SBAR) tool, 207
- Sleeve gastrectomy, 112–113
- Specialist simulation training centres, 43
- Structured inanimate skills tasks, 143
- Summative assessment, 172, 192
- Surgical simulation, 42
  - competence for clinical practice, 43–44
  - open surgical skills, 44
  - procedure rehearsal, 44
  - simulation curriculum, 43
  - specialist simulation training centres, 43
  - testing and integration of new technologies, 44
- T**
- Team training, healthcare, 205
- Team working and leadership, 199–200
- Technical skills assessment, 173–174
- Teleconference, 152–153
  - international programme, 159
  - MAS courses, 158–159
  - residency programme, 159
- Teleproctoring, 154
- Telestration, 154
- Telesurgery, 156–157
- Telesurgical mentoring, 154–156
- Telesurgical trans-atlantic robotic operation, 157
- Teletraining
  - European School of Laparoscopic Surgery, 160–161
  - live broadcasting of meetings and congresses, 161
  - local and remote telementoring
    - national programme, 164
    - residency programme, 162–163
  - networks, 159–160
  - teleconference, 152–153
    - international programme, 159
    - MAS courses, 158–159
    - residency programme, 159
  - telementoring, 153–154
  - telesurgery, 156–157
  - telesurgical mentoring, 154–156
  - videoscopic surgery courses broadcasting, 161–162
- Terminal feedback, 190–191
- Test-retest reliability, 36
- Training briefings, 205–206
- Training curriculum
  - evaluation, 26–27
  - framework, 17–18
  - key elements and essential factors
    - deliberate practice, 18
    - effective task demonstration, 20
    - motivation, internal and external, 19
    - performance feedback, 19–20
    - practice distribution, 21
    - proficiency-based training, 21–22
    - task difficulty and practice variability, 22
- LSS program
  - accreditation, 28
  - comprehensive assessment, 29–31
  - core competencies, 27
  - EAES, 27
  - EWTD, 27
  - multimodality simulation training, 28
  - outline, 29
  - method of assessment
    - generic skills, 23–24
    - performance assessment, 23
    - procedure-specific skills assessment, 24
    - self-assessment, 25
  - models of curricula, 16–17
  - monitoring, 25–26
- Training the Colonoscopy Trainer (TCT), 61–62
- Training the trainer (TT)
  - cognitive apprenticeship, 183
  - conscious competence, 187–188
    - performance enhancing instruction, 188–189
    - strategy to avoid taking over, 189–190
  - trainer, 188
  - dialogue, 186–187
  - great trainer, 184
  - issues
    - dual task interference, 191–192

- Training the trainer (TT) (*cont.*)  
     formative assessment, 192  
     human factors and training, 193  
     summative assessment, 192  
   post-operative, 190–191  
   pre-operative preparation  
     alignment of agendas, 186  
     physical set, 185  
     structured pre-determined assessment, 183  
 Training vignettes, 72  
 Transfer of training, 171  
 Trocar wound closure, 57–58
- U**
- Ultrasonic dissection, 56  
 Urological surgery  
   competence assessment, 136–137  
   educational principles  
     automaticity, 132  
     expert performance, 131–132  
     zone of proximal development,  
     132–133
- Joint Committee on Surgical Training,  
   130–131  
 laparoscopic radical nephrectomy, 129  
 laparoscopy  
   mentorship, 134–135  
   minimal access surgery, 133–134  
   modular training, 135–136  
 multi-modal approach, 130  
 robotic surgery, 137  
 team training, 137–138
- V**
- Video clinics, 146–147  
 Videoscopic surgery courses broadcasting,  
   161–162  
 Virtual reality (VR), 37–38, 143–145  
 Visual-spatial training, 83
- W**
- Wet lab and live animal lab, 6  
 WHO Safer Surgery Checklist, 207