

Glossary and Terminology

Bayesian approach: Usually an optimal statistical procedure depends on the population parameters, which are often unknown. Assuming a prior distribution of the unknown parameters, it is sometimes possible to predict a value of the parameter and hence employ the near-optimal procedure. Prior experience, local knowledge, and expert opinion usually lead to a prior probability distribution of the parameter(s) of the random variable under observation. The method which uses the prior distribution of the parameter(s) to optimize the statistical decision is known as a Bayesian approach to the concerned problem.

Binary factor: If a factor that is likely to affect the sample values has two possible levels, then it is called a binary factor. That is, a binary factor affects the sample values through its presence or absence in the samples.

Binary split retesting: After a composite sample tests positive, indicating that at least one of the constituent individual sampling units possesses the trait, the composite sample is split into two composite subsamples, as equal in size as possible, and each composite subsample is subjected to measurement. Each composite subsample that indicates the presence of the trait is similarly subjected to binary split and subsequent measurement. The procedure continues until every individual sampling unit that formed the original composite sample is classified.

Classification error: The error of misclassifying an individual sample. That is, either classifying a sampling unit possessing the trait as not possessing it or classifying a sampling unit not possessing the trait as possessing it.

Classification problem: The problem of classifying every (individual) sampling unit into one of the two possible categories, usually identified by the “presence” and “absence” of the trait, even if the measurement is not necessarily of the presence/absence type.

Cleanup evaluation: A statistical investigation to determine if a cleanup activity has been effective in that whether or not a previously hazardous site is not hazardous any more, after the cleanup activity was undertaken.

Composite sample measurement: The measurement on the variable of interest obtained from a composite sample. Note that, as in the case with individual sampling units, a composite sample measurement need not be the same as the corresponding composite sample value unless the measurement is made without error. Also, if the composite sample is made homogeneous by mixing it thoroughly, then the composite sample measurement is expected to be a simple or weighted average of the constituent individual sample values, provided the measurement is made without error.

Composite sample size: The number of individual sampling units that are used to form a single composite sample.

Composite sample value: The value of the variable of interest for a composite sample. If the composite sample is thoroughly mixed, then the composite sample value is expected to be a simple or weighted average of the constituent individual sample values.

Composite sampling: A sampling procedure where several individual sampling units are selected and procured, but are not immediately subjected to measurement. Composite samples are formed by pooling and physically mixing a predetermined number of sampling units or subunits for making measurement.

Composite subsample: A composite sample formed from a subset of individual samples that constituted a composite sample.

Conjugate prior distribution: If the posterior distribution of parameters belongs to the same family of distributions as their prior distribution, then the prior distribution is called a conjugate prior distribution.

Continuous measurement: A measurement that gives the numerical value of the variable of interest is called a continuous measurement.

Covariogram: Consider the spatial process $\{Z(s), s \in D\}$, where $D \subset R^d$. Suppose

$$\text{cov}(Z(s_1), Z(s_2)) = C(s_1 - s_2)$$

depends only on the difference $s_1 - s_2$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in D$. The function $C(\cdot)$ is called a covariogram or a stationary covariance function.

Curtailed retesting: When a composite sample of size k has indicated the presence of the trait, some form of retesting is employed. If the first $k - 1$ of the constituent individual sampling units indicate the absence of the trait, then the k th individual sampling unit is classified as possessing the trait without actually making a measurement on this sampling unit.

Data quality objectives (DQO) Process: A statistical procedure to ensure that the data collection will be most effective in the sense of collecting maximal information at a minimal cost.

Entropy-based retesting: The procedure assumes a large collection of unclassified individual sampling units. The procedure begins by forming a composite sample of a predetermined size k . If the composite sample tests negative, then all its constituent individual samples are classified as not possessing the trait. However, if this composite sample tests positive, indicating that at least one constituent individual sample possesses the trait, then the composite sample is split into two composite subsamples as equal in size as possible, and subjected to measurement. If the first of the two composite subsamples indicates the absence of the trait, then the second is assumed to possess the trait and is, therefore, subjected to further binary split. On the other hand, if the first composite subsample indicates the presence of the trait, then the individual sampling units that form the other composite subsample are not classified and are returned to the pool of unclassified individual sampling units. Continuing in this way, each of the k individual sampling units used to form the composite sample is either classified as not possessing the trait or is returned to the pool of unclassified individual sampling units, except for exactly one individual sampling unit that is classified as possessing the trait. At this stage, another composite sample of size k is formed from the pool of unclassified individual sampling units. The procedure continues until all the individual sampling units are classified. Although this classification procedure is not hierarchical like the other classification procedures, it is optimal in that it maximizes the entropy.

Equal and unequal allocations in ranked set sampling: In the ranked set sampling protocol, the total sample size can be allocated to different ranks in several ways. If all the ranks are selected with equal frequency, we call it an equal allocation; otherwise, there is an unequal allocation.

Exhaustive retesting: This procedure begins by forming a composite sample of a predetermined size k . If the composite sample tests negative, then all the k constituent individual sampling units are classified as not possessing the trait. On the other hand, if the composite sample tests positive, indicating that at least one of the constituent individual sampling units possesses the trait, then every individual sampling unit is separately subjected to measurement and is classified accordingly.

Identification of sample maximum: The procedure that identifies the individual sampling unit having the largest measurement. This procedure identifies the sample maximum with certainty, but the total number of measurements required to do so is not fixed.

Individual sample measurement: The measurement on the variable of interest obtained from an individual sampling unit. Note that an individual sample measurement is different from the corresponding individual sample value unless the measurement is made without error. Also note that, while every individual sample has a value for the variable of interest, every individual sample may not provide a measurement, since some individual sampling units are not necessarily subjected to measurement.

Individual sample value: The value of the variable of interest for an individual sampling unit. Note that every individual sampling unit has a fixed value for the variable of interest, even though only a few selected individual sampling units are subjected to measurement.

Kriging: Kriging is a minimum-mean-squared-error method of spatial prediction that (usually) depends on the second-order properties of the spatial process under study. Matheron (1963) named this method after D. G. Krige, a South African mining engineer who developed empirical methods for determining true ore-grade distributions from distributions based on sampled ore grades (Krige, 1951).

Linear model for composited data: A linear model is used to express the relationship between individual sample values and composite sample values.

Nugget effect: Suppose $\gamma(h)$ is the semivariogram for a spatial process $\{Z(s), s \in D\}$. That is, $\gamma(h) = E[Z(s+h) - Z(s)]^2$. It is then easy to note that $\gamma(0) = 0$. If $\gamma(h) \rightarrow c_0 \neq 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, then c_0 is called the nugget effect.

Optimal composite design: A design for forming composite samples from individual sampling units in order to maximize the efficiency of the inference drawn from the composite sample data.

Posterior distribution: The conditional probability distribution of population parameters, given the observed value(s) of the random variable(s), is called the posterior distribution of population parameters. The posterior distribution is derived from the prior distribution of the parameters and the observed value(s) of the random variable(s).

Prediction of sample maximum: The procedure that predicts the largest individual sample value. This procedure has a fixed number of measurements, but may fail to identify the largest individual sample value with a positive probability. That is, there is a positive, though usually small, probability that the predicted sample maximum is not the actual sample maximum in that there is some individual sampling unit having a measurement larger than the predicted sample maximum.

Presence/absence measurement: A measurement that indicates the presence or absence of the trait under study.

Prevalence: The proportion of (individual) sampling units that possess the trait under study. Note that the prevalence is the true proportion in the population and will differ from the observed proportion in any particular case.

Prior distribution: The belief, usually based on some prior information, local knowledge, and expert opinion, about the possible variation in the values of population parameters is sometimes expressed in terms of a probability distribution of these parameters. Such a postulated probability distribution of population parameters is called their prior distribution.

Random weights: The composite sample value is a simple or weighted average of the constituent individual sample values. If the proportions of individual

sampling units or subunits that are used to form a composite sample are not fixed, then these proportions are treated as random variables. In this case, the composite sample value is weighted average of the constituent individual sample values with random weights.

Ranked set sampling: A method of sampling, where large samples are initially selected for judgmentally ranking their members without involving costly laboratory procedures and are followed by subsequent quantification of a few individual sampling units with selected ranks.

Retesting: After obtaining measurement on a composite sample, some or all of the constituent individual sampling units may be subjected to measurement, either individually or in the form of composite subsamples. This stage of measuring some or all of the individual sampling units that have already been subjected to measurement as part of a composite sample is called retesting.

Semivariogram: See **Variogram**.

Sequential retesting: This procedure begins by forming a composite sample of a predetermined size k . If the composite sample tests negative, then every constituent individual sampling unit is classified as not possessing the trait. On the other hand, if the composite sample tests positive, indicating that at least one of the constituent individual sampling units possesses the trait, then the individual sampling units are sequentially subjected to measurement until an individual sampling unit tests positive. At this stage, all the unclassified individual sampling units are pooled into a single composite subsample, which is then measured for the trait. If the trait is present in the composite subsample, then the same procedure is repeated, until all the individual sampling units that formed the original composite sample are classified.

Sill: Let $C(\cdot)$ be the covariogram of the spatial process $\{Z(s), s \in D\}$ (see **Covariogram** and **Variogram**). It is easy to establish that the semivariogram function satisfies $\gamma(h) = C(0) - C(h)$. If $C(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\gamma(h) \rightarrow C(0)$. The limit $C(0)$ is then called the sill of the semivariogram.

Site characterization: Characterization of a (waste) site as hazardous or not hazardous.

Spatial autocorrelation: Any dependence, as measured by a correlation coefficient, among sampling units that form a sequence of points on the sampling site in a specific direction is called the spatial autocorrelation for the corresponding spatial process. Note that the spatial autocorrelation usually depends on both the direction and the spatial lag.

Spatial contiguity: When the locations of certain sampling units form a contiguous set on the sampling site, we call these sampling units spatially contiguous. Spatial contiguity usually ensures that the values of the concerned sampling units are close to each other.

Spatial structures: Any structure in the values of the variable of interest on the sampling site as depending on the locations of sampling units.

Subsampling a composite sample: It is sometimes desired to investigate the homogeneity of a composite sample. In such a case, a subsample is extracted from the composite sample for making measurement. This procedure is called subsampling of the composite sample.

Sweep-out method: A method used to identify the individual sampling unit having the largest measurement. In this procedure, any individual sampling unit that is not likely to have the largest measurement is eliminated from the potential search so as to avoid unnecessary measurements.

Variogram: Consider the spatial process $\{Z(s), s \in D\}$, where $D \subset R^d$. Suppose

$$\text{Var}(Z(s_1) - Z(s_2)) = 2\gamma(s_1 - s_2)$$

depends only on the difference $s_1 - s_2$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in D$. The function $2\gamma(\cdot)$, which is a function only of the difference $s_1 - s_2$, is called a variogram and $\gamma(\cdot)$ is called a semivariogram of the spatial process $\{Z(s), s \in D\}$.

Bibliography

- Ahmed A. S., Webster L., Pollard, Pat., Davies, I. M., and Moffat, C. F. (2006). Description & evaluation of a sampling system for monitoring Hydrocarbons in sediments Fisheries Research Services Internal report No. 09/06, Fisheries Research Services Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen.
- Ahn, C. Y., Joung S. H., Park, C. S., Kim, H. S., Yoon, B. D., and Oh, H. M. (2008). Comparison of sampling and analytical methods for monitoring of cyanobacteria-dominated surface waters. *Hydrobiologia* 596:413–421.
- Aigner, M. and Schughart, M. (1985). Determining defectives in a linear order. *J. Stat. Plan. Infer.* 12:359–368.
- Alam, M. J., Renter, D., Taylor, E., Mina, D., Moxley, R., and Smith, D. (2009). Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of salmonella enterica serotypes recovered from pens of commercial feedlot cattle using different types of composite samples. *Curr. Microbiol.* 58:354–359.
- Anderson T. H. and Taylor, G. (2001). Nutrient pulses, plankton blooms, and seasonal hypoxia in Western long island sound. *Estuaries* 24(2):228–243.
- Anscombe, F. J. (1956). On estimating binomial response relations. *Biometrika* 43:461–464.
- Armstrong, M. (1984). Problems with universal kriging. *Math. Geol.* 16:101–108.
- Arnold, S. F. (1977). Generalized group testing procedures. *Ann. Stat.* 5:1170–1182.
- Back, P. E. (2007). A model for estimating the value of sampling programs and the optimal number of samples for contaminated soil. *Environ. Geol.* 52:573–585.
- Baker, A. S., Kuo, S., and Chae, Y. M. (1981). Comparisons of arithmetic average soil pH values with the pH values of composite samples. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 45:828–830.
- Baldock, F. C., Lyndal-Murphy, M., and Pearse, B. (1990). An assessment of a composite sampling method for counting strongyle eggs in sheep feces. *Aust. Vet. J.* 67:165–167.
- Barry D. P. K., Dillon, P. P. P., and Chassagne A. (2008). Preliminary risk assessment for the Salisbury storm water ASTR project. CSIRO: water for a healthy country National Research Flagship.
- Bartlett, M. S. (1935). Mathematical appendix to a paper, by G. E. Blackman, entitled “A study by statistical methods of the distribution of species in grassland associations.” *Ann. Bot.* 49:749–777.
- Beaufort County Public Works (2009). Year 2008–2009 report, Beaufort County storm water monitoring, Beaufort County, South Carolina.
- Becker, H. B. (1977). Composite sampling in aquatic environments. Plankton Committee, CM 1977/L:5, International Council for the Exploration of the Sea.
- Bell, R. M. and Ellickson, P. L. (1989). Does pooling saliva for cotinine testing save money without losing information? *J. Behav. Med.* 12:503–507.
- Bennett, R. A. (1971). A comparison of preservatives for composite samples of milk for protein testing. Technical note. *Aust. J. Dairy Technol.* 26:37.
- Berger, T. B., Mehravari, N., Towsley, D., and Wolf, J. (1984). Random multiple-access communication and group testing. *IEEE Commun.* 32:769–779.

- Berner, T. O. (1987). Sample design for the fiscal year 1988. Draft Final Report, Contract 68-02-4243, Office of Toxic Substances.
- Beyene, A., Legesse, W., Triest, L., and Kloos, H. (2009). Urban impact on ecological integrity of nearby rivers in developing countries: the Borkena River in highland Ethiopia. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 153:461–476.
- Bhattacharyya, G. K., Karandinos, M. G., and DeFoliart, G. R. (1979). Point estimates and confidence intervals for infection rates using pooled organisms in epidemiologic studies. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 109:124–131.
- Bicking, C. A. (1967). The sampling of bulk materials. *Mater. Res. Stand.* 7:95–116.
- Birch, G. F., Fazeli, M. S., and Matthai, C. (2005). Efficiency of an infiltration basin in removing contaminants from urban stormwater. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 101:23–38.
- Blackman, G. E. (1935). A study by statistical methods of the distribution of species in grassland associations. *Ann. Bot.* 49:749–777.
- Blomqvist, P. (2001). A proposed standard method for composite sampling of water chemistry and plankton in small lakes. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:121–134.
- Bohrnstedt, G. W. and Goldberger, A. S. (1969). On the exact covariance of products of random variables. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 64:1439–1443.
- Bolgiano, N. C., Boswell, M. T., Patil, G. P., and Taillie, C. (1989). Evaluation of the kriging model for abundance estimation of marine organisms. Technical Report Number 89–0601. Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802.
- Bolgiano, N. C., Boswell, M. T., Patil, G. P., and Taillie, C. (1989). Task 4: Report on evaluation of selected statistical methods with potential for addressing superfund site characterization problems. Final Interim Report to SRA Technologies, SRA Technologies/EPA Prime Subcontract to Penn State, Subcontract No. 40400–5–01, October 31, 1989.
- Bolgiano, N. C., Patil, G. P., and Taillie, C. (1990). Spatial statistics, composite sampling, and related issues in site characterization with two examples. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Superfund Hazardous Waste: Statistical Issues in Characterizing a Site: Protocols, Tools, and Research Needs*, H. Lacayo, R. J. Nadeau, G. P. Patil, and L. Zaragoza, eds. USEPA Statistical Policy Branch, Washington DC. pp. 79–114.
- Bolla, M. (1991). Relations between spectral and classification properties of multigraphs. DIMACS Technical Report 91-27, DIMACS Center, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ.
- Boomer, B. A., Erickson, M. D., Swanson, S. E., Kelso, G. L., Cox, D. C., and Schultz, B. D. (1985). Verification of PCB spill cleanup by sampling and analysis. EPA Contract No. 68-02-6938, TC 8501-A37.
- Boswell, M. T. (1978). Composite sampling and its application to the estimation of plankton density—A basic review of concepts and methods. In *Studies of Distribution Functions of Fish and Shellfish in the Marine Environment*. Final Report: Contract NMFS 03-7-043-35116.65–75.
- Boswell, M. T. and Patil, G. P. (1987). A perspective of composite sampling. *Commun. Stat. Theor. Methods* 16:3069–3093.
- Boswell, M. T. and Patil, G. P. (1990). Composite sampling using spatial autocorrelation for Palmer-ton hazardous waste site: A preliminary report. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Superfund Hazardous Waste: Statistical Issues in Characterizing a Site: Protocols, Tools, and Research Needs*, H. Lacayo, R. J. Nadeau, G. P. Patil, and L. Zaragoza, eds. USEPA Statistical Policy Branch, Washington DC. pp. 20–42.
- Boswell, M. T., Burnham, K. P., and Patil, G. P. (1988). Role and use of composite sampling and capture-recapture sampling in ecological studies. In *Handbook of Statistics*, Vol. 6, P. R. Krishnaiah and C. R. Rao, eds. Elsevier, New York, NY. pp. 469–488.
- Brown, G. H. and Fisher, N. I. (1972). Subsampling a mixture of sampled material. *Technometrics* 14:663–668.

- Brown, G. H. and Robson, D. S. (1975). The estimation of mixing proportions by double sample using bulk measurement. *Technometrics* 17:119–126.
- Brown, K. W., Flatman, G. T., Englund, E. J., Shoener, E., Starks, T. H., Rohde, S. C., Schnell, M. H., Fisher, N. J., Sparks, A. R., and Gruber, D. K. (1989). Documentation of EMSL-LV contribution to Palmerton, PA, Superfund remedial investigation U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Brown, K. W., Mullins, J. W., Richitt, E. P., Flatman, G. T., Black, S. C., and Simon, S. J. (1985). Assessing soil lead contamination in Dallas, Texas. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 5:137–154.
- Bruchet, A., Cognet, L., and Mallevalle, J. (1984). Continuous composite sampling and analysis of pesticides in water. *Water Res.* 18:1401–1409.
- Brumelle, S., Nemetz, P., and Casey, D. (1984). Estimating the means and variances: Comparative efficiencies of composite and grab samples. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 4:81–84.
- Burrows, P. M. (1987). Improved estimation of pathogen transmission rates by group testing. *Phytopathology* 77:363–365.
- Bush, K. A., Federer, W. T., Pesotan, H., and Raghavarao, D. (1984). New combinatorial designs and their applications to group testing. *J. Stat. Plan. Infer* 10:335–343.
- Callaghan, M. O., Gerard, E. M., Waipara, N. W., Young, S. D., Glare, T. R., Barrell, P. J., and Conner, A. J. (2004). Microbial communities of solanum tuberosum and magainin-producing transgenic lines. *Plant Soil* 266:47–56.
- Cameron, D. R., Nyborg, M., Toogood, J. A., and Laverty, D. H. (1971). Accuracy of field sampling for soil tests. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 51:165–175.
- Cameron, J. M. (1951). The use of components of variance in preparing schedules for sampling of baled wool. *Biometrics* 7:83–96.
- Carra, J. S. (1984). Lead levels in blood of children around smelter sites in Dallas. In *Environmental Sampling for Hazardous Wastes*, G. E. Schweitzer and J. A. Santolucito, eds. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. pp. 53–66.
- Carey, J. M. and Keough, M. J. (2002). Compositing and subsampling to reduce costs and improve power in benthic infaunal monitoring programs. *Estuaries* 25(5):1053–1061.
- Carson, J. Jr. (2001). Analysis of composite sampling data using the principle of maximum entropy. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:201–211.
- Carter, R. E. and Lowe, L. E. (1986). Lateral variability of forest floor properties under second-growth Douglas-fir stands and the usefulness of composite sampling techniques. *Can. J. For. Res.* 16:1128–1132.
- Casey, D. B. (1982). Measuring Sample Maximums: An Application to Water Quality Monitoring. M.S. Thesis, Faculty of Commerce and Business Administration, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
- Casey, D. B., Nemetz, P. N., and Uyeno, D. (1985). Efficient search procedures for extreme pollutant values. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 5:165–176.
- Cassie, R. M. (1963). Microdistribution of plankton. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev.* 1:223–252.
- Cassie, R. M. (1971). Sampling and statistics. In *A Manual on Methods for the Assessment of Secondary Productivity in Fresh Waters*, W. T. Edmondson and G. G. Winberg, eds. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Chang, G. J., Hwang, F. K., and Lin, S. (1982). Group testing with two defectives. *Disc. Appl. Math.* 4:97–102.
- Chen, C. C. and Hwang, F. K. (1989). Detecting and locating electrical shorts using group testing. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 36:1113–1116.
- Chiang, C. L. and Reeves, W. C. (1962). Statistical estimation of virus infection rates in mosquito vector populations. *Am. J. Hygiene* 75:377–391.
- Chung, C. F. and Garrett, R. G. (1984). Note on optimal composite sample size selection. In *Current Research, Part B*, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 84-1B, 351–354.
- Clark, D. L., Asplund, R., Ferguson, J., and Mar, B. W. (1981). Composite sampling of highway runoff. *J. Environ. Eng.* 107:1067–1081.

- Cline, S. M. and Severin, B. F. (1989). Volatile organic losses from a composite water sampler. *Water Res.* 23:407–412.
- Coffey, R. D., Parker, G. R., Laurent, K. M., and Overhults, D. G. (2000). Sampling animal manure. Co – operative extension service, college of Agriculture, University of Kentucky.
- Connolly, J. and O'Connor, F. (1981). Comparison of random and composite sampling methods for the estimation of fat content of bulk milk supplies. *Irish J. Agric. Res.* 20:35–51.
- Connolly, J. and O'Connor, F. (1982). Examination of some factors affecting the accuracy of bulk-milk chemical composition using composite and random sampling methods. *Irish J. Agric. Res.* 21:19–26.
- Correll, R. L. (2001). The use of composite sampling in contaminated sites – a case study. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:185–200.
- Courtin, P., Feller, M. C., and Klinka, K. (1983). Lateral variability in some properties of disturbed forest soils in southwestern British Columbia. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 63:529–539.
- Cressie, N. (1988). Spatial prediction and ordinary kriging. *Math. Geol.* 20:405–421.
- Cressie, N. (1989). Geostatistics. *American Statistician* 43:197–202.
- Cressie, N. (1991). *Statistics for spatial data*. Wiley, New York, NY.
- Curiale, M. S. (2000). Validation of the use of composite sampling for *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat meat & Poultry products. American means Institute Foundation.
- Daniel, T. C., Wendt, R. C., McGuire, P. E., and Stoffel, D. (1979). A comparison of composite sampling techniques for monitoring runoff. *Trans. ASAE* 22:1310–1312.
- Davis, C. E., Grizzle, J. E., and Bryan, J. A. (1973). Estimation of the probability of post transfusion hepatitis in hemophilia treatment. *Biometrics* 29:386–392.
- Degasperi, C. (2004). Major lakes phytoplankton study: comparison of composite sampling techniques. Department of Natural Resources & Parks, Water & Land Resources Division, King County.
- Dell, T. R. (1969). The theory and some applications of ranked set sampling. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Statistics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA.
- Dell, T. R. and Clutter, J. L. (1972). Ranked set sampling theory with order statistics background. *Biometrics* 28:545–553.
- Dierckx, P. (1981). An algorithm for surface-fitting with spline functions. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 1:267–283.
- Dores, E. F. G. C., Spadotto, C. A., Weber, O. L. S., Carbo, L., Vecchiato, A. B., and Pinto, A. A. (2009). Environmental behaviour of metolachlor and diuron in a tropical soil in the central region of Brazil. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 197:175–183.
- Dorfman, R. (1943). The detection of defective members of large populations. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 14:436–440.
- Doug, C., Fowler, B., Wright, R. H., Mao, L., and Fields, J. (2008). Composite sampling & Analysis of low concentration organic compounds & Trace Elements in surface waters at the Tracy fish collection facility, Tracy California. Vol. 41. US Department of interior, Bureau of reclamation, mid-pacific region, technical service center.
- Douglas, R. W., Menary, W., and Jordan, P. (2007). Phosphorus and sediment transfers in a grassland river catchment. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 77:199–212.
- Dow, R. P., Coleman, P. H., Meadows, K. E., and Work, T. H. (1964). Isolation of St. Louis encephalitis viruses from mosquitoes in the Tampa Bay area of Florida during the epidemic of 1962. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 13:462–468.
- Drechsler, H. D. and Nemetz, P. N. (1978). The impact of composite sampling and other data aggregation procedures on pollution detection in the pulp and paper industry. *Can. J. For. Res.* 8:328–340.
- Du, D. Z. and Ko, K. I. (1987). Some completeness results on decision trees and group testing. *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.* 7:159–166.
- Duncan, A. J. (1962). Bulk sampling: Problems and lines of attack. *Technometrics* 4:319–344.
- Edland, S. D. and van Belle, G. (1994). Decreased sampling costs and improved accuracy with composite sampling In *Environmental Statistics, Assessment, and Forecasting*, C. R. Cothorn and N. P. Ross, eds. Lewis, Boca Raton, FL.

- Einax, J. W. and Kraft, J. (2002). Small-scale Variability of metals in soil and composite sampling. *ESPR-Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 9(4):257–261.
- El-Baz, A. and Nayak, T. (2004). Efficiency of composite sampling for estimating a lognormal distribution. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 11:283–294.
- Elder, R. S. (1977). Properties of composite sampling procedures. Ph.D. Dissertation. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
- Elder, R. S., Thompson, W. O., and Myers, R. H. (1980). Properties of composite sampling procedures. *Technometrics* 22:179–186.
- Englund, E. J. and Flatman, G. T. (1986). Annual report of research in environmental statistics, geostatistics, and chemometrics. MS.
- Environment Agency (1999). Monitoring of Radio active releases to water from Nuclear facilities: Technical guidance note Miz. National compliance assessment service, Lancaster.
- EPA QAMS (1991). Data quality objective process. In *Orientation to Quality Assurance Management*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Assurance Management Staff (RD-680), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Ersoy, A., Yunsel, T. Y. and Cetin, M. (2004). Characterization of land contaminated by past heavy metal mining using geostatistical methods. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 46:162–175.
- Fabius, J. (1973). Two characterizations of the dirichlet distribution. *Ann. Stat.* 1:583–587.
- Federer, W. T. (1984). Cutting edges in biometry. *Biometrics* 40:827–839.
- Federer, W. T. (1987). On screening samples in the laboratory and factors in factorial investigations. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 16:3033–3049.
- Feller, W. (1957). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*. 2nd Edition. Wiley, New York, NY.
- Feller, W. (1968). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*. 3rd Edition. Wiley, New York, NY.
- Fine, P. E. M. (1975). Quantitative studies on the transmission of *Parahistomonas wenrichi* by ova of *Heterakis gallinarum*. *Parasitology* 70:407–415.
- Fine, P. E. M. and Sylvester, E. S. (1978). Calculation of vertical transmission rates of infection, illustrated with data on an aphid-borne virus. *Am. Nat.* 112:781–786.
- Finucan, A. M. (1964). The blood testing problem. *Appl. Stat.* 13:43–50.
- Fish, D. J. (2006). Practical consideration of gas sampling & gas sampling systems & standards Canadian gas association.
- Fisher, R. A. (1921). On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics. *Phil. Trans. (A)* 222:309–368.
- Fisher, R. A. and Yates, F. (1963). *Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medical Research*. Hafner, New York, NY.
- Flatman, G. T. (1984). Using geostatistics in assessing lead contamination near smelters. In *Environmental Sampling for Hazardous Wastes*, G. E. Schweitzer and J. A. Santolucito, eds. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Flatman, G. T., Englund, E. J., and Yfantis, A. A. (1988). Geostatistical approaches to the design of sampling regimes. In *Principles of Environmental Sampling*, L. H. Keith, ed. American Chemical Society. Washington, DC. pp. 73–83.
- Fonseca, J. P. C. Da (1991). Ecological diversity and ecological systems complexity: local or global approach? *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol.* 28:51–66.
- Garcia, R. (1965). Collection of *Dermacentor Andersoni* (stiles) with carbon dioxide and its application in studies of Colorado tick fever virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 14:1090–1093.
- Garey, M. R. and Hwang, F. K. (1974). Isolating a single defective using group testing. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 69:151–153.
- Garg, N. K. and Mohan, S. (1987). Group testing protocol with capture for random access communication. *IEEE Commun.* 35:849–854.
- Garner, F. C., Stapanian, M. A., and Williams, L. R. (1988). Composite sampling for environmental monitoring. In *Principles of Environmental Sampling*, L. H. Keith, ed. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. pp. 363–374.

- Garner, F. C., Stapanian, M. A., Yfantis, E. A., and Williams, L. R. (1990). Probability estimation with sample compositing techniques. MS.
- Garrett, R. G. and Sinding-Larsen, R. (1984). Optimal composite sample size selection, applications in geochemistry and remote sensing. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 21:421–435.
- Garry Struthers Associates Inc. (1997). Sampling & Analysis Plan: Field sampling plan-part B. Wenatchee Tree Fruit Research Center (TFREC) test plot remediation, Wenatchee, Washington, DC.
- Gastwirth, J. L. and Hammick P. A. (1989). Estimation of the prevalence of a rare disease, preserving the anonymity of the subjects by group testing: Application to estimating the prevalence of AIDS antibodies in blood donors. *J. Stat. Plan Infer.* 22:15–27.
- Gensheimer, G. J., Tucker, W. A., and Denahan, S. A. (1986). Cost-effective soil sampling strategies to determine amount of soils requiring remediation. In *Proceedings of the National Conference on Hazardous Wastes and Hazardous Materials*, March 4–6, 1986, Atlanta, GA. pp. 76–79.
- Gibbs, A. J. and Gower, J. C. (1960). The use of a multiple-transfer method in plant virus transmission studies—some statistical points arising in the analysis of results. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 48:75–83.
- Gilbert, R. O. (1987). *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.
- Gill, A. and Gottlieb, D. (1974). The identification of a set by successive intersections. *Info. Control* 24:20–35.
- Gilstein, C. Z. (1985). Optimal partitions of finite populations for Dorfman-type testing. *J. Stat. Plan. Infer.* 12:385–394.
- Göransson, E., Johnson, R. K., and Wilander, A. (2004). Representativity of a mid-lake surface water chemistry sample. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 95:221–238.
- Gore, S. D. and Patil, G. P. (1993). Identifying extremely large values using composite sample data. *J. Environ. Stat. (to appear)*. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 1, 227–245
- Gore, S. D., Patil, G. P., and Taillie, C. (1992). Studies on the applications of composite sample techniques in hazardous waste site characterization and evaluation: II. Onsite surface soil sampling for PCB at the Armagh Site. 92–0305.
- Gore, S. D., Patil, G. P., and Taillie, C. (2001). Identifying the largest individual sample value from a two-way composite sampling design. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:151–162.
- Gore, S. D., Patil, G. P., Sinha, A. K., and Taillie, C. (1993). *Certain Multivariate Considerations in Ranked Set Sampling and Composite Sampling Designs*. In *Multivariate Environmental Statistics*, G. P. Patil and C. R. Rao, eds. Elsevier, New York, NY. pp. 121–148.
- Graff, L. E. and Roeloffe, R. (1972). Group testing in the presence of test error: An extension of the Dorfman procedure. *Technometrics* 14:113–122.
- Graff, L. E. and Roeloffe, R. (1974). A group-testing procedure in the presence of test error. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 69:159–163.
- Graham, A. (1981). *Kronecker Products and Matrix Calculus: with Applications*. Ellis Horwood, Chichester.
- Griffiths, D. A. (1972). A further note on the probability of disease transmission. *Biometrics* 28:1133–1139.
- Hach Company (2004). Sigma 900 standard portable sampler: instrument manual. Catalog number 8991.
- Hagstrom, L. and Stapleton, M. (2005). Pitfalls of composite sampling for contaminated land investigations. Annual conference of waste MINZ, New Zealand.
- Haldane, J. B. S. (1955). The estimation and significance of the logarithm of a ratio of frequencies. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 20:309–311.
- Halls, L. K. and Dell, T. R. (1966). Trial of ranked set sampling for forage yields. *J. For. Sci.* 12:22–26.
- Hannon, P. and Roy, W. D. (2003). Resource report Minetech International Limited.

- Hao, F. H. (1988). The optimal procedures for quantitative group testing. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 26:79–86.
- Hardwick, J., Page, C., and Stout, Q. F. (1998). Sequentially deciding between two experiments for estimating a common success probability. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 93:1502–1511.
- Harmel, R. D., King, K. W., and Slade, R. M. (2003). Automated storm water sampling on small watersheds. *Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.* 19(6):667–674.
- Harris, D. J. and Keffer, W. J. (1974). Waste water sampling methodologies and flow measurement techniques. Technical Report 907/9-74-005, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Hathaway II, J. E. (2005). Determining the optimum number of increments in composite sampling. A project submitted to the faculty of Brigham Young University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.
- Hathaway, J. E., Schaalje, G. B., Gilbert, R. O., Pulsipher, B. A., and Matzke, B. D. (2008). Determining the optimum number of increments in composite sampling. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 15:313–327.
- Hayes, J. H. (1978). An adaptive technique for local distribution. *IEEE Trans. Commun.* 26:1178–1186.
- Heart, R. G. Horner, R., Jones, J., Josselyn, M., Pitt, R., and Stenstrom, M. K. (2008). Sample collection methods for runoff characterization at Santa Susana Field Laboratory. SSFL CDO expert panel report.
- Heisig-Mitchell, J. Barker, D. L., and Le Blauc, N. E. (2004). Application of Automated systems for clean composite sampling, 4th National Monitoring conference, National water quality monitoring council, Chattanooga, TN, May 17–20, 2004.
- Heyman, U., Ekbohm, G., Blomqvist, P., and Grundstrom, R. (1982). The precision of abundance estimates of plankton from composite samples. *Water Res.* 16:1367–1370.
- Hoenig, J. M. (1984). On group testing for diseases. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 41:1269.
- Hoenig, J. M., Heisey, D. M., Lawing, W. D., and Schupp, D. H. (1987). An indirect rapid methods approach to assessment. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 44:324–338.
- Holloway, T. T., Lawrence, K. D., Joslin, J. E., and Crisp, N. H., (1991). Looking in the dark for black cats that aren't there, with a light bright enough to find them if they were. *EPA Stat.* 9:1–3.
- Houlahan, J. E. and Findlay, C. S. (2004). Estimating the 'critical' distance at which adjacent land-use degrades wetland water and sediment quality. *Landsc. Ecol.* 19:677–690.
- Hrbacek, J. (1971). Special sampling systems. In *A Manual on Methods for the Assessment of Secondary Productivity in Fresh Waters*. W. T. Edmondson, ed. Blackwell, Oxford. pp. 15–17.
- Hu, M. C., Hwang, F. K., and Wang, J. K. (1981). A boundary problem for group testing. *SIAM J. Alg. Dis. Meth.* 2:81–87.
- Hueck, H. J. (1976). Active surveillance and use of bioindicators. In *Principles and Methods for Determining Ecological Criteria on Hydrobiocenoses*. Pergamon Press, New York, NY. pp. 275–286.
- Huffman, D. A. (1952). A method for the construction of minimum redundancy codes. *Proc. Inst. Radio Eng.* 40:1098–1101.
- Hui, C. A., Takekawa, J. Y., and Warnock, S. E. (2001). Contaminant profiles of two species of shorebirds foraging together at two neighboring sites in South San Francisco bay, California. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 71:107–121.
- Huibregtse, K. R. and Moser, J. H. (1976). Handbook for sampling and sample preservation of water and wastewater. Technical Report Number 600/4-76-049, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Hull, M. S., Cherry, D. S., and Neves, R. J. (2006). Use of bivalve metrics to quantify influences of coal-related activities in the Clinch River watershed, Virginia. *Hydrobiologia* 556:341–355.
- Hwang, F. K. (1972). A method for detecting all defective members in a population by group testing. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 67:605–608.
- Hwang, F. K. (1975). A generalized binomial group testing problem. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 70:923–926.

- Hwang, F. K. (1976a). Group testing with a dilution effect. *Biometrika* 63:671–673.
- Hwang, F. K. (1976b). An optimum nested procedure in binomial group testing. *Biometrics* 32:939–943.
- Hwang, F. K. (1978). A note on hypergeometric group testing procedures. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 34:371–375.
- Hwang, F. K. (1980). An explicit expression for the cost of a class of Huffman trees. *Disc. Math.* 32:163–165.
- Hwang, F. K. (1984). Robust group testing. *J. Qual. Tech.* 16:189–195.
- Hwang, F. K. and Xu, Y. H. (1987). Group testing to identify one defective and one mediocre item. *J. Stat. Plan. Infer.* 17:367–373.
- Hwang, F. K., Lin, S., and Mallows, C. L. (1979). Some realizability theorems in group testing. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 37:396–400.
- Hwang, F. K., Pfeifer, C. G., and Enis, P. (1981). An optimal hierarchical procedure for a modified binomial group-testing problem. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 76:947–949.
- Hwang, F. K., Song, T. T., and Du, D. Z. (1981). Hypergeometric and generalized hypergeometric group testing procedures. *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.* 2:426–428.
- Isaaks, E. H. (1984). Risk Qualified Mappings for Hazardous Waste Sites: A Case Study in Distribution Free Geostatistics. M.S. Thesis, Department of Applied Earth Sciences, Stanford University.
- Isaaks, E. H. and Srivastava, R. M. (1988). Spatial continuity measures for probabilistic and deterministic geostatistics. *Math. Geol.* 20:313–341.
- Izenman, A.-J. (2001). Statistical & legal aspects of the forensic study of Illicit drugs. *Stat. Sci.* 16(1):35–57.
- Izenman, A. J. (2003). Sentencing Illicit drug traffickers: how do the courts handle random sampling issues? fifth International conference on Forensic Statistics, Venice International University, Venice, Italy, August 30th to September 2, 2002.
- Jackson, T. J., Wade, T. L., Sericano, J. L., Brooks, J. M., Wong, J. M., Garcia-Romero, B., and McDonald, T. J. (1998). Galveston Bay: Temporal changes in the concentrations of trace organic contaminants in national status and trends oysters (1986–1994). *Estuaries* 21(48):718–730.
- Janardan, K. G. and Schaeffer, D. J. (1979). Sampling frequency and comparison of grab and composite sampling programs for effluents. Draft MS.
- Janardan, K. G., Schaeffer, D. J., and Kerster, H. W. (1980). Modeling composite sampling with application to trace organics monitoring. *Model. Simul.* 11:985–989.
- Japan International Cooperation Agency & forest Research & Development Agency (2005). Manual on soil sampling & analysis for AIR CDM projects.
- Jenkins, T. F., Grant, C. L., Brar, G. S., Thorne, P. G., Ranney, T. A., and Schumacher, P. W. (1996). Assessment of sampling error associated with collection & analysis of soil samples at explosives contaminated soils special report 96-15. cold regions research & Engineering Laboratory, US Army Corps. of Engineers.
- Jenkins, T. F., Grant, C. L., Brar, G. S., Thorne, P. G., Schumacher, P. W., and Ranney, T. A. (1997). Sampling error associated with collection & analysis of soil samples at TNT-contaminated sites. *Field Anal. Chem. Technol.* 1(3):151–163.
- Johnson, G. D. and Patil, G. P. (2001). Cost analysis of composite sampling for classification. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:91–107.
- Johnson, N. L. and Kotz, S. (1987). Effects of errors in inspection on a binary method for isolating nonconforming items. Working Paper Series MS/S 87-005, Management Science and Statistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Johnson, N. L. and Kotz, S. (1988). Estimation from binomial data with classifiers of known and unknown imperfections. *Naval Res. Log.* 35:147–156.

- Johnson, N. L. and Kotz, S. (1990). Randomly weighted averages: Some aspects and extensions. *Am. Stat.* 44:245–249.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1985). Statistical effects of imperfect inspection sampling. I. Some basic distributions. *J. Qual. Technol.* 17:1–31.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1986). Statistical effects of imperfect inspection sampling. II. Double sampling and link sampling. *J. Qual. Technol.* 18:116–138.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1987). Statistical effects of imperfect inspection sampling. III. Screening (group testing). Working Paper Series MS/S 87-025, Management Science and Statistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1988). Statistical effects of imperfect inspection sampling. III. Screening (group testing). *J. Qual. Technol.* 20:98–124.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1989a). Dorfman-Sterrett screening (group testing) schemes and the effects of faulty inspection. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 18:1469–1484.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Rodriguez, R. N. (1989b). Statistical effects of imperfect inspection sampling. IV. Modified Dorfman screening procedures. Working Paper Series MS/S 87-009, Management Science and Statistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Wang, Q. (1989). Randomized-sequential group testing procedures. Working Paper Series MS/S 89-025, Management Science and Statistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., and Wu, X. (1991). *Inspection Errors for Attributes in Quality Control*. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY.
- Journel, A. G. and Huijbregts, C. J. (1978). *Mining Geostatistics*. Academic, New York, NY.
- Kerr, J. D. (1971). The probability of disease transmission. *Biometrics* 27:219–222.
- King, K. W. and Harmel, R. D. (2004). Comparison of time based sampling strategies to determine Nitrogen loading in plot-scale run off. *Trans. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.* 47(5):1457–1463.
- King, K. W., Harmel, R. D., and Fausey, N. R. (2005). Development & sensitivity of a method to select time- & flow paced storm event sampling intervals for headwater streams. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* 60(6):323–331.
- Klamath Blue Green Algae working group (2009). Standard operating procedures: Environmental sampling of cyanobacteria for cell enumeration, identification & Toxin analysis developed for the 2009 AIP Interim measure 12, water quality monitoring activities, Klamath River.
- Kleijnen, J. P. C. (1987). Review of random and group screening designs. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 16:2855–2900.
- Knicker, H., (2007). How does fire affect the nature and stability of soil organic nitrogen and carbon? A review. *Biogeochemistry* 85:91–118.
- Kotz, S. and Johnson, N. L. (1982). Errors in inspection and grading: Distributional aspects of screening and hierarchical screening. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 11:1997–2016.
- Kotz, S. and Johnson, N. L. (1988). Effects of inspections errors on Dorfman screening procedures with random group size: a note on Kemp and Kemp's Simple Inspection Scheme for Two Types of Defect. Working Paper Series MS/S 88-004, Management Science and Statistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Kotz, S., Shisong, M. and Johnson, N. L. (1986). Effects of inspection errors on curtailed Dorfman-type procedures. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 15:831–838.
- Kratochvil, B. and Taylor, J. K. (1981). Sampling for chemical analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 53:924–938.
- Kulkarni, V. M. (1999). A novel method to evaluate efficacy of mill sanitation biocides: Reducing sugar and titrable acidity analysis of final molasses. *Sugar Tech* 1(3):54–62.
- Kumar, S. (1965). A group testing problem. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 36:727–728.
- Kumar, S. (1970). Multinomial group-testing. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 19:340–350.
- Kumar, S. (1971). Trinomial in the three categories. *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* 24:171–181.
- Kumar, S. and Sobel, M. (1971). Finding a single defective in binomial group testing. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 66:824–828.

- Kurfürst, U., Desaulles, A., Rehnert, A., Muntau, H. (2004). Estimation of measurement uncertainty by the budget approach for heavy metal content in soils under different land use. *Accred Qual Assur* 9:64–75.
- Kurtz, D. and Sidi, M. (1988). Multiple-access algorithms via group testing for heterogeneous population of users. *IEEE Trans. Commun.* 36:1316–1323.
- Kussmaul, K. and Anderson, R. L. (1967). Estimation of variance components in two-stage nested designs with composite samples. *Technometrics* 9:373–389.
- Lacayo, H., Nadeau, R. J., Patil, G. P., and Zaragoza, L., eds. (1990). *Proceedings of the Workshop on Superfund Hazardous Waste: Statistical Issues in Characterizing a Site: Protocols, Tools, and Research Needs*, February 21–22, Arlington, VA.
- Lane, C. R. (2007). Assessment of isolated wetland condition in Florida using epiphytic diatoms at genus, species, and subspecies taxonomic resolution. *EcoHealth* 4:219–230.
- Lane, C. R. and Brown, M. T. (2006). Energy-Based land use predictors of proximal factors and benthic diatom composition in Florida freshwater marshes. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 117:433–450.
- Lateef, A., Oloke, J. K., and Gueguimkana, B. (2005). The prevalence of bacterial resistance in clinical, food, water and some environmental samples in Southwest Nigeria. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 100:59–69.
- Lau, T. (1991). On dependent repeated screening tests. *Biometrics* 47:77–86.
- Lawing, W. D. and Hoeing, J. M. (1984). On group testing for diseases. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 41:1269–1270.
- Le, C. T. (1981). A new estimator for infection rates using pools of variable size. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 114:132–135.
- Lebreton, J. D., Burnham, K. P., Clobert, J., and Anderson, D. R. (1992). Modeling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: A unified approach with case studies. *Ecol. Monogr.* 62:67–118.
- Leckie, S. E., Prescott, C. E., Grays ton S. J., Neufeld, J. D., and Mohn W. W. (2004). Characterization of humus Microbial communities in adjacent forest types that differ in Nitrogen availability. *Microb. Ecol.* 48:29–40.
- Leczynski, B. A., Mack, G. A., and Berner, T. O. (1987). Population estimates from fiscal year 1982 specimens. National human adipose tissue survey broad scan analysis. Final Report, NHATS-SS-09, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Leczynski, B. A., Mack, G. A., Berner, T. O., Hersey, J. C., and Unger, A. (1988). Statistical analysis of the FY82 NHATS broad scan analysis data. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-04, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Lee, J. K. and Sobel, M. (1972). Dorfman and R1-type procedures for a generalized group-testing problem. *Math. Biosci.* 15:317–340.
- Lehmann, E. L. (1990). Model specification: The views of Fisher and Neyman, and later developments. *Stat. Sci.* 5:160–168.
- Leitão, S., Pinto, P., Pereira, T., and Brito, M. F. (2007). Spatial and temporal variability of macroinvertebrate communities in two farmed Mediterranean rice fields. *Aquat. Ecol.* 41:373–386.
- Lejon, D. P. H., Chaussod, R., Ranger, J., and Ranjard, L. (2005). Microbial community structure and density under different tree species in an acid forest soil (Morvan, France). *Microb. Ecol.* 50:614–625.
- Lele, S. and Boswell, M. T. (1986). A general technique for generating pseudo multivariate random variables: A Markov process approach. TR 86–1203, Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics, Penn State University.
- Li, C. H. (1962). A sequential method for screening experimental variables. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 57:455–477.
- Life Systems, Inc. (1988). Composite sampling for acid rain and human and environmental health measurement—expert panel meeting. Report to Office of Acid Deposition, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC (TR–898–57A).

- Liggett, W. S., Inn, K. G. W., and Hutchinson, J. M. R. (1984). Statistical assessment of subsampling procedures. *Environ. Int.* 10:143–151.
- Lin, F. O. (1974). Application of group-testing methods to medically oriented problems: optimal properties of a recursive group-testing procedure for the problem of at most D defective. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota.
- Lindström, A. (2008). Distribution of *Paenibacillus* larvae spores among adult honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and the relationship with clinical symptoms of American foulbrood. *Microb. Ecol.* 56:253–259.
- Lintner, T. J., Maki, C. L., Brame, K. A., and Boswell, M. T. (1992). Sampling dust from human dwellings to estimate the prevalence of indoor allergens. 92–0805.
- Lisitz, M. A., DeFoliart, G. R., Yuill, T. M., and Karandinos, M. G. (1977). Prevalence rates of Lacrosse virus (California encephalitis group) in larvae from overwintered eggs of *Aedes triseriatus*. *Mosquito News* 37:745–750.
- Lock, W. H. (1998). Composite sampling national environmental health forum monographs, soil series No.-3.
- Løes, A. K. and øgaard, A. F. (2001). Long-term changes in extractable soil phosphorus (P) in organic dairy farming systems. *Plant Soil* 237:321–332.
- Loyer, M. W. (1983). Bad probability, good statistics, and group testing for binomial estimation. *Am. Stat.* 37:57–59.
- Mack, G. A. and Panebianco, D. L. (1986). Statistical analysis of the FY82 NHATS broad scan analysis data. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-04, Office of Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Mack, G. A. and Robinson, P. E. (1985). Use of composited samples to increase the precision and probability of detection of toxic chemicals. In *Environmental Applications of Chemometrics*, J. J. Breen, and P. E. Robinson, eds. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. pp. 174–183.
- Mack, G. A. and Stanley, J. (1984). Program strategy for the national human adipose tissue survey. Final Report, NHATS-ST-01, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Mack, G. A., Leczynski, B., Chu, A., and Mohadjer, L. (1984). Survey design for the national human adipose tissue survey. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SD-01, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Mahoney, D. F. and Mirre, G. B. (1971). Bovine babesiosis: estimation of infection rates in the tick vector *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini). *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.* 65:309–317.
- Marsalek, J. (1975). Sampling techniques in urban runoff quality studies, in water quality parameters. In STP 573, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA. pp. 526–542.
- Mashal, K., Al-Qinna Yahya, and Ali, M. (2009). Spatial distribution & environmental implications of Lead & Zinc in urban soils & street dusts samples in Al-Hashimeyeh Municipality (2009). *Jordan J. Mech. Ind. Eng.* 3(2):141–150
- Mason, B. J. (1982). Preparation of soil sampling protocol: techniques and strategies. ETHURA, McLean, VA, under subcontract to Environmental Research Center, University of Nevada, for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Las Vegas, NV.
- Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (2007). Sampling procedures/protocols. Industrial pie treatment program.
- Matern, B. (1960). Spatial variation. *Medd for Statens Skogsforsknings Institut* 49:1–144.
- Matheron, G. (1963). Principles of geostatistics. *Econ. Geol.* 58:1246–1266.
- McGann, T. C. A. (1973). Analysis of aged composite milk samples for payment purposes. *Dairy Industries*, November, 507–511.
- McIntyre, G. A. (1952). A method for unbiased selective sampling, using ranked sets. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 3:385–390.
- Mclaine, P., Shields, W., Farfel, M. Jr., J. J. C., and Dixon S. (2006). A coordinated relocation strategy for enhancing case management of lead poisoned children: outcomes and costs. *J. Urban Health Bull. NY Acad. Med.* 83(1):111–128.

- Meals, D. W. (2004). Water quantity response to riparian restoration in two Vermont agricultural watersheds. Southeastern Regional conference on stream Restoration.
- Mehravari, N. (1986). Generalized binary binomial group testing. *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.* 7:159–166.
- Mekonnen, G., Wilcox, C. J., Bachman, K. C., Thatcher, W. W., and Martin, F. G. (1976). Genetic parameters for a.m.-p.m. composite monthly milk samples. *J. Dairy Sci.* 59:15–16.
- Melo, A. S. and Costa, S. S. (2008). Beta diversity in stream macroinvertebrate assemblages: among-site and among-microhabitat components. *Hydrobiologia* 598:131–138
- Messner, M. J., Clayton, C. A., Michael, D. I., Neptune, M. D., and Brantley, E. P. (1990). Retrospective design solutions for a remedial investigation. (Supplement to: Quantitative decision making in superfund: A data quality objectives case study. *Hazard. Mater. Control* 3(3).
- Mitchell, T. J. and Scott, D. S. (1987). A computer program for the design of group testing experiments. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 16:2943–2955.
- Monestiez, P., Audergon, J., and Habib, R. (1990). Spatial dependencies and sampling in a fruit tree: A geostatistical approach. Technical Report No. 163 Department of Statistics and Department of Applied Earth Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Monestiez, P. and Switzer P. (1991). Semiparametric estimation of nonstationary spatial covariance models by metric multidimensional scaling. Technical Report No. 165 Department of Statistics and Department of Applied Earth Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Montgomery, H. A. C. and Hart, I. C. (1974). The design of sampling programmes for rivers and effluents. *Water Pollut. Conton* (London) 73:77–101.
- Moon, C. S., Chang, Y. S., Kim, B. H., Shin, D., and Ikeda, M. (2005). Evaluation of serum dioxin congeners among residents near continuously burning municipal solid waste incinerators in Korea. *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health* 78:205–210.
- Moon, J. W. and Sobel, M. (1977). Enumerating a class of nested group testing procedures. *J. Comb. Theor Ser. B* 23:184–188.
- Mundel, A. B. (1984). Group testing. *J. Qual. Tech.* 16:181–188.
- Myrick, T. E., Berven, B. A., and Haywood, F. F. (1981). Determination of concentrations of selected radionuclides in surface soil in the U.S. *Health Phys.* 45:631–642.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (1989). A summary of data on chemical contaminants in sediments from the National Status and Trends Program. Progress Report, National Status and Trends Program for Marine Environmental Quality. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMA 49, National Ocean Service, Rockville, MD.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (1991). A summary of data on tissue contamination from the first three years (1986–1988) of the mussel watch project. Progress Report, National Status and Trends Program for Marine Environmental Quality. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMA 59, National Ocean Service, Rockville, MD.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (1991). National status and trends program Mollusk chemistry data. 2 Diskette's 3.5" , 6 Tab-delimited ASCII Text files: Mussel Watch 86–88+ 86–89, 2 NS and T Site Names-Loc., Benthic Surv. 84–86, BS Surv. Sta. Locations.
- Nebenzahl, E. (1975). Binomial group testing with two different success parameters. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* 10:61–72.
- Nebenzahl, E. and Sobel, M. (1973). Finite and infinite models for generalized group-testing with unequal probabilities of success for each item. In *Discriminant Analysis and Applications*, T. Cacoullos, ed. Academic, New York, NY, pp. 239–289.
- Nelson, M. A., Cash, L. W., Trost, K., and Purtle, J. (2005). Water sampling, analysis & annual load determinations for TSS, Nitrogen & Phosphorus at the L'Anguille river near Palestine. Publication No. MSC – 327, Arkansas water resources center.
- Neptune, D. (1990). Practical statistical applications to planning superfund site remediation. *EPA Stat.* 6:1–3.
- Neptune, D., Brantly, E. P., Messner, M. J., and Michael, D. I. (1990). Quantitative decision making in Superfund: A data quality objectives case study. *Hazard. Mater. Control* 3:19–27.

- Nisselson, H. (1987). Evaluation of the compositing scheme used in EED's NHMP. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-08, Office of Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Nordberg, L. (1989). Generalized linear modeling of sample survey data. *J. Official Stat.* 5:223–239.
- Olivares-Rieumont, S., Lima, L., De la Rosa, D., Graham, D. W., Columbie, I., Santana, J. L., and Sánchez, M. J. (2007). Water hyacinths (*Eichhornia crassipes*) as indicators of heavy metal impact of a large landfill on Almendares River near Havana, Cuba. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 79:583–587.
- Olson, K., Blair, C., Padmanabhan, R., and Beatty, B. (1988). Detection of dengue virus type II in *Aedes albopictus* by nucleic acid hybridization with strand-specific RNA probes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 26:579–581.
- Onate, B. T. (1953). Some statistical aspects of the use of composites in soil sampling. *Philippine Agric.* 43:241–257.
- Orban, J. E. and Lordo, B. (1989). Statistical methods for analyzing NHATS composite sample data: Evaluation of multiplicative and additive methodologies. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-11, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Orban, J., Leczynski, B. A., and Lordo, R. (1987). Estimation of prevalence using composited samples. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-10, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Orban, J. E., Lordo, R., and Schwemberger, J. (1990). Statistical methods for analyzing composite sample data applied to EPA's human monitoring program. MS.
- Paasivirta, J. and Pauku, R. (1989a). Herrings from Vironlahti, Gulf of Finland 1987. MS (in Russian).
- Paasivirta, J. and Pauku, R. (1989b). Use of composited samples to optimize the monitoring of environmental toxins. *Chemosphere* 19:1551–1562.
- Panbianco, D. L. (1986a). A review of hospital nonresponse and its effect on standard errors of sample estimates in NHATS. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SS-05, Office of Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Panbianco, D. L. (1986b). Sample design for the fiscal year 1987 NHATS. Draft Final Report, NHATS-SD-02, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Pasternack, B. S., Sobel, M., and Thomas, J. (1987). Group-testing, halving procedures, and binary search. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 16:2851–2871.
- Patel, M. S. (Ed.) (1987). *Experiments in Factor Screening*. Dekker, New York
- Patel, M. S. and Ottieno, J. A. M. (1987). Optimum two stage group-screening with unequal group sizes and with errors in decisions. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor. Methods* 16:2799–2820.
- Patil, G. P. (2000). Editorial: Marching together in the new millennium. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 7: 5–19.
- Patil, G. P., Boswell, M. T., Bolgiano, N. C., Taillie, C., Gore, S. D., and Lovison, G. (1990). Tutorial: Theory and application of composite sampling in environmental work. Notes for a short course presented at ASA Winter Conference on Statistics and the Environment, New Orleans, LA.
- Patil, G. P., Sinha, A. K., and Taillie, C. (1999). Ranked set sampling: a bibliography. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 6:91–98.
- Patil, G. P., Sinha, A. K., and Taillie, C. (1992). Ranked set sampling from a finite population in the presence of a trend on a site. 91–1204 To appear in *Journal of Applied Statistical Science*.
- Peech, M. (1965). Hydrogen-ion activity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis*, C. A. Black, D. D. Evans, L. E. Ensminger, J. L. White, F. E. Clark, and R. C. Dinauer, eds. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin. *Agronomy* 9:914–926.
- Pennington, J. C. et al. (2005). Distribution & Fate of Energetics on DOD Test & training ranges: Interim report 5. Cold Regions Research & Engineering Laboratory, US Army Corps. of Engineers. ERDC/TR- 05–2.
- Petersen, R. G. and Calvin, L. D. (1965). Sampling. *Agronomy* 9:54–72.

- Peterson, M. J., Smith, J. G., Southworth, G. R., Ryon, M. G., and Eddlemon, G. K. (2002). Trace element contamination in benthic macroinvertebrates from a small stream near a uranium mill tailings site. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 74:193–208.
- Petisco, C., García-Criado, B., Vázquez de Aldana, B. R., Zabalgogazcoa, I. Mediavilla, S., and García-Ciudad, A. (2005). Use of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy in predicting nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium contents in heterogeneous woody plant species. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 382:458–465.
- Pfeifer, C. G. and Enis, P. (1978). Dorfman-type group testing for a modified binomial model. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 73:588–592.
- Piegorsch, W. W. and Edwards, D. (2002). What shall we teach in environmental statistics? *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 9, 125–150.
- Pipes, W. O. and Minnigh, H. A. (1990). Detection of microorganisms at very low densities in drinking water by composite sampling. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference on Statistical Methods for the Environmental Sciences, Como, Italy.
- Poussart, J. N., Ardö, J. and Olsson, L. (2004). Verification of soil carbon sequestration: sample requirements. *Environ. Manage.* 33(Suppl. 1):S416–S425.
- Preciado, H. F. and Li, L. Y. (2006). Evaluation of metal loading and bioavailability in air, water and soil along two highways of British Columbia, Canada. *Water Air Pollut.* 172:81–108.
- Proctor, C. H. (1990a). Sampling terms of reference. In *Statistical Sampling: Past, Present and Future—Theoretical and Practical*, M. J. Kowalewski and J. B. Tye, eds. STP 1097, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA. pp. 55–60.
- Proctor, C. H. (1990b). Statistical considerations in bulk sampling. MS.
- Provost, L. P. (1984). Statistical methods in environmental sampling. In *Environmental Sampling for Hazardous Wastes*, G. E. Schweitzer and J. A. Santolucito, eds. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. pp. 79–96.
- Rabosky, J. G. and Koraido, D. L. (1973). Gaging and sampling industrial waste waters. *Chem. Eng.* 80:111–120.
- Rajagopal, R. and Williams, L. R. (1989). Economics of sample compositing as a screening tool in ground water quality monitoring. *Ground Water Monit. Rev.* 9:186–192.
- Ramsey, J. M., Bown, D. N., Aron, J. L., Beaudoin, R. L., and Mendez, J. F. (1986). Field trial in Chiapas, Mexico, of a rapid detection method for malaria in anopheline vectors with low infection rates. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 35:234–238.
- Reed, J. F. and Rigney, J. A. (1947). Soil sampling from fields of uniform and nonuniform appearance and soil types. *J. Am. Soc. Agron.* 39:26–40.
- Reiner, E. J., Clement, R. E., Okey, A. B., and Marvin, C. H. (2006). Advances in analytical techniques for polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, polychlorinated dibenzofurans and dioxin-like PCBs. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 386; 791–806.
- Rodil, R., Martinez, E., Carro, A. M., Lorenzo, R. A., and Cela, R. (2004). Applying supersaturated Experimental designs to the study of composite sampling for monitoring pesticide residues in water. *LCGC North Am.* 22(3):272–286.
- Rohde, C. A. (1976). Composite sampling. *Biometrics* 32:273–282.
- Rohde, C. A. (1979). Batch, bulk and composite sampling. In *Sampling Biological Populations*, R. M. Cormack, G. P. Patil, and D. S. Robson, eds. International Co-operative Publishing House, Fairland, MD. pp. 365–377.
- Rohlf, F. J., Akcakaya, H. R., and Ferraro S. P. (1991). Optimizing composite sampling protocols. Technical Report prepared for USEPA, Applied Biomathematics, 100 North Country Road, Setauket, NY 11733.
- Roskopf, R. F. (1968). A composite-grab of water pollution control sampling. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 40:492–498.
- Ross, N. P. and Stokes, L. (1999). EDITORIAL: Special issue on statistical design and analysis with ranked set samples. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 6:5–9.
- Rossmann, R. (1988). Estimation of trace metal storage in Lake St. Clair post-settlement sediments using composite samples. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 14:66–75.

- Ruark, G. A., Mader, D. L., and Tattar, T. A. (1982). A composite sampling technique to assess urban soils under roadside trees. *J. Arboricult.* 8:96–99.
- Ryan, J. J., Pilon, J., and Leduc, R. (1982). Composite sampling in the determination of pyrethrins in fruit samples. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 65:904–908.
- Samuels, S. M. (1978). The exact solution to the two-stage group-testing problem. *Technometrics* 20:497–500.
- Sander, P. and Öberg, T. (2006). Comparing deterministic and probabilistic risk assessments. *JSS-J Soils Sediments* 6(1):55–61.
- Schaeffer, D. J. and Janardan, K. G. (1978). Theoretical comparisons of grab and composite sampling programs. *Biometrics* 20:215–227.
- Schaeffer, D. J., Kerster, H. W., and Janardan, K. G. (1980). Grab versus composite sampling: A primer for the manager and engineer. *Environ. Mgmt.* 4:157–163.
- Schaeffer, D. J., Kerster, H. W., and Janardan, K. G. (1982). Monitoring toxics by group testing. *Environ. Mgmt.* 6:467–469.
- Schaeffer, D. J., Kerster, H. W., Bauer, D. R., Rees, K., and McCormick, S. (1983). Composite samples overestimate waste loads. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 55:1387–1392.
- Schaeffer, D. J., Park, J. B., Kerster, H. W., and Janardan, K. G. (1980). Sampling and the regulatory maze in the United States. *Environ. Mgmt.* 4:469–481.
- Seshadri, N. and Srikantakumar, P. R. (1985). On group testing for binary-feedback multi access channel. *IEEE Commun.* 33:574–577.
- Sharma, A. K., Rodriguez, L. A., Mekonnen, G., Wilcox, C. J., Bachman, K. C., and Collier, R. (1983). Climatological and genetic effects on milk composition and yield. *Dairy Sci.* 66:119–126.
- Sheehan, P., Dewhurst, R. E., James, S., Callaghan, A., Connon, R., and Crane, M. (2003). Is there a relationship between soil and groundwater toxicity? *Environ. Geochem. Health* 25: 9–16.
- Skinner, C. J., Holt, D., and Smith, T. M. F. (1989). *Analysis of Complex Surveys*. Wiley, New York, NY.
- Skublov, G. T., Marin, Y. B., Semikolenykh, V. M., Skublov, S. G., and Tarasenko, Y. N. (2007). Volkhovite: A new type of tektite-like glass. *Geol. Ore Deposits* 49(8): 681–696.
- Smith, M. D., Kahn, H. D., and Cameron, K. (1991). Statistical analysis of dioxin and furan measurements in environmental samples from the pulp and paper industry. Report presented at the Environmental Protection Agency Statistics Conference, March 11–14.
- Snowdon, P. and Waring, H. D. (1984). Composite samples for foliar analysis. *Aust. For. Res.* 14:235–242.
- Snyder, D. C. and Larson, K. E. (1969). Tables for group screening. *J. Qual. Technol.* 1:10–16.
- Sobel, M. (1960). Group testing to classify efficiently all units in a binomial sample. In *Information and Decision Processes*, R. E. Machol, ed. McGraw Hill, New York, NY. pp. 127–161.
- Sobel, M. (1966). Binomial and hypergeometric group testing (abstract). *Ann. Math. Stat.* 37:1865.
- Sobel, M. (1968a). Binomial and hypergeometric group-testing. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* 3: 19–42.
- Sobel, M. (1968b). Optimal group testing. In *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Information Theory, Vol. II*, A. Rényi, ed. Janos Bolyani Mathematical Society, Budapest. pp. 411–488.
- Sobel, M. and Elashoff, R. M. (1975). Group testing with a new goal, estimation. *Biometrika* 62:181–193.
- Sobel, M. and Groll, P. A. (1959). Group testing to eliminate efficiently all defectives in a binomial sample. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* 38:1178–1252.
- Sobel, M. and Groll, P. A. (1966). Binomial group-testing with an unknown proportion of defectives. *Technometrics* 8:631–656.
- Sobel, M. and Tong, Y. L. (1976). Estimation of a normal percentile by grouping. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 71:189–192.

- Sokal, R. R. and Rohlf, F. J. (1981). *Biometry, the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*, 2nd Edition. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, CA.
- Spijker, J. (2005). Geochemical patterns in the soils of Zeeland: natural variability versus Anthropogenic impact. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Splitstone, D. E. (2001). Sample support and related scale issues in composite sampling. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:137–149.
- SR Technics Ireland Limited (2008). Annual environment report for year 2008. Dublin Airport Co. Dublin.
- Starks, T. H. (1986). Determination of support in soil sampling. *Math. Geol.* 18:529–537.
- Starks, T. H., Sparks, A. R., and Brown, K. W. (1987). Geostatistical analysis of Palmerton soil survey data. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 9:239–261.
- Sterrett, A. (1957). On the detection of defective members of large populations. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 28:1033–1036.
- Stevens, L. J. and Combs, C. A. (1980). Group testing to eliminate defectives when prior probabilities for the proportion defective are known. *J. Indust. Math. Soc.* 30:95–102.
- Stokes, S. L. and Sager, T. (1988). Characterization of a ranked set sample with application to estimating distribution functions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 83:374–381.
- Storey, R. G., Williams, D. D., and Fulthorpe, R. R. (2004). Nitrogen processing in the hyporheic zone of a pastoral stream. *Biogeochemistry* 69:285–313.
- Strawn, D. G., Hickey, P., Knudsen, A., and Baker, L. (2007). Geochemistry of lead contaminated wetland soils amended with phosphorus. *Environ. Geol.* 52:109–122.
- Sudia, W. D., Newhouse, V. F., Beadle, L. D., Miller, D. L., Johnston, J. G., Young, R., Calisher, C. H., and Maness, K. (1975). Epidemic Venezuelan equine encephalitis in N. America in 1971: Vector studies. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 101:17–35.
- Sudia, W. D., Newhouse, V. F., Calisher, C. H., and Chamberlain, R. W. (1971). California group arboviruses: Isolations from mosquitoes in North America. *Mosquito News* 31:576–600.
- Swallow, W. H. (1985). Group testing for estimating infection rates and probabilities of disease transmission. *Phytopathology* 75:882–889.
- Swallow, W. H. (1987). Relative mean squared error and cost considerations in choosing group size for group testing to estimate infection rates and probabilities of disease transmission. *Phytopathology* 77:1376–1381.
- Takahasi, K. and Wakimoto, K. (1968). On unbiased estimates of the population mean based on the sample stratified by means of ordering. *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* 20:1–31.
- Telliard, W. A. (1998). Evaluating field techniques for collecting effluent samples for trace metals analysis. USEPA office of water, engineering & analysis division, Washington, DC.
- Tetra Tech, Inc. (1987). Bioaccumulation monitoring guidance: strategies for sample replication and compositing. Final Report, EPA Contract No. 68-01-6938. (TC3953-03).
- Texas Eastern Gas Pipeline Company (1989a). Results of the Phase II surface soil and sediment sampling activities at the Armagh site, Pennsylvania, Vol. I. Roy F. Weston, West Chester, PA 19380.
- Texas Eastern Gas Pipeline Company (1989b). *Results of the Phase II surface soil and sediment, sampling activities at the Armagh site, Pennsylvania, Vol. II: Appendices*. Roy F. Weston, West Chester, PA 19380.
- Thomas, J., Pasternack, B. S., Vacirca, S. J., and Thompson, D. L. (1973). Application of group testing procedures in radiological health. *Health Phys.* 25:259–266.
- Thompson, K. H. (1962). Estimation of the proportion of vectors in a natural population of insects. *Biometrics* 18:568–578.
- Tiemeyer, B., Kahle, P., and Mousa, B. L. R. (2008). Measurements & modeling of water & solute fluxes in a artificially drained lowland catchments. 10th International Drainage workshop of IC 1D working group on Drainage, Helsinki, Finland/Tallinn, Estonia, July 6th–11th 2008.
- Tosic, R. (1982). An optimal group-testing procedure. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* 17:319–323.
- Towatana, P., Voradej, C., and Leeraphante, N. (2003). Reclamation of abandoned shrimp pond soils in Southern Thailand for cultivation of Mauritius grass. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 25:365–386.

- Townsend, S. A. (2006). Hydraulic phases, persistent stratification, and phytoplankton in a tropical floodplain lake (Mary river, northern Australia). *Hydrobiologia* 556:163–179.
- Ungar, P. (1960). The cutoff point for group testing. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 13:49–54.
- US Army, Alaska, & US Army Engineer district, Alaska (2001). Remediating & monitoring white phosphorus contamination at Eagle River Flats (Operable Unit C) Fort Richardson, Alaska. FY 00 report.
- USEPA (1983). Quality assurance program plan for the Office of Toxic Substances. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- USEPA (1985). Verification of PCB spill cleanup by sampling and analysis. Technical Report EPA-560/5-026, Office of Toxic Substances, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- USEPA (1987). Bioaccumulation Monitoring Guidance: Strategies for Sample Replication and Compositing, Volume 5. Technical Report EPA 430/09-87-003, Office of Marine and Estuarine Protection, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- USEPA (1989). Methods for Evaluating the Attainment of Cleanup Standards: Volume 1: Soils and Solid Media.
- USEPA (1990). The 104 mill study. TECH REPORT-USEPA/Paper Industry Cooperative Dioxin Study, Statistical Findings and Analyses, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- USEPA (2002). Guidance on choosing a sampling design for Environmental data collection for use in developing a quality assurance project plan. EPA QA/G-5S.
- Van Belle, G., Griffith, W. C. and Edland, S. D. (2001). Contributions to composite sampling. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.* 8:171–180.
- VanAssche, W. (1987). A random variable uniformly distributed between two random variables. *Sankhya Ser. A* 49:207–211.
- Walker, W. W. (2002). Long-term watershed monitoring: statistical models & examples. Watershed monitoring & reporting session, Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia workshop, St. Louis, October 16–18, 2002.
- Wallin, T. R. and Schaeffer, D. J. (1979). Illinois redesigns its ambient water quality monitoring network. *Environ. Mgmt.* 3:313–319.
- Walsh, M. E., Collins, C. M., Bailey, R. N., and Grant, C. L. (1997). Composite sampling of sediments contaminated with white phosphorus. Special Report 97-30. Cold Region Research & Engineering Laboratory, US Army Corps. of Engineers.
- Walsh, M. R. (2003). Eagle River Flats Remediation Project Comprehensive Bibliography 1998 to 2003. Cold Region Research & Engineering Laboratory, US Army Corps. of Engineers. ERDC/CRREL TR-03-15.
- Walsh, M. R., Walsh, M. E., and Collins, C. M. (1999). Enhanced natural remediation of white-phosphorus- contaminated wetlands through controlled pond draining. Cold regions research & engineering laboratory, U S Army Corps. of Engineers.
- Walter, S. D., Hildreth, S. W., and Beaty, B. J. (1980). Estimation of infection rates in populations of organisms using pools of variable size. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 112:124–128.
- Watson, G. S. (1961). A study of the group screening method. *Technometrics* 3:371–388.
- Watson, G. S. (1987). Reflections on group screening. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor Methods* 16:2795–2798.
- Watson, M. A. (1936). Factors affecting the amount of infection obtained by aphid transmission of the virus Hy. III. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. B.* 226:457–489.
- Wegman, E. J. and DePriest, D. J., eds. (1986). Application of the Gibbs distribution to image segmentation. In *Statistical Image Processing and Graphics*. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY. pp. 3–24.
- Weideman, C. A. and Raghavarao, D. (1987). Non-adaptive hypergeometric group testing designs for identifying at most two defectives. *Commun. Stat. Part A Theor Methods* 16:2991–3006.
- West, M. (1986). Bayesian model monitoring. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* 48:70–78.
- Westrick, J. J. (1979). Collection of automatic composite samples without atmospheric exposure. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 51:2945–2951.

- Wilding, L. P. and Drees, L. R. (1983). Spatial variability and pedology. In *Pedogenesis and Soil Taxonomy, I: Concepts and Interactions*, L. P. Wilding, N. E. Smeck, and G. F. Hall, eds. Elsevier, New York, NY. pp. 83–116.
- Williams, C. J. and Peterson, R. G. (1978). Variation in estimates of milk fat, protein and lactose content associated with various bulk milk sampling programs. *J. Dairy Sci.* 61:1093–1102.
- Williams, L. R. (1990). Cost-effective sampling and analytical strategies for Superfund—How do we implement them? MS.
- Williams, L. R., Leggett, R. W., Espegren, M. L., and Little, C. A. (1989). Optimization of sampling for the determination of mean radium-226 concentration in surface soil. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 12:83–96.
- Wolf, J. K. (1985). Born again group testing: multi access communications. *IEEE Trans. Info.* 31:185–191.
- Worlund, D. D. and Taylor, G. (1983). Estimation of disease incidence in fish populations. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 40:2194–2197.
- Wullshleger, R. E., Zanoi, A. E., and Hanson, C. A. (1976). Methodology for the Study of Urban Storm Generated Pollution and Control. Technical report prepared by Envirex, Inc. for the Environmental Protection Agency, Contract 68-03-0335.
- Xiao, H. (1991). Nonparametric procedures for conditional CDF approximation in spatial fields. Technical Report No. 164, Dept. of Statistics and Dept. of Applied Earth Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Yao, Y. C. (1988). An improvement over the information lower bound in binomial group testing. *Prob. Eng. Inform. Sci.* 2:313–320.
- Yao, Y. C. (1989). A simple characterization of non-randomized admissible procedures in group testing. *Stat. Prob. Lett.* 8:119–122.
- Yao, Y. C. and Hwang, F. K. (1988). Individual testing of independent items in optimal group testing. *Prob. Engineer. Inform. Sci.* 2:23–29.
- Yfantis, E. A., Flatman, G. T., and Behar, J. V. (1987). Efficiency of kriging estimation for square, triangular, and hexagonal grids. *Math. Geol.* 19:183–205.
- Zamyadi, A., Gallic Hand, J., and Duchemin, M. (2007). Comparison of methods for estimating sediment & Nitrogen loads from a small agricultural watershed. *Can. Biosyst. Eng.* 49: 1.27–1.36.
- Zbawicka, M., Wenne, R., and Skibinski, D. O. F. (2003). Mitochondrial DNA variation in populations of the mussel *Mytilus trossulus* from the Southern Baltic. *Hydrobiologia* 499:1–12.
- Zimmerman, G. M. (1985). Use of an improved statistical method for group comparisons to study effects of prairie fire. *Ecology* 66:606–611.

Index

B

- Batch/group sampling, 4
- Bayesian formulation, 88
 - prevalence of polluted samples
 - beta distribution, 90
 - closed-form formulas, 92
 - conditional pdf, 90
 - cost, 92
 - gamma function, 90
 - J-shaped distribution, 92
 - linear combinations, 92–93
 - predicted value, 91–92
 - probability mass function, 90
 - sampling stages, 93
 - second sampling stage, 91
- Below detectable limit (BDL), 230
- Binary factor effect
 - elementary matrices and Kronecker products, 164
 - block matrices, decomposition, 165–168
 - defined, 165
 - n*-vector, 165
 - expectation and dispersion matrix result, 169–172
 - variance/covariance matrix of, 172–173
 - “vec” operator, 168–169
 - m*-vector with, 168
 - fully confounded composites case, 163
 - graph, inspection of, 164
 - industrial and agricultural water quality, 161
 - inspection of, 163
 - “D-optimal”, 163–164
 - weights, 162
 - fully segregated composites
 - findings, 159
 - graph, inspection of, 160

- “D-optimal,” 159–160
 - rank characterization, 158
 - variance/covariance matrix, 159
 - individual sampling design, 154–155
 - matrix form, 155–156
 - permutation matrix, 156
 - segregated composites
 - industrial and agricultural water quality, 157
 - Bioaccumulation
 - defined, 239
 - Dirichlet model, 240
 - expected value and variance, 240
 - monitoring programs of
 - tissue samples compositing, 239–242
 - probabilities, 240
 - Bulk/integrated sampling, 4
 - Bulk population, 4
- ## C
- Composite samples
 - defined, 4
 - formation of, 209, 211–216, 218, 220–221
 - formation protocols, 220
 - household dust, 235–237
 - size algorithms, 181–182
 - size of, 214, 218, 220–221
 - trace metal storage, 222–225
 - Composite sampling
 - foliar analysis
 - basal area, 132
 - effect of, 133–134
 - plots and treatment means, effects of, 133
 - statistical properties and requirements of, 132–133
 - used, 132
 - forest floor properties
 - percentage deviation of, 134

- Composite sampling (*cont.*)
 - purposes of, 133
 - weighing and analytical errors, 133–134
 - grab samples and comparison
 - primer for manager and engineer, 129
 - sampling programs, 128–129
 - statistics, 129–130
 - on volume proportional, 129
 - grab samples, frequency and comparison
 - sampling programs for effluents, 128
 - summary statistics for, 128
 - lemma
 - covariance of bilinear random forms, 116–118
 - expected value, 116–118
 - variance, 116–118
 - model for measurements
 - measurement error, 126–127
 - several composite samples, 124–125
 - subsampling, 121–124
 - subsampling of several composite samples, 125–126
 - with random weights
 - aliquots volumes, 115–116
 - experimenter's control, 115
 - soil cores, 116
- Compositing by spatial contiguity
 - Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics, 198
 - features, 198
 - hotspot defined, 199
 - presence/absence case, 198
 - retesting strategies
 - binary split, 199–200
 - entropy-based, 199–200
 - exhaustive, 199–200
 - sequential, 199–200
 - sample-forming schemes
 - circular sectors I, 200–201
 - circular sectors II, 201
 - circular sectors III, 201
 - horizontal strips, 201
 - natural order, 200
 - random order, 200
 - vertical strips, 201
 - uses, 198
- Compositing of ranked set samples (RSS)
 - concomitant variable, 204
 - cumulative distribution function, 201–202
 - formation of homogeneous composite samples
 - sample size and mean, 207
 - standard deviation (SD), 206–207
 - protocols, 203–204
 - quantified values, 202
 - relative precision
 - relative cost, 205
 - relative savings, 205
 - simple random sampling (SRS), 204–205
 - typical unimodal distributions, 205
 - unbiased estimator, 203
 - unequal allocation of sample sizes
 - asymmetric distributions, 205–206
- Compositing strategy, samples analysis
 - cost of, 211
 - goal of, 211
 - method of, 211–213
 - parameters, 212
 - PCB level in, 211
 - 37-sample point plan, 216
- Contamination
 - average level estimation
 - Armagh site, 215–218
 - composite sample estimator, 214
 - individual sample estimator, 214
 - PCB concentration, 213–215, 217–222
 - individual samples with high PCB concentrations, 221–222
 - PCB spills
 - compositing strategies, 209, 211–216, 218, 220
 - EPA requirements, 209
 - sampling design, 209, 211–212
 - residual, random designs, 209–210
 - sampling points location
 - grid designs, 209–212
 - hexagonal grid, 209–212
 - hexagonal sampling designs, 211–212
 - 7-point grid, 210
 - 19-point grid, 211
 - 37-point grid, 212
 - simulating composite samples
 - choice of size, 218–220
 - formation, 220–221
- Continuous response variables
 - Bernoulli distribution, 43
 - binary split retesting
 - distribution, 46
 - examples, 48
 - recurrence difference equation, 47–48
 - conclusions, 43–44
 - entropy-based retesting, 49
 - parameter values, 42
 - probability, 42
 - properties, 41

- quantitatively curtailed exhaustive retesting
 - item measurement, 45
 - relative cost, 45
 - relative savings, 42–43, 45–46
- Cost analysis of composite sampling for classification
 - continuous measurements, 53
 - expression, 49–50
 - false positives and negatives effects, 50–51
 - presence/absence measurements
 - binary split retesting, 52–53
 - exhaustive retesting, 51–52
 - sequential retesting, 52
 - procedure, 50
 - relative cost, 50
- D**
- Data quality objectives (DQO) process, 2
 - false-negative errors, 177
 - false-positive errors, 177
 - indifference region of, 177
 - meteorological conditions, 177
 - statistical techniques, 178
 - steps of
 - decision rule, 177
 - design optimize, 178
 - inputs, 176
 - limits on uncertainty, 177–178
 - scope of study, 176–177
 - state problem, 176
 - toxic contaminant levels
 - survey of, 177
- Dirichlet model, 240
- Distribution
 - conditional, 90
 - posterior, 89, 91, 95
 - prior, 89–95
 - uniform, 92
- Dorfman retesting scheme, 94–95
- DQO process, *see* Data quality objectives (DQO) process
- E**
- Environmental protection agency (EPA), 175, 209, 224–225
- Errors
 - strategic, 87–88
 - tactical, 87–88
- Exhaustive retesting
 - Dorfman procedure, 12
 - See also* Presence/absence measurements
- Expected relative cost minimization
 - beta prior distribution, 94
 - with parameters, 95
- decision-theoretic notation, 93
- loss function, 93
- optimal composite sample size, 95
- risk function, 94
- sample size, 94
- Extreme values identification, 59–60
- F**
- False-positive and negative errors, 177
- Fat percentage estimation
 - composite and yield-weighted comparison of, 229–230
 - yield-weighted, 229
- Feasibility or infeasibility of composite sampling, 6
- Finite population, 4
- Flow proportional (FP) sampling, 232, 234
- Foliar analysis for composite samples
 - basal area, 132
 - effect of, 133–134
 - plots and treatment means, effects of, 133
 - statistical properties and requirements of, 132–133
 - used, 132
- Fully confounded composites
 - case, 163
 - graph, inspection of, 164
 - industrial and agricultural water quality, 161
 - inspection of, 163
 - “D-optimal”, 163–164
 - weights, 162
- Fully segregated composites
 - findings, 159
 - graph, inspection of, 160
 - “D-optimal,” 159–160
 - rank characterization, 158
 - variance/covariance matrix, 159
- G**
- Grab samples and composite sampling
 - frequency and comparison
 - sampling programs for effluents, 128
 - summary statistics for, 128
 - primer for manager and engineer, 129
 - sampling programs, 128–129
 - statistics for, 129–130
 - on volume proportional, 129
- Group testing for laboratory procedures, 9
- H**
- Hexagonal sampling designs, 212
- Highway runoff, composite sampling composite sampler, 230–233

Highway runoff, composite sampling (*cont.*)
 discrete sampler
 comparison of, 231–233
 discrete samples, 229–230
 runoff volume, 231
 water quality comparisons, 230

Household dust samples
 allergen measurements
 cat, 237
 mite, 236
 discrete, 235
 sampling order, 236

Human populations, 3–4

I

Individual samples
 integrity, 5
 measurements, 10
 retesting, 9–10
 sampling design, 154–155
 units, 3
 variance, 5
 “within-increment heterogeneity,” 5–6

Indoor air pollution, composite sampling
 quantification of allergens, 235–237

L

Laboratory sample, 4

Linear model, 135
 assumptions
 compositing/subsampling
 submodel, 140
 matrices, 140–145
 structural/sampling submodel,
 139–140
 binary factor effect
 fully confounded composites, 161–164
 fully segregated composites, 157–160
 individual sampling design, 154–155
 matrix form, 155–156
 monitoring program, 153
 permutation matrix, 156
 complex sampling schemes, 146
 mean in segmented populations,
 147–150
 segmented populations, 147
 variance components in segmented
 populations, 150–153
 hazardous waste material in, 148
 industrial and agricultural water
 quality, 154
 moments of x and y
 random vector and matrix, 146

structural/sampling and compositing/
 subsampling submodels, 146

motivation
 average levels, presence of, 136
 biological process, 136
 measurement errors, 136
 physical and chemical process, 136
 population/lot/physical medium, nature
 of, 136
 sampling procedures, 136
 segmented populations, sampling/
 compositing scheme, 149, 151

M

MAC, *see* Maximum aliquot count (MAC)

Matrices, 140
 block diagonal pattern, 141
 compositing procedure, 141
 compositing/subsampling procedures
 constraints and yield, 145
 unbiased procedures, 145
 variance/covariance matrix, 145
 weights, 145

fixed weights
 compact form, 142
 NHATS, 142–143
 subsampling, 143
 US EPA Office of Toxic Substance, 143

random weights
 cases, 143
 cross-covariance matrix, 144
 elementary matrix, 143–144
 rows, grouping of, 142

Maximum aliquot count (MAC), 41

Maximum entropy, analysis of composite
 sampling data
 criteria for remediation, 76
 decision rule, 77
 hot spot detection, 76
 modeling composite sampling using
 principle
 Cartesian product of sets, 78
 model reasonable in practice, 78–79
 probability distribution, 77
 k -simplex, 78
 uniform distribution, 78
 reasons, 77
 risk-based cleanup, 76

Mean and variance of samples
 composite sample value, 97
 normality of, 98
 corollary, 100
 estimation in presence of measurement
 error

- composite sample mean, 103–104
 - k individual samples, 103
 - primary sampling units, 103
 - estimation of σ_x^2 and σ_ϵ^2
 - composite sample measurements, 105
 - real number, 105–106
 - unbiased estimator, 105
 - variance components, 105
 - estimation without measurement error
 - sampling units, 101–102
 - individual sample values, 97
 - lemma and results
 - expectation of $c'x$, 99, 101
 - variance of $c'x$, 99–100
 - k -vector, 100–101
 - notation
 - composite sample size, 98–99
 - lowercase and uppercase boldface letters, 98
 - population
 - confidence intervals for, 221
 - unbiased estimates of, 220
 - population mean, confidence interval, 110
 - composite sample mean square, 109
 - normal distribution for, 109
 - unbiased estimator, 109
 - population variance estimation
 - composite sample measurements, 107
 - individual sample mean, 106–107
 - internally homogeneous composites, formation of, 108
 - sample-to-sample variation, 106, 108
 - unbiased estimator, 107–108
 - precision level maintaining, 105
 - cost savings, 104
 - total sampling and analytical costs, 104
 - ^{226}Ra concentration in soil, 113
 - sample data, summary of, 114
 - random sampling, comparison
 - composite and yield-weighted estimates, 113
 - fat percentage, 112–113
 - Irish Dairy Industry, 112
 - Milk Tester Automatic, 112
 - and testing schemes, 112
 - soil pH values comparison
 - with arithmetic averages, 113
 - base saturation (BS), 112
 - tests of hypotheses in population mean
 - one-sample tests, 110–111
 - two-sample tests, 111–112
 - variance, analysis of, 109
 - Measurement error
 - hypergeometric distribution, 127
 - mean and variance, techniques, 126
 - problems of random weights, 127
 - singular normal distribution, 127
 - within-increment variability, 126
 - Metals
 - analysis, 223–225
 - variation coefficient of, 223, 225
 - Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), 241
 - Models
 - assumptions, 137
 - matrix, 137
 - cross-covariance, 138
 - notation, 137
 - random vector, 138
 - vector of
 - fixed-effect parameters, 137
 - measurement errors, 139
 - random-effect parameters, 137
 - for weights
 - assumptions on first two moments, 119
 - Dirichlet distribution, 118, 120
 - distributional assumptions, 119
 - mean and variance, 118
 - multivariate hypergeometric distribution, 118
 - MSAs, *see* Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs)
 - Multistage sampling, 241
 - Municipal-digested sludge analysis, 224–225
- N**
- National Human Adipose Tissue Survey (NHATS), 142–143
 - analysis of, 241–242
 - dioxins and furans from FY87, 242
 - multistage sampling, 241
 - Non-flow proportional (NFP) composites, 232
 - Nonoptimal composite sample size, 87
- O**
- Optimal composite samples
 - central limit theorem, 182
 - composite sample measurements of, 178–180
 - size, 180–182
 - degrees of freedom, 181–182
 - optimality concept, 178

- Optimal composite samples (*cont.*)
- resources allocation
 - analogous formulas, 179
 - analytical tests, 179
 - compositing weights, 180
 - standard error, 180
 - sampling
 - plan, 181–182
 - program cost, 179–182
 - size
 - computer calculations, 92
 - decision-theoretic notation, 93–94
 - Dorfman retesting scheme, 94
 - loss function, 93–94
 - regions of, 94–95
 - relative cost, 87–89, 91–95
 - risk function, 94–95
 - strategic error, 87–88
 - tactical error, 87–88
 - total analytical effort, 179
 - total sampling effort, 179
 - variance components, 178–182
- Overestimate waste loads, composite
- sampling, 232
 - composite samples, 129
 - concentrations and loads
 - Freeport effluent, 131, 233
 - St. Charles effluent, 132, 234
 - flow proportional (FP), 129–131
 - flows and concentrations, 131
 - grab samples, 129
 - ion-selective electrode flows, 131
 - non-flow proportional composites (NFP), 130
 - pair differences, 234
 - standard methods, 131
 - stream average performance, 131
 - time proportional to flow (TP), 130
 - total suspended solids (TSS), 131
 - volume proportional to flow (VP), 130–131
- P**
- PFOC, *see* Primary first-order compositing (PFOC)
- Physical formulation of composite sampling, 4
- Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)
- concentration, onsite surface soil sampling
 - Armagh site, 217–219, 221
 - grid layout and locations, 217–220
 - measurement error, 214–215
 - phase I, 217–220
 - phase II, 217–220
 - rectangular grid, 217–220
 - schematic plots, 219
 - spills, 209
- Population mean
- confidence interval
 - composite sample mean square, 109
 - normal distribution for, 109
 - unbiased estimator, 109
 - tests of hypotheses
 - one-sample tests, 110–111
 - two-sample tests, 111–112
- Presence/absence measurements
- asymptotic relative cost, 11–12
 - binary split retesting
 - example, 22–23
 - groups of sizes, 20–21
 - optimal composite sample size, 19–20
 - relative cost, 19–20
 - costs, 40
 - curtailed binary split retesting
 - optimal composite sample size, 32
 - recursion formula, 31–32
 - relative cost, 32–33
 - curtailed exhaustive retesting, 23
 - curtailment, 24–25
 - optimal composite sample size, 24, 26
 - relative cost, 24, 26–27
 - curtailed sequential retesting, 28
 - number of tests, 27, 29
 - optimal composite sample size, 29–30
 - relative cost, 30–31
 - entropy-based retesting
 - asymptotic relative cost, 35, 37
 - Bernoulli trials, 33
 - binary splitting, 33
 - composite sample sizes, 34–35
 - relative cost, 36–38
 - sequential arrangement, 34
 - unchanging parameter, 33–34
 - exhaustive retesting
 - binomial model, 12
 - Dorfman procedure, 12
 - number of tests, 13
 - optimal composite size, 13–14
 - in presence of classification errors, 38–40
 - probabilities, 12
 - relative cost, 13–14
 - relative savings, 15
 - tabulation, 15
 - laboratory procedures
 - collecting and preparing samples, 11
 - sequential retesting, 17
 - asymptotic relative cost, 18

- Bernoulli random variables, 16
- expected number of tests, 16
- Sterrett procedure, 15–16
- time duration, 41
- Prevalence of polluted samples, 89
- Bayesian updating
 - beta distribution, 90
 - closed-form formulas, 92
 - conditional pdf, 90
 - cost, 92
 - gamma function, 90
 - J-shaped distribution, 92
 - linear combinations, 92–93
 - predicted value, 91–92
 - probability mass function, 90
 - sampling stages, 93
 - second sampling stage, 91
- Primary first-order compositing (PFOC), 57
- Primary sampling unit, 4
- R**
- Relative cost
 - computer calculations, 92
 - decision-theoretic notation, 93
 - exhaustive retesting, 87–89, 93
 - exhaustive testing, 88–89
 - minimization of, 93–95
 - strategic error, 87–88
 - tactical error, 87–88
- Residual contamination area, 210
- Respiratory diseases, asthma, 235
- S**
- Samples
 - analysis method, detection limit of, 212
 - composite, 230–233
 - estimation, 214, 220–221
 - fat percentage, 229
 - and individual, comparison of, 221
 - composite sampling methods
 - effluent concentrations and loads, 233–234
 - estimation, 228
 - experiment, 227–228
 - flow proportional samples, 234
 - grab sample, 232, 234
 - hierarchical orthogonal ANOVA, 228–230
 - highway runoff, 229–232
 - milk fat content, 227–228
 - results, 228–229
 - sampler, 230–233
 - schemes precision, 227
 - statistical information, 234
 - time proportional samples, 234
 - variation, 227
 - waste loads, 232–234
 - wastewater treatment plant, 232–234
- discrete, 230–233
- estimator
 - composite, 214
 - individual, 214
- exhaustive retesting of, 87, 89–90, 93
- exhaustive testing of, 87–89
- individual
 - exhaustive retesting, 222
 - exhaustive testing, 221–222
 - scatterplot, 221–222
- maximum, value prediction, 56
 - assumptions, 57
 - extensive and comprehensive monitoring, 57
 - PFOC, 57
 - sweep-out method, 58–59
 - water pollution monitoring, 57
- prevalence
 - Bayesian updating, 90–93
 - conditional distribution of, 90
 - posterior distribution of, 89, 91, 95
 - predicted value of, 89, 91–93
 - prior distribution of, 89–95
 - true, 87, 89
 - uniform distribution of, 92
- random and composite
 - comparison of, 229
- sampling points location
 - compositing specimens, 213
- Sampling
 - composite, 239–242
 - defined, 1
 - multistage, 241
 - plan
 - best, 181–182
 - least expensive, 181–182
 - program, 179–182
 - at site, 1
 - techniques, 3
- Secondary sampling unit, 4
- Sediment cores
 - recovery stations, 222–223
 - samples of, 222–223, 225
- Sediment sampling program, 222–225
- Spatial processes model
 - composite sampling
 - approaches, 189
 - covariance between, 189
 - individual sample values, 188

- Spatial processes model (*cont.*)
- issues, 187
 - large-scale deterministic trend, 188
 - measurement and subsampling
 - error, 187
 - micro-scale stochastic process, 188
 - nugget effect, 189–190
 - polynomials, 189
 - small-scale and micro-scale
 - processes, 187
 - small-scale stochastic process, 188
 - used, 187
 - variogram, behavior of, 192
- covariance function
- and semivariogram, relationship
 - between, 186
- cross-validation study
- features, 191
 - kriging predictions, 191
 - mean squared error (MSE), 192
 - results, 193–195
- data analysis
- composite sample design, 198
 - cost-effective sampling, 195, 198
 - decomposition of, 191
 - features of, 191
 - Gaussian covariance model, 198
 - kriging predictions, 196
 - local contamination processes, 196
 - model explanation and model
 - empiricism, 197
 - nugget variance, 197
 - retrospective analysis, 195
 - sample semivariogram, 191
- decomposition of data variability
- cubic spline models, 192
 - estimated components, 193
 - proportions of, 192–193
- defined, 183
- d -dimensional Euclidean space, 183–184
- effect of compositing upon sill
- nugget variance, 195
 - spherical and Gaussian covariance
 - models, 195
- intrinsically stationary, 185
- micro-scale variation, 185
- second-order stationary processes, 185–186
- spatial autocorrelation function, 185
- spatial autocovariance function, 186
- stationarity levels
- joint distribution, 188
 - mean and variances, 188
 - weak stationarity, 188
- superfund sites, application
- Dallas Lead and Palmerton Sites, 190–192, 195–196
 - variogram or semivariogram, 185–186
- Standard deviations (SD), 233–234
- Strategic error, 87–88
- Subsampling of composite sample
- aliquots or increments, 121
 - approaches, 123
 - assumptions of, 123
 - conclusions, 124
 - Dirichlet distribution, 122–123
 - exchangeable random
 - variables, 122
 - generalization, 121
 - individual proportions of, 121
 - several
 - composited estimator, 126
 - upper bound, 125
- Sweep-out method for identification sample
- maximum
 - application, site study, 60
 - analytical variability, 63
 - cleanup criteria, 61
 - composite sample size, 62
 - cost-effectiveness, 63
 - dilution problem, 63
 - illustration, 67
 - measurements, 68
 - number of extreme values, 68
 - onsite soils, 61
 - PCB analysis, 61–62
 - retested, 68
 - sample formation strategy, 63–64
 - simulated composite sample
 - measurements, 65–66
 - within-composite homogeneity, 63
 - consequences, 60
 - largest value, 58
 - sample values and size, 58
 - steps, 59
- T**
- Tactical error, 87–88
- Time proportional to flow (TP), 232
- Tissue samples compositing, 239–242
- Total suspended solids (TSS), 230, 233–234
- Trace metal storage
- estimation of
 - lake St. Clair sediments, 222–223
 - metal analyses, 223–225

- metal variation coefficient,
223, 225
- municipal-digested sludge, 224
- sediment cores, 222–225
- standard lake mud, 225

TSS, *see* Total suspended solids (TSS)

Two-way composite sampling

- design, 68
- algorithm, 69–70
- arrangement for, 69
- artificial data set, 70–75
- column composites, 69

V

Variation components

- biological, 227–229
- compositing, 227–229, 233–234
- sample, 227–229
- testing, 227–229

Volume proportional to flow (VP), 232

W

Wastewater treatment plant

- Freeport, 233–234
- St. Charles, 232, 234