

Appendix A

Investment Company Act of 1940: Selected Topics

Management Guidelines

Corporate Entity: The Act of 1940 requires that an investment company be a domestic corporation or a domestic entity taxed as a corporation. This provision rules out personal holding companies trying to qualify for the “favorable” tax treatment of income within the act. Further, the company must be registered at all times during the entire taxable year as a management company or a unit investment trust as defined by the act.

Management Contracts: The investment management franchise cannot be sold to another entity once the company has been chartered. Removal of the investment management contract from the sponsor is possible, provided the motion receives a favorable vote from the shareholders. The investment managers are strictly prohibited from any self-dealing with the firm. In essence, these provisions commit management to a “long-term” fiduciary obligation to the shareholders and reduce the possibility of fraud by the management team.

Board of Directors: At least 40% of the board of directors must be non-officers or advisors to the company. Investment brokers or the company’s regular brokers may not constitute a majority of the board. These provisions ensure that a majority of the board members are financially independent of the firm.

Investment Policy Guidelines

Income Sources: At least 90% of an investment company’s gross income must be in the form of dividends, interest, and gains from securities. For any taxable year, a maximum of 30% of its profits can be derived from sales of securities held for less than three months, without deducting for losses and including any gains from the short-sale of securities. These provisions ensure that an investment company’s non-investment activities do not significantly contribute to its revenues (although these activities could contribute significantly to its

profitability). The latter provision discourages companies from speculating on short-term fluctuations in security prices.

Portfolio Composition: The Act of 1940 requires that at the end of each quarter during the taxable year the company must: (a) have at least 50% of its assets in cash, cash items (including receivables), government securities, securities of other regulated investment companies, and other securities; (b) limit its investment in any single security to 5% or less of its total assets; (c) not have an investment in any single company that represents more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of the issuer; (d) limit its investment in the securities (other than government securities or the securities of other regulated investment companies) of any one issuer to 25% or less of the company's total assets; and (e) limit its investment in the securities of two or more controlled companies (20% of the target's voting power constitutes "control") engaged in the same or similar line of business to 25% of the company's total assets. These restrictions prevent an investment company from becoming a vehicle for controlling other firms while retaining its investment company status. In addition, the provisions ensure that the company is primarily investing in financial rather than real assets. The Real Estate Investment Trust Act of 1960 provides guidelines for investment companies that wish to invest in real estate and real estate-based obligations, thus allowing the creation of REITs.

Investment Policy Statement: Upon the initial organization of a fund, or the effective date of the Act of 1940 for existing funds, investment companies must provide a statement of their investment policies. That statement addresses in general terms the kinds of financial assets the company will invest in, the kinds of risks that will be undertaken, the use of leverage, etc. Once in place, an investment policy cannot be changed unless voted on by the firm's shareholders. Clearly the major purpose of a policy statement is to help potential investors more accurately assess the kinds of risks they would encounter by investing in the firm's shares.

Capital Structure Guidelines

Minimum Equity Capital: If the firm desires to make a public offering of its common shares, it must have at least \$100,000 equity capital. Any public offering must be accompanied by a prospectus that discloses the information required by the Act of 1940.

Senior Security Limitations: An investment company's funded debt must be covered by at least three times total assets. Preferred stock issued by the company must be covered by at least two times total assets. A large margin of safety for senior security holders is thus created, should the investment company be forced into receivership or bankruptcy.

Tax Policies: Perhaps the most important section of the Investment Company Act of 1940 involves the treatment of taxable income. To retain

investment company status, the fund must distribute as taxable dividends no less than 90% of its net income, exclusive of capital gains. Under the 1950 amendments, dividends from one year may be paid in the following year but may not be declared later than the due day of the company's tax return or paid later than the first regular dividend date after declaration. If the company meets these provisions, its net income, exclusive of capital gains, is not taxed at the company level and thus, the company remains a passive "conduit" of investment income between the investors and the investments. Dividends received by the investor are treated as taxable income.

To remain untaxed at the company level, all (not 90%) capital gains must be distributed to shareholders in the same way as net interest and dividend income. Sub-chapter M of the Act of 1940 permits the company to retain recognized capital gains without losing investment company status. Electing to retain the capital gain, however, leads to a capital gain tax liability that is computed at the maximum rate. Although retention is rare, any tax paid by the company would be passed on to shareholders on a proportional basis as a tax credit. Clearly these provisions encourage, but do not require, that the company be a passive conduit of capital gains income to shareholders.

Appendix B

CEF Pricing Issues

Capital Gains and Dividends Factors

Unrealized Capital Appreciation: Many authors argue that investors acquire a built-in capital gains tax liability when they buy the shares of a CEF whose assets are characterized by unrealized capital appreciation. If the company were to realize these gains and distribute them to shareholders, the recipients would pay taxes on them. The higher the potential tax liability, the less an investor is willing to pay for the firm's shares; hence, as unrecognized capital gains rise, the discount of the share price rises relative to the company's NAV.

The following analysis adapted from Malkiel (1977) illustrates this tax-induced relationship. Let V equal the net asset value of the fund; B , the basis for taxing the fund's portfolio; t , the capital gains tax rate for the investor (assumed constant over time); n_z , the number of years over which gains are realized and distributed (assuming equal proportions annually); n the number of years until the shares are sold by the investor; r , the discount rate applied to future cash-flows; and D , the discount justified by the tax considerations.

We begin the example by assuming that the fund sells at a price equal to its net asset value (V). If the fund distributes unrealized appreciation ($V-B$) evenly over time, the present value of the tax payments (PV_{tax}) to be made by the investor is

$$PV_{tax} = t \sum_{i=1}^{n_z} \frac{(V-B)}{n_z(1+r)^i}$$

Assuming that the investor sells the fund shares after n years and the value of the fund's portfolio remains constant, the sales price will be B less any capital gains that are distributed between the present (t) and that date (n_t). The present value of the tax savings created by the capital loss that results from the fund's ex-distribution value at the time of sale being less than the investor's assumed purchase price (which was equal to B) will be

$$PV_{savings} = \frac{t(V-B)}{(1+r)^{n_x}}$$

To the extent that the sale of the shares occurs before the end of the capital gain distribution period (i.e., $n < n_t$), the investor will be faced with a “net” tax liability that should translate into a discount equal to

$$D = \frac{PV_{tax} - PV_{savings}}{V}$$

If the investor holds the shares until the entire capital gain is distributed ($n_x = n_z$), the shares can be sold and the gains will be offset by the ex-distribution decline in share value. In summary, the unrecognized capital gains tax liability argument suggests that closed-end fund discounts should increase as the unrecognized gain ($V-B$) rises, as the discount rate (r) falls, and as planned investor holding periods fall relative to distribution periods (n_x/n_z).

Capital Gain Realization and Distribution Policy: How a company realizes and distributes capital gains may affect the discounts on CEF share prices. Two separate forces concurrently affect the relative attractiveness of the CEF’s shares. First, if the unrealized gains increase discounts as hypothesized above, then a policy of frequently recognizing and distributing capital gains would minimize the unrealized capital gains and reduce the discount. Second, some investors (especially those with high income needs and low tax brackets) may prefer a fund that regularly recognizes and distributes capital gains.

The second argument is similar to arguments that were once made with respect to the desirability of dividend income. This line of thinking led to the pre-Miller and Modigliani belief that dividend policy, devoid of signaling connotations, could influence the value of a firm’s shares. Ignoring taxes, M&M and other financial theorists subsequently demonstrated that dividend policy is irrelevant in a perfect market. In the presence of brokerage costs (or other market frictions), however, cash distributions provide a higher cash-flow than the sale of shares. Thus, a policy of frequent capital gain realizations and distributions in a less-than-perfect market could lower CEF discounts.

Dividend Reception and Distribution Policy: If investors value cash distributions in a less-than-perfect market, the time lag between distribution of dividends and the investors’ receipt of dividends could contribute to a discount on CEF shares. Some CEFs pay out dividends four (or more) times per year; whereas other CEFs make an annual dividend payment. Since money has a time value, infrequent distributions are less valuable to investors, all other things equal. But for an infrequent distribution policy to increase the discount, the return on the “retained” dividends must be lower than either the investors’ potential return or their opportunity costs.

Cash-Flow Factors

Commissions: The commissions paid by the fund and the commissions paid, or avoided, by the investor in a closed-end fund can conceivably influence the size of the fund’s discount. The extent to which the commissions influence the

discount depends upon what party is paying or avoiding the commissions. To see the variations, consider the following arguments:

- (1) **Economies of Scale in Trading:** Owing to large dollar trades, funds should enjoy economies of scale in the form of lower transaction costs per dollar of invested funds than the typical (i.e., smaller) investor pays. Thus, small investors could avoid commission costs by allowing the fund to assemble a portfolio of securities rather than by trying to replicate the portfolio themselves. Therefore, economies of scale in trading costs at the fund level should reduce the discount. Comparing the cost of transactions in the fund's portfolio to the cost of identical, but smaller, transactions made by an individual investor would demonstrate the effect. Since the savings are a function of commission schedules, then it is only necessary to demonstrate that commission schedules lead to a lower cost per dollar of invested capital.
- (2) **Multiple Commissions:** If investors are to effectively purchase the assets that underlie the fund's shares, they essentially must pay commissions twice. The first commission occurs when the fund's shares are actually purchased; the second, when the fund invests in financial assets. Doubling commissions should result in the fund's shares selling at a discount to NAV.
- (3) **Commission Schedule Bias:** Most brokerage houses construct commission schedules that are regressive with respect to share price. Phrased differently, investors normally pay a higher commission per dollar of invested funds when purchasing low-priced securities. To the extent this bias is present in commission schedules, the lower the CEF share price, the larger the discount.

Management Fees: Fees are paid to the managers of closed- and open-end funds in return for services rendered. These fees typically range from 0.5 to 1.5% annually of the fund's total assets. The fees are generally assessed quarterly, at one-fourth of the stated annual rate. Because these expenses are a cost for the investor, the larger the management fee, the larger the discount should be. Although the fees are generally small relative to total assets, they can be quite large as a percentage of the fund's income.

Portfolio Turnover: Turnover refers to the level of trading activity in the fund's portfolio. According to portfolio theory, portfolio managers should acquire and hold a diversified portfolio consistent with the risk level desired by the fund's shareholders. Any trading beyond that necessary to maintain proper diversification and risk exposure would be a waste of shareholders' funds on unnecessary commissions. Thus, funds with larger turnover should sell at larger discounts than funds that engage in minimal turnover.

A word of caution is needed at this point. The asset composition of some funds, especially bond funds that hold significant short-term investments, can lead to relatively "high" turnover ratios. These turnover ratios may not be imprudent, but may instead represent a roll-over of short-term investments into other short-term investments. Thus, any assessment of turnover ratios must take into account the composition of the fund's portfolio.

Portfolio Characteristic Factors

Large Block Positions: Research in finance has demonstrated that selling large blocks of stock (10,000 shares or more) can lead to statistically significant price reductions. Investment companies establish the net asset value of their shares by taking the market value of their assets less their liabilities and dividing the net figure by the number of shares outstanding. If the market price of a stock overstates the value that the fund could obtain by selling the shares, the NAV figure is biased high. This relationship suggests that the size of the fund's discount should be positively related to its holding of large blocks of stock (as a percentage of total assets).

Restricted Asset Positions: Legal restrictions on the marketability of financial assets can significantly affect their value. Firms often issue securities that cannot be sold to the public until the restrictions are removed. After a minimum duration under the restriction, the holder may apply to the SEC to have the securities' restrictions removed. Simply passing the restriction date does not remove the restriction. Prior to the removal of the restriction, it generally is accepted practice to value the securities based on the market value of unrestricted securities. However, the liquidation value of the restricted securities is essentially zero. Thus, the NAV of a fund that invests in restricted securities may be biased upward, suggesting that as the percentage of assets invested in restricted securities rises, the discount on the fund should increase. Likewise, as the time remaining until the restrictions are removed diminishes, the discount should fall.

Foreign Asset Positions: Some CEFs invest a substantial portion of their assets in foreign securities that are traded on U.S. or foreign exchanges. These holdings potentially influence the discount of the fund in two ways. First, a significant body of literature suggests that foreign securities offer investors substantially more risk than similar investments in domestic firms. The threat of exchange controls, expropriation, limitation of payments to foreigners, higher tax rates or withholding rates on dividend or interest income, all make an investment in these securities more hazardous for foreign investors. These risk factors should act to increase the discount as the percentage of assets invested in foreign securities rises. However, it is important to recognize that not all foreign locales are of equal risk; thus, any measure of the foreign assets held by the fund should reflect the cross-sectional variation in these risks.

Second, research also suggests that returns on foreign securities are not highly correlated with returns on domestic securities and that the level of returns on foreign securities are often somewhat higher than on U.S. securities even after translation gains and losses. Thus, foreign securities can play an important role in a well diversified portfolio constructed by an American investor. However, the average investor has a limited opportunity to participate directly in foreign capital markets. To the extent that foreign diversification is valuable, investors may be willing to pay premiums for funds that invest in these securities.

Diversification: Modern portfolio theory suggests that rational investors should hold a well diversified portfolio. To the extent that the portfolio of a CEF is not well diversified, an investor would have to augment these holdings to obtain a position on the efficient frontier. Since purchasing additional assets leads to added commission charges, the discount should grow as diversification falls.

Perception Factors

Lack of Public Understanding: A much discussed, but unsubstantiated, factor in discounts is that CEFs are not well understood by private investors; so the demand for their shares and thus their price are likely to suffer. Clearly CEFs do not receive the same advertising as their open-end load fund competitors. But why should a lack of information or understanding about CEFs translate into a systematic underpricing of their shares?

Lack of Sales Effort: Stockbrokers actively sell, market, recommend, or “push” financial assets. Stockbrokers can obtain a much better commission by selling an open-end load fund than by selling an equal dollar amount of a CEF. Faced with this incentive structure, brokers are likely to steer clients interested in funds away from CEFs toward the load funds.

In addition to the incentives provided brokers, many open-end load funds can be sold by registered sales representatives and insurance agents. To the extent that these sales agents are employed by the fund or a related parent firm, they have no incentive to recommend investments in unrelated CEFs. Since CEFs are not engaged in a continuous direct offering of new shares, they have no sales staffs. However, the tenets of modern financial theory suggest that a persuasive salesperson or lack thereof should not influence the market’s assessment of the value of a financial asset.

Marketability of the Fund’s Shares: The marketability of a fund’s shares refers to the investor’s ability to sell his shares at the prevailing market price. Marketability is often measured by the annual trading volume, sometimes standardized by the number of shares outstanding. The argument holds that thinly traded securities cannot always be sold at market without depressing the price. If true, lightly traded CEFs should sell at larger discounts than actively traded CEFs. Some financial economists hypothesize that the market on which the fund’s shares trade (e.g., NYSE, ASE, or OTC) also influences the size of the discount. Because shares that trade on the large exchanges are likely to be more liquid than those trading on the OTC, the discount should be smaller.

Investment Performance: Discounts are hypothesized to be negatively related to the past investment performance of the fund. If true, past performance must provide an indication of future performance abilities, or investors must believe that there is strong relationship between past and future performance. If either is true, investors would be willing to pay a premium, or a smaller discount, for the shares of a fund that has performed exceedingly well in the recent past.

Investor Sentiment: If the level of the market is highly correlated with investor sentiment, then the market environment and the size of discounts on CEFs should be related inversely. In other words, if the market is “high” and investors are optimistic, discounts on CEFs should be relatively small. Conversely, if the market level is “low” and investors are pessimistic, the discounts on CEFs should be relatively large. Critical to this hypothesis is the linkage between market levels and investors’ expectations.

Market Inefficiency: The market inefficiency hypothesis rejects the premise that the current price of a financial asset fully reflects the public information set, maintaining instead that discounts on CEFs are a result of an inefficient market. Since investors can view the portfolio of the fund or its value on a regular basis, significant and systematic deviations between the fund’s share price and NAV are irrational or inefficient or both. Thus, if market inefficiencies lead to a systematic mispricing of securities, investors can profit from the mispricing only if it is corrected in some future period.

References

- Abraham, Abraham, Don Elan, and Alan J. Marcus. "Does Sentiment Explain Closed-End Fund Discounts? Evidence from Bond Funds." *Financial Review* 28.4 (November 1998), 609–619.
- Ackermann, Carl, Richard McEnally, and David Ravenscraft. "The Performance of Hedge Funds: Risk, Return, and Incentives." *Journal of Finance* 54.2 (June 1999), 833–874.
- Ackert, L.F. and Y.S. Tian. "Arbitrage, Liquidity, and the Valuation of Exchange Traded Funds." *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments* 17.5 (December 2008), 331–362.
- Agarwal, Vikas and Nayaran Y. Naik. "Multi-Period Performance Persistence Analysis of Hedge Funds." *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 35.3 (September 2000), 327–342.
- Agarwal, Vikas and Nayaran Y. Naik. "Risk and Portfolio Decisions Involving Hedge Funds." *The Review of Financial Studies* 17.1 (Spring 2004), 63–98.
- Anderson, Seth C. "Closed-End Funds Versus Market Efficiency." *The Journal of Portfolio Management* 13.1 (Fall 1986), 63–65.
- Anderson, Seth C. "An Analysis of Trading Strategies for Closed-End Equity Funds." *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics* 26.1 (Winter 1987), 3–19.
- Anderson, Seth C. "Evidence on the Reflecting Barriers Model: New Opportunities for Technical Analysis?" *Financial Analysts Journal* 45.3 (May/June 1989), 67–71.
- Anderson, Seth C. and Jeffery A. Born. "Market Imperfections and Asset Pricing." *Review of Business and Economic Research* 23.1 (Spring 1987), 14–25.
- Anderson, Seth C. and Jeffery A. Born. "The Effects of Market Imperfections on Asset Pricing and Risk: An Empirical Examination." *The Journal of the Midwest Finance Association* 16 (1987), 1–17.
- Anderson, Seth C. and Jeffery A. Born. "The Selling and Seasoning of CEIC IPOs." *Journal of Financial Services Research* 2.2 (Summer 1989), 131–150.
- Anderson, Seth C. and Jeffery A. Born. *Closed-End Investment Companies: Issues and Answers*. Hingham, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992.
- Anderson, S.C., J. Coleman, and J. Born. "A Closer Look at Trading Strategies for U.S. Equity Closed-End Investment Companies: The Impact of Trigger Points and Transaction Costs." *Financial Services Review* 10 (December 2001), 237–248.
- Anderson, Seth C., Jay Coleman, Jeff Steagall, and Cheryl Frohlich. "A Multi-Factor Analysis of Country Fund Returns." *Journal of Financial Research* (Spring 2001), 331–346.
- Anderson, Seth C., Jeffery A. Born, and T. Randolph Beard. "An Analysis of Bond Investment Company IPOs: Past and Present." *The Financial Review* 26.2 (May 1991), 211–222.
- Anderson, Seth C. and Robert E. Stanford. "A Tâtonnement Model for Dynamic Adjustment of Investment Company Share Prices." *Applied Financial Economics* 3 (1993), 159–168.
- Anoruo, Emmanuel, Sanjay Ramchander, and Harold Thiewes. "Cross-Border Linkages Among Asian Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Economics and Finance* 27.3 (Fall 2003), 357–372.

- Arak, Marcelle and Dean Taylor. "Optimal Trading and Mean-Reverting Prices: Switching Between Foreign Stocks and Closed-End Country Funds." *Applied Economics* 28 (1996), 1067–1074.
- Arak, Marcelle, and Dean Taylor. "Risk and Return in Trading Closed-End Funds: Can Trading Beat Holding Foreign Stocks?" *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Business* 36.2 (1996), 219–231.
- Arshananapalli, Bala, Jongmo Jay Choi, E. Tyler Clagget, Jr., John Doukas, and Insup Lee. "Explaining the Premiums and Discounts on Closed-End Equity Country Funds." *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 9.3 (Fall 1996), 109–117.
- Asness, Clifford, Robert Krail, and John Liew. "Do Hedge Funds Hedge?" *Journal of Portfolio Management* 28 (Fall 2001), 6–19.
- Bailey, Warren and Joseph Lim. "Evaluating the Diversification Benefits of New Country Funds." *Journal of Portfolio Management* (Spring 1992), 74–80.
- Barclay, Michael J., Clifford G. Holderness, and Jeffrey Pontiff. "Private Benefits from Block Ownership and Discounts on Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Financial Economics* 33 (1993), 263–291.
- Bansal, V.K. and A. Somani. "Exchange Traded Funds: Challenge to Traditional Mutual Funds." *Review of Business* 23 (2003), 40–43.
- Beckaert, Geert and Michael S. Urias. "Diversification, Integration and Emerging Market Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Finance* 51.3 (July 1996), 835–869.
- Berkman, H., T. Brailsford, and A. Frino. "A Note on Execution Costs for Stock Index Futures: Information Versus Liquidity Effects." *Journal of Banking & Finance* 29.3 (March 2005), 565–578.
- Bernstein, R.S. "A Comparison of Tax Efficiencies of ETFs, Vipers, and Open- and Closed-End Funds." *Corporate Taxation* 31.2 (March/April 2004), 34–38.
- Bers, Martina K. and Jeff Madura. "The Performance Persistence of Closed-End Funds." *Financial Review* 35.3 (August 2000), 33–52.
- Biktimirov, E.N. "The Effect of Demand on Stock Prices: Evidence from Index Fund Rebalancing." *The Financial Review* 39.3 (August 2004), 455.
- Blume, M.E. and R.M. Edelen. "On Replicating the S&P 500 Index." Working Paper (2008), The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania.
- Bodurtha, James N. Jr., Dong-Soon Kim, and Charles M.C. Lee. "Closed-End Country Funds and U.S. Market Sentiment." *Review of Financial Studies* 8.3 (1995), 879–919.
- Booth, Lena Chua and Hassan Tehrani. "Aftermarket Performance of Closed-End Funds Invested in International versus Domestic Securities." *Journal of Applied Finance* 15.1 (Spring 2005), 24–34.
- Bosner-Neal, Catherine, Gregory A. Brauer, Robert Neal, and Simon Wheatley. "International Restrictions and Closed-End Country Fund Prices." *Journal of Finance* 45.2 (June 1990), 523–547.
- Boudreaux, Kenneth J. "Discounts and Premiums on Closed-End Mutual Funds: A Study of Valuation." *Journal of Finance* 28.2 (May 1973), 515–522.
- Brauer, Gregory A. "Open-ending Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Financial Economics* 13.4 (December 1984), 491–507.
- Brauer, Gregory A. "Closed-End Fund Shares' Abnormal Returns and Information Content of Discounts and Premiums." *Journal of Finance* 43.1 (March 1988), 113–127.
- Brickley, James A. and James S. Schallheim. "Lifting the Lid on Closed-End Investment Companies: A Case of Abnormal Returns." *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 20.1 (March 1985), 107–117.
- Brickley, James, Steve Manaster, and James Schallheim. "The Tax Timing Option and the Discounts on Closed-End Investment Companies." *Journal of Business* 64.3 (1991), 287–312.
- Brown, Gregory W. "Volatility, Sentiment, and Noise Traders." *Financial Analysts Journal* 55.2 (March/April 1999), 82–90.

- Brown, Stephen J., William N. Goetzmann, and Roger G. Ibbotson. "Offshore Hedge Funds: Survival and Performance, 1989–1995." *Journal of Business* 72.1 (January 1999), 91–117.
- Brown, Stephen J., William N. Goetzmann, and Roger G. Ibbotson. "Hedge Funds and the Asian Currency Crisis of 1997." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 26.4 (Summer 2000), 95–101.
- Brown, Stephen, William Goetzmann, Bing Liang, and Christopher Schwarz. "Mandatory Disclosure and Operation Risk: Evidence from Hedge Fund Registration." *Journal of Finance* (forthcoming).
- Brunnermeier, Markus K. and Stefan Nagel. "Hedge Funds and the Technology Bubble." *Journal of Finance* 54.5 (October 2004), 2013–2039.
- Burch, T.R., D. Emery and M.E. Fuerst. "What Can 'Nine-Eleven' Tell Us About Closed-End Fund Discounts and Investor Sentiment?" *Financial Review* 38 (2003), 515–529.
- Caks, John and Emilio R. Zarruk. "Closed-End Fund Discounts: Pedagogical Notes." *Financial Practice and Education* 3.1 (Spring/Summer 1993), 95–97.
- Chance, Don M. "A Theory of the Value of Active Investment Management and its Implications for Closed-End Funds and Investment Management Contracts." *Advances in Financial Economics* 3.2 (1997), 81–115.
- Chang, Eric, Cheol S. Eun, and Richard Kolodny. "International Diversification through Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 19.4 (1995), 1237–1263.
- Chay, J.B. and Charles A. Trzcinka. "Managerial Performance and the Cross-Sectional Pricing of Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Financial Economics* 39.2 (1999), 379–408.
- Chen, Nai Fu, Raymond Kan, and Merton H. Miller. "Are the Discounts on Closed-End Funds a Sentiment Index?" *Journal of Finance* 48.2 (June 1993), 795–800.
- Cheng, L.T.W., H. Fung, and Y. Tse. "China's Exchange Traded Fund: Is there a Trading Place Bias?" *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* 11.1 (March 2008), 61–74.
- Choi, Jongmoo Jay and Insup Lee. "Market Segmentation and the Valuation of Closed-End Country Funds." *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 7.1 (1996), 45–63.
- Chopra, Navin, Charles M.C. Lee, Andrei Shleifer, and Richard Thaler. "Yes, Discounts on Closed-End Funds Are a Sentiment Index." *Journal of Finance* 48.2 (June 1993), 801–808.
- Chou, R.K. and H. Chung. "Decimalization, Trading Costs, and Information Transmission Between ETFs and Index Futures." *The Journal of Futures Markets* 26.2 (February 2006), 131–151.
- Chowdhury, Abdur R. "The Behavior of Closed-End Country Fund Prices in the Asian NIEs." *Applied Economic Letters* 1 (1994), 219–222.
- Close, James A. "Investment Companies: Closed-End versus Open-End." *Harvard Business Review* 30 (1952), 79–88.
- Coles, Jeffery, Jose Suay, and Denise Woodbury. "Fund Advisor Compensation and Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Finance* 55.3 (June 2000), 1385–1414.
- Copeland, Lawrence. "Arbitrage Bounds and the Time Series Properties of the Discount on UK Closed-End Mutual Funds." *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* 34.1 (2007), 313–330.
- Crawford, Peggy J. and Charles P. Harper. "An Analysis of the Discounts on Closed-End Mutual Funds." *The Financial Review* 20.3 (August 1985), 30.
- DeJong, J.C. and S.G. Rhee. "Abnormal Returns with Momentum/Contrarian Strategies Using Exchange Traded Funds." *Journal of Asset Management* 9.4 (2008), 289–299.
- Dellva, W.L. "Exchange-Traded Funds Not For Everyone." *Journal of Financial Planning* 14.4 (April 2001), 110–124.
- DeLong, J. Bradford, Andrei Shleifer, Larrence H. Summers, and Richard J. Waldman. "Noise Trader Risk in Financial Markets." *Journal of Political Economy* 98 (1990), 703–738.
- DeLong, J. Bradford, Andrei Shleifer, Larrence H. Summers, and Richard J. Waldman. "The Stock Market Bubble of 1929: Evidence from Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Economic History* 51.3 (September 1991), 675–700.

- DeLong, J. Bradford, Andrei Shleifer, Larrence H. Summers, and Richard J. Waldman. "Closed-End Fund Discounts: A Yardstick of Small-Investor Sentiment." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 18.2 (Winter 1992), 46–53.
- Edwards, Franklin R. "Hedge Funds and the Collapse of Long-Term Capital Management." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 13.2 (Spring 1999), 189–210.
- Edwards, Robert G., Jr. "Closed-End Discounts Due to Capital Gain Problems?" *The Commercial and Financial Chronicle* 207 (January 11, 1968), 3, 24, 25.
- Engle, R. and D. Sarkar. "Premiums-Discounts and Exchange Traded Funds." *Journal of Derivatives* 13.4 (Summer 2006), 27–46.
- Errunza, Vihang, Lemma Senebet, and Ked Hogan. "The Pricing of Country Funds from Emerging Markets: Theory and Evidence." *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* 1.1 (1998), 111–143.
- Fishbein, Richard. "Closed-End Investment Companies." *Financial Analysts Journal* 26.2 (March–April 1970), 67–73.
- Fowler, John F. *American Investment Trusts*. New York: Harper & Bros., 1928.
- Fung, William and David A. Hsieh. "Empirical Characteristics of dynamic Trading Strategies: The Case of Hedge Funds." *The Review of Financial Studies* 10.2 (Summer 1997), 275–302.
- Fung, William and David A. Hsieh. "A Primer on Hedge Funds." *Journal of Empirical Finance* 6.3 (September 1999), 309–331.
- Fung, William and David A. Hsieh. "Measuring the market impact of hedge funds." *Journal of Empirical Finance* 7.1 (May 2000), 1–36.
- Gastineau, G.L. "The Benchmark Index ETF Performance Problems." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 30.2 (Winter 2004), 96–103.
- Ghose, Subrata and Jeffery A. Born. "Asian and Latin American Emerging Market Closed-End Funds: Return and Diversification." *Emerging Markets Quarterly* 2.2 (Fall 1998), 63–75.
- Grinblatt, Mark and Sheridan Titman. "Mutual Fund Performance: An Analysis of Quarterly Portfolio Holdings." *Journal of Business* 62.3 (July 1989), 393–416.
- Hakansson, Nils. "The Purchasing Power Fund: A New Kind of Financial Intermediary." *Financial Analysts Journal* 32 (November–December 1976), 49–59.
- Hanley, Kathleen Weiss, Charles M.C. Lee, and Paul J. Sequin. "The Marketing of Closed-End Fund IPOs: Evidence from Transaction Data." *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 5.1 (Spring 1996), 127–159.
- Hanna, Mark. "An Investor Expectations Stock Price Predictive Model Using Closed-End Fund Premiums: A Comment." *Journal of Finance* 32.4 (September 1977), 1368–1371.
- Harper, J.T., J. Madura, and O. Schnusenberg. "Performance Comparison Between Exchange-Traded Funds and Closed-End Country Funds." *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 16.2 (April 2005), 104–122.
- Hasbrouck, J. "Intraday Price Formation in U.S. Equity Index Markets." *Journal of Finance* 58.6 (December 2003), 2375–2399.
- Hedricks, D., J. Patel, and R. Zeckhauser. "Hot Hands in Mutual Funds: Short-Run Persistence of Relative Performance, 1974–1988" *Journal of Finance* 48 (March 1993), 93–130.
- Hughen, J. Christopher, Prem G. Mathew and Kent P. Ragan. "A NAV A Day Keeps the Inefficiency Away? Fund Trading Strategies Using Daily Values." *Financial Services Review* 14.3 (Fall 2005), 213–230.
- Ingersoll, Jonathan E., Jr. "A Theoretical and Empirical Examination of the Dual Purpose Funds." *Journal of Financial Economics* 3.1 (January/March 1976), 81–123.
- Investment Company Fact Book. (2008). *Appendix A: How Mutual Funds and Investment Companies Operate*. ICI Investment Company Institute. (Retrieved on 4 August 2008) http://www.icifactbook.org/fb_appa.html

- Jares, T.E. and A.M. Lavin. "Japan and Hong Kong Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs): Discounts, Returns, and Trading Strategies." *Journal of Financial Services Research* 25.1 (February 2004), 57–69.
- Johnson, Gordon, Thomas Schneeweis, and William Dinning. "Closed-End Country Funds: Exchange Rate and Investment Risk." *Financial Analysts Journal* 49.6 (November/December 1993), 74–82.
- Jones, Albert Winslow. "Fashion in Forecasting." *Fortune* (March 1949), 186.
- Khorana, A., E. Nelling, and J.J. Trester. "The Emergency of Country Index Funds." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 24.4 (Summer 1998), 78–84.
- Kim, Chang-Soo. "Investor Tax-Trading Opportunities and Discounts on Closed-End Mutual Funds." *Journal of Financial Research* 17.1 (Spring 1994), 65–75.
- Klibanoff, Peter, Owen Lamont, and Thierry A. Wizman. "Investor Reaction to Salient News in Closed-End Country Funds." *Journal of Finance* 52.2 (April 1998), 673–699.
- Kramer, Charles and R. Todd Smith. "The Mexican Crisis and the Behavior of Country Fund Discounts: Renewing the Puzzle of Closed-End Fund Pricing." *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* 1.1 (1998), 164–171.
- Krooss, Herman E. and Martin R. Blyn. *A History of Financial Intermediaries*. New York: Random House, 1971.
- Kumar, Raman and Gregory M. Noronha. "A Re-Examination of the Relationship Between Closed-End Fund Discounts and Expenses." *Journal of Financial Research* 15.2 (Summer 1992), 139–147.
- La Barge, Karin P. and Richard A. La Barge. "Portfolio Sets for Latin American Closed-End Country Funds in the Changing Interest Rate Environment of 1992–1994." *Journal of Financial Engineering* 5.1 (1996), 37–52.
- Lee, Charles M.C., Andrei Shleifer, and Richard H. Thaler. "Anomalies: Closed-End Fund Mutual Funds." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 4.4 (Fall 1990), 154–164.
- Lee, Charles M.C., Andrei Shleifer, and Richard H. Thaler. "Investor Sentiment and the Closed-End Fund Puzzle." *Journal of Finance* 66.1 (March 1991), 75–109.
- Lee, Charles M.C. and Mark A. Ready. "Inferring Trade Direction from Intra-day Data." *Journal of Finance* 46.2 (May 1991), 733–746.
- Leonard, David C. and David M. Shull. "Investor Sentiment and the Closed-End Fund Evidence: Impact of the January Effect." *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Business* 36.1 (Spring 1996), 117–126.
- Leonard, David C. and Nicholas R. Noble. "Estimation of Time-Varying Systematic Risk and Investment Performance: Closed-End Investment Companies." *Journal of Financial Research* 4.2 (Summer 1981), 109–117.
- Liang, Bing. "Hedge Funds: The Living and Dead." *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 35.3 (September 2000), 309–326.
- Lin, C., S. Chan, and H. Hsu. "Pricing Efficiency of Exchange Traded Funds in Taiwan." *Journal of Asset Management* 7.1 (May 2006), 60–68.
- Litzenberger, Robert H. and Howard B. Sosin. "The Structure and Management of Dual Purpose Funds." *Journal of Financial Economics* 4 (March 1977), 203–230.
- Lofthouse, Stephen. "Closed-End Fund and Investment Trust Discounts." *Journal of Investing* 8.1 (Spring 1999), 27–37.
- Loomis, Carol. "The Jones Nobody Keeps Up With." *Fortune* (April 1966), 237–247.
- Madura, J. and T. Ngo. "Impact of ETF Inception on the Valuation and Trading of Component Stocks." *Applied Financial Economics* 18.12 (July 2008), 995–1007.
- Malhoutra, D.K. and Robert W. McLeod. "Closed-End Fund Expenses and Investment Selection." *Financial Review* 41.1 (Spring 2000), 85–104.
- Malkiel, Burton G. "The Valuation of Closed-End Investment Company Shares." *Journal of Finance* 32.3 (June 1977), 847–859.
- Malkiel, Burton G. and Paul B. Firstenberg. "A Winning Strategy for an Efficient Market." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 4.4 (Summer 1978), 20–25.

- Malkiel, Burton G. "The Structure of Closed-End Fund Discounts Revisited." *Journal of Portfolio Management* (Summer 1995), 32–38.
- Marcus, Matityahu and Uzi Yaari. "How a Closed-End Fund Can Out-Perform its Own Stock Portfolio." *International Journal of Finance* 1.1 (Autumn 1988), 1–14.
- McInish, Thomas H. "Publicly Traded Investment Company Discounts/Premiums." *Baylor Business Studies* 11.4 (Winter 1980), 17–24.
- Medewitz, Jeanette N., Fuad A. Adullah, and Keith Olsen. "An Investigation into the Market Valuation Process of Closed-End Country Funds." *FM Letters* 23.1 (Spring 1994), 13–14.
- Mendelson, Morris. "Closed-End Fund Discounts Revisited." *The Financial Review* 13.1 (Spring 1978), 48–72.
- Merton, Robert. "Options Pricing when Underlying Stock Returns are Discontinuous." *Journal of Financial Economics* 3.5 (1976), 125–144.
- Miffre, J. "Country-Specific ETFs: An Efficient Approach to Global Asset Allocation." *Journal of Asset Management* 8.2 (July 2007), 112–122.
- Miller, Edward M. "Risk, Uncertainty, and Divergence of Opinion." *Journal of Finance* 32.4 (September 1977), 1151–1160.
- Miller, Edward M. "Uncertainty Induced Bias in Capital Budgeting." *Financial Management* 7.3 (Fall 1978), 12–18.
- Miller, Edward M. "Explaining Intra-Day and Overnight Price Behavior." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 15.4 (1989), 10–17.
- Movassaghi, Hormoz, Alka Bramhandkar, and Milen Shikov. "Emerging vs. Developed Markets Closed-End Funds: A Comparative Performance Analysis." *Managerial Finance* 30:3 (2004), 51–61.
- Neal, Robert and Simon M. Wheatley. "Do Measures of Investor Sentiment Predict Returns?" *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 33.4 (December, 1998), 523–547.
- Nguyen, V., B.F. Van Ness, and R.A. Van Ness. "Short- and Long-Term Effects of Multi-market Trading." *The Financial Review* 42.3 (August 2007), 349–372.
- Noronha, Gregory M. and Bruce L. Rubin. "Closed-End Bond Fund Discounts: Agency Costs, Investor Sentiment and Portfolio Content." *Journal of Economics and Finance* 19.3 (Summer 1995), 29–44.
- Ofek, Eli, and Matthew Richardson. "The Valuation and Market Rationality of Internet Stock Prices." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 18.3 (Fall 2002), 265–287.
- Olienyk, John P., Robert Schweback, and J. Kenton Zumwalt. "WEBS, SPDRs, and Country Funds: An Analysis of International Cointegration." *Journal of Multinational Financial Management* 9.3-4 (November 1999), 217–232.
- Patro, D.K. "Market Segmentation and International Asset Prices: Evidence from the Listing of World Equity Benchmark Shares." *Journal of Financial Research* 24.1 (Spring 2001), 83–98.
- Peavy John W. III. "Closed-End Fund IPOs: Caveat Emptor." *Financial Analysts Journal* 45.3 (May/June 1989), 71–75.
- Peavy John W. III. "New Evidence on the Turn-of-the-Year Effect from Closed-End Fund IPOs." *Journal of Financial Research* 9.1 (1995), 49–64.
- Peavy, John M. "Returns on Initial Public Offerings of Closed-End Funds." *Review of Financial Studies* 3.4 (1990), 695–708.
- Pennathur, A.K., N. Delcoure, and D. Anderson. "Diversification Benefits of iShares and Closed-End Country Funds." *Journal of Financial Research* 25.4 (Winter 2002), 541–557.
- Pontiff, Jeffrey. "Closed-End Fund Premia and Returns: Implications for Financial Market Equilibrium." *Journal of Financial Economics* 37.2 (1995), 341–370.
- Pontiff, Jeffrey. "Costly Arbitrage: Evidence from Closed-End Fund Discounts." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 107 (November 1996), 1135–1151.
- Pontiff, Jeffrey. "Excess Volatility of Closed-End Funds." *American Economic Review* 87.1 (March 1997), 155–167.
- Porter, Gary, Rodney L. Roenfeldt, and Neil W. Sichernman, "The Value of Open Market Repurchases of Closed-End Fund Shares." *Journal of Business* 7.2 (1999), 257–276.

- Poterba, J.M. and J.B. Shoven. "Exchange-Traded Funds: A New Investment Option for Taxable Investors." *The American Economic Review* 92.2 (May 2002), 422–427.
- Pratt, Eugene J. "Myths Associated With Closed-End Investment Company Discounts." *Financial Analysts Journal* 22.4 (July–August 1966), 79–82.
- Report of the President's Working Group on Financial Markets.* (April 1999), 38–40.
- Richards, R. Malcom, Donald R. Fraser, and John C. Groth. "Premiums, Discounts, and the Volatility of Closed-End Mutual Funds." *The Financial Review* 14.3 (Fall 1979), 26–33.
- Richards, R. Malcom, Donald R. Fraser, and John C. Groth. "Winning Strategies for Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Portfolio Management* 7.1 (Fall 1980), 50–55.
- Richie, N. and J. Madura. "Evidence of Overreaction Among International Exchange-Traded Funds." *Journal of Financial Service Professionals* 60.5 (September 2006), 66–78.
- Richie, N. and J. Madura. "Impact of the QQQ on Liquidity and Risk of the Underlying Stocks." *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 47.3 (July 2007), 411–421.
- Roefeldt, Rodney L and Donald L. Tuttle. "An Examination of the Discounts and Premiums of Closed-End Investment Companies." *Journal of Business Research* 1.2 (Fall 1973), 129–140.
- Rohrer, Julie. "The Red-Hot World of Julian Robertson." *Institutional Investor* (May 1986), 86–92.
- Row, Wei Wang and Wallace N. Davidson III. "Fund Manager Succession in Closed-End Mutual Funds." *Financial Review* 35.3 (August, 2000), 53–78.
- Russel, Philip S. "Closed-End Fund Pricing: The Puzzle, The Explanations, and Some New Evidence." *Journal of Business & Economic Studies* 11.1 (Spring 2005), 34–49.
- Schnabel, Jacques A. "Corporate Spin-Offs and Closed-End Funds in a State-Preference Framework." *Financial Review* 27.3 (August 1992), 391–409.
- Sharpe, William F. and Howard Sosin. "Closed-End Investment Companies in the United States: Risk and Return." Proceedings, 1974 Meeting of the European Finance Association. Ed. Bertrand Jacquillat. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1975, 37–63.
- Sias, Richard. "Optimum Trading Strategies for Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Investing* 6.1 (Spring 1997), 54–61.
- Sias, Richard. "Price Pressure and the Role of Institutional Investors in Closed-End Funds." *Journal of Financial Research* 20.2 (Summer 1997), 211–229.
- Sias, Richard. "The Sensitivity of Individual and Institutional Investors' Expectations to Changing Market Conditions: Evidence From Closed-End Funds." *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 8.1 (1997), 245–69.
- Simon, D.P. and J.S. Sternberg. "Overreaction and Trading Strategies in European iShares." *The Journal of Alternative Investments* 8.1 (Summer 2005), 29–42.
- Simon, Julian L. "Does 'Good Portfolio Management' Exist?" *Management Science* 15.6 (February 1969), 308–319.
- Steiner, William H. *Investment Trusts, American Experience.* New York: Adelphi, 1929 (reprinted 1975).
- Stout, D.E. and H. Chen. "A Primer on Exchange Traded Funds: Purpose, Operation, and Risk." *The CPA Journal* 76.9 (September 2006), 56–59.
- Swaminathan, Bhaskaran. "Time-Varying Expected Small Firm Returns and Closed-End Fund Discounts." *Review of Financial Studies* 9.3 (1996), 845–887.
- Thompson, Rex. "The Information Content of Discounts and Premiums on Closed-End Fund Shares." *Journal of Financial Economics* 6.2 (June 1978), 151–186.
- Tse, Y., P. Bandyopadhyay, and Y. Shen. "Intraday Price Discovery in the DJIA Index Markets." *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* 33.9/10 (November/December 2006), 1572–1585.
- Tse, Y. and V. Martinez. "Price Discovery and Informational Efficiency of International iShares Funds." *Global Finance Journal* 18.1 (2007), 1–15.
- Walters, Joan G. "Discussion." *Journal of Finance* 28.2 (May 1973), 538–539.

- Weiss, Kathleen. "The Post-Offering Price Performance of Closed-End Funds." *Financial Management* 18.3 (Autumn 1989), 57–67.
- Wiesenberger Investment Companies Services. *Investment Companies*. New York: Warren, Gorham and Lamont, Inc., various issues (1947).
- Woan, Ronald J. and Germain Kline. "Determinants of Municipal Bond Closed-End Fund Discounts." *Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge* (September 2003), 355–360.
- Zweig, Martin E. "An Investor Expectations Stock Price Predictive Model Using Closed-End Fund Premiums." *Journal of Finance* 28.1 (March 1973), 67–78.

Author Index

A

Abraham, A., 47
Ackermann, C., 93–94
Ackert, L. F., 85
Agarwal, V., 98, 100
Anderson, S. C., 17, 18, 29, 55–56, 58–59, 60, 62
Anoruo, E., 29
Arak, M., 57
Arshanapalli, B., 26
Asness, C., 97

B

Bailey, W., 23
Bansal, V. K., 82
Barclay, M. J., 32, 76, 81
Beard, R. T., 62
Beckaert, G., 27
Berkman, H., 82
Bernstein, R. S., 83
Bers, M. K., 70
Biktimirov, E. N., 82
Blume, M. E., 84
Bodurtha, J. N. Jr., 43
Booth, L. C., 63
Born, J. A., 8, 62
Bosner-Neal, C., 22
Boudreaux, K. J., 37–38
Bramhandkar, A., 30
Brauer, G. A., 22, 31, 32
Brickley, J. A., 18, 31–32
Brown, G. W., 48, 49
Brown, S. J., 95, 96, 100
Brunnermeier, M. K., 98–99
Burch, T. R., 49

C

Caks, J., 66
Chan, S., 85

Chance, D. M., 33
Chang, E., 25
Chay, J. B., 34, 35, 72
Chen, H., 80
Chen, N. F., 41, 80
Cheng, L. T. W., 85
Choi, J. J., 25, 26
Chopra, N., 42
Chou, R. K., 83
Chowdhury, A. R., 24
Christopher, P., 59
Chung, H., 83
Clagget, T. E. Jr., 26
Close, J. A., 15
Coleman, J., 29, 58
Coles, J., 35
Copeland, L., 70
Crawford, P. J., 17

D

Davidson, W. N. III, 35
DeJong, J. C., 85
Dellva, W. L., 83
DeLong, J. B., 40, 45
Dinning, W., 23
Doukas, J., 26

E

Edelen, R. M., 84
Edwards, F. R., 91, 92–93
Edwards, R. G. Jr., 15
Elan, D., 47
Emery, D., 49
Engle, R., 82, 85
Errunza, V., 27
Eun, C. S., 25

F

Firstenberg, P. B., 52
 Fishbein, R., 50, 51
 Fowler, J. F., 8
 Fraser, M. D. R., 53–54, 55, 56
 Frohlich, C., 29
 Fuerst, M. E., 49
 Fung, H., 91, 93, 96
 Fung, W., 91, 93, 96

G

Gastineau, G. L., 83, 84
 Ghose, S., 28
 Goetzmann, W. N., 95, 96, 100
 Grinblatt, M., 92
 Groth, J. C., 53, 54, 55, 56

H

Hakansson, N., 12
 Hanley, K. W., 62
 Hanna, M., 38
 Harper, C. P., 17, 84
 Harper, J. T., 84
 Hasbrouck, J., 83
 Hedricks, D., 98
 Hogan, K., 27
 Holderness, C. G., 32
 Hsieh, D. A., 91, 93, 96
 Hughen, J., 59

I

Ibbotson, R. G., 95, 96
 Ingersoll, J. E. Jr., 51

J

Jares, T. E., 84
 Johnson, G., 23
 Jones, A. W., 12, 87

K

Khorana, A., 84
 Kim, C. -S., 20
 Kim, D. -S., 43
 Klibanoff, P., 46
 Kline, G., 21
 Kolodny, R., 25
 Krail, R., 97
 Kramer, C., 47

Krooss, H. E., 8
 Kumar, R., 19

L

La Barge, K. P., 26
 La Barge, R. A., 26
 Lamont, O., 46
 Lavin, A. M., 84
 Lee, C. M. C., 38–39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47,
 49, 62, 68
 Lee, I., 21, 25, 26, 35, 40, 42, 44, 43, 45,
 47, 68
 Leonard, D. C., 43, 65
 Liang, B., 94–95, 100
 Liew, J., 97
 Lim, J., 23
 Lin, C., 85
 Litzenberger, R. H., 52
 Lofthouse, S., 69
 Loomis, C., 87

M

McEnally, R., 88, 93–94
 McLeod, R. W., 20
 McInish, T. H., 38
 Madura, J., 70, 83, 85
 Malhoutra, D. K., 20
 Malkiel, B. G., 16, 19, 20, 34, 52
 Manaster, S., 18
 Marcus, A. J., 47, 65
 Marcus, M., 65
 Martin R. B., 8
 Martinez, V., 85
 Mathew, G., 59
 Medewitz, J. N., 24
 Mendelson, M., 16, 17
 Merton, R., 88
 Miffre, J., 85
 Miller, M. H., 41, 54, 65
 Movassaghi, H., 30

N

Nagel, S., 98–99
 Naik, N. Y., 98, 100
 Neal, R., 22, 48
 Ngo, T., 83
 Nguyen, V., 83
 Noble, N. R., 65
 Noronha, G. M., 19, 42

O

Ofek, E., 99
 Olienyk, J. P., 69

P

Patel, J., 98
 Patro, D. K., 84
 Peavy, J. M., 61, 62
 Peavy, J. W. III, 59, 60
 Pennathur, A. K., 84
 Pontiff, J., 32, 67, 68
 Porter, G., 34
 Poterba, J. M., 83
 Pratt, E. J., 36, 37

R

Ragan, K. P., 59
 Ramchander, S., 29
 Ravenscraft, D., 88, 93–94
 Raymond, K., 41
 Ready, M. A., 45
 Rhee, S. G., 85
 Richards, R., 53, 54, 55, 56
 Richardson, M., 99
 Richie, N., 83, 85
 Roenfeldt, R. L., 30, 31, 34
 Rohrer, J., 88
 Row, W. W., 35
 Rubin, B. L., 42
 Russel, P. S., 21

S

Sarkar, D., 82, 85
 Schallheim, J. S., 18–19, 31–32
 Schnabel, J. A., 65
 Schneeweis, T., 23
 Schwarz, C., 100
 Schweback, R., 69
 Sharpe, W. F., 64–65, 91, 96
 Shikov, M., 30
 Shleifer, A., 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 67
 Shoven, J. B., 83
 Shull, D. M., 43
 Sias, R., 44, 45, 46, 58
 Sicherman, N. W., 34

Simon, J. L., 49
 Smith, T. R., 47
 Somani, A., 82
 Sosin, H. B., 52, 64
 Stanford, R. E., 59
 Steagall, J., 29
 Steiner, W. H., 8, 9
 Sternberg, J. S., 85
 Stout, D. E., 80
 Suay, J., 35
 Summers, L. H., 67
 Swaminathan, B., 44

T

Taylor, D., 57
 Tehranian, H., 63
 Thaler, R. H., 38, 39, 41, 42
 Thiewes, H., 29
 Thompson, R., 32, 53
 Tian, Y. S., 85
 Titman, S., 92
 Trzcinka, C. A., 34, 35, 72
 Tse, Y., 83, 85
 Tuttle, D. L., 30, 31

U

Urias, M. S., 27

W

Waldman, R. J., 67
 Walters, J. G., 38
 Weiss, K., 61, 62
 Wheatley, S. M., 22, 48
 Wheatley, S., 22, 48
 Wizman, T. A., 46
 Woan, R. J., 21
 Woodbury, D., 35

Z

Zarruk, E. R., 66
 Zeckhauser, R., 98
 Zumwalt, K. J., 69
 Zweig, M. E., 37, 38

Subject Index

A

Alexander Fund, 8, 9
Authorized participant, 77, 78, 80

B

Block ownership, 32
Bond, 5, 7, 9, 11, 17, 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 42, 43, 47, 50, 61, 62, 68, 70, 72, 75, 77, 78, 80, 81, 88, 91, 92, 96, 111

C

Capital appreciation, 5, 14, 16, 42, 51, 109
Capital gains, 15, 17, 19, 20, 36, 39, 52, 65, 66, 69, 71, 80, 81, 107, 109, 110
Closed-end fund (CEF or CEIC), 4, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 80, 84, 85, 90, 91, 110
Commission, 10, 14, 37, 56, 57, 59, 79, 80, 110–111, 113
Contagion, 48, 89, 93, 103
Contingent incentive fee, 91
Country fund, 12, 14, 15–36, 43, 46, 47, 57, 68, 69, 70, 71–72, 84
Creation, 5, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 83, 84, 88, 89, 106
Creation unit, 5, 77, 78, 79

D

Discount, 5, 11, 13–22, 25–60, 65–73, 77, 81, 82, 84, 109, 110–114
Distribution, 14–17, 36, 53, 66, 76, 79, 80, 82, 109–110

Diversification, 9, 14, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 37, 71, 72, 82, 84, 93, 111, 112, 113
Dividend, 4, 5, 8, 44, 51, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 75, 76, 80, 81, 105, 107, 110, 112

E

Exchange-traded fund (ETF), 3, 5–6, 11, 12, 13, 75–85
Expectations, 14, 17, 18, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 44, 45, 61, 65, 73, 114

F

Fee structure, 88, 91, 101, 102
Fee, expense, 15, 88, 111
Filter rule, 55, 56, 57
Flipping, 63
Foreign holding, 18, 20

H

Hedge fund, 1, 3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 75, 87–103
High-water mark, 91

I

Initial public offering (IPO), 4, 11, 14, 39, 40, 41, 49–71, 73
Investment Act of 1940, 105–107
Investment performance, 11, 15, 34, 36, 52, 56, 60, 61, 65, 113
Investment restriction, 22, 24, 72
Investment trust, 3, 8, 9, 12, 50, 69, 71, 76, 105, 106
Investor sentiment, 14, 19, 21, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 73, 114
Irrational factor, 14

J

Jones, A.W., 12, 87

L

Limited partnership, 1, 6, 88

M

Margin, 26, 80, 81, 106
 Market efficiency, 52, 55
 Market friction, 68, 71, 110
 Market imperfections, 17, 18, 51, 52
 Market level, 36, 114
 Multi-factor model, 28, 91, 101
 Net asset value (NAV), 4, 6, 9, 11, 15, 16,
 17, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 37,
 39, 40, 41, 44, 46, 47, 49, 51, 54, 56, 58, 59,
 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 73, 81, 84,
 109, 112

N

Noise trader, 21, 24, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 48,
 57, 67, 69

O

Open-end fund (mutual fund), 1, 3, 4, 5, 9,
 14, 15, 16, 34, 36, 37, 40, 46, 50, 51, 64, 68,
 88, 90, 91, 92, 94, 98, 100, 101, 102, 111
 Other country fund, 12, 47

P

Past performance, 4, 14, 16, 36, 53, 113
 Perceptions, 14, 36, 38, 73, 113
 Performance persistence, 70, 87, 98, 102–103
 Premium, 5, 14, 17, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27,
 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40,
 41, 43, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 57, 60, 61, 62,
 68, 69, 72, 73, 81, 82, 84, 85, 112, 113
 Prospectus, 4, 10, 78, 106

R

Railroad securities, 7
 Redemption, 4, 5, 10, 16, 38, 40, 48, 50, 51,
 77, 78, 80, 83, 84
 Registration statement, 10
 Restricted assets, 112
 Restricted holdings, 8, 14, 20, 52
 Restricted liquidity, 98
 Restricted securities, 8, 19, 42, 112
 Restricted shares, 14, 20
 Restricted stock, 16, 19, 20, 39
 Risky trading strategies, 6, 13, 17, 29, 49–71,
 91, 92, 95, 98, 102, 103

S

Securities Act of 1933, 10
 Securities Exchange Act, 10
 Selling effort, 36
 Sentiment, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24, 36–49,
 73, 114
 Short selling, 12, 65, 68, 91, 96
 SPDR, 12, 69, 70, 75, 76, 79, 83
 Survivorship bias, 92, 94, 95, 96, 101

T

Tax efficiency, 80, 83, 85
 Tax liability, 14, 15, 16, 30, 36, 52, 107,
 109, 110
 Tracking error, 81, 85
 Trading strategies, 6, 14, 17, 29, 49–71, 91,
 92, 95, 98, 103
 Transaction cost, 14, 19, 33, 57, 58, 59, 75,
 79, 83, 84, 111
 Turnover, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 30, 37, 38, 53,
 66, 71, 80, 111

U

Unit investment trust (UIT), 1, 5, 9, 12,
 76, 105