

INDEX¹

A

A-10 Warthog, 117

Advanced Tactical Fighter, 118

Air Force

aircraft readiness issues, 130

ballistic missiles, 68, 107

centrality of pilots, 104

changes in size, 102

cruise missiles, 107

current procurement programs, 131

institutional insecurity, 109

potential for overstretch, 132, 261

Air Force culture

affinity for technology, 103–106

belief in strategic decisiveness of
airpower, 108

conservatism, 107

focus on aircraft, 110

Air Force remotely piloted aircraft, 130

advantages, 127

costs, 127, 128

history, 126

operational issues, 128

performance, 128

resistance to adoption, 126

support requirements, 129, 130

Air Force tactical aircraft

A-10 program, 117

F-15 program, 114

F-15X, 132

F-16 program, 115

preference for multirole designs, 112

preference for performance, 111

preference for strategic missions,
111, 113

All-volunteer force, 20, 49, 73, 186

American strategic culture, 83, 86, 88

attitudes about technology, 89

the Cold War and change, 91

conservatism, 90

materialist approach to war, 88

scientific study of war, 88

¹Note: Page numbers followed by 'n' refer to notes.

- Arleigh Burke*, 157, 159, 171
- Army
- all-volunteer force, 187
 - changes in doctrine and equipment, 186
 - changes in size, 184
 - changes in structure, 186
 - current initiatives, 214
 - embrace of revolution in military affairs, 196
 - Ground Combat Vehicle program, 211
 - institutional security, 185
 - internal hierarchy, 187
 - mission uncertainty, 213
 - Pentomic division, 68
 - post-Cold War challenges, 196
 - potential for overstretch, 216, 260
 - tactical nuclear weapons, 68
 - Total Army Concept, 73
 - vehicle modernization programs, 212
- Army aviation, 203
- airmobile concept, 199, 200
 - ARH-70 program, 202
 - Armed Aerial Scout program, 203
 - development of armed helicopters, 199
 - Future Attack Reconnaissance Aircraft program, 203
 - helicopters, 198
 - Korean War, 198
 - LHX program, 201
 - RAH-66 Comanche program, 201, 202
- Army culture
- affinity for technology, 196
 - apolitical focus on warfighting, 188–190
 - commitment to service, 187
 - conservatism, 193
 - human centric approach to war, 191, 192, 194, 197
 - increasing attention to politics, 190
 - materialist approach to war, 191–193
- Army doctrine
- AirLand Battle, 72, 200
 - Augustine, Norman R., 36, 56
- B**
- Balisle Report, *see* Fleet Review Panel of Surface Force Readiness
- Boyd, John, 114
- C**
- Christie, Thomas P., 114
- Clausewitz, Karl von, 87, 188
- Complexity, 36, 42, 52–54
- reliability, 54
- Concurrency, 36, 39–41, 117, 122, 168, 201, 206
- production problems, 41
- Congress, 81, 264
- Contractor provided support, 32–34, 52
- Contractors, 55
- Cruise missiles, 71, 74
- Culture
- American, 83
 - American attitudes about technology, 85
 - American exceptionalism, 86
 - American frontier heritage, 86
 - American land grant universities, 86, 89
 - decision-making, 84
 - defined, 84
 - and war, 85
- D**
- DDG-51, 156–162, 164, 165, 172, 173, 174n7
- See also Arleigh Burke*
- DDG-51 Flight III program, 160
- issues, 161

DDG-1000 program, 144, 150,
 156–161, 165, 168, 170, 173,
 174n11
 costs, 159
 intent, 159
 issues, 161
 origins, 158
 Demobilization, 20, 21, 184
 Douhet, Giulio, 104

E

EMW, *see* Expeditionary Maneuver
 Warfare
 Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle,
 238, 239
 Expeditionary Maneuver Warfare
 (EMW), 225, 227, 228,
 236, 251

F

F/A-18 Hornet, 71
 F-14 Tomcat, 70
 F-15 Eagle, 70, 114
 F-16 Fighting Falcon, 71, 115
 F-22A Raptor program, 42, 80, 120
 aircraft performance, 120
 critiques, 117, 119
 new technologies, 119
 origin (*see* Advanced Tactical
 Fighter)
 original purpose, 119
 program costs, 118
 support requirements, 120
 F-35 Lightning II program, 80, 125
 affordability questions, 123
 aircraft performance, 125
 F-35B, 248
 F-35B issues, 250
 F-35B support requirements, 249
 Navy F-35C, 155

original intent, 121
 program costs, 122
 program development, 122
 program issues, 123
 support requirements and
 costs, 124
 technologies, 122
 Fleet Review Panel of Surface Force
 Readiness, 170
 Future Combat System
 program, 209
 Army embrace of technology, 206
 concept, 205
 concept issues, 209
 costs, 207
 development issues, 208
 issues, 209
 origins, 205

H

Howze, Hamilton, 198
 Howze Board, 199

J

Joint Strike Fighter, *see* F-35 Lightning
 II program
 Jomini, Antoine-Henri, 87, 188

K

Korean War, 66–67
 Army, 189, 198
 Kosovo Crisis, 77, 105

L

LHX program, *see* RAH-66 Comanche
 program
 Lightweight fighter mafia,
 9, 114, 117

Littoral Combat Ship program
 costs, 164
 design competition, 163
 design issues, 168
 development issues, 168
 innovative crewing, 163
 intent, 162
 modular design, 163
 operational concept issues, 167
 performance issues, 167
 program cut, 169
 Streetfighter concept, 162
 support issues, 165

M

M-1 Abrams, 73

Marine Corps

changes in size, 225
 current role, 231
 importance of amphibious warfare
 mission, 228
 increasing mechanization, 230
 institutional insecurity, 226, 228
 potential for overstretch, 251, 261
 public opinion cultivation, 227
 recent changes in organization, 231
 structure written into US law, 227

Marine Corps amphibious vehicles, 240

Amphibious Combat Vehicle
 program, 240

Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle
 program, 239

history, 238

Marine Corps amphibious warfare
 mission, 237

Cold War challenges, 236
 current capability, 237
 development of expeditionary
 maneuver warfare, 237

Marine Corps aviation, 250

arming helicopters, 243

AV-8B Harrier II, 248

AV-8B Harrier II limitations, 248

F-35B program, 250

helicopters, 243

history, 241

Korean War, 242

MV-22 Osprey, 247

MV-22 Osprey performance,
 246, 247

potential for overstretch, 251

tiltrotor technology, 244

V/STOL aircraft, 250

V/STOL jet history, 248

V-22 Osprey history, 245

Vietnam War, 243

Marine Corps culture, 234

affinity for technology, 228, 232,
 242, 251

aviation, 240

commitment to tradition, 232

elitism, 233

flexibility, 232

human centric approach to war,
 228, 229

materialist approach to war, 229

political understanding of war, 233

McNamara, Robert (Secretary of
 Defense), 69, 70, 87, 194, 199

Mechanization, 18, 50, 55, 230, 261

Military equipment

common platform, 38

cost *vs.* performance, 36–37

cost *vs.* technology, 38–39

multi-role, 38

Military reform movement,
 9, 114, 263

Mitchell, Billy, 104

Motorization, 50, 261

MQ-9 Reaper, 127, 128

MV-22 Osprey program, 237
 performance, 246, 247

Myers, Chuck, 114

N

- Naval aviation, 156
 - A-12 Avenger II program, 153
 - F/A-18E/F Super Hornet program, 154, 155
 - F/A-18 Hornet program, 154
 - F-14 Tomcat program, 153
 - F-35C procurement cost, 155
 - F-35C program, 155
 - F-35C support costs, 156
 - Korean War, 152
 - tradition of autonomy, 153
 - Vietnam War, 152
- Navy
 - ballistic missile submarines, 68
 - changes in size, 144
 - force level issues, 171
 - institutional confidence, 145
 - nuclear propulsion, 68, 148
 - overstretch potential, 173
 - potential for overstretch, 259
 - questions about role, 146
 - readiness issues, 170
 - reforms to address overstretch, 173
 - revolution in military affairs, 150
 - SC-21 program, 158
 - shipbuilding plan, 172
 - Smart Ship Program, 158
 - strategic challenges, 172
- Navy culture
 - affinity for technology, 148
 - command autonomy, 148, 153
 - conservatism, 149
 - importance of tradition, 147
 - intellectual flexibility, 151
 - role of the sea, 149
 - ship-centric, 146
- Navy Large Surface Combatants, 161
 - ship classification, 157
- Navy Small Surface Combatants, 169
 - FFG(X) program, 169
 - littoral environment, 161

O

- OODA Loop, 76
- Operation Desert Storm, 75, 76
 - Air Force, 105, 116
 - Army, 194
- Overstretch, 17
 - Air Force, 6–7, 261
 - Army, 2–6, 216, 260
 - attempts to reduce risk, 258
 - Congress, 265
 - continuing potential, 261
 - definition, 1
 - Marine Corps, 6–7, 251, 261
 - military resistance to change, 264
 - Navy, 7, 173, 259
 - other militaries, 268
 - potential, 269
 - potential for reducing risk, 265
 - readiness issues, 269
 - US military fragility, 270

Q

- Quality *vs.* quantity debate, 9

R

- RAH-66 Comanche program, 42, 202
 - program cancellation, 202
- Readiness
 - Air Force, 7
 - Marine Corps, 6
- Responses to US military superiority, 268
 - asymmetric counters, 268
 - emulation, 267
- Revolution in military affairs, 75, 88, 89, 105
 - Army, 195, 196
 - Navy, 150, 156
 - potential, 76
 - technologies, 76

RQ-4 Global Hawk, 127
 Rumsfeld, Donald (Secretary of Defense), 77, 162, 195

S

Sprey, Pierre, 114
 Stealth, 71, 74, 124, 130, 153
 Streetfighter, 162

T

Tocqueville, Alexis du, 85
 Tooth-to-tail ratio, 45–55, 73
 Air Force changes, 47–48
 Army changes, 47
 defined, 45
 increase in administration, 49
 increases in life support, 49
 increases in logistical support, 49–55
 Navy changes, 47
 Trenchard, Hugh, 104

U

Uncertainty, 80
 U.S. Army Tactical Mobility Requirements Board, *see* Howze Board
 US comparative advantage in technology, 79
 US defense budget, 23
 analysis, 27, 35

changes, 25–26
 competition for funding, 82
 impact on military size, 26
 measuring, 25
 operations and maintenance, 34–35
 personnel, 29
 procurement, 29–30
 procurement costs, 44
 research, development, testing, and evaluation, 32, 38

US strategy

early Cold War, 65–66
 Flexible Response, 69, 87, 116, 194
 New Look, 67, 69
 nuclear deterrence, 67–68
 offset strategy, 72, 74

V

V-22 Osprey program
 costs (*see* MV-22 Osprey program)
 history, 245
 technical challenges, 245
 Vietnam War, 69, 70, 73, 79, 89, 113
 Air Force, 105, 116
 Army, 189, 194
 Navy, 152

Z

Zumwalt, *see* DDG-1000 program