

Bibliography

- Abrahams I and Millar R (2008) Does practical work really work? A study of the effectiveness of practical work as a teaching and learning method in school science. *International Journal of Science Education* 30(14): 1945–1969.
- Akrich M (1994) The de-scription of technical objects. In: Bijker WE and Law J (eds), *Shaping Technology/Building Society, Studies in Sociotechnical Change*, London: The MIT Press, pp. 205–224.
- Alelis G, Bobrowicz A and Ang CS (2013) Exhibiting emotion: Capturing visitors' emotional responses to museum artefacts. In: Marcus A (ed.), *Design, User Experience, and Usability. User Experience in Novel Technological Environments*. Springer: Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 429–438.
- Allan S (2002) *Media, Risk and Science*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Andersen IE and Jaeger B (1999) Danish participatory models. Scenario workshops and consensus conferences: Towards more democratic decision-making. *Science and Public Policy* 26(5): 331–340.
- Anderson RM, Perucci R, Schendel DE, et al. (1980) *Divided Loyalties: Whistle-Blowing at BART*. Purdue University Press.
- Arata M (2007) PR for the physics of matter. In: Bauer MW and Bucchi M (eds), *Journalism, Science and Society: Science Communication between News and Public Relations*, Routledge.

- Árnason V (2013) Scientific citizenship in a democratic society. *Public Understanding of Science* 22(8): 927–940.
- Bächtiger A, Niemeyer S, Neblo M, et al. (2010) Disentangling diversity in deliberative democracy: Competing theories, their blind spots and complementarities. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 18(1): 32–63.
- Bauer M and Gaskell G (2002) *Biotechnology. The making of a global controversy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bauer MW (2015) *Atoms, bytes and genes: Public resistance and techno-scientific responses*. Routledge.
- Bauer MW and Gregory J (2007) From journalism to corporate communication in post-war Britain. In: Bauer MW and Bucchi M (eds), *Journalism, Science and Society: Science Communication between News and Public Relations*, Routledge, pp. 33–52.
- Bauer MW and Howard S (2012) Public understanding of science—A peer-review journal for turbulent times. *Public Understanding of Science* 21(3): 258–267.
- Bauer MW and Jensen P (2011) The mobilization of scientists for public engagement. *Public Understanding of Science* 20(1): 3–11.
- Bauer MW, Petkova K, Boyadjieva P, et al. (2006) Long-term trends in the public representation of science across the ‘iron curtain’: 1946–1995. *Social Studies of Science* 36(1): 99–131.
- Beck U (1992) *Risk Society*. London: Sage Publications.
- Beder S (1999) Public participation or public relations? In: Martin B (ed.), *Technology and Public Participation*, Wollongong, Australia: University of Wollongong, pp. 169–192.
- Beesley LGA (2003) Science policy in changing times: Are governments poised to take full advantage of an institution in transition? *Research Policy* 32(8): 1519.
- Bekelman JE, Li Y and Gross CP (2003) Scope and impact of financial conflicts of interest in biomedical research: A systematic review. *JAMA* 289(4): 454–465.
- Bell A (2009) Doing it by the book: Introductory guides for twenty-first century science communication. *Science as Culture* 18(4): 511.
- Bennett DJ and Jennings RC (2011) *Successful Science Communication: Telling It Like It Is*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bercovitz J and Feldman M (2008) Academic entrepreneurs: Organizational change at the individual level. *Organization Science* 19(1): 69–89.
- Berg P (2008) Meetings that changed the world: Asilomar 1975: DNA modification secured. *Nature* 455(7211): 290–291.

- Besley JC (2013) The state of public opinion research on attitudes and understanding of science and technology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 33(1–2): 12–20.
- Besley JC and Tanner AH (2011) What science communication scholars think about training scientists to communicate. *Science Communication* 33(2): 239–263.
- Besley JC, Oh SH and Nisbet M (2013) Predicting scientists' participation in public life. *Public Understanding of Science* 22(8): 971–987.
- Besley JC, Dudo A and Storksdieck M (2015) Scientists' views about communication training. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 52(2): 199–220.
- Bickerstaff K, Pidgeon N, Lorenzoni I, et al. (2010) Locating scientific citizenship: The institutional contexts and cultures of public engagement. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 35(4): 474–500.
- Bielak AT, Campbell A, Pope S, et al. (2008) From science communication to knowledge brokering: The shift from 'science push' to 'policy pull'. In: Cheng D, Claessens M, Gascoigne T, et al. (eds), *Communicating Science in Social Contexts*, Springer Netherlands, pp. 201–226.
- Bodmer W (2010) Public understanding of science: The BA, the Royal Society and COPUS. *Notes and Records of the Royal Society*. rsnr20100035.
- Bok D (2005) *Universities in the Marketplace*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Borchelt R and Nielsen KH (2014) Public relations in science. In: Bucchi M and Trench B (eds), *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology, 2nd ed.* London and New York: Routledge, pp. 58–69.
- Boykoff M (2010) Indian media representations of climate change in a threatened journalistic ecosystem. *Climatic Change* 2010(99): 17–25.
- Bracken LJ and Oughton EA (2006) 'What do you mean?' The importance of language in developing interdisciplinary research. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 31(3): 371–382.
- Brass K and Rowe D (2009) Knowledge limited: Public communication, risk and university media policy. *Continuum* 23(1): 53–76.
- Braun K and Schultz S (2010) '... a certain amount of engineering involved': Constructing the public in participatory governance arrangements. *Public Understanding of Science* 19(4): 403–419.
- Bray B, France B and Gilbert JK (2012) Identifying the essential elements of effective science communication: What do the experts say? *International Journal of Science Education, Part B* 2(1): 23–41.
- Broks P (2006) *Understanding Popular Science*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

- Brosnan C and Michael M (2014) Enacting the 'neuro' in practice: Translational research, adhesion and the promise of porosity. *Social Studies of Science* 44(5): 680–700.
- Brossard D (2013) New media landscapes and the science information consumer. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(Supplement 3): 14096–14101.
- Brossard D and Lewenstein BV (2009) A critical appraisal of models of public understanding of science. In: Kahlor L and Stout PA (eds), *Communicating Science: New Agendas in Communication*, New York: Taylor and Francis, pp. 11–39.
- Brossard D and Scheufele DA (2013) Science, new media, and the public. *Science* 339(6115): 40–41.
- Brown N (2003) Hope against hype—Accountability in biopasts, presents and futures. *Science Studies* 16(2): 3–21.
- Brown N and Michael M (2003) A sociology of expectations: Retrospecting prospects and prospecting retrospects. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 15(1): 3–18.
- Bucchi M and Trench B (2014) *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology: Second Edition*. Routledge.
- Bultitude K (2014a) Science festivals: Do they succeed in reaching beyond the 'already engaged'? *JCOM* 13(04).
- Bultitude K (2014b) Web-based channels for science communication. In: Hin LTW and Subramaniam R (eds), *Communicating Science to the Public*, Springer Netherlands, pp. 225–245.
- Burchell K (2007) Empiricist selves and contingent 'others': The performative function of the discourse of scientists working in conditions of controversy. *Public Understanding of Science* 16(2): 145–162.
- Burgess J (1990) The production and consumption of environmental meanings in the mass media: A research agenda for the 1990s. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 15(2): 139–161.
- Burri RV (2012) Visual rationalities: Towards a sociology of images. *Current Sociology* 60(1): 45–60.
- Burri RV, Schubert C and Strübing J (2011) Introduction: The five senses of science. *Science, Technology & Innovation Studies* 7(1): PP–3.
- Carvalho A and Burgess J (2005) Cultural circuits of climate change in U.K. broadsheet newspapers, 1985–2003. *Risk Analysis* 25(6): 1457–1469.
- Casini S and Neresini F (2013) Behind closed doors scientists' and science communicators' discourses on science in society. A study across European Research Institutions. *TECNOSCIENZA: Italian Journal of Science & Technology Studies* 3(2): 37–62.

- Cassidy A (2006) Evolutionary psychology as public science and boundary work. *Public Understanding of Science* 15(2): 175–205.
- Cassidy A (2014) Communicating the Social Sciences: A Specific Challenge? In: Bucchi M and Trench B (eds), *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology, 2nd edition*. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 186–197.
- Castell S, Charlton A, Clemence M, et al. (2014) *Public Attitudes to Science 2014*. London: Department for Business, Innovation and Skills.
- Centellas KM, Smardon RE and Fifield S (2014) Calibrating Translational Cancer Research Collaboration without Consensus in Interdisciplinary Laboratory Meetings. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 39(3): 311–335.
- Chambers S (2003) Deliberative democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science* 6(1): 307–326.
- Chekar CK and Kitzinger J (2007) Science, patriotism and discourses of nation and culture: Reflections on the South Korean stem cell breakthroughs and scandals. *New Genetics and Society* 26(3): 289–307.
- Cheney G and Lee Ashcraft K (2007) Considering ‘the professional’ in communication studies: Implications for theory and research within and beyond the boundaries of organizational communication. *Communication Theory* 17(2): 146–175.
- Cheney G, Christensen LT, Zorn TE, et al. (2011) *Organizational communication in an age of globalization issues, reflections, practices*. Prospect Heights: Waveland.
- Cheng D, Claessens M, Gascoigne T, et al. (2008) *Communicating Science in Social Contexts New Models, New Practices*. Dordrecht/London: Springer.
- Chen H and Gottweis H (2013) Stem cell treatments in China: Rethinking the patient role in the global bio-economy. *Bioethics* 27(4): 194–207.
- Chilvers J (2008) Deliberating competence: Theoretical and practitioner perspectives on effective participatory appraisal practice. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 33(2): 155–185.
- Chimba M and Kitzinger J (2010) Bimbo or boffin? Women in science: An analysis of media representations and how female scientists negotiate cultural contradictions. *Public Understanding of Science* 19(5): 609–624.
- Christensen LT (1997) Marketing as auto-communication. *Consumption Markets & Culture* 1(3): 197–227.
- Claessens M (2008) European trends in science communication. In: Cheng D, Claessens M, Gascoigne T, et al. (eds) *Communicating Science in Social Contexts*, Springer, pp. 27–38.
- Cobb MD (2005) Framing effects on public opinion about nanotechnology. *Science Communication* 27(2): 221–239.

- Cohen J (1989) Deliberation and democratic legitimacy. In: Hamlin A and Petit P (eds), *The Good Polity*, London: Blackwell.
- Cole SA and Dioso-Villa R (2009) Investigating the 'CSI effect' effect: Media and litigation crisis in criminal law. *Stanford Law Review* 61(6).
- Cooke M (2000) Five arguments for deliberative democracy. *Political Studies* 48(5): 947–969.
- Cooter R and Pumfrey S (1994) Separate spheres and public places: Reflections on the history of science popularization and science in popular culture. *History of Science* 32(3): 237–267.
- Curry HA (2014) From garden biotech to garage biotech: Amateur experimental biology in historical perspective. *The British Journal for the History of Science* 47(03): 539–565.
- Curtin PA and Gaither TK (2005) Privileging identity, difference, and power: The circuit of culture as a basis for public relations theory. *Journal of Public Relations Research* 17(2): 91–115.
- Davies C, Wetherall M and Barnett E (2006) *Citizens at the Centre: Deliberative Participation in Healthcare Decisions*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- Davies S, McCallie E, Simonsson E, et al. (2009) Discussing dialogue: Perspectives on the value of science dialogue events that do not inform policy. *Public Understanding of Science* 18(3): 338–353.
- Davies SR (2008) Constructing communication: Talking to scientists about talking to the public. *Science Communication* 29(4): 413–434.
- Davies SR (2009) Doing dialogue: Genre and flexibility in public engagement with science. *Science as Culture* 18(4): 397–416.
- Davies SR (2013a) Constituting public engagement meanings and genealogies of PEST in two UK studies. *Science Communication* 35(6): 687–707.
- Davies SR (2013b) Research staff and public engagement: A UK study. *Higher Education*: 66(6):725–739.
- Davies SR (2013c) The rules of engagement: Power and interaction in dialogue events. *Public Understanding of Science* 22(1): 65–79.
- Davies SR (2014) Knowing and loving: Public engagement beyond discourse. *Science & Technology Studies* 27(3): 90–110.
- Davies SR and Horst M (2015) Crafting the group: Care in research management. *Social Studies of Science* 45(3): 371–393.
- Degelsegger A and Torgersen H (2011) Participatory paternalism: Citizens' conferences in Austrian technology governance. *Science & Public Policy* 38(5): 391–402.
- Delfanti A (2013) *Biobackers: The Politics of Open Science*. Pluto Press.
- Delgado A, Lein Kjolberg K and Wickson F (2011) Public engagement coming of age: From theory to practice in sts encounters with nanotechnology. *Public Understanding of Science* 20(6): 826–845.

- Desmond A (1987) Artisan resistance and evolution in Britain, 1819–1848. *Osiris (Second Series)* 3: 77–110.
- Desnoyers L (2011) Toward a taxonomy of visuals in science communication. *Technical Communication* 58(2): 119–134.
- DiMaggio PJ and Powell WW (2001) The iron cage revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review* 48(2): 147–160.
- Drake N (2012) *The Farewell Glacier*. Bloodaxe Books.
- Dryzek JS (2000) *Deliberative Democracy and Beyond*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dryzek JS, Goodin RE, Tucker A, et al. (2009) Promethean elites encounter precautionary publics: The case of GM foods. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 34(3): 263–288.
- Duffy ME (2000) There's no two-way symmetric about it: A postmodern examination of public relations textbooks. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 17(3): 294–315.
- Dunwoody S (2014) Science journalism: Prospects in the digital age. In: Bucchi M and Trench B (eds), *Routledge Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology, 2nd Edition*, New York: Routledge, pp. 27–39.
- Dunwoody S, Brossard D and Dudo A (2009) Socialization or rewards? Predicting US scientist-media interactions. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 86(2): 299–314.
- Durant J, Evans G and Thomas GP (1989) The public understanding of science. *Nature* 340: 11–14.
- Durant J, Buckley N, Comerford D, et al. (2016) *Surveying the landscape of live public science events*. Science Live. Available from: <http://livescienceevents.org>.
- Dutton JE, Dukerich JM and Harquail CV (1994) Organizational images and member identification. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 39(2): 239–263.
- Elam M and Bertilsson M (2003) Consuming, engaging and confronting science. *European Journal of Social Theory* 6(2): 233–251.
- Elmer C, Badenschier F and Wormer H (2008) Science for everybody? How the coverage of research issues in German newspapers has increased dramatically. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 85(4): 878–893.
- Elsbach KD and Kramer RM (1996) Members' responses to organizational identity threats: Encountering and countering the Business Week rankings. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 41(3): 442–476.
- Epstein S (1995) The construction of lay expertise: AIDS activism and the forging of credibility in the reform of clinical trials. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 20(4): 408–437.

- Etzkowitz H (1983) Entrepreneurial scientists and entrepreneurial universities in American academic science. *Minerva* 21(2): 198–233.
- Etzkowitz H and Leydesdorff L (1998) The endless transition: A ‘triple helix’ of university industry government relations. *Minerva* 36(3): 203–208.
- Etzkowitz H, Webster A, Gebhardt C, et al. (2000) The future of the university and the university of the future: Evolution of ivory tower to entrepreneurial paradigm. *Research Policy* 29: 313–330.
- Fahy D (2015) *The New Celebrity Scientists: Out of the Lab and into the Limelight*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Fahy D and Nisbet MC (2011) The science journalist online: Shifting roles and emerging practices. *Journalism* 12(7): 778–793.
- Falk JH and Dierking LD (2012) *The Museum Experience Revisited*. Walnut Creek, CA, USA: Left Coast Press.
- Felt U and Fochler M (2008) The bottom-up meanings of the concept of public participation in science and technology. *Science and Public Policy* 35(7): 489–499.
- Felt U and Fochler M (2010) Machineries for making publics: Inscripting and de-scribing publics in public engagement. *Minerva* 48(3): 219–238.
- Felt U and Fochler M (2012) Re-ordering epistemic living spaces: On the tacit governance effects of the public communication of science. In: Rödder S, Franzen M and Weingart P (eds), *The Sciences’ Media Connection—Public Communication and Its Repercussions*, Sociology of the Sciences Yearbook, Springer Netherlands, pp. 133–154.
- Felt U and Wynne B (2007) *Science and Governance: Taking European Knowledge Society Seriously*. European Commission.
- Felt U, Fochler M, Mager A, et al. (2008) Visions and versions of governing biomedicine: Narratives on power structures, decision-making and public participation in the field of biomedical technology in the Austrian context. *Social Studies of Science* 38(2): 233–257.
- Felt U, Barben D, Irwin A, et al. (2013) Science in society: Caring for our futures in turbulent times. *European Science Foundation* 50: 1–36.
- Felt U, Schumann S, Schwarz CG, et al. (2014) Technology of imagination: A card-based public engagement method for debating emerging technologies. *Qualitative Research* 14(2): 233–251.
- Fiorino DJ (1990) Citizen participation and environmental risk: A survey of institutional mechanisms. *Science Technology Human Values* 15(2): 226–243.
- Foucault M (1972) *Power/Knowledge*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Foucault M (2000) Governmentality. In: Faubion JD (ed.), *Michel Foucault: Power*, London: Penguin, pp. 201–222.

- Frickel S, Gibbon S, Howard J, et al. (2010) Undone science: Charting social movement and civil society challenges to research agenda setting. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 35(4): 444–473.
- Gascoigne T, Cheng D, Claessens M, et al. (2010) Is science communication its own field? *Journal of Science Communication* 9(3): C04.
- Gaskell G, Allansdottir A, Allum N, et al. (2011) The 2010 Eurobarometer on the life sciences. *Nature Biotechnology* 29(2): 113–114.
- Gay P du (1997) *Production of Culture/Cultures of Production*. SAGE Publications.
- Gay P du, Hall S, Janes L, et al. (2013) *Doing Cultural Studies—The Story of the Sony Walkman*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Gazan R (2013) The hammer of Hawking: The impact of celebrity scientists, the intent of extraterrestrials and the public perception of astrobiology. *First Monday* 18(6).
- Gibbons M, Limoges C, Nowotny H, et al. (1994) *The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*. London: Sage.
- Gieryn TF (1999) *Cultural Boundaries of Science: Credibility on the Line*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gieryn TF (2000) A space for place in sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology* 26(1): 463–496.
- Gieryn TF (2002) What buildings do. *Theory and Society* 31(1): 35–74.
- Gioia DA and Thomas JB (1996) Identity, image, and issue interpretation: Sensemaking during strategic change in academia. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 41(3): 370–403.
- Gioia DA, Schultz M and Corley KG (2000) Organizational identity, image, and adaptive instability. *Academy of Management Review* 25(1): 63–81.
- Goodell R (1975) *The Visible Scientists* Boston: Little Brown.
- Goven J (2003) Deploying the consensus conference in New Zealand: Democracy and de-problematization. *Public Understanding of Science* 12(4): 423–440.
- Goven J (2006) Processes of inclusion, cultures of calculation, structures of power: Scientific citizenship and the Royal Commission on genetic modification. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 31(5): 565–598.
- Goven J, Langer ER, Baker V, et al. (2012) Community engagement in the management of biosolids: Lessons from four New Zealand studies. *Journal of Environmental Management* 103: 154–164.
- Grand A (2009) Cafe Scientifique. In: Holliman R, Whitelegg L, Scanlon E, et al. (eds), *Practising Science Communication in the Information Age: Theorising Professional Practices*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Gregg M and Seigworth GJ (2010) *The Affect Theory Reader*. Duke University Press.
- Gregory K and Witcomb A (2007) Beyond nostalgia: the role of affect in generating historical understanding at heritage sites. In: Watson S, MacLeod S and Knell S (eds), *Museum revolutions: how museums change and are changed*, London: Routledge, pp. 263–275.
- Grundahl J (1995) The Danish consensus conference model. In: Joss S and Durant J (eds), *Public participation in science: The role of consensus conferences in Europe*, London: Science Museum, pp. 31–40.
- Grunig JE and Hunt T (1984) *Managing Public Relations*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Guston DH (1999) Evaluating the first U.S. consensus conference: The impact of the citizens' panel on telecommunications and the future of democracy. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 24(4): 451–482.
- Guthey E, Clark T and Jackson B (2009) *Demystifying Business Celebrity*. Routledge.
- Habermas J (1962) *The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere—An Inquiry into a Category of Bourgeois Society*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Haessler C and Colyvas JA (2011) Breaking the ivory tower: Academic entrepreneurship in the life sciences in UK and Germany. *Research Policy* 40(1): 41–54.
- Hagendijk R and Irwin A (2006) Public deliberation and governance: Engaging with science and technology in contemporary Europe. *Minerva* 44(2): 167–184.
- Hall S (1980) Encoding/decoding. In: Hall S, Hobson D, Lowe A, et al. (eds), *Culture, Media, Language: Working Papers in Cultural Studies 1972–1979*, London: Unwin, pp. 128–138.
- Hall S (1997) *Representation—Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Hansen A (1994) Journalistic practices and science reporting in the British press. *Public Understanding of Science* 3(2): 111–134.
- Hansen A (2009) Science, communication and media. In: Holliman R, Whitelegg E, Scanlon E, et al. (eds), *Investigating Science Communication in the Information Age*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 105–127.
- Hatch MJ and Schultz M (1997) Relations between organizational culture, identity and image. *European Journal of Marketing* 31(5/6): 356–366.
- Hedgecoe A (2014) A deviation from standard design? Clinical trials, research ethics committees, and the regulatory co-construction of organizational deviance. *Social Studies of Science* 44(1): 59–81.

- Hedgecoe A and Martin P (2003) The drugs don't work: Expectations and the shaping of pharmacogenetics. *Social Studies of Science* 33(3): 327–364.
- Herriman J, Atherton A and Vecellio L (2011) The Australian experience of world wide views on global warming: The first global deliberation process. *Journal of Public Deliberation* 7(1). Available from: <http://www.publicdeliberation.net/jpd/vol7/iss1/art3>
- Hilgartner S (1990) The dominant view of popularization: Conceptual problems, political uses. *Social Studies of Science* 20(3): 519–539.
- Hilgartner S (2000) *Science on Stage*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Hilgartner S (2008) Intellectual property and the politics of emerging technology: Inventors, citizens, and powers to shape the future. *Chicago-Kent Law Review* 84(1): 197.
- Hjörleifsson S, Arnason V and Schei E (2008) Decoding the genetics debate: Hype and hope in Icelandic news media in 2000 and 2004. *New Genetics and Society* 27(4): 377–394.
- Holden K (2015) Lamenting the golden age: Love, labour and loss in the collective memory of scientists. *Science as Culture* 24(1): 24–45.
- Horgan J (1998) *The End of Science: Facing the Limits of Knowledge in the Twilight of the Scientific Age*. Abacus.
- Horlick-Jones T, Rowe G and Walls J (2007) Citizen engagement processes as information systems: The role of knowledge and the concept of translation quality. *Public Understanding of Science* 16(3): 259–278.
- Horst M (2007) Public expectations of gene therapy: Scientific futures and their performative effects on scientific citizenship. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 32(2): 150–171.
- Horst M (2008a) In search of dialogue: Staging science communication in consensus conferences. In: Cheng D, Claessens M, Gascoigne T, et al. (eds), *Communicating Science in Social Contexts*, Springer, pp. 259–274.
- Horst M (2008b) The laboratory of public debate: Understanding the acceptability of stem cell research. *Science and Public Policy* 35(3): 197–205.
- Horst M (2013a) A field of expertise, the organization, or science itself? Scientists' perception of representing research in public communication. *Science Communication* 35(6): 758–779.
- Horst M (2013b) Bør vi forvente os en syntesebiologisk fremtid? In: Schiølin K and Riis S (eds), *Nye Spørgsmål Om Teknikken*, Aarhus Universitetsforlag, pp. 285–302.
- Horst M, Davies SR and Irwin A (2017). Reframing Science Communication. In: Felt U, Fouché R, Miller C and Smith-Doerr L (eds), *Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*, 4th edition. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Horst M and Irwin A (2010) Nations at ease with radical knowledge: On consensus, consensusing and false consensusness. *Social Studies of Science* 40(1): 105–126.
- Horst M and Michael M (2011) On the shoulders of idiots: Re-thinking science communication as ‘event’. *Science as Culture* 20(3):283–306.
- Ipsos MORI (2011) *Public Attitudes to Science 2011*. Available from: <http://www.ipsos-mori.com/researchpublications/researcharchive/2764/Public-attitudes-to-science-2011.aspx>
- Irwin A (1995) *Citizen Science: A Study of People, Expertise and Sustainable Development*. London: Routledge.
- Irwin A (2001) Constructing the scientific citizen: Science and democracy in the biosciences. *Public Understanding of Science* 10(1): 1–18.
- Irwin A (2008) Risk, science and public communication: Third order thinking about scientific culture. In: Bucchi M and Trench B (eds), *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology*, London/New York: Routledge, pp. 199–212.
- Irwin A and Michael M (2003) *Science, Social Theory and Public Knowledge*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Irwin A and Wynne B (1996) *Misunderstanding Science? The Public Reconstruction of Science and Technology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jackson R, Barbagallo F and Haste H (2005) Strengths of public dialogue on science-related issues. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy* 8(3): 349–358.
- Jain S, George G and Maltarich M (2009) Academics or entrepreneurs? Investigating role identity modification of university scientists involved in commercialization activity. *Research Policy* 38(6): 922–935.
- Jasanoff S (2003) Technologies of humility: Citizen participation in governing science. *Minerva* 41: 223–244.
- Jensen CB (2005) Citizen projects and consensus-building at the Danish board of technology—On experiments in democracy. *Acta Sociologica* 48(3): 221–235.
- Jensen E and Buckley N (2012) Why people attend science festivals: Interests, motivations and self-reported benefits of public engagement with research. *Public Understanding of Science* 23(5): 557–573.
- Johnson R (1986) The story so far: And further transformations. In: Punter D (ed.), *Introduction to Contemporary Cultural Studies*, Harlow: Longman, pp. 277–313.
- Jones R (2008) When it pays to ask the public. *Nat Nano* 3(10): 578–579.
- Kaiser D, Durant J, Levenson T, et al. (2014) *Report of Findings: September 2013 Workshop*. MIT and Culture Kettle. Available from: www.cultureofscienceengagement.net

- Katavic V (2010) Responsible conduct of research: Do we need training in fraud-science? *Biochemia Medica* 20(3): 288–294.
- Keene M (2014) Familiar science in nineteenth-century Britain. *History of Science* 52(1): 53–71.
- Kenney M Goe RW (2004) The role of social embeddedness in professorial entrepreneurship: A comparison of electrical engineering and computer science at UC Berkeley and Stanford. *Research Policy* 33(5): 691–707.
- Kerr A, Cunningham-Burley S and Tutton R (2007) Shifting subject positions: Experts and lay people in public dialogue. *Social Studies of Science* 37(3): 385–411.
- Kitson J and McHugh K (2014) Historic enchantments—Materializing nostalgia. *Cultural Geographies*: 22(3):487–508.
- Kjølborg KL and Strand R (2011) Conversations about responsible nanoresearch. *NanoEthics* 5(1): 99–113.
- Kruvand M and Hwang S (2007) From revered to reviled: A cross-cultural narrative analysis of the South Korean cloning scandal. *Science Communication* 29(2): 177–197.
- Kunda G (2006) *Engineering Culture: Control and Commitment in a High-Tech Corporation*. Temple University Press.
- Lam A (2010) From ‘ivory tower traditionalists’ to ‘entrepreneurial scientists’? Academic scientists in fuzzy university-industry boundaries. *Social Studies of Science* 40(2): 307–340.
- Lamont M (2010) *How Professors Think: Inside the Curious World of Academic Judgment*. Harvard University Press.
- Landau J, Groscurth CR, Wright L, et al. (2009) Visualizing nanotechnology: The impact of visual images on lay American audience associations with nanotechnology. *Public Understanding of Science* 18(3): 325–337.
- Larsen MT (2011) The implications of academic enterprise for public science: An overview of the empirical evidence. *Research Policy* 40(1): 6–19.
- Latour B (1986) The powers of association. In: Law J (ed.), *Powers, Action and Belief*, London: Routledge, pp. 264–280.
- Latour B (1987) *Science in Action*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Latour B (1996) *Aramis or the Love of Technology*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Latour B (1999) *Pandora’s Hope*. London: Harvard University Press.
- Latour B and Woolgar S (1979) *Laboratory Life. The Construction of Scientific Facts*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Lau D, Ogbogu U, Taylor B, et al. (2008) Stem cell clinics online: The direct-to-consumer portrayal of stem cell medicine. *Cell Stem Cell* 3(6): 591–594.
- Law J (2004) *After Method: Mess in Social Science Research*. Abingdon: Routledge.

- Lazarsfeld P, Berelson B and Gaudet H (1948) *The People's Choice: How the Voter Makes Up His Mind in a Presidential Campaign*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Leach M and Scoones I (2005) Science and citizenship in a global context. In: Leach M, Scoones I and Wynne B (eds), *Science and Citizens*, Zed Books, pp. 15–38.
- Lentzos F (2009) Synthetic biology in the social context: The UK debate to date. *Biosocieties* 4(2–3): 303–315.
- Lewenstein BV (1992) The meaning of 'public understanding of science' in the United States after World War II. *Public Understanding of Science* 1(1): 45–68.
- Lewenstein B (2016) Can we understand citizen science? *Journal of Science Communication* 15(1): 1–5.
- Leydesdorff L and Ward J (2005) Science shops: A kaleidoscope of science-society collaborations in Europe. *Public Understanding of Science* 14(4): 353–372.
- Leys R (2011) The turn to affect: A critique. *Critical Inquiry* 37(3): 434–472.
- Lezaun J and Soneryd L (2007) Consulting citizens: Technologies of elicitation and the mobility of publics. *Public Understanding of Science* 16(3): 279–297.
- Logan RA (2001) Science mass communication: Its conceptual history. *Science Communication* 23(2): 135–163.
- Losch A (2006) Anticipating the futures of nanotechnology: Visionary images as means of communication. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 18(3–4): 393–409.
- Lucchi N (2011) Access to network services and protection of constitutional rights: Recognizing the essential role of internet access for the freedom of expression. *Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law (JICL)* 19: 645.
- Lynch J, Bennett D, Luntz A, et al. (2014) Bridging science and journalism identifying the role of public relations in the construction and circulation of stem cell research among laypeople. *Science Communication* 36(4): 479–501.
- Macdonald S (1995) Consuming science: Public knowledge and the dispersed politics of reception among museum visitors. *Media, Culture and Society* 17(1): 13–29.
- Macdonald S (ed.) (2006) *A Companion to Museum Studies*. Blackwell companions in cultural studies, Malden, MA: Blackwell Publication.
- Machill M, Beiler M and Schmutz J (2006) The influence of video news releases on the topics reported in science journalism. *Journalism Studies* 7(6): 869–888.

- Macilwain C (2012) Two nations divided by a common purpose. *Nature* 483(7389): 247–247.
- Marcinkowski F, Kohring M, Fürst S, et al. (2014) Organizational influence on scientists' efforts to go public an empirical investigation. *Science Communication* 36(1): 56–80.
- Marris C (2014) The construction of imaginaries of the public as a threat to synthetic biology. *Science as Culture* 24(4): 83–98.
- Martin-Sempere MJ, Garzon-Garcia B and Rey-Rocha J (2008) Scientists' motivation to communicate science and technology to the public: Surveying participants at the Madrid Science Fair. *Public Understanding of Science* 17(3): 349–367.
- McCallie E, Simonsson E, Gammon B, et al. (2007) Learning to generate dialogue: Theory, practice, and evaluation. *Museums and Social Issues* 2(2): 165–184.
- McCallie E, Bell L, Lohwater T, et al. (2009) *Many experts, many audiences: Public engagement with science and informal science education. A CAISE inquiry group report*. Washington DC: Center for Advancement of Informal Science Education (CAISE).
- McManus PM (1992) Topics in museums and science education. *Studies in Science Education* 20(1): 157–182.
- Mejlgaard N (2009) The trajectory of scientific citizenship in Denmark: Changing balances between public competence and public participation. *Science and Public Policy* 36(6): 483–496.
- Mejlgaard N and Bloch C (2012) Science in society in Europe. *Science and Public Policy* 39(6): 695–700.
- Mejlgaard N, Bloch C, Degn L, et al. (2012) Locating science in society across Europe: Clusters and consequences. *Science and Public Policy* 39(6): 741–750.
- Mellor F (2003) Between fact and fiction: Demarcating science from non-science in popular physics books. *Social Studies of Science* 33(4): 509–538.
- Mellor F (2013) Twenty years of teaching science communication: A case study of Imperial College's Master's programme. *Public Understanding of Science* 22(8):916–926.
- Mellor F, Webster S and Bell A (2011) *Content Analysis of the BBC's Science Coverage: Review of the Impartiality and Accuracy of the BBC's Coverage of Science*. London: BBC.
- Merton RK (1968) The Matthew effect in science. *Science* 159(3810): 56–63.
- Merton RK (1973) *The Sociology of Science: Theoretical and Empirical Investigations*. University of Chicago press.

- Meyer JW and Rowan B (1977) Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *The American Journal of Sociology* 83(2): 340–363.
- Meyer M (2010) The rise of the knowledge broker. *Science Communication* 32(1): 118–127.
- Michael M (2000) Futures of the present: From performativity to prehension. In: Brown N, Rappert B and Webster A (eds), *Contested Futures: A Sociology of Prospective Techno-Science*, Aldershot: Ashgate, pp. 21–39.
- Michael M (2001) Technoscientific bespokeing: Animals, publics and the new genetics. *New Genetics and Society* 20(3): 205–224.
- Michael M (2002) Comprehension, apprehension, prehension: Heterogeneity and the public understanding of science. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 27(3): 357–378.
- Michael M (2009) Publics performing publics: Of PiGs, PiPs and politics. *Public Understanding of Science* 18(5): 617–631.
- Michael M (2012) ‘What are we busy doing?’ Engaging the idiot. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 37(5): 528–554.
- Michael M and Birke L (1994) Enrolling the core set: The case of the animal experimentation controversy. *Social Studies of Science* 24(1): 81–95.
- Miller K (2005) *Communication Theories—Perspectives, Processes and Contexts*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Miller S (2008) So where’s the theory? On the relationship between science communication practice and research. In: Cheng D and Claessens M (eds), *Communicating Science in Social Contexts: New Models, New Practices*, Brussels: Springer, pp. 275–287.
- Morgan G (2006) *Images of Organization*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE.
- Morsing M (2006) Corporate social responsibility as strategic auto-communication: On the role of external stakeholders for member identification. *Business Ethics: A European Review* 15(2): 171–182.
- Mossberger K, Tolbert CJ and McNeal RS (2007) *Digital Citizenship: The Internet, Society, and Participation*. MIT Press.
- Mulder HAJ, Longnecker N and Davis LS (2008) The state of science communication programs at universities around the world. *Science Communication* 30(2): 277–287.
- Mulkay M (1993) Rhetorics of hope and fear in the great embryo debate. *Social Studies of Science* 23(4): 721–742.
- Mulkay M (1997) *The Embryo Research Debate*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Myers G (1995) From discovery to invention: The writing and rewriting of two patents. *Social Studies of Science* 25(1): 57–105.
- Nelkin D (1971) *Nuclear Power and Its Critics: The Cayuga Lake Controversy*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Nelkin D (1974) *Jetport: The Boston Airport Controversy*. Transaction Publishers.
- Nelkin D (1979) *Controversy: Politics of Technical Decisions*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Nelkin D (1982) *The Creation Controversy: Science or Scripture in Schools*. New York: WW Norton.
- Nelkin D (1995) *Selling Science—How the Press Covers Science and Technology*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Nelkin D and Lindee MS (1995) *The DNA Mystique. The Gene as a Cultural Icon*. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Nelkin D and Tancredi LR (1989) *Dangerous Diagnostics: The Social Power of Biological Information*. New York: Basic Books.
- Neresini F and Bucchi M (2010) Which indicators for the new public engagement activities? An exploratory study of European research institutions. *Public Understanding of Science* 20(1): 64–79.
- Neresini F, Dimopoulos K, Kallfass M, et al. (2009) Exploring a Black Box: Cross-national study of visit effects on visitors to large physics research centers in Europe. *Science Communication* 30(4): 506–533.
- Nerlich B (2008) Powered by imagination: Nanobots at the Science Photo Library. *Science as Culture* 17(3): 269–292.
- Nielsen KH (2010) More than ‘mountain guides’ of science: A questionnaire survey of professional science communicators in Denmark. *JCOM: Journal of Science Communication* 9(2), June 2010.
- Nowotny H, Scott P and Gibbons M (2001) *Re-thinking science: Knowledge and the public in an age of uncertainty*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Nunes JA (1996) The transcultural lab: Articulating cultural difference in/ although scientific work. In: Bielefeld: Centro de Estudos Sociais. Available from: <https://estudogeral.sib.uc.pt/jspui/handle/10316/10983>.
- Ogbogu U, Du L, Rachul C, et al. (2013) Chinese newspaper coverage of (unproven) stem cell therapies and their providers. *Stem Cell Reviews and Reports* 9(2): 111–118.
- Osmond DL, Nadkarni NM, Driscoll CT, et al. (2010) The role of interface organizations in science communication and understanding. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 8(6): 306–313.

- Ottinger G (2010) Buckets of resistance: Standards and the effectiveness of citizen science. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 35(2): 244–270.
- Owen R, Macnaghten P and Stilgoe J (2012) Responsible research and innovation: From science in society to science for society, with society. *Science and Public Policy* 39(6): 751–760.
- Owen R, Stilgoe J, Macnaghten P, et al. (2013) A framework for responsible innovation. In: Owen R, Bessant J and Heintz ggy (eds), *Responsible Innovation*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 27–50.
- Palmer SE and Schibeci RA (2014) What conceptions of science communication are espoused by science research funding bodies? *Public Understanding of Science* 23(5): 511–527.
- Parkinson J (2006) *Deliberating in the Real World: Problems of Legitimacy in Deliberative Democracy*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Parkinson J and Mansbridge J (2012) *Deliberative Systems: Deliberative Democracy at the Large Scale*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pearce AR, Romero A and Zibluk JF (2009) An interdisciplinary approach to science communication education: A case study. In: Kahlor L and Stout PA (eds), *Understanding Science: New Agendas in Science Communication*, Austin: The University of Texas, pp. 235–252.
- Peiffer AM, Hugenschmidt CE and Laurienti PJ (2011) Ethics in 15 min per week. *Science and Engineering Ethics* 17(2): 289–297.
- Perelman C and Olbrechts-Tyteca L (1969) *The New Rhetoric. A Treatise on Argumentation*. London: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Perrow C (1979) *Complex Organizations—A Critical Essay*. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Petersen A, Seear K and Munsie M (2014) Therapeutic journeys: The hopeful travails of stem cell tourists. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 36(5): 670–685.
- Peters HP (2012) Scientific sources and the mass media: Forms and consequences of medialization. In: Rödder S, Franzen M and Weingart P (eds), *The Sciences' Media Connection—Public Communication and Its Repercussions*, *Sociology of the Sciences Yearbook*, Springer, pp. 217–240.
- Peters HP, Brossard D, De Cheveigné S, et al. (2008a) Interactions with the mass media. *Science* 321(5886): 204.
- Peters HP, Brossard D, De Cheveigné S, et al. (2008b) Science-media interface it's time to reconsider. *Science Communication* 30(2): 266–276.
- Phillips L (2011) *The Promise of Dialogue: The Dialogic Turn in the Production and Communication of Knowledge*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

- Philpott K, Dooley L, O'Reilly C, et al. (2011) The entrepreneurial university: Examining the underlying academic tensions. *Technovation* 31(4): 161–170.
- Pidgeon N, Corner A, Parkhill K, et al. (2012) Exploring early public responses to geoengineering. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences* 370(1974): 4176–4196.
- Pinholster G and O'Malley C (2006) EurekAlert! Survey confirms challenges for science communicators in the post-print era. *JCOM: Journal of Science Communication* 5(03): C01.
- Plesner U and Horst M (2012) Selling the selling point: How innovation communication creates users of Virtual Worlds Architecture. *Convergence* 18(1): 49–70.
- Plesner U and Horst M (2013) Before stabilization. *Information, Communication & Society* 16(7): 1115–1138.
- Poliakoff E and Webb TL (2007) What factors predict scientists' intentions to participate in public engagement of science activities? *Science Communication* 29(2): 242–263.
- Pollock N and Williams R (2010) The business of expectations: How promissory organizations shape technology and innovation. *Social Studies of Science* 40(4): 525–548.
- Powell MC and Colin M (2008) Meaningful citizen engagement in science and technology: What would it really take? *Science Communication* 30(1): 126–136.
- Powell MC and Colin M (2009) Participatory paradoxes: Facilitating citizen engagement in science and technology from the top-down? *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 29(4): 325–342.
- Powell WW and Owen-Smith J (1998) Universities and the market for intellectual property in the life sciences. *Journal of Policy Analysis & Management* 17(2): 253–277.
- Rabinow P and Bennett G (2009) Synthetic biology: Ethical ramifications 2009. *Systems and Synthetic Biology* 3(1–4): 99–108.
- Rawlings KC (2012) Attending Tocqueville's school: Examining the intrapersonal, political, and civic effects of nonprofit-board participation. *Administrative Theory & Praxis* 34(3): 320–356.
- Rennie LJ and Williams GF (2006) Adults' learning about science in free-choice settings. *International Journal of Science Education* 28(8): 871–893.
- Riesch H (2015) Why did the proton cross the road? Humour and science communication. *Public Understanding of Science* 24(7): 768–775.
- Riesch H and Mendel J (2013) Science blogging: Networks, boundaries and limitations. *Science as Culture* 23(1): 51–72.

- Rip A (2006) Folk theories of nanotechnologists. *Science as Culture* 15(4): 349–365.
- Rödder S (2012) The ambivalence of visible scientists. In: Rödder S, Franzen M and Weingart P (eds), *The Sciences' Media Connection—Public Communication and Its Repercussions*, *Sociology of the Sciences Yearbook*, Springer Netherlands, pp. 155–177.
- Rödder S and Schäfer MS (2010) Repercussion and resistance. An empirical study on the interrelation between science and mass media. *Communications: The European Journal of Communication Research* 35(3): 249–267.
- Rödder S, Franzen M and Weingart P (2012) *The Sciences' Media Connection—Public Communication and Its Repercussions*. *Sociology of the Sciences Yearbook*, Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Rogers EM (1995) *Diffusion of Innovation*. New York: Free Press.
- Rose N (1999) *Powers of Freedom: Reframing Political Thought*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rose N and Novas C (2004) Biological citizenship. In: Ong A and Collier S (eds), *Global Assemblages: Technology, Politics, and Ethics as Anthropological Problems*, Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, pp. 439–463.
- Rowe D and Brass K (2011) 'We take academic freedom quite seriously': How university media offices manage academic public communication. *International Journal of Media & Cultural Politics* 7(1): 3–20.
- Rowe G and Frewer LJ (2005) A typology of public engagement mechanisms. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 30(2): 251–290.
- Royal Society (1985) *The Public Understanding of Science*. London: The Royal Society.
- Royal Society (2006) *Survey of Factors Affecting Science Communication: Conclusions, Recommendations and Actions*. London: Royal Society.
- Russell N (2010) *Communicating Science: Professional, Popular, Literary*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sandercock L (2004) Towards a planning imagination for the 21st century. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 70(2): 133–141.
- Schäfer T and Kieslinger B (2016) Supporting emerging forms of citizen science: A plea for diversity, creativity and social innovation. *JCOM: Journal of Science Communication* 15(02): Y02.
- Scheufele DA and Tewksbury D (2007) Framing, agenda setting, and priming: The evolution of three media effects models. *Journal of Communication* 57(1): 9–20.
- Schmidt Kjærgaard R (2011) Things to see and do: How scientific images work. In: Bennett DJ and Jennings RC (eds), *Successful Science Communication: Telling It Like It Is*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 332–354.

- Schmitt H (2003) The eurobarometers: Their evolution, obvious merits, and ways to add value to them. *European Union Politics* 4(2): 243–251.
- Schweitzer NJ and Saks MJ (2007) The CSI effect: Popular fiction about forensic science affects the public's expectations about real forensic science. *Jurimetrics*: 357–364.
- Sedikides C, Wildschut T, Arndt J, et al. (2008) Nostalgia past, present, and future. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 17(5): 304–307.
- Selznick P (1957) *Leadership in Administration*. University of California Press.
- Shapin S (1990) Science and the public. In: Olby RC, Cantor GN, Christie JRR, et al. (eds), *Companion to the History of Modern Science*, London: Routledge, pp. 990–1007.
- Shapin S (2009) *The Scientific Life: A Moral History of a Late Modern Vocation*. University of Chicago Press.
- Shapin S (2010) *Never Pure: Historical Studies of Science as if It Was Produced by People with Bodies, Situated in Time, Space, Culture, and Society, and Struggling for Credibility and Authority*. JHU Press.
- Shattock M (2009) *Entrepreneurialism in Universities and Knowledge Economy*. Berkshire: McGraw-Hill/Open University Press.
- Silva J and Bultitude K (2009) Best practice in communications training for public engagement with science, technology, engineering and mathematics. *JCOM: Journal of Science Communication* 8(2): 1–13.
- Silvertown J (2009) A new dawn for citizen science. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24(9): 467–471.
- Slaughter S and Leslie L (1997) *Academic capitalism—Politics, policies and the entrepreneurial university*. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Söderqvist T, Bencard A and Mordhorst C (2009) Between meaning culture and presence effects: Contemporary biomedical objects as a challenge to museums. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 40(4): 431–438.
- Stilgoe J (2012) Taking care of the future—The imperative of responsible innovation. *People and Science* June 2012: 22.
- Stilgoe J, Irwin A and Jones K (2006) *The received wisdom—Opening up expert advice*. London: Demos.
- Stimson GV (1986) Place and space in sociological fieldwork. *Sociological Review* 34(3): 641–656.
- Stirling A (2005) Opening Up or Closing Down? Analysis, Participation and Power in the Social Appraisal of Technology. In: Leach M, Scoones I, and Wynne B (eds), *Science and Citizens: Globalisation and the Challenge of Engagement*, London: Zed Books, pp. 218–231.

- Stirling A (2008) 'Opening up' and 'closing down': Power, participation, and pluralism in the social appraisal of technology. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 33(2): 262–294.
- Stobbart L, Murtagh MJ, Rapley T, et al. (2007) We saw human guinea pigs explode. *BMJ* 334(7593): 566–567.
- Strauman EC and Goodier BC (2010) The doctor(s) in house: An analysis of the evolution of the television doctor-hero. *Journal of Medical Humanities* 32(1): 31–46.
- Swan J, Bresnen M, Robertson M, et al. (2010) When policy meets practice: Colliding logics and the challenges of 'Mode 2' initiatives in the translation of academic knowledge. *Organization Studies* 31(9): 1311–1340.
- Taylor BC, Demont-Heinrich C, Broadfoot KJ, et al. (2002) New media and the circuit of cyber-culture: Conceptualizing Napster. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 46(4): 607–629.
- Taylor JR and van Every EJ (2008) *The Emergent Organization: Communication as Its Site and Surface*. Psychology Press: Sussex.
- The Royal Society (2006) *Science and the Public Interest: Communicating the Results of New Scientific Research to the Public*. London: The Royal Society.
- Thompson K (1997) *Media and Cultural Regulation*. SAGE Publications.
- Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, et al. (1998) Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* 282(5391): 1145–1147.
- Thorpe C (2010) Participation as post-fordist politics: Demos, new labour, and science policy. *Minerva* 48(4): 389–411.
- Townsend T, Pisapia J and Razzaq J (2013) Fostering interdisciplinary research in universities: A case study of leadership, alignment and support. *Studies in Higher Education*: 40(4): 658–675.
- Treise D and Weigold MF (2002) Advancing science communication a survey of science communicators. *Science Communication* 23(3): 310–322.
- Trench B (2008) Towards an analytical framework of science communication models. In: Cheng D, Claessens M, Gascoigne T, Metcalfe J, Schiele B and Shi S, (eds.) *Communicating Science in Social Contexts, new models, new practices*. Springer Netherlands, pp. 119–135.
- Trench B (2012) Vital and vulnerable: Science communication as a university subject. In: Schiele B, Claessens M and Shi S (eds), *Science Communication in the World: Practices, Theories and Trends*, Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 241–258.
- Trench B and Miller S (2012) Policies and practices in supporting scientists' public communication through training. *Science and Public Policy* 39(6): 722–731.

- Trench B, Bucchi M, Amin L, et al. (2014) The global spread of science communication—Institutions and practices across continents. In: Bucchi M and Trench B (eds), *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology*, 2nd ed. New York: Routledge, pp. 214–230.
- Trumbo J (1999) Visual literacy and science communication. *Science Communication* 20(4): 409–425.
- Trumbo J (2000) Seeing science research opportunities in the visual communication of science. *Science Communication* 21(4): 379–391.
- Turney J (1994) Teaching science communication: Courses, curricula, theory and practice. *Public Understanding of Science* 3(4): 435–443.
- Turney J (1998) *To Know Science Is to Love It? Observations from Public Understanding of Science Research*. COPUS.
- Turney J (2006) *Engaging Science: Thoughts, Deeds, Analysis and Action*. London: Wellcome Trust.
- Tuunainen J (2005a) Contesting a hybrid firm at a traditional university. *Social Studies of Science* 35(2): 173–210.
- Tuunainen J (2005b) Hybrid practices? Contributions to the debate on the mutation of science and university. *Higher Education* 50(2): 275–298.
- Van Lente H (1993) *Promising Technology: The Dynamics of Expectations in Technological Developments*. phd. dissertation, University of Twente.
- Van Lente H (2000) Forceful futures: From promise to requirement. In: Brown N, Rappert B and Webster A (eds), *Contested Futures: A Sociology of Prospective Techno-Science*, Aldershot: Ashgate, pp. 43–63.
- Van Lente H and Bakker S (2010) Competing expectations: The case of hydrogen storage technologies. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 22(6): 693–709.
- Van Lente H and Rip A (1998) The rise of membrane technology from rhetorics to social reality. *Social Studies of Science* 28(2): 221–254.
- Wakeford T (2012) *Teach Yourself Citizens Juries: A Handbook*. DIY Jury Steering Group.
- Ward B (2007) The Royal Society and the debate on climate change. In: Bauer MW and Bucchi M (eds), *Journalism, Science and Society: Science Communication Between News and Public Relations*, Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 160–172.
- Warren ME and Pearse H (2008) *Designing Deliberative Democracy: The British Columbia Citizens' Assembly*. Cambridge University Press.
- Webster A (2007) Crossing boundaries: Social science in the policy room. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 32(4): 458–478.

- Weigold MF (2001) Communicating science: A review of the literature. *Science Communication* 23(2): 164–193.
- Wetherell M (2012) *Affect and Emotion: A New Social Science Understanding*. SAGE.
- Wilcox SA (2003) Cultural context and the conventions of science journalism: Drama and contradiction in media coverage of biological ideas about sexuality. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 20(3): 225–247.
- Wilensky HL (1964) The professionalization of everyone? *American Journal of Sociology* 70(2): 137–158.
- Wilkinson C, Dawson E and Bultitude K (2011) ‘Younger people have like more of an imagination, no offence’: Participant perspectives on public engagement. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B*: 1–19.
- Woodward K (1997) *Identity and Difference*. SAGE Publications.
- Wynne B (2006) Public engagement as a means of restoring public trust in science—Hitting the notes, but missing the music? *Community Genetics* 9(3): 211–220.

Index

A

academic capitalism, 103–26, 135, 215
accountability, 71, 117, 188,
198, 203
activism, 36, 99n32, 192, 196
actor network theory (ANT), 25n18,
46, 47, 138
affordance, 169–74, 179, 205
AIDS, 86, 99n32
Akrich, Madeleine, 144
ambivalence, structural, 68
Árnason, Vilhjálmur, 157n54, 189,
190, 208n5, 208n8
Asilomar conference, 35
audiences, 4, 7, 15, 18–21, 30, 37,
38, 44, 49n22, 53, 57, 65,
80–3, 87, 94, 118, 123, 125,
130n60, 134, 141, 148,
153, 159–61, 163–5, 167,
175, 182, 183n11, 187,
196, 205, 213, 214, 229

auto-communication, 57, 63, 68,
130n60

B

Bauer, Martin, 36, 85, 116, 191, 222
BBC, 80, 143
Bell, Alice, 70, 89, 97n3, 206
Big Bang Fair, 205–6, 228
Big pharma, 111–12
biological citizenship, 189, 208n6
biotechnology(ies), 35–7, 67, 134,
190, 191, 227
Bodmer Report, 37, 219, 221
Borchelt, Rick, 116–18, 129n33,
129n41
boredom, 175, 178–82, 216, 229
branding, 15–17, 47, 56, 57,
113–16, 118, 124, 134,
167, 203, 206
‘Breaking and Entering’, 54–5, 179

- Broks, Peter, 24n9, 34, 48n3, 48n6
 Brossard, Dominique, 42, 50n34,
 82, 97n4, 97n12, 98n23,
 127n17
 BSE/vCJD, 38
 Bucchi, Massimiano, 97n14, 99n28,
 129n33, 129n41, 218, 223,
 224, 230n11, 231n16,
 231n18, 231n21
 buildings, 11, 13, 37, 40, 60, 63, 83,
 114, 117, 139, 140, 161,
 166, 167, 169, 177, 194–8,
 209n26, 218
 Burri, Regula, 165, 166, 183n13
 business, 15–17, 22, 61, 63, 71,
 72, 80, 85, 88, 106,
 107, 115–17, 123–5,
 136, 141, 153, 199, 203,
 205, 206
- C**
- Campbell Rawlings, Kelly, 195
 capacity building, 83, 194–8,
 209n26
 care, 14, 60, 68, 80, 112, 121, 180,
 187, 189, 192, 201, 210n37
 Carlsberg, 13
 Carlsberg City, 13
 celebrification, 67
 celebrity scientists, 66–9, 79, 81,
 92, 147
 centralised procurement, 103,
 104, 108
 CERN, 95, 96, 108, 140
 Chambers, Simone, 39, 49n25,
 210n32
 churnalism, 81
 circuit of culture, 11, 12, 22, 23,
 25n22, 26n26, 43, 47
 citizens, 14, 49n26, 50n37, 81, 181,
 188, 189, 192–5, 197, 200,
 208n3, 208n13, 208n15,
 209n26, 219
 citizen science, 8, 20, 25n25, 31,
 192–4, 208n15, 219
 citizenship, 3, 9, 14, 19, 23, 75n20,
 157n55, 181, 182,
 187–211, 216, 217, 230n13
 climate change, 1, 2, 26n23, 46, 47,
 58, 59, 74n11, 97n6, 147
 collectives, 3, 14, 47, 53–77, 79, 95,
 149, 187, 215
 commercialisation, 22, 63, 72,
 104–7, 112, 123
 communication theory, 41, 43,
 50n35, 50n36, 90
 consensus conferences, participatory,
 7, 220, 226
 constitutive, communication
 as, 43–7
 constructivism, 57
 consultation, 4, 39, 42
 consumption, 11, 12, 18–20, 25n22,
 26n234, 74n6, 152, 165,
 194, 196, 200, 217, 227
 controversy (ies), 31, 34–8, 41, 105,
 106, 190, 203, 206, 207,
 227
 Cook, Maeve, 39, 49n24
 co-produced, 204
 corporate communication, 71, 96,
 99n28, 111–16, 118–21,
 215, 228
 corporeality, 46, 47
 Cox, Brian, 81, 176

credibility, scientific, 67, 68
 crisis communication, 121–2
 CUDOS norms, 62
 cultural itinerary, 19, 174
 culture, 1–27, 29, 43, 47, 58, 61,
 62, 65, 67–70, 74n6,
 75n17, 75n21, 81,
 106–8, 119, 120,
 130n54, 163, 168, 182,
 187, 208n7, 215, 220,
 222–5, 224, 225, 230n4,
 231n21

D

Danish Board of Technology (DBT),
 220, 226
 Danish Ministry for Science, 56
 Dawkins, Richard, 67, 79, 92
 decoupling, 70–4
 deficit model, 37–9, 41, 43, 218,
 222, 225
 deGrasse Tyson, Neil, 67, 79,
 81, 176
 deliberation, 31, 32, 39–43, 160,
 161, 182, 190, 192,
 197–201, 210n30, 225–7,
 231n24
 systemic approach to, 198–201
 deliberative democracy, 39, 40,
 49n24, 210n31
 deliberative moments, 200
 deliberative society, 198
 deliberative theory, 39, 197, 198
 democracy, 2, 3, 23, 31–4, 39,
 40, 49n24, 187–211,
 216, 219
 demos, 7, 38

Denmark, 13, 30, 56, 83, 139, 143,
 144, 188, 220, 223, 226,
 230n13
 dialogic, 21, 23, 38, 41, 42, 118,
 179, 220
 dialogue, 7, 8, 11, 30, 38–42, 44,
 50n30, 61, 83, 87, 88, 94,
 95, 118, 159, 160, 182n1,
 192, 200, 201, 204,
 209n23, 213–32
 dialogue event, 159, 200, 204,
 209n23, 229
 disciplines, 30, 58, 62, 65, 73, 90,
 93, 106, 109, 110, 136,
 201, 206, 215
 dissemination, 16, 21, 32, 42, 44,
 83, 124, 224, 226
 dual allegiance, 70–4

E

education, 5, 7, 8, 18, 21, 22,
 25n14, 30, 31, 37, 41,
 79–104, 106, 161, 170,
 180, 194, 206, 221
 educator, role of, 64
 Einstein, Albert, 67, 68
 Electricity Museum Lisbon, 176–8
 embodiment, 46, 67
 emotion, 3, 9, 19, 22, 43,
 46, 159–87, 193, 213, 216
 empowerment, 20
 enlightenment, 31–4, 36, 40, 41,
 64, 225
 entrepreneurial science, 72,
 105–8, 125
 environment, 8, 26n23, 70, 87, 137,
 169, 193, 197

Epstein, Steven, 86, 87, 99n32
 Estonia, 223
 Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues (ELSI), 36
 Etzkowitz, Henry, 105, 123, 126n5, 131n62
 eugenics, 35
 European Commission, 13, 21, 33, 38, 83, 133
 European Research Council (ERC), 114
 European Spallation Source, 108, 133, 139
 Euroscience Open Forum (ESOF), 3–6, 8, 10, 12–21, 54, 56, 73, 86, 171, 179, 205, 225
 expectations, 1, 9, 18, 22, 33, 56, 68, 69, 108, 134, 135, 139–53, 160, 163, 175, 176, 208n4, 216, 223
 expert, role of, 64

F
 Facebook, 4, 6, 81, 114
 Fahy, Declan, 67, 68, 76n33, 97n8, 99n39
 failure, 179–81, 216, 227
 Felt, Ulrike, 48n4, 49n23, 69, 75n26, 76n42, 126n3, 209n19, 230n14
 fiction, 5, 163
 Fochler, Max, 69, 76n42, 209n19, 230n14
 futures, 3, 22, 75n26, 133–58, 163, 187, 191, 197, 208n4
 Futurescape City Tours, 196–7

G
 Gaskell, George, 36, 48n9, 49n12, 190, 208n9
 General Medical Council (GMC), 168
 gene therapy, 157n55, 208n4
 Gieryn, Thomas, 169
 Glamour magazine, 70
 globalisation, 22, 104
 Goodell, Rae, 53, 66, 67, 74n1, 76n30, 229n4
 Goven, Joanna, 189, 208n7, 228, 232n29
 governance, 7, 24n12, 31, 41, 119, 190, 191, 193, 195, 198, 207, 209n22, 222, 225–7
 great embryo debates, 35
 Gregory, Jane, 23n1, 23n3, 27n30, 85, 99n28, 116, 185n44

H
 Habermas, Jürgen, 32, 33, 39, 40, 48n2
 hackerspace, 8
 Hawking, Stephen, 68
 health campaigns, 7
 health communication, 8, 31
 Human Genome Project, 36
 hybrid role identity, 107
 hype, 148, 149, 151, 157n54

I
 identity, 2, 3, 9, 11–15, 19, 22, 25n22, 34, 58, 60–3, 66, 69, 70, 74, 74n8, 75n29, 91, 95, 107, 127n15, 128n25, 130n58, 138, 150, 178, 195, 214, 216, 217, 219

images, 17, 46, 57, 60, 67, 69, 70, 109,
130n58, 159–86, 192, 216

Imperial College London, 90

innovation communication,
133–58, 216

installation, 54–6, 73, 179, 180,
185n47

institutional body language, 167

Institutions, 2, 6–8, 37, 57–9, 62–5,
68, 69, 71–3, 85, 87, 93, 96,
99n29, 104, 106, 109, 110,
119–21, 123, 126n3, 167,
190, 194, 195, 205, 213, 219

intellectual property rights, 123

interdisciplinarity, 109

interdisciplinary research, 69, 110,
128n23, 128n24

interests, 5, 19, 29, 33–8, 41, 44–6,
47, 53, 56, 64, 71, 79, 80,
84, 87, 88, 90, 110, 122,
128n27, 133–59, 148–50,
170, 174, 175, 180, 188,
196, 197, 199, 203, 205,
206, 213, 223, 224

interface specialists, 123–5

Irwin, Alan, 24n12, 49n16, 75n26,
183n17, 192, 208n3,
208n12, 208n14, 218, 225,
230n9, 231n21

J

Joubert, Marina, 91

K

Kant, Emmanuel, 32

Kirby, David, 176

knowledge society, 2, 215, 217

L

Latour, Bruno, 24n6, 51n40, 75n24,
115, 129n39, 138, 154n13,
154n15, 210n40

leadership, 56, 62, 65, 74n4, 95,
114, 121, 122, 128n23,
130n60

learning, 7, 8, 18, 38, 169–72, 174,
177, 191, 195, 196, 213

legitimacy, 16, 112, 113, 117, 119,
121, 143, 191, 198, 210n30

Lewenstein, Bruce, 42

M

Manhattan project, 34

Mansbridge, Jane, 198–200, 210n31

marketisation, 22, 104, 105, 107–8,
189, 215

mass media, 4, 25n22, 26n23, 47,
66, 79–82, 85, 96, 97n4,
98n26, 113, 128n31,
129n35, 129n47, 166, 221

master narratives, 33

materiality, 19, 46, 47, 161, 166–9,
174, 184n32

Matthew effect, 115, 129n38, 142

meaning-making, 8, 10, 11, 19, 43,
59, 161, 196, 213, 229

media, 4, 6, 9, 18, 22, 24n7, 25n22,
26n23, 30, 31, 37, 45, 47,
59, 66, 68–70, 79–85, 88,
90, 91, 95, 108, 112–14,
118–22, 124, 134, 141,
149, 151, 152, 163, 166,
175, 176, 188, 189, 199,
213, 221, 223

medialization, 96n2, 128n31,
129n47

media policies, 113, 118–21,
 Mellor, Felicity, 90, 91, 97n3,
 100n53, 100n55
 Merton, Robert K, 62, 75n23, 115,
 129n38
 Michael, Mike, 45, 69, 180, 204, 218
 misbehaviour, 19, 161, 178–82, 216
 Mode 2, 109, 127n12
 modernity, 32, 225
 Motivations
 audience, 30, 175
 scientist, 54, 74n3, 184n35
 Mulkay, Michael, 48n11, 135, 136,
 154n5, 154n7
 museum studies, 31

N

nanobots, 164, 183n9
 nanotechnology, 4, 134, 151, 163,
 164, 183n11, 196, 199, 200
 Nelkin, Dorothy, 35, 48n8, 129n43,
 156n53, 182n6
 neo-institutional theory, 71, 112,
 129n32
 neoliberalism, 190, 228
 Nerlich, Brigitte, 164, 183n9
 new labour, 227
 new media, 79, 82, 97n12, 120
 New Public Management, 71, 106
 Nigeria, 224
 non-discursive, 159–96, 216
 normative systems, 62
 norms, 16, 21, 25n22, 57–9, 61, 62,
 72, 89, 91–5, 105, 113,
 117, 165, 166, 215
 nostalgia, 177–8, 185n42, 185n44
 nuclear power, 35, 86, 227

O

obesity, 63
 ‘Ocean of Resources,’ 171–3
 organisational communication, 16,
 58, 61, 113, 114
 organisational culture, 8, 61, 62,
 106–8, 119, 120
 organisational theory, 57, 58, 214
 organisations
 research, 5, 6, 15, 55, 60–2, 64,
 66, 70, 84, 85, 95, 96,
 104–8, 111–16, 119,
 120, 123, 125, 126, 135,
 149, 215, 228
 scientific, 17, 57, 58, 96, 106,
 113, 117, 118, 121, 152,
 153n3
 Ottinger, Gwen, 192, 208n15

P

Parkinson, John, 198, 210n31
 participation, 7, 8, 31, 38–43,
 46n26, 54, 75n19, 87,
 88, 118, 174, 190–3, 195,
 196, 198, 199, 203,
 208n13, 209n19, 209n22,
 209n24, 210n36, 211n43,
 219, 223, 225, 227, 228,
 230n13, 230n14, 232n25,
 232n28
 patents, 124, 131n64
 Penkova, Milena, 122
 performance, 7, 14, 56–8, 65, 71,
 72, 143, 165, 170
 Peters, Hans Peter, 80, 96n2, 97n4,
 112, 120, 127n17, 128n31,
 129n47, 156n51

- Philae, 70
- positivism, 45, 50n35
- post-doc, 69, 79, 201
- postgraduate courses, 90, 91,
100n57
- power, 34, 35, 40, 41, 67, 68,
70, 86, 124, 133, 145,
147, 149, 165, 166,
176–8, 183n16, 202–7,
208n7, 209n18,
210n34, 211n38, 226, 227,
231n14
- PR, models of, 118
- production, 10–12, 15–17, 19,
20, 22, 25n20, 25n21,
25n22, 43, 45–7, 50n29,
56, 58, 87, 90, 96, 105,
107, 109, 112, 113,
116, 125, 126n4, 144–6,
151, 165, 170, 200,
215–17, 228
- profession, 71, 89, 92, 94, 96, 125
- professionalisation, 9, 45, 79–102,
126, 215, 218
- professional norms, 89, 91, 93, 94
- professionals, communication, 71,
72, 82, 84, 85, 89, 91, 95,
98n26, 114, 117, 118, 123,
126, 149, 214
- progress, 20, 33, 34, 39, 41, 105,
122, 147, 159, 175, 177,
189, 202, 207, 217, 224
- promise champions, 149
- promises, 134, 135, 139–43, 146–8,
150–3, 189
- protest, 36, 87, 108, 196,
203, 207
- PSCI-COM, 88, 89
- Public Communication of Science
and Technology (PCST)
Network, 88, 89, 92, 93,
100n57, 223
- public engagement, 37–9, 42, 45,
47, 49n18, 50n37, 74n2,
75n18, 84, 85, 88, 92,
98n25, 159, 160, 169, 174,
180–2, 184n29, 185n35,
190, 197, 201, 203,
209n22, 223, 226, 228
- Public Engagement with Science
(PEST), 37–9, 88, 92, 159,
160, 180, 182, 190,
209n23, 228
- public lecture, 3, 4, 6, 8, 37, 162,
166, 168, 196, 213, 221
- public opinion, 142, 164
- public participation, 7, 38, 39, 41,
42, 87, 88, 190–2, 203,
209n19, 209n22, 223, 227,
230n13, 231n14
- public relations (PR), 40, 118,
129n33, 129n41
- public resistance, 190, 191
- public sphere, 32–4, 39, 48n2, 190
- public understanding of science
(journal), 24n10, 26n29,
49n13, 50n34, 51n38,
74n3, 75n19, 76n46,
97n13, 100n49, 100n53,
154n3, 183n11, 184n34,
208n3, 208n5, 209n23,
209n26, 230n6, 232n29
- public understanding of science
(PUS), 6, 23n1, 36–9,
51n39, 90, 92, 93, 97n13,
167, 191, 219

R

reason, 4–6, 11, 14, 32–4, 45, 62, 73, 103, 119, 130n52, 138, 141, 142, 149, 166, 170, 171, 174, 206, 207

regulation, 11, 12, 20–1, 25n22, 35, 87, 119, 217

representation, 11, 12, 15–20, 69, 76n46, 79, 80, 95, 97n5, 97n6, 162, 163, 217

research communication, 4, 50n36, 73

research groups, 57, 69, 71, 122, 201

research manager, role of, 64, 65

responsible innovation, 201, 210n37

Rip, Arie, 149, 155n23, 155n31, 156n41, 156n42, 157n56, 184n33

risk, 2, 31, 35, 68, 110, 111, 119, 150, 206, 216, 220, 229n1, 231n21

risk communication, 31

Robbins, Martin, 161, 162, 183n16

Rödder, Simone, 68

Rogers, Everett M, 138, 154n12

Rosetta comet probe, 69–70

Royal Institution, 37

Royal Society, 37, 49n13, 58–61, 74n10, 75n18, 76n36, 87, 219

S

Sagan Effect, 67, 68

Salinger, Jim, 119–21

Schmidt Kjærgaard, Rikke, 162, 165, 182n5

Schwartz, Thue, 63, 110

Science and Technology Studies (STS), 29, 30, 37, 38, 45, 46, 73, 90, 111, 138, 180, 189, 202–4, 206, 214

science-art collaboration, 175

science centre, 8, 149, 170

science comedy, 175

science communication

- as cultural phenomenon, 9, 10, 13, 22, 31
- ecosystem of, 5–8, 82–4, 220–2
- history of, 13
- integration model of, 84, 114, 118
- models of, 41–3, 45
- professionalisation of, 79–96, 215
- purposes of, 9, 15, 18, 42, 47, 54
- specialisation model of, 84–6, 117
- training, 9, 83, 84, 89–91

Science Communication (journal), 98n19, 100n50, 101n58

science education, 5, 7, 8, 25n14, 31, 90, 170

science festivals, 4, 86, 88, 175

Science in the City, 3–5, 10, 12, 14, 17, 20, 54, 56, 73, 171, 173, 174, 179, 205

Science Media Centre (SMC), 81, 83

science moves, 172, 173

science museum, 7, 8, 30, 60, 88, 170, 174, 194, 196, 197

science outreach, 20, 85

science PR. *See* PR, models of

Science Public Information Officers, 93

science, representations of, 17, 20, 80

science slam, 7, 8, 175

scientific citizenship, 14, 19, 23,
 75n20, 157n55, 182,
 187–211, 216, 217, 230n13
 scientific collectives, 14, 57, 58
 scientific literacy, 18, 23n1,
 27n30, 163
 scientific responsibility, 201
 scientists, identity, 53–77
 scripts, 19, 144–6
 sense-making, 16, 23n2, 39, 58–62,
 66–8, 73, 95, 107, 122, 163
 Shapin, Steven, 34, 48n3, 48n5,
 48n7, 126n4, 229n3
 Shipman, Matt, 24n3, 101n61
 ShirtGate, 69, 70
 social media, 4, 6, 30, 31, 70, 81,
 88, 119, 163, 199, 213
 sociology of images, 164–6, 183n13
 sociology of professions, 89, 94
 sponsorship, 205
 Stellenbosch University, 91
 stem cell research, 134, 142–4, 150,
 155n26
 stem cell tourism, 150
 Stimson, Gerry, 167, 168, 183n18
 Stirling, Andy, 203, 210n36, 211n43
 story-telling, 7, 60, 63, 74, 218, 221
 Stuart Hall, 9, 12, 45
 symbolic figures, 66, 68
 symbols, 17, 60, 65, 67, 68
 synthetic biology, 133–7, 139, 141,
 142, 145–8, 151, 163

T

Taylor, Matt, 70
 technology transfer, 96, 123, 124
 technoscience, 2, 5, 33, 44, 191, 228

TED, 6, 81
 translation, 2, 11, 32, 45, 48n1,
 50n37, 124, 127n12,
 156n35
 Trench, Brian, 41, 42, 49n15,
 50n31, 83, 97n14, 100n51,
 100n49, 101n65, 217, 218,
 223, 224, 229n2, 230n11,
 231n16
 Trumbo, Jean, 163, 182n7
 trust portfolio, 117, 118
 trust, public, 38, 118
 Turkey, 223
 Turney, Jon, 38, 49n17, 90, 100n52,
 175, 184n34
 Tuskegee project, 34
 Twitter, 6, 70, 114, 128n21

U

UK, 30, 37, 38, 49n18, 74n4, 80,
 83, 87, 90, 93, 98n15,
 98n25, 103, 108, 118, 120,
 127n13, 133, 135–7, 141,
 143, 159, 174, 194, 205,
 206, 222–4
 Universities, 4, 6, 15, 17, 29, 56–8,
 60, 62, 65, 66, 69,
 71–3, 83, 86, 95, 96,
 100n50, 103–33, 141,
 149, 167, 199, 205, 206,
 213–15, 219
 University of Copenhagen (UCPH),
 19, 54, 55, 60, 63, 110,
 122, 166, 167, 169
 upstream engagement, 38
 US, 38, 81, 87, 100n57, 142,
 147, 197

V

values, professional, 16, 62, 71, 73
van Lente, Harro, 141, 149, 153,
155n19, 155n33, 156n41,
157n56
Venter, Craig, 67, 142, 147, 148
visible scientists, 68, 113
visions, 16, 22, 23, 35, 36, 39, 63,
66, 69, 73, 134, 135,
140–53, 163, 199, 209n19,
213, 214, 216, 227, 230n14

visualisation, 161, 163, 164, 166
visual literacy, 163, 182n7
visual logic, 165

W

Ward, Bob, 58, 59, 74n11, 230n6
Weick, Karl, 59
welfare, 1–3, 7
wonder, 55, 63, 160, 174–6, 213
World Wide Views Project, 226