

Index

A

- Academic Health Center, School of Public Health, Brazil, 237, 242–244
- Accuracy standards, meta-evaluation, 255, 263
- “Acorda Manguinhos,” 245
- Actor-Network Theory, 108
- Agency as authority, in participatory evaluation, 160
- Agita Sao Paulo* program, 205, 206, 210–211
- AI/AN, *see* American Indian and Alaska Natives (AI/AN)
- AIDS prevention and control program, Brazil
 - evaluation capacity-building and sociotechnical network, 112–115
- American Indian and Alaska Natives (AI/AN)
 - community empowerment among, 179–187
 - formative evaluation among, 179–187
 - Analogy, figurative thinking, 124, 125
 - “A New Perspective on the Health of Canadians,” Lalonde Report, 13
 - Applied scientific activity, evaluation, 31
 - Aristotle’s maxim, equity analysis, 287, 288
 - Arnstein’s ladder of participation, 149–150
 - Athens Declaration for Healthy Cities, 195
 - Authentic participation, 150

B

- Bangkok Charter for Health Promotion, 15
- Black box concept, 93–94
- Brazil
 - participatory evaluation guide, application in, 224–229
 - systematization analysis in project implementation, 269–283
- Brazilian National AIDS Program
 - evaluation capacity-building and sociotechnical network, 112–115

Bureaucratic issues

- intersectoral approaches, 207–208

C

- Campbell, Donald T., 30
- Campbellian perspective, post-positivist, 2
- Campinas Region, State of Sao Paulo, Brazil
 - participatory evaluation guide, application in, 224–226
- Cancer prevention work, AI/AN communities, 183–186
- Capacity-building process, evaluation, 112–115
- Capela do Socorro, Sao Paulo, Brazil
 - annual growth rate, 271
 - demographic indicators of, 269, 270
 - income, heads of family, 271
 - sub-standard housing, 271
 - systematization analysis, in project implementation, 269–283
- Causality, 37
- CBPR, *see* Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR)
- CDC community preventive services synthesis, 3, 4, 86
- Challenges, evaluation practice, 32–41
 - defining object to evaluate, 33–35
 - relevance and rigour in choice of methods, 35–39
 - relevant knowledge production, 40–41
 - synthesising results into indices, 39–40
- Choice of methods
 - relevance and rigour, balancing, 35–39
- Chronological matrix, 133–134
- Cities
 - intersectoral approaches to health promotion in, 191–215
- Cities for Life Forum, Peru, 200
- Civic life, violence, 247

- Cluster design approach, 36–37
 - Cochrane collaboration group on health promotion, 3, 4, 85–86
 - health promotion and public health, defined by, 49
 - Collective health, 18–19
 - Collective lifestyle practice, 302–303
 - Colloquial evidence, *see* Informal evidence
 - Colombia
 - health reforms, 16–17
 - Community-based health interventions
 - multi-strategy evaluation, 253–254
 - Community-based health promotion evaluations, 72–73
 - Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR), 182–187
 - Community empowerment
 - evaluation practices to enhance, 180–187
 - Community of Vila Paciencia, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 - participatory evaluation guide, application in, 226–229
 - Community participation
 - Integrated Local Development Project, Brazil, 244–246
 - Conceptual use, of evaluation results, 103
 - Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT), 86
 - CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials), 86
 - Constructivist evaluations, 70, 72–74, 103
 - Content analysis of IAC deliberations, 136–137
 - Context
 - defined, 299
 - role in evaluation, 299–314
 - Context of person's work, evaluation practice, 31
 - Contextualism, 38
 - Coping and Skills Training Program (CAST), 181–182
 - Critical realist approach, 306–309
 - Cultural appropriateness, 180–187
 - evaluation practices to enhance, 180–187
 - Culture of place, 311, 312
- D**
- Decentralization
 - in Healthy Capela Program assessment project, 278–281
 - Decision-makers, 57–58
 - Decision-making, evaluation questions, 56–58
 - Declaration of Alma-Ata, 13
 - Detroit Community-Academic Partnership, 196
 - Detroit Community-Academic Urban Research Center (URC), 72
 - Developmental stage
 - of evaluation, 171
 - of intervention, 169–171
 - Diabetes prevention work, AI/AN communities, 186–187
 - Dialectic of agency and structure, 309–310
 - Digital way of thinking, 124
 - Distributional equity, 286
 - analytic tasks for evaluating, 287–296
- E**
- East Side Village Health Worker Partnership (ESVHWP), 72
 - Education and Research Towards Health (EARTH) study, 187
 - Effectiveness evaluation, 50, 54–56
 - Efficacy, health promotion, 41
 - Efficiency
 - equity and, 295–296
 - Emplacement, 311–312
 - Empowerment
 - among AI/AN, 179–187
 - case-oriented approach to assess, 150–151
 - definition, 150
 - power dynamics, 172–173
 - principles of, 149–150
 - End-state equity, 286
 - Engagement, participant, 157–159
 - Epidemiology, scientific rigour criteria, 36, 37
 - Epistemological dimension, evaluation practice, 65, 76
 - Equality, 287
 - Equity, 51
 - analytic tasks for evaluating distributive, 287–296
 - defining, 285–287
 - and efficiency, 295–296
 - evaluation, 285–296
 - health need variables, 290
 - justice oriented variables, 290
 - procedural justice and, 294–295
 - Evaluation
 - capacity-building process, 112–115
 - CDC community preventive services synthesis, 3, 4, 86
 - Cochrane collaboration group, 3, 4
 - community empowerment enhancement practices, 180–187

- context as fundamental dimension of, 299–314
 - cultural appropriateness enhancement practices, 180–187
 - definition, 29, 49–50, 301
 - dilemmas in, 149–176
 - equity, 285–296
 - evidence based, 82–85
 - as experimentation, 66, 67–69
 - in health promotion, 32
 - of health promotion, 32
 - health promotion project in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 237–249
 - influence of, 105–106
 - intersectoral approaches, 208–212
 - and intervention, in participation, 169–172
 - as intervention, 174–175
 - IUHPE projects, 3–4
 - meaning and scope in interventions, 52–53
 - methods, 173
 - that promote empowerment, 167–169, 173
 - that promote participation, 160–165, 173
 - nature of participation in, 153–159
 - as negotiation, 66, 69–74
 - as organized reflexivity, 66, 74–76
 - perceptions of, 58
 - portfolio of health interventions, 204
 - practice, 29–32
 - as applied scientific activity, 31
 - challenges, 32–41
 - four dimensions of, 64–66
 - ideal-type, 66–76
 - as social discovery process, 29–31
 - purpose, 53–54
 - questions, formulation, 49–51
 - methodological issues, 59–61
 - practical issues, 51–59
 - type of questions, 59–61
 - social context, 304–305
 - use
 - framework, 107–115
 - models and values, 102–103
 - utilization-focused, 106–107
 - WHO-EURO Working Group, 1–3, 5
 - See also* Health promotion; Participatory evaluation; Multi-strategy evaluation
 - Evaluation in Health Promotion*, 26–27
 - Evaluation Steering Committee (ESC)
 - role in IAC project evaluation, 128–141
 - Evidence
 - concept of, 83–84
 - for effectiveness assessment of health promotion, 82–85
 - sources of, 84
 - Evidence-based health promotion, 84
 - Evidence-based medicine, 81, 84
 - Experimentation, evaluation as, 66, 67–69
 - External validity, proposed quality rating criteria for, 92
- F**
- Feasibility pilot research, 181–182
 - Feasibility standards, meta-evaluation, 254–255, 262–263
 - Field setting, participatory evaluation, 161
 - Figurative thinking, 123–124
 - tools, integrating into participatory evaluation, 124–127
 - applied example, 127–141
 - Fleck, Ludwick, 30
 - Focus groups, 182–187
 - Formative evaluation, 179–180
 - methods to enhance cultural appropriateness, 180–187
 - See also* Evaluation
 - “Fourth generation evaluation,” 70
 - Freire’s concept of problematization, 112–114
- G**
- Garcia, Juan Cesar, 17–18
 - Gender equality
 - in Latin America, 18
 - General system theory, 125–126
 - Global models, intersectoral interventions, 198–199
 - Global Program on Health Promotion
 - Effectiveness, 86
 - “Goal free” evaluation, 207
 - Grass roots mobilization, intersectoral interventions, 200–203
 - Grey literature, 95
- H**
- Hard research, 28
 - Headwaters Protection Act, State of Sao Paulo, Brazil, 269
 - Health Action Zones program, England, 241
 - Health impact assessment, of public policy, 203–204
 - Health inequalities
 - Latin American, 17–18
 - systematic reviews, 88

- Health inequities, 289
 “Health on equal terms,” 1
 Health policy making
 knowledge utilization and, 102–107
 Health promotion
 in cities, intersectoral approaches, 191–215
 as defined in Australia, 1
 definitions
 in Ottawa Charter, 14, 192–193
 in PAHO, 221
 efficacy, 41
 evaluation, *see* Evaluation
 interventions, *see* Interventions
 Latin American development, 15–18
 as local development, 240
 North American development, 13–15
 planning model, 163–164
 politics, principles, 19–20
 practices, principles, 19–20
 principles, 149–150
 program, 33–35
 and public health, Cochrane Collaboration
 definition, 49
 in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 237–249
 sociopolitical context, 18–20
 systematic reviews in, 87–89
 realistic approach, 93–96
 Health reforms
 Latin American, 16–17
 Healthy Capela Program assessment project,
 271–272
 aspects of, 276
 assessment by systematization, 275–278
 stakeholders challenge to, 283
 challenges to, 274–275
 focus on decentralization, 278–281
 queries concerning, 274–275
 systematization of experiences method,
 272–274
 Healthy Municipalities, Cities and Communi-
 ties (HMC) strategy, 221–222
 in Peru, 229–230
 Healthy People 2010, 19
 Hill causality criteria, 37
 HMC strategy, *see* Healthy Municipalities,
 Cities and Communities (HMC)
 strategy
 Horizontal equity, 285
 evaluation, 290–291
- I**
 IAC, *see* Intervention Assistance Committee
 (IAC)
- Ideal-type, evaluation practice, 66–76
 “Illuminative” evaluation, 207
 Indian community
 focus groups and CBPR, 182–183
 Indices, synthetic, 39–40
 Inequalities, health
 Latin America, 17–18
 systematic reviews, 88
 Inequity, health, 289
 Informal evidence, 83
 Institution
 definition, 110
 Institutional interest-related barriers, policy
 making, 107
 Instrumental use, of evaluation results,
 102–103
 Integrated framework, for evaluation use,
 107–115
 Integrated judgement matrix, 131–132
 Integrated Local Development Project
 in Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil,
 237–249
 Integrated participatory evaluation model,
 129, 141
 Inter-Department Nucleus of Capela do
 Socorro (NICS), 277–278
 Internal validity, 82
 International Union for Health Promotion and
 Education, *see* IUHPE
 Intersectoral approaches, health promotion,
 191–215
 analytic strategies, 203–205
 challenges to, 205–208
 characteristics, 195–198
 evaluating, 208–212
 history, 192–194
 Integrated Local Development Project,
 Brazil, 241–242
 intervention strategies, 203–205
 models, 198–203
 significance, 194–195
 social determinants of health and, 212
 Intervention Assistance Committee (IAC)
 project evaluation process, 127–141
 Interventions
 defining, 51–52
 effectiveness, 54–56
 evidence based review, 82–85
 and evaluation, in participation,
 169–172
 evaluation as, 174–175
 meaning and scope of evaluation, 52–53
 participatory evaluation as, 161–162

- social context, 303–304
 - See also* Health promotion; Evaluation
- Investigation dilemma, 136–138
- Investigative logic, 95
- IUHPE projects, 3–4, 86
- J**
- Judgement matrix, integrated, 131–132
- Judgement process, metaphor use in, 129–130
- K**
- Knowledge production, modes, 103–105
- Knowledge translation, 107
- Knowledge utilization, and health policy making, 102–107
- L**
- Lalonde Report, “A New Perspective on the Health of Canadians,” 13
- Latin America
 - development in health promotion, 15–18
 - gender equality, 18
 - health inequalities, 17–18
 - health reforms, 16–17
 - women’s health movement, 18
- Latin American Association of Social Medicine, 17
- Latour, Bruno, 29–30
- Legitimacy dilemma, 138–139
- Lewin, Kurt, 158–159
- Local associative life, in Manguinhos, 246–247
- Local development, health promotion as, 240
- Logic models, 33, 34
- M**
- Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 - health promotion project, evaluation, 237–249
- Matrix, figurative thinking tools, 124, 125
- Medline (International Database for Medical Literature), 256
- Meta-evaluation approach, 85, 254–255
 - checklist for health promotion programs, 262–264
- Metaphor, 125
 - in exploring judgement process, 129–130
 - in participatory evaluation process, 130–131
- Methodological dimension
 - balance of relevance and rigour, 35–39
 - evaluation practice, 65–66, 71
- Methods, used to gather scientific data, 91
- Milan Declaration on Healthy Cities, 194
- Millennium Development Goals (MDG), 193
- Miraflores experience, “Tai Chi in the Parks” program
 - participatory evaluation, 230–232
- Multi-strategy evaluation
 - community-based health interventions, 253–254
 - See also* Evaluation
- Municipal government
 - intersectoral interventions, 200
 - role in Integrated Local Development Project, Brazil, 244
- N**
- Narrative systematic reviews, 87
- National models, intersectoral interventions, 199–200
- National School of Public Health, Brazil, 237–238
 - Academic Health Center, 237, 242–244
- Negotiations
 - evaluation as, 66, 69–74
 - evaluation questions, 58–59, 60–61
- Neo-positivist values, evaluation use, 103
- Network for Monitoring and Evaluation (ReM&A)
 - Brazilian National AIDS Program, 114–115
- Network of Potentially Healthy Municipalities (NPHM), Campinas region, Brazil, 224
- Nicaragua
 - health reforms, 17
- Non-voluntary participation, 156
- North American development, in health promotion, 13–15
- O**
- Object to evaluate, defining, 33–35
- Ontological dimension, evaluation practice, 64–65, 71, 75
- Open systems, 33
- Operational analysis of translation, 109–110
- Opposing relationships model, 136, 137
- Organizational apparatus
 - as proposed by Evaluation Steering Committee (ESC), IAC, 139–140
- Organizational issues
 - intersectoral approaches, 207–208
- Organized reflexivity
 - evaluation as, 66, 74–76
- Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion, 14–15, 192–193

Ottawa Model of Health Care Research Use, 305

P

PAHO, *see* Pan American Health Organization (PAHO)

Pan American Health Organization (PAHO)
evaluation initiative, 222–224
HMC strategy by, 221–222

Participant engagement, 157–159

Participatory budgeting, 204–205

Participatory evaluation, 66, 67, 72–73, 123
case-oriented approach to assess,
150–152

cross-case comparison of, 152–165
figurative thinking tools in, 124–127

applied example, 127–141

functions of, 141–144

and HMC strategy, 222–224

intersectoral interventions, 204–205

as intervention, 161–162

methods, 160–165, 173

that promote empowerment, 167–169,
173

models, 129, 130, 137

functions of, 141–144

integrated, 129, 141

power dynamics, 172–173

principles of, 149–150

of “Tai Chi in the Parks” program,
Miraflores, 230–232

tools for, 123–144

vulnerable groups in, 173–174

See also Evaluation

Participatory Evaluation Guide for Healthy Municipalities, Cities and Communities, 222–224

application in Brazil, 224–229

Pedagogical approach

Brazilian National AIDS Program,
112–114

Peru, HMC strategy in, 229–230

Photo voice method, 162

Planning model, health promotion, 163–164

Planning-Programming-Budgeting System, 25

Point of view, evaluation practice, 30

Politics, principles

health promotion, 19–20

Population effectiveness, 88

Portfolio approach, 90, 204

Positivist set of values, evaluation use, 103

Post-positivist Campbellian perspective, 2

Power dynamics

role in participation and empowerment,
172–173

role in participatory evaluation, 159–160

Power relations, social context, 310–311

Practice of evaluation, 29–32

as applied scientific activity, 31

challenges, 32–41

four dimensions of, 64–66

ideal-type, 66–76

as social discovery process, 29–31

See also Evaluation

Practice/policy-based evidence approach,
84–85

Practices, principles

health promotion, 19–20

PRECEDE-PROCEED model, 164, 165

Principles, health promotion, 149–150

Problematization, Freire’s theory, 112–114

Problem theory, 126–127

Procedural equity, 286

and justice, 294–295

Process evaluation, 54, 180

Program, 33–35

definition, 33

Program theory, 126, 127

Propriety standards, meta-evaluation, 255, 263

Proyecto Amares, 230

Public health

and health promotion, Cochrane

Collaboration definition, 49

Public policy, health impact assessment,
203–204

Q

Quasi-experimental approach, 2, 3

Queen Alexandra community projects,
151–152

cross-case comparison of empowerment,
165–169

Questions formulation, evaluation, 49–51
practical issues in, 51–59

R

Randomized controlled trials (RCT), 82, 83
standards, 86, 87

Re-Aim model (Reach, Effectiveness,
Adoption, Implementation and
Maintenance), 90

Realist evaluation, 74

for systematic reviews in health promotion,
93–96

Reflexivity, 38, 39, 41

Relationships *versus* rigor

- in field setting, participatory evaluation, 161
- ReM&A (Network for Monitoring and Evaluation)
 - Brazilian National AIDS Program, 114–115
- Replicability
 - intersectoral interventions, 198, 214–215
- Researchers
 - and practitioners, interactions, 104–105
- Research rigour, 35–39
- Resource identification, equity evaluation, 288–290
- Responsive evaluation, 71–72
- Rigorous research methods, 35–39
- Rigor *versus* relationship
 - in field setting, participatory evaluation, 161
- Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 - health promotion project, evaluation, 237–249
- S**
- Sao Paulo, Brazil
 - participatory evaluation guide, application in, 224–226
 - systematization analysis, in project implementation, 269–283
- Scale
 - of evaluation, in participation, 171–172
 - of intervention, in participation, 171–172
- Schema, figurative thinking tools, 124, 125
- School-Based Prevention Project, case study, 152
 - cross-case comparison of empowerment, 165–169
 - cross-case comparison of participation, 153–165
- Scientific activity, evaluation, 31
- Settings approach, 221
- Social class, 30–31
- Social conditions, 19
- Social context, 299
 - of health promotion evaluation, 304–305
 - of health promotion interventions, 303–304
 - key, enduring features of, 309–312
 - of target phenomena, 301–303
- Social determinants of health
 - and intersectoral health promotion, 212
- Social justice, 287
- Social medicine
 - Latin American health promotion practice, 15–16
- Social practice, evaluation, 29–31
- Social transformation processes, 38
- Socio-cultural mediation
 - Integrated Local Development Project, Brazil, 244–246
- Sociology of translation, 108–109
- Sociopolitical context, 18–20
- Sociotechnical networks
 - Brazilian National AIDS Program, 114–115
- Specificity standards, meta-evaluation, 255, 263–264
- Stakeholders, 57–58
- Steering committee, participatory evaluation, 164–165
- Strategic Investment Initiative Fund (SIIF), 151
- STROBE, 87
- Suicide prevention work, AI/AN communities, 181–182
- Survey instrument
 - participatory evaluation, 163
- Sustainability
 - intersectoral interventions, 198, 214–215
- Sustainable development, 195
- Symbolic interactionism, 70
- Symbolic use, of evaluation results, 103
- Synthesising evaluations, into indices, 39–40
- Systematic approach, to evaluation, 213
- Systematic reviews, 82
 - criteria for assessing, 89–93
 - health inequalities, 88
 - in health promotion, 87–89
 - realistic approach, 93–96
 - producing, 85–87
- Systematization evaluative approach, 54
 - project implementation in Capela do Socorro, Brazil, 269–283
- Systematization of experiences method, 272–274
- System theory, general, 125–126
- T**
- “Tai Chi in the Parks” program, Miraflores
 - participatory evaluation, 230–232
- Teleological dimension, evaluation practice, 64, 70–71, 74
- Theory of change, 126, 127
- Time considerations, evaluation results, 59
- Tokenism, 155
- Token participation, 150
- Training, evaluation practice, 30
- Transductive schema, 143

- Translation, 110–111
 - knowledge, 107
 - operational analysis of, 109–110
 - sociology of, 108–109
- Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs (TREND), 86
- TREND (Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs), 86
- Trial efficacy, 88

- U**
- United Nations Decade for Women, 18
- United States
 - health promotion development, 14
- Urban Research Center (URC) Board, 73
- Use models, evaluation
 - in policy making, 102–103
- Utilitarian view of equity, 292–293
- Utility standards, meta-evaluation, 254, 262
- Utilization-focused evaluation, 66–67, 106–107

- V**
- Values
 - underlying evaluation use, 102–107

- Vertical equity, 285–286
 - evaluation, 291
- View of science
 - evaluation practice, 30–31
- Violence, civic life, 247
- Virtual Health Library of the Pan-American Health Organization registry, 256
- Vulnerable groups
 - in participatory evaluation, 173–174

- W**
- W. K. Kellogg Foundation Logic Model Development Guide, 126
- “Wakeup Manguinhos,” 245
- West Harlem Environmental Action Coalition, 203
- WHO-Europe Healthy Cities movement, 14
- WHO-EURO Working Group on Health Promotion Evaluation, 1–3, 5
- Women’s health movement
 - in Latin America, 18
- World Health Organization
 - equity, 286, 292
 - International Conference on Primary Care, 13