

Index

- Abscam (sting), 220–221, 224, 231
Acquiescence and mental retardation, 170, 175
Active listening, 189
Adolescents. *See* Juveniles
Alaska, videotaping in, 98, 156, 200
Alternative question, 72–73
American Bar Association Criminal Justice Mental Health Standards, 172
American Psychological Association (APA), 266, 274–276
American Psychology-Law Society (AP-LS), 6, 266, 278
Amicus briefs, 273, 274–276
Appraisal and career development (ACPO), 194
Arizona v. Fulminante, 200
Aronson, Eliot, 254–257
Arthur, R. O., 57
Asch, S. E., 255
Association of Chief Police Officers (Britain), 182, 185
Attorneys
 for juvenile suspects, 110, 112, 118, 151, 155–156, 157
 for third-degree victims, 48, 49
Attributional bias, 208
Attributional complexity, 203
Attridge, Mary Ellen, 144
Audiotaping, 252
 in Britain, 181, 182–185
 videotaping compared with, 200, 203, 207–208, 210, 271
Augmentation principle, 268
Australia, 202, 211
Authority figures, 254–255
Bacon, Carol, 149
Baldwin v. State, 260
“Bare Boys” magazines, 216, 217
Barry, Marion, 220, 224
Behavioral Analysis Interview, 68, 70, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 89
 description of, 64–67
Behavioral confirmation bias, 94–102
Behavioral responses to questioning. *See* Nonverbal behavioral cues
Belli, Melvin, 242
Belton, Jayla, 131–132. *See also* Murray, Lachesha
Beltran v. State, 261
Bem, Daryl, 4
Bias
 attributional, 208
 camera perspective, 202–211
 confirmation (*see* Confirmation bias)
 correspondence, 268
 hindsight, 206
 investigator, 86, 89, 92, 93, 94, 99
 positive coercion, 198–199
 response, 91–92, 93, 94, 169
Biehler, Frau, 23
Bixler v. State, 261
Black gun treatment, 51
Blackmun, Harry, 243
Blagojevich, Rod, 156
Blue books, for POWs, 18
B.M.B., 128, 131, 132, 134, 142–143, 149–151, 152, 154, 158
Bornhorst, Amanda Spies, 149
Bowman, Major, 24
Boyer v. Florida, 260, 261
Brannen, Shelton, 13

- Bright-line rule, 151
 British Free Corps, 28
 Brown, Tim, 157–158
 Bryant, William, 220–221
 Bubonic plague case, ix–x, xi, xii, xiii
 Buckley, Joseph, 61
Bullard v. State, 261
 Butler, Thomas, ix–x, xi, xii, xiii
 Byrnes, Thomas, 52–53
- California, Miranda warnings in, 97
California v. Page, 251–257
Callis v. State, 259
 Camera perspective bias, 202–211
 Canada, 96, 202, 211
 Cannon ball tactic, 47–48
 Caputo, R., 57
 Cardiophygmograph, 61
 Center on Wrongful Convictions, 3
 Central Park jogger case, 88, 108, 110, 158, 185
 Central processing of information, 207
 Chaffee, Zachariah, 55
 Chaffin, Marc, 149–150
 Child Protection Act, 215–216
 Children. *See* Juveniles
 Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 148
 Closed questions, 190, 191
 Closure, 187, 190
 Coerced-compliant false confessions, 99, 170
 Coerced-internalized false confessions, 99, 116, 170
 Coercion, xii, 1–7, 100. *See also* Confessions; Interrogation
 confirmation bias and, 86, 87
 courtroom evaluation of, 198–200, 202–208
 early work on, 3–4
 of juveniles, 109, 127–158
 of mentally retarded suspects, 164, 165
 new and hidden face of, 2–3
 Cognitive confirmation bias, 96–102
 Cognitive illusions, 206
 Cognitive maps, 68
 Colletti, Tony, 37–39, 40
 Colorado, expert testimony in, 260
 Comprehension of *Miranda* Rights (CMR) tests, 166, 167–168, 169, 170
 Computer crash studies, xi, xii, 113, 209
 Computer Voice Stress Analyzer (CVSA) test, 135–136, 139, 154
- Concepts Test, 168
 Confessions. *See also* Audiotaping; Coercion; Confirmation bias; Expert testimony; False confessions; Videotaping; Voluntariness
 under British system, 183–185, 192
 courtroom evaluation of, 6, 197–211, 267–268
 current state of knowledge, 4–7
 of juveniles, 108, 109, 110, 112–113, 114, 116, 118, 119, 122, 127–158, 184, 185, 272
 the aftermath, 143–151
 the crimes, 130–132
 recommendations, 151–158
 three-step analysis of, 100
 Confirmation bias, 5, 85–102
 behavioral, 94–102
 cognitive, 96–102
 juveniles and, 110, 133
 minimizing, 96–102
 process of, 94–96
 Confirmatory interview style, 188
 Conformity experiments, 255
Connelly v. Colorado, 164
 Correspondence bias, 268
 Court decisions
 Arizona v. Fulminante, 200
 Baldwin v. State, 260
 Beltran v. State, 261
 Bixler v. State, 261
 Boyer v. Florida, 260, 261
 Bullard v. State, 261
 California v. Page, 251–257
 Callis v. State, 259
 Connelly v. Colorado, 164
 Crane v. Kentucky, 247–251, 256, 260
 Davis v. United States, 155
 Dickerson v. United States, 97
 Fare v. Michael C., 112, 129–130, 151, 155, 158
 Frazier v. Cupp, 86, 101
 Gallegos v. Colorado, 111, 129, 130, 151, 156
 Haley v. Ohio, 111, 128, 130, 151, 156
 Hampton v. United States, 243
 Harris v. New York, 96
 Holloman v. Kentucky, 260
 Jackson v. Denno, 250
 Jacobson v. United States, 216, 223, 225
 Kolb v. Wyoming, 261

Court decisions (*cont'd*)

- Lego v. Twomey*, 198, 250
Lenormand v. State, 260
Madrid v. Wyoming, 261
In the Matter of B.M.B., 132, 142, 150–151, 154
Michigan v. Hamilton, 260
Michigan v. Harvey, 96
Miller v. State, 259
Miranda v. Arizona (*see Miranda v. Arizona*)
Moran v. Burbine, 164
New York v. Quarles, 96
New York v. Wise, 88
Oregon v. Elstad, 64
People v. Gilliam, 260
People v. Green, 261
People v. Lopez, 260
People v. Rivera, 261
Pritchett v. Virginia, 260
In re Gault, 111, 129, 130, 151, 156
In re Harris, 131, 148, 149
In re L.M., 132, 147
In re Welfare of D.S.N., 158
Sherman v. United States, 241
Sorrells v. United States, 222
Stansbury v. California, 64
State of Ohio v. Buell, 257
State v. Buechler, 260
State v. Burns, 259
State v. Cobb, 261
State v. Davis, 261
State v. Free, 261
State v. Garner, 158
State v. Jones, 158
State v. MacDonald, 261
State v. Miller, 260
State v. Monroe, 261
State v. Ritt, 261
State v. Scales, 156
State v. Spurlock, 260
State v. Stringham, 260
State v. Tellier, 261
Stephan v. State, 156
United States v. Baldwin, 260–261
United States v. Bickel, 88–89
United States v. Corey, 259
United States v. Griffin, 261
United States v. Hall, 257–258
United States v. Russell, 243
United States v. Shay, 258–259
Crane v. Kentucky, 247–251, 256, 260
 Crime commissions, 54–55
Criminal Interrogation and Confessions (Inbau et al.), 63–64, 89, 127, 269
 Criminal Justice Act (Britain), 187
 Crowe, Michael, 89, 128, 130–131, 143–145, 152, 154, 156, 158
 description of crime, 131
 interrogation of, 133–136, 137, 139–140, 141–142
 Crowe, Stephanie, 89, 131. *See also* Crowe, Michael
 Cruz, Rolando, 115

Daubert rule, 247, 251, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 273
Davis v. United States, 155
 Death sentences, 86
 Deception, detection of, 88–94, 269–270. *See also* Human lie detection; Polygraph
 Deceptive interrogation tactics, 109, 156, 158
 DeLorean, John Z., 217–219, 221, 224, 225, 242
 DeLorean effect, 236
 Dershowitz, Alan, 218
 Determinate Sentence Act, 145, 146
 Devine, Richard, 157
Dickerson v. United States, 97
 Dietz, John, 146, 147
 DiMaio, Vincent, 146, 147
 Discrimination accuracy, 91
 Dispositional explanations, 208
 DNA testing, x, 3, 86, 88, 151, 276–277
 Dominating interview style, 193
 Due process, 243, 250
 Dulag Luft, 9, 10, 11–12
 Duniver, Devan, 131. *See also* Harris, Anthony

 Earle, Ronnie, 145, 146
 Education, affecting change through, 277–278
 Elaboration Likelihood Model, 206–207
 Electric monkey treatment, 47
 Emotional offenders, 71
 England, 170, 181–195. *See also* Great Britain; Investigative interviews (Britain); United Kingdom
 Entrapment, xii, 6, 7, 215–244. *See also* Objective definition of entrapment; Subjective definition of entrapment
 acts vs. dispositions in, 224–225

- Entrapment (*cont'd*)
 as a defense, 219–221
 determinants of verdicts, 231–238
 measurement of attitudes toward,
 226–231
 problems with definitions, 221–222
 research on, 225–226
 suggested reforms, 238–244
- Esteem crimes, 75, 76
- Estimator variables, 265
- Evidence, confrontation with, 101, 134–136,
 184–185, 192. *See also* False evidence
- Executions, mock, 51
- Executive branch policy, 276–277
- Expert testimony, 7, 247–262
 affecting change through, 273–274
 Daubert rule and, 247, 251, 258, 259, 260,
 261, 262, 273
 Frye rule and, 247, 251, 261, 262, 273
 recent case decisions on, 257–261
 Rule 702 and, 250–251, 273
- Eyewitness accounts, x–xi, 1–2, 87, 221,
 265–266
 expert testimony on, 247, 257, 262, 273,
 274
 federal guidelines on, 276–278
- Factual Analysis Approach to interroga-
 tion, 71
- False beliefs, 115–116
- False confessions, 4, 101, 102, 277, 278
 amicus briefs on, 274–276
 coerced-compliant, 99, 170
 coerced-internalized, 99, 116, 170
 confirmation bias and, 86–89, 92–93, 95
 expert testimony on, 7, 273–274
 interrogation techniques eliciting, 269–270
 interrogation techniques minimizing,
 270–271
 interrogation training manuals on, 58
 of juveniles, 108, 109, 110, 113, 114, 116,
 118, 119, 122, 127–158, 185, 272
 of mentally retarded suspects, 170,
 171–172, 173, 273
 third degree and, 3
 true confessions distinguished from,
 92–93
 videotapes of, 98–99, 208–209
 voluntary, 99
 vulnerability to, 99–100, 109, 118, 170,
 268, 273
- False evidence, xi, 185, 253, 255, 270
 confirmation bias and, 86, 87, 100, 101
 juveniles and, 113, 134–136, 272
Fare v. Michael C., 112, 129–130, 151, 155, 158
- Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 40,
 56, 98, 218, 220, 224, 237
- Federal Rule of Evidence (FRE) 404 (b), 224
- Federal Rule of Evidence (FRE) 702,
 250–251, 273
- Feedback, negative, 140–143
- Fiaschetti, Michael, 45
- Fifth Amendment, 130, 248
- Florida
 expert testimony in, 261
 videotaping in, 157–158
- Food deprivation, 49–50
- Forensic evaluations
 in Britain, 186–187
 of juveniles, 108, 110, 114–116, 122,
 186–187, 272
 of mentally retarded suspects, 173–175
- Fourteenth Amendment, 248, 249, 250
- Frankfurter, Felix, 241
- Frazier v. Cupp*, 86, 101
- Free recall, 187
- Frye* rule, 247, 251, 261, 262, 273
- Fullam, John, 221
- Function of Rights in an Interrogation test,
 166
- Gallegos v. Colorado*, 111, 129, 130, 151, 156
- Geneva Convention, 13, 14, 18, 19
- Gestapo building, 25–26
- Good cop / bad cop technique, 48
- Great Britain, 97, 98, 101–102, 176. *See also*
 Investigative interviews (Britain);
 United Kingdom
- Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale (GSS),
 170–171, 268, 273
- Haley v. Ohio*, 111, 128, 130, 151, 156
- Hampton v. United States*, 243
- Harris, Anthony, 128, 131, 134, 136–137,
 148, 152, 153–154, 156, 158
- Harris, Ryan, 157
- Harris v. New York*, 96
- Hastings, Alcee, 225
- Herbert, Bob, 147
- Hindsight bias, 206
- Hoffman, James Timothy, 218
- Holloman v. Kentucky*, 260

- Hoover, Herbert, 40
- Hoover, J. Edgar, 56–57
- Hopkins, Ernest Jerome, 42, 56
- Houser, Aaron, 135, 136, 139, 143, 144
- Humane interview style, 193
- Human lie detection, 58, 60–70, 79, 80. *See also* Deception, detection of
 development and evolution of, 63–70
 history and practice of, 60–63
- Hypothesis testing, 88, 99, 102
 self-generated, 96
 single, 110, 122
- Illinois
 expert testimony in, 260, 261
 juvenile justice system in, 157
 videotaping in, 98, 156, 200
- Illusory causation, 201–202
- Imagination inflation, 115–116, 122
- Impulse crimes, 75, 76
- Inbau, Fred E., 57, 63–64, 71–74, 77, 78, 79, 80
- Incommunicado interrogation, 48–49, 52
- Indiana, expert testimony in, 259–260, 261
- Inducements, for criminal behavior, 224–225, 231, 233. *See also* Solicitation
- Ingram, Paul, 115
- Innocence Project, 3, 86
- In re Gault*, 111, 129, 130, 151, 156
- In re Harris*, 131, 148, 149
- In re L.M.*, 132, 147
- In re Welfare of D.S.N.*, 158
- Instruments for Assessing Understanding and Appreciation of *Miranda* Rights, 166, 168, 173
- International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), 53, 54, 55
- Interrogation. *See also* Audiotaping; Coercion; Confirmation bias; Expert testimony; Investigative interviews (Britain); Psychological interrogation; Third degree; Videotaping
 classroom training in, 76–78
 contemporary practices, 108–109
 current state of knowledge, 4–7
 high- vs. low-pressure, 268
 incommunicado, 48–49, 52
 of innocent persons, 269–270
 of juveniles, 107–123, 132–143, 271–272
 empirical studies of, 112–114
 evidence presented in, 134–136
- Interrogation (*cont'd*)
 legal decisions on, 111–112, 128–130
 multiple interviews and, 133–134, 272
 preliminary study of, 117–122
 reinforcement, repetition and feedback in, 140–143
 reward / punishment choice in, 136–137
 suggestive questioning in, 138–140, 156
 of mentally retarded suspects, 170–172, 175–176, 273
 minimizing false confessions in, 270–271
 new frameworks for, 99–102
- Interrogation profiling, 74–76, 78, 79
- Interrogation training manuals, 78–79
 development of, 63–64
 first, 39–40
 impact of, 57–60
 on juveniles, 110–111
 on mentally retarded suspects, 171–172
- Interviews, 108. *See also* Investigative interviews (Britain)
- In the Matter of B.M.B.*, 132, 142, 150–151, 154
- Intoxication, 118
- Inverse fallacy, 206
- Investigative interviews (Britain), 181–195
 evaluation of training program, 188–192
 new ethos and training, 185–188
 prior to audiotaping, 182–183
 revelations from audiotaping, 183–185
 supervision and framework for, 193–195
 views of interviewees on, 192–193
- Investigator bias, 86, 89, 92, 93, 94, 99
- Isolation, 100, 270. *See also* Solitary confinement
- J., assault of, 132. *See also* B.M.B.
- Jackson v. Denno*, 250
- Jacobson, Keith, 215–217, 218, 221, 225, 231, 239–240
- Jacobson v. United States*, 216, 223, 225
- Jannotti, Harry, 221
- John E. Reid & Associates, 65, 67, 75, 76, 77, 90, 153
- Judges
 confession evaluation by, 197, 198, 206–207
 entrapment defense and, 239–240

- Judicial instructions, 199, 205, 231, 241–242
- Jurors
 confession evaluation by, 6, 197, 198–200, 203–206, 208
 entrapment defense and, 225, 226, 231–232, 234–238, 242, 243
 nullification by, 242
- Justice Department, U.S., 2, 221, 276–277
- Juveniles, xii, 5–6, 86, 97, 100, 107–123, 127–158, 132–143, 176. *See also under* Confessions; Interrogation
 additional safeguards for, 271–272
 age differences in, 112–113, 114–115, 117, 118, 271, 272
 arrest rate in, 107, 115
 in Britain, 184, 185, 186–187
 forensic interviews of, 108, 110, 114–116, 122, 186–187, 272
 mentally retarded suspects compared with, 172
 social support and, 116
- Kamisar, Yale, 3–4, 242
- Kansas, expert testimony in, 261
- Kassin, Saul, 4, 261
- Kate, Linda, 148, 149
- Kaufman Adolescent and Adult Intelligence Test, 174
- Kelly, Richard, 220–221, 233
- Kennedy, Anthony, 240
- Kidd, W. R., 39–40, 57, 78
- Kolb v. Wyoming*, 261
- Larson, John, 62
- Lavine, Emanuel, 43–44, 51
- Leading questions, 170, 190, 273. *See also* Suggestive questioning
- Learning disabled suspects, 152–153
- Lee, Roberta “Bibi,” 251–254. *See also* Page, Bradley Nelson
- Legion of Saint George, 28
- Lego v. Twomey*, 198, 250
- Leniency tactics, xi, 101, 119, 198, 267
- Lenormand v. State*, 260
- Leo, Richard, 144, 261
- Leong, Kevin, 254
- Lie Detection and Criminal Interrogation* (Inbau), 57, 63, 64, 71, 78
- Lifestyle crimes, 75, 76
- Lineups, 2, 7, 266–267
- McMartin Preschool case, 116
- Madrid v. Wyoming*, 261
- Maine, expert testimony in, 261
- Maryland, videotaping in, 158
- Maximization, 100
 British system and, 192
 juveniles and, 109, 116, 119, 127, 156, 272
- Media, 53, 54, 55, 56
- Mentally retarded suspects, xii, 6, 97, 100, 157, 163–176. *See also under* Miranda waivers
 expert testimony and, 260
 forensic evaluations of, 173–175
 juveniles compared with, 172
 vulnerability of, 168–170, 273
- Miami Herald*, 157
- Michigan v. Hamilton*, 260
- Michigan v. Harvey*, 96
- Milgram, Stanley, 255–256
- Military courts, 88, 261
- Miller v. State*, 259
- Minimization, xi, 86, 87, 100–101, 268
 British system and, 192
 courtroom evaluation of, 198
 juveniles and, 109, 116, 119, 127, 149, 156, 272
- Minnesota
 expert testimony in, 261
 juvenile justice system in, 158
 videotaping in, 98, 156, 158, 200
- Miranda* rights, 59, 88
 adequacy of questioned, 96–98, 102
 simplified versions of, 172, 176
 talking outside of, 97
- Miranda v. Arizona*, 4, 58, 64, 76, 79, 96, 109, 111, 112, 129, 164
- Miranda* waivers
 by innocent people, 97–98
 by juveniles, 97, 108, 112, 113, 114, 117, 118–119, 129, 130, 148, 150–151, 152–153, 155, 157, 172, 176, 271
 knowing / intelligent standard, 164–165, 173, 174
 by mentally retarded suspects, 6, 97, 163–176, 273
 literature review, 167–168
 recommendations, 175–176
 understanding of, 165–166, 173
 in *Page* case, 252, 254
 rate of, 97
 voluntariness standard, 164–165, 168–169

- Missing Air Crew Reports (WWII), 10, 12
 Missouri, expert testimony in, 261
Moran v. Burbine, 164
 Mulber, H., 57
 Munchausen's Disease, 258
 Munsterberg, Hugo, 269
 Murray, Lachesha, 128, 131–132, 134, 136,
 138–139, 145–147, 152, 154, 156, 158
- Nagel, Bert, 23
 National Commission on Law Observance
 and Law Enforcement. *See* Wicker-
 sham Commission Report
 National Crime Faculty (Britain), 187
 National Institute of Justice (NIJ), 200,
 276–277
 Neuhaus, Steven, 148
 Neuro-Linguistic Programming, 67–70, 74,
 76, 78, 79
 New Hampshire, expert testimony in, 261
 New Jersey, expert testimony in, 261
 New York
 expert testimony in, 261
 juvenile justice system in, 158
New York Times, 147
New York v. Quarles, 96
New York v. Wise, 88
 New Zealand Video Interview Project, 211
 Nine-Step method of interrogation, 72–73,
 77, 78–79, 87, 127
 Non-emotional offenders, 71
 Nonverbal behavioral cues, 65–66, 89, 90,
 94, 269
 Nordland (11th SS division), 28
 North Carolina, expert testimony in, 260
 Norton, Linda, 146–147
 Nullification, jury, 242
- Obedience experiments, 255–256
 Objective definition of entrapment, 225,
 226, 241–244
 explained, 223
 impact on verdicts, 231, 237–238
 modified, 241–242
 wording of instructions on, 232
 O'Connor, Sandra Day, 240
 Ofshe, Richard, 148, 258, 259, 260, 261
 O'Hara, C. E., 57
 Ohio, expert testimony in, 260–261
 100th Bombardment Group, 9, 22, 30
 Open questions, 138, 190, 191, 192
 Operation Greylord (sting), 220, 225, 231
 Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD), 116
Oregon v. Elstad, 64
Our Lawless Police (Hopkins), 56
 Outer-directed behavior, 169
- Page, Bradley Nelson, 140, 205, 251–257
 Parental presence, 110, 129, 151, 157, 272
 in Crowe case, 135, 154
 recommendations on, 153–155
 Patton, Edward, 220
 Payne, Aaron, 254
 Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-Third
 Edition, 174
 PEACE model of interviewing, 194
 evaluation of, 188–192
 implementation of, 186–188
 philosophy of, 101–102
People v. Gilliam, 260
People v. Green, 261
People v. Lopez, 260
People v. Rivera, 261
 Peripheral processing of information, 207
 Personal control, 268
 Phillips, Robert, 258
 Pneumograph, 61
 Police and Criminal Evidence Act (PACE)
 (Britain), 98, 101, 182, 190, 192
 Police Executive Committee (New
 Zealand), 211
Police Interrogation (Kidd), 57, 78
 Police Research Award Scheme (Britain),
 189
 Polygraph, ix, 60–63, 64, 66–67, 70, 76, 78,
 79
 design of, 61
 in Page case, 252, 254, 256
 Positive coercion bias, 198–199
 Powell, Lewis, 243
 Pratt, George, 220
 Predisposition for behavior, 219, 221, 222,
 226, 239, 240, 243
 impact on verdicts, 231, 233–238
 problems assessing, 224–225
 President's Commission on Criminal Justice
 and the Administration of Justice, 41
 President's Panel on Mental Retardation,
 172
 Pressler, Larry, 220
 Presumption of guilt, 5, 86, 88, 91, 93, 94–96,
 110, 122, 270

- Principles of Police Interrogation* (Van Meter), 85
- Prisoners of war (POWs), xi, 5, 9–34
 accusations of spying, 10, 14, 21, 23, 32
 cells of, 12, 13
 death threats and, 10–11, 31
 diet of, 11, 14–15, 26
 medical treatment denied to, 15–16, 21
 military interrogation of, 9, 20–25
 political interrogation of, 9, 25–29, 34
 protection of, 11, 31
 Red Cross interview, 9, 13–14, 29, 32, 33
 solitary confinement of, 14–20, 29–30, 31
- Pritchett v. Virginia*, 260
- Professional model of policing, 56, 59, 60
- Profiling, 89, 94
 interrogation, 74–76, 78, 79
 racial, 74
- Projection (defense mechanism), 73
- Promises, implied, 119, 158, 267–268
- Psychogalvanometer, 61
- Psychological interrogation, 41–42, 56–80, 202. *See also* Human lie detection; Psychological manipulators; Third degree
 evolution of, 60–78
 origins of, 56–60
- Psychological manipulators, 58, 71–76, 79, 80
- Psychological themes in interrogation, 72–73
- Quinn, Kathleen, 148
- Racial profiling, 74
- Rapport building, 187, 189
- Rationalization (defense mechanism), 73
- Real need crimes, 75, 76
- Reasonable person standard, 223
- Red Cross, 9, 13–14, 18, 21, 27, 29, 32, 33
- Rehnquist, William, 240, 243
- Reid, John E., 63–64, 71–74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80
- Reid & Associates. *See* John E. Reid & Associates
- Reid Technique, 87, 91, 102, 136, 148, 153, 182, 270
- Reilly, Peter, 209
- Reinforcement, selective, 140–143
- Reno, Janet, 276
- Repetition, in interrogations, 140–143
- “Report on Lawlessness in Law Enforcement,” 40, 55
- Response bias, 91–92, 93, 94, 169
- Rice test, 60
- Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure (Britain), 101, 182, 185
- Rubber hose beatings, 45–46
- Sawyer, Tom, 89
- Scalia, Antonin, 240
- Scharff, Hanns, 12, 25, 31, 32
- Schwartz, George, 221
- Scientific Crime Detection Laboratory, 50, 63
- “Scientific” interrogation. *See* Psychological interrogation
- Selective reinforcement, 140–143
- Self-generated hypothesis, 96
- Self-monitoring, 268
- Senate Select Committee to Investigate the Administration of the Criminal Law, 53, 54
- Severyns, William, 45
- Sherman v. United States*, 241
- Shifting of answers, 171
- Signal detection theory, 91, 93
- Single hypothesis testing, 110, 122
- Situational explanations, 208
- Sixth Amendment, 249
- “60 Minutes” (television program), 147
- Sleep deprivation, 49–50, 100
- Social intelligence, 169–170
- Socially desirable responses, 169
- Solicitation, 226, 231, 233–238, 243. *See also* Inducements, for criminal behavior
- Solitary confinement, 14–20, 29–30, 31. *See also* Isolation
- Sorrells v. United States*, 222
- Stalag Luft One, 9, 13, 30, 31, 33
- Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale-Fourth Edition, 174
- Stansbury v. California*, 64
- State of Ohio v. Buell*, 257
- State v. Buechler*, 260
- State v. Burns*, 259
- State v. Cobb*, 261
- State v. Davis*, 261
- State v. Free*, 261
- State v. Garner*, 158
- State v. Jones*, 158
- State v. MacDonald*, 261
- State v. Miller*, 260

- State v. Monroe*, 261
State v. Ritt, 261
State v. Scales, 156
State v. Spurlock, 260
State v. Stringham, 260
State v. Tellier, 261
Stephan v. State, 156
 Stereotyping, 89, 94, 99
 Sting operations, 219–221, 231–232, 244
 guidelines for, 240–241
 research on, 225–226
 Subjective definition of entrapment, 218, 225, 226, 241
 diminished use suggested, 242–244
 explained, 223
 impact on verdicts, 231, 235, 237–238
 wording of instructions on, 232
 Suggestibility, 268
 expert testimony on, 258, 259
 of juveniles, 114, 115–116, 117, 130, 138–140, 151, 156, 157
 of mentally retarded suspects, 170–171, 175, 273
 Suggestive questioning, 138–140, 156. *See also* Leading questions
 Supreme Court, California, 112
 Supreme Court, Indiana, 259
 Supreme Court, Kansas, 150–151, 152
 Supreme Court, Kentucky, 249
 Supreme Court, Nebraska, 260
 Supreme Court, Ohio, 149, 260
 Supreme Court, U.S., 4, 40, 58, 96–97. *See also* Court decisions
 on confession evaluation, 198, 200
 on entrapment, 222, 225, 239–240, 242–243
 on expert testimony, 247–251, 257, 273
 on false evidence, 86, 101
 on interrogation training manuals, 64, 79
 on juveniles, 111–112, 128–130, 156
 on *Miranda* waivers, 164
 on videotaping, 98
 Supreme Court, Virginia, 260
 Sutton, Willie, 44
 Sweat Box treatment, 47, 52, 53
 Sweden, 193
 Sylvester, Richard, 53
 Sympathetic Approach to interrogation, 71
 Taft, Barbara, 147
 Taping, of interrogations and confessions, 98, 176. *See also* Audiotaping; Videotaping
 Test of Adolescent and Adult Language (TOAL-3), 174
 Texas, expert testimony in, 260
 Third degree, 2–3, 5, 37–56, 62, 63, 74, 76, 78, 80, 127
 defined, 39
 history of, 51–56
 move away from, 56–60
 origin of term, 52
 physical force and abuse in, 42–48
 psychological abuse in, 48–51
 Threats, 50–51, 198, 267
 Totality of the circumstances test
 for juveniles, 112, 130, 151, 154, 156, 157, 158
 for mentally retarded suspects, 165
 Transcripts of confessions, 200, 203, 207–208, 210, 271
 Traugott, Dr., 258
 Treadway, Joshua, 89, 128, 130–131, 134, 135–136, 139, 143–144, 152, 154, 156, 158
 Trial by ordeal, 60, 79
 Triers of fact, 267–268. *See also* Judges; Jurors
Truth and Deception (Inbau), 64
 “Truth-serum” drugs, 50
 Tuite, Richard, 144
 “20 / 20” (television program), 148
 Uniform Crime Reports, 57
 United Kingdom, 6, 172, 202, 211. *See also* Great Britain
United States v. Baldwin, 260–261
United States v. Bickel, 88–89
United States v. Corey, 259
United States v. Griffin, 261
United States v. Hall, 257–258
United States v. Russell, 243
United States v. Shay, 258–259
 University Hospitals Health System, 148
 University of California-Berkeley, 148
 University of California-Santa Cruz, 254
 University of Illinois College of Law, 207
 University of Oklahoma, 149
 Vaughn, Thomas, 136, 148, 149
 Verbal cues, 65, 89–90, 94
 Victimless crimes, 215, 244
 Videotaping, xii, 88, 271
 in Britain, 186–187

- Videotaping (*cont'd*)
 camera perspective bias in, 202–211
 confirmation bias and, 96, 98–99, 102
 ecological validity of, 206
 entrapment and, 221
 evaluation accuracy and, 208–209
 external validity of, 204, 206, 208
 growing emphasis on, 200–202
 illusory causation and, 201–202
 of juvenile interrogations, 122, 151, 156,
 157–158
 policy implications of research on,
 210–211
- Vidocq, Francois, 219
- Vollmer, August, 62
- Voluntariness, 58, 59, 249–250
 courtroom evaluation of, 198–200,
 201–208, 210
 juveniles and, 111, 154
 mentally retarded suspects and,
 164–165, 168–169, 173
- Voluntary false confessions, 99
- Vulnerability, 99–100, 268
 of juveniles, 109, 118
 of mentally retarded suspects, 168–170,
 273
- Waldschmidt, Major, 20–25
- Wales, 181–195. *See also* Great Britain;
 Investigative interviews (Britain)
- Walling, George, 53
- Washington National Records Center, 13, 30
- Washington Post*, 158
- Water Cure, 47
- Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Third
 Edition (WAIS-III), 174
- Weinberg, Melvin, 220
- Wells, Gary, 221
- Wetzlar (POW camp), 29, 30
- White, Welsh, 4
- White paper, consensus-based, 266–273,
 275, 277, 278
- Wickersham, George, 40, 54
- Wickersham Commission Report, 39, 40, 41,
 43, 44, 48, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56
- Wigmore, Dean Henry, 63
- Willemse, Cornelius, 45, 50, 51
- Williams, Harrison, 220, 224
- World War II. *See* Prisoners of war
- Wrightsman, Lawrence, 4
- Wyoming, expert testimony in, 261
- Yes-saying, 169
- Zemke, Hubert, 25
- Zimbardo, Philip, 4