

# References

- [ABE00] P. A. Abdulla, P. Bjesse, and N. Eén. Symbolic reachability analysis based on SAT-solvers. In *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction of Systems (TACAS)*, pages 411–425, 2000. LNCS 1785.
- [ACH<sup>+</sup>95] R. Alur, C. Courcoubetis, N. Halbwachs, T. A. Henzinger, P.-H. Ho, X. Nicollin, A. Olivero, J. Sifakis, and S. Yovine. The algorithmic analysis of hybrid systems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 138(1):3–34, 1995.
- [ADK<sup>+</sup>05] N. Amla, X. Du, A. Kuehlmann, R. Kurshan, and K. McMillan. An analysis of sat-based model checking techniques in an industrial environment. In *International Conference on Correct Hardware Design and Verification Methods (CHARME'05)*, pages 254–268, October 2005.
- [AH96] R. Alur and T. A. Henzinger. Reactive modules. In *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, pages 207–218, 1996.
- [AHH96] R. Alur, T. A. Henzinger, and P.-H. Ho. Automatic symbolic verification of embedded systems. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 22:181–201, 1996.
- [AL91] M. Abadi and L. Lamport. The existence of refinement mappings. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 82(2):253–284, 1991.
- [AM04] N. Amla and K. L. McMillan. A hybrid of counterexample-based and proof-based abstraction. In *International Conference on Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 260–274, November 2004.
- [AS85] B. Alpern and F. B. Schneider. Defining liveness. *Information Processing Letters*, 21:181–185, October 1985.
- [AS04] M. Awedh and F. Somenzi. Proving more properties with bounded model checking. In R. Alur and D. Peled, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'04)*, pages 96–108. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, July 2004. LNCS 3114.

- [B<sup>+</sup>96] R. K. Brayton et al. VIS: A system for verification and synthesis. In T. Henzinger and R. Alur, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'96)*, pages 428–432. Springer-Verlag, Rutgers University, 1996. LNCS 1102.
- [BLS92] S. Bensalem, A. Bouajjani, C. Loiseaux, and J. Sifakis. Property preserving simulations. In *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'92)*, pages 251–263, 1992.
- [BCCZ99] A. Biere, A. Cimatti, E. Clarke, and Y. Zhu. Symbolic model checking without BDDs. In *Fifth International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'99)*, pages 193–207, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, March 1999. LNCS 1579.
- [BCM<sup>+</sup>90] J. R. Burch, E. M. Clarke, K. L. McMillan, D. L. Dill, and L. J. Hwang. Symbolic model checking:  $10^{20}$  states and beyond. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, pages 428–439, June 1990.
- [BFG<sup>+</sup>93] R. I. Bahar, E. A. Frohm, C. M. Gaona, G. D. Hachtel, E. Macii, A. Pardo, and F. Somenzi. Algebraic decision diagrams and their applications. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 188–191, Santa Clara, CA, November 1993.
- [BGG02] S. Barner, D. Geist, and A. Gringauze. Symbolic localization reduction with reconstruction layering and backtracking. In E. Brinksma and K. G. Larsen, editors, *Fourteenth Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'02)*, pages 65–77. Springer-Verlag, July 2002. LNCS 2404.
- [BGS00] R. Bloem, H. N. Gabow, and F. Somenzi. An algorithm for strongly connected component analysis in  $n \log n$  symbolic steps. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and S. D. Johnson, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 37–54. Springer-Verlag, November 2000. LNCS 1954.
- [BGS05] R. Bloem, H. N. Gabow, and F. Somenzi. An algorithm for strongly connected component analysis in  $n \log n$  symbolic steps. *Formal Methods in System Design*, 27(2), September 2005. To appear.
- [BK04] J. Baumgartner and A. Kuehlmann. Enhanced diameter bounding via structural. In *Design, Automation and Test in Europe (DATE'04)*, pages 36–41, 2004.
- [BMMR01] T. Ball, R. Majumdar, T. Millstein, and S. K. Rajamani. Automatic predicate abstraction of C programs. In *Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI'01)*, Snowbird, UT, June 2001.
- [BRS99] R. Bloem, K. Ravi, and F. Somenzi. Efficient decision procedures for model checking of linear time logic properties. In N. Halbwachs

- and D. Peled, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'99)*, pages 222–235. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. LNCS 1633.
- [Bry86] R. E. Bryant. Graph-based algorithms for Boolean function manipulation. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, C-35(8):677–691, August 1986.
- [BSV93] F. Balarin and A. L. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli. An iterative approach to language containment. In C. Courcoubetis, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV '93)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. LNCS 697.
- [Bur91] J. Burch. Using BDD's to verify multipliers. In *1991 International Workshop on Formal Methods in VLSI Design*, Miami, FL, January 1991.
- [CBM89a] O. Coudert, C. Berthet, and J. C. Madre. Verification of sequential machines based on symbolic execution. In J. Sifakis, editor, *Automatic Verification Methods for Finite State Systems*, pages 365–373. Springer-Verlag, 1989. LNCS 407.
- [CBM89b] O. Coudert, C. Berthet, and J. C. Madre. Verification of sequential machines using Boolean functional vectors. In L. Claesen, editor, *Proceedings IFIP International Workshop on Applied Formal Methods for Correct VLSI Design*, pages 111–128, Leuven, Belgium, November 1989.
- [CC77] P. Cousot and R. Cousot. Abstract interpretation: A unified lattice model for static analysis of programs by constructions or approximation of fixpoints. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on the Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 238–250, 1977.
- [CCJ<sup>+</sup>01a] P. Chauhan, E. Clarke, S. Jha, J. Kukula, H. Veith, and D. Wang. Using combinatorial optimization methods for quantification scheduling. In T. Margaria and T. F. Melham, editors, *Proceedings of the 11th Advanced Research Working Conference on Correct Hardware Design and Verification Methods (CHARME'01)*, pages 293–309. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, September 2001. LNCS 2144.
- [CCJ<sup>+</sup>01b] P. P. Chauhan, E. M. Clarke, S. Jha, J. Kukula, T. Shiple, H. Veith, and D. Wang. Non-linear quantification scheduling in image computation. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 293–298, San Jose, CA, November 2001.
- [CCK<sup>+</sup>02] P. Chauhan, E. Clarke, J. Kukula, S. Sapra, H. Veith, and D. Wang. Automated abstraction refinement for model checking large state spaces using SAT based conflict analysis. In M. D. Aagaard and J. W. O'Leary, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 33–51. Springer-Verlag, November 2002. LNCS 2517.
- [CE81] E. M. Clarke and E. A. Emerson. Design and synthesis of synchronization skeletons using branching time temporal logic. In *Proceedings Workshop on Logics of Programs*, pages 52–71, Berlin, 1981. Springer-Verlag. LNCS 131.

- [CES86] E. M. Clarke, E. A. Emerson, and A. P. Sistla. Automatic verification of finite state concurrent systems using temporal logic specifications. *ACM Transaction on Programming Languages and Systems*, 8(2):244–263, 1986.
- [CGJ<sup>+</sup>00] E. Clarke, O. Grumberg, S. Jha, Y. Lu, and H. Veith. Counterexample-guided abstraction refinement. In E. A. Emerson and A. P. Sistla, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'00)*, pages 154–169. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, July 2000. LNCS 1855.
- [CGKS02] E. Clarke, A. Gupta, J. Kukula, and O. Strichman. SAT based abstraction-refinement using ILP and machine learning. In E. Brinksma and K. G. Larsen, editors, *Fourteenth Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'02)*, pages 265–279. Springer-Verlag, July 2002. LNCS 2404.
- [CGP99] E. M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, and D. A. Peled. *Model Checking*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999.
- [CHM<sup>+</sup>94] H. Cho, G. D. Hachtel, E. Macii, M. Poncino, and F. Somenzi. A state space decomposition algorithm for approximate FSM traversal. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Design Automation*, pages 137–141, Paris, France, February 1994.
- [CHM<sup>+</sup>96a] H. Cho, G. D. Hachtel, E. Macii, B. Plessier, and F. Somenzi. Algorithms for approximate FSM traversal based on state space decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design*, 15(12):1465–1478, December 1996.
- [CHM<sup>+</sup>96b] H. Cho, G. D. Hachtel, E. Macii, M. Poncino, and F. Somenzi. Automatic state space decomposition for approximate FSM traversal based on circuit analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design*, 15(12):1451–1464, December 1996.
- [CLR90] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, and R. L. Rivest. *Introduction to Algorithms*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
- [CM90] O. Coudert and J. C. Madre. A unified framework for the formal verification of sequential circuits. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Aided Design*, pages 126–129, November 1990.
- [CNQ03] G. Cabodi, S. Nocco, and S. Quer. Improving SAT-based bounded model checking by means of BDD-based approximate traversal. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Design, Automation and Test in Europe*, pages 898–905, Munich, Germany, March 2003.
- [DDP99] S. Das, D. L. Dill, and S. Park. Experience with predicate abstraction. In N. Halbwachs and D. Peled, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'99)*, pages 160–171. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. LNCS 1633.
- [DHWT91] D. L. Dill, A. J. Hu, and H. Wong-Toi. Checking for language inclusion using simulation relations. In K. G. Larsen and A. Skou, editors,

- Third Workshop on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'91)*, pages 255–265. Springer, Berlin, July 1991. LNCS 575.
- [DLL62] M. Davis, G. Logemann, and D. Loveland. A machine program for theorem proving. *Communications of the ACM*, 5:394–397, 1962.
- [dRS03] L. de Moura, H. Rueß, and M. Sorea. Bounded model checking and induction: From refutation to verification. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and F. Somenzi, editors, *Fifteenth Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'03)*, pages 1–13. Springer-Verlag, Boulder, CO, July 2003. LNCS 2725.
- [EH83] E. A. Emerson and J. Y. Halpern. “Sometimes” and “not never” revisited: On branching versus linear time. In *Proc. 10th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, 1983.
- [EJ91] E. A. Emerson and C. S. Jutla. Tree automata, mu-calculus and determinacy. In *Proc. 32nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 368–377, October 1991.
- [EK03] E.A. Emerson and V. Kahlon. Rapid parameterized model checking of snoopy cache coherence protocols. In *Tols and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'03)*, pages 144–159, Warsaw, Poland, April 2003.
- [EL86] E. A. Emerson and C.-L. Lei. Efficient model checking in fragments of the propositional mu-calculus. In *Proceedings of the First Annual Symposium of Logic in Computer Science*, pages 267–278, June 1986.
- [EL87] E. A. Emerson and C. Lei. Modalities for model checking: Branching time logic strikes back. *Science of Computer Programming*, 8:275–306, 1987.
- [ES93] E. A. Emerson and A. P. Sistla. Symmetry and model checking. In C. Courcoubetis, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV '93)*, pages 463–478. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. LNCS 697.
- [ES03] N. Eén and N. Sörensson. Temporal induction by incremental SAT solving. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 89(4), 2003. First International Workshop on Bounded Model Checking. <http://www.elsevier.nl/locate/entcs/>.
- [FFK<sup>+</sup>01] K. Fisler, R. Fraer, G. Kamhi, M. Vardi, and Z. Yang. Is there a best symbolic cycle-detection algorithm? In T. Margaria and W. Yi, editors, *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*, pages 420–434. Springer-Verlag, April 2001. LNCS 2031.
- [FV99] K. Fisler and M. Y. Vardi. Bisimulation and model checking. In *Correct Hardware Design and Verification Methods (CHARME'99)*, pages 338–341, Berlin, September 1999. Springer-Verlag. LNCS 1703.

- [GAG<sup>+</sup>02] M. K. Ganai, P. Ashar, A. Gupta, L. Zhang, and S. Malik. Combining strengths of circuit-based and CNF-based algorithms for a high-performance SAT solver. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 747–750, New Orleans, LA, June 2002.
- [GB94] D. Geist and I. Beer. Efficient model checking by automated ordering of transition relation partitions. In D. L. Dill, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'94)*, pages 299–310, Berlin, 1994. Springer-Verlag. LNCS 818.
- [GGA04] M. K. Ganai, A. Gupta, and P. Ashar. Efficient SAT-base unbounded model checking using circuit cofactoring. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Aided Design*, pages 510–517, San Jose, CA, November 2004.
- [GGA05a] M. K. Ganai, A. Gupta, and P. Ashar. Beyond safety: customized sat-based model checking. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference (DAC'05)*, pages 738–743, Anaheim, CA, June 2005.
- [GGA05b] A. Gupta, M. K. Ganai, and P. Ashar. Lazy constraints and SAT heuristics for proof-based abstraction. In *Proceedings of International Conference on VLSI Design*, pages 183–188, January 2005.
- [GGW<sup>+</sup>03a] A. Gupta, M. Ganai, C. Wang, Z. Yang, and P. Ashar. Abstraction and BDDs complement SAT-based BMC in DiVer. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and F. Somenzi, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'03)*, pages 206–209. Springer-Verlag, July 2003. LNCS 2725.
- [GGW<sup>+</sup>03b] A. Gupta, M. Ganai, C. Wang, Z. Yang, and P. Ashar. Learning from BDDs in SAT-based bounded model checking. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 824–829, June 2003.
- [GGYA03] A. Gupta, M. Ganai, Z. Yang, and P. Ashar. Iterative abstraction using SAT-based BMC with proof analysis. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 416–423, November 2003.
- [GKMH<sup>+</sup>03] M. Glusman, G. Kamhi, S. Mador-Haim, R. Fraer, and M. Y. Vardi. Multiple-counterexample guided iterative abstraction refinement: An industrial evaluation. In *Tools and Algorithms for Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'03)*, pages 176–191, Warsaw, Poland, April 2003. LNCS 2619.
- [GN02] E. Goldberg and Y. Novikov. BerkMin: A fast and robust SAT-solver. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Design, Automation and Test in Europe*, pages 142–149, Paris, France, March 2002.
- [GN03] E. Goldberg and Y. Novikov. Verification of proofs of unsatisfiability for CNF formulas. In *Design, Automation and Test in Europe (DATE'03)*, pages 886–891, Munich, Germany, March 2003.
- [GPP03] R. Gentilini, C. Piazza, and A. Policriti. Computing strongly connected components in a linear number of symbolic steps. In *Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, Baltimore, MD, January 2003.

- [GPVW95] R. Gerth, D. Peled, M. Y. Vardi, and P. Wolper. Simple on-the-fly automatic verification of linear temporal logic. In *Protocol Specification, Testing, and Verification*, pages 3–18. Chapman & Hall, 1995.
- [GS97] S. Graf and H. Saïdi. Construction of abstract state graphs with PVS. In O. Grumberg, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'97)*, pages 72–83. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. LNCS 1254.
- [GYAG00] A. Gupta, Z. Yang, P. Ashar, and A. Gupta. SAT-based image computation with application in reachability analysis. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and S. D. Johnson, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 354–271. Springer-Verlag, November 2000. LNCS 1954.
- [HBL98] Y. Hong, P. A. Beerel, L. Lavagno, and E. M. Sentovich. Don't care-based BDD minimization for embedded software. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 506–509, San Francisco, CA, June 1998.
- [HHK96] R. H. Hardin, Z. Har'El, and R. P. Kurshan. COSPAN. In T. Henzinger and R. Alur, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'96)*, pages 423–427. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. LNCS 1102.
- [HKB96] R. Hojati, S. C. Krishnan, and R. K. Brayton. Early quantification and partitioned transition relations. In *International Conference on Computer Design*, pages 12–19, Austin, TX, October 1996.
- [HKS97] R. H. Hardin, R. P. Kurshan, S. K. Shukla, and M. Y. Vardi. A new heuristic for bad cycle detection using BDDs. In O. Grumberg, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'97)*, pages 268–278. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. LNCS 1254.
- [Hol97] G. J. Holzmann. The Spin model checker. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 23:279–295, 1997.
- [HQR98] T. A. Henzinger, S. Qadeer, and S. K. Rajamani. You assume, we guarantee: Methodology and case studies. In A. J. Hu and M. Y. Vardi, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'98)*, pages 440–451. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. LNCS 1427.
- [HS96] G. D. Hachtel and F. Somenzi. *Logic Synthesis and Verification Algorithms*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [HTKB92] R. Hojati, H. Touati, R. P. Kurshan, and R. K. Brayton. Efficient  $\omega$ -regular language containment. In *Computer Aided Verification*, pages 371–382, Montréal, Canada, June 1992.
- [IBM] IBM formal verification benchmarks. [http://www.haifa.il.ibm.com/projects/verification/RB\\_Homepage/benchmarks.html](http://www.haifa.il.ibm.com/projects/verification/RB_Homepage/benchmarks.html).
- [ID93] C. N. Ip and D. L. Dill. Better verification through symmetry. In *Proc. 11th International Symposium on Computer Hardware Description Languages and Their Application*, April 1993.

- [IPC03] M. K. Iyer, G. Parthasarathy, and K.-T. Cheng. SATORI – a fast sequential SAT engine for circuits. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 320–325, San Jose, CA, November 2003.
- [ISC] ISCAS benchmarks. URL: <http://www.cbl.ncsu.edu/CBL-Docs/iscas89.html>.
- [ITR03] International technology roadmap for semiconductors. URL: <http://public.itrs.net>, 2003.
- [Jan99] J.-Y. Jang. *Iterative Abstraction-based CTL Model Checking*. PhD thesis, University of Colorado, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 1999.
- [JAS04] H. Jin, M. Awedh, and F. Somenzi. CirCUs: A satisfiability solver geared towards bounded model checking. In R. Alur and D. Peled, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'04)*, pages 519–522. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, July 2004. LNCS 3114.
- [JKS02] H. Jin, A. Kuehlmann, and F. Somenzi. Fine-grain conjunction scheduling for symbolic reachability analysis. In *International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'02)*, pages 312–326, Grenoble, France, April 2002. LNCS 2280.
- [JMH00] J.-Y. Jang, I.-H. Moon, and G. D. Hachtel. Iterative abstraction-based CTL model checking. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Design Automation and Test in Europe (DATE00)*, pages 502–507, Paris, France, March 2000.
- [JRS02] H. Jin, K. Ravi, and F. Somenzi. Fate and free will in error traces. In *International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'02)*, pages 445–459, Grenoble, France, April 2002. LNCS 2280.
- [JS04] H. Jin and F. Somenzi. CirCUs: A hybrid satisfiability solver. In *International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing (SAT 2004)*, Vancouver, Canada, May 2004.
- [KGP01] A. Kuehlmann, M. K. Ganai, and V. Paruthi. Circuit-based Boolean reasoning. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 232–237, Las Vegas, NV, June 2001.
- [KP03] H.-J. Kang and I.-C. Park. SAT-based unbounded symbolic model checking. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 840–843, Anaheim, CA, June 2003.
- [KPKG02] A. Kuehlmann, V. Paruthi, F. Krohm, and M. K. Ganai. Robust Boolean reasoning for equivalence checking and functional property verification. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design*, 21(12):1377–1394, December 2002.



- [KPR98] Y. Kesten, A. Pnueli, and L.-o. Raviv. Algorithmic verification of linear temporal logic specifications. In *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP-98)*, pages 1–16, Berlin, 1998. Springer. LNCS 1443.
- [KS03] D. Kröning and O. Strichman. Efficient computation of recurrence diameters. In *Verification, Model Checking, and Abstract Interpretation*, pages 298–309, New York, NY, January 2003. Springer. LNCS 2575.
- [Kur94] R. P. Kurshan. *Computer-Aided Verification of Coordinating Processes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [KV98] O. Kupferman and M. Y. Vardi. Freedom, weakness, and determinism: From linear-time to branching-time. In *Proc. 13th IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, June 1998.
- [Lam77] L. Lamport. Proving the correctness of multiprocess programs. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, SE-3(2):125–143, 1977.
- [LNA99] J. Lind-Nielsen and H. R. Andersen. Stepwise CTL model checking of state/event systems. In N. Halbwachs and D. Peled, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'99)*, pages 316–327. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. LNCS 1633.
- [Lon93] D. E. Long. *Model Checking, Abstraction, and Compositional Verification*. PhD thesis, Carnegie-Mellon University, July 1993.
- [LP85] O. Lichtenstein and A. Pnueli. Checking that finite state concurrent programs satisfy their linear specification. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 97–107, New Orleans, January 1985.
- [LPJ<sup>+</sup>96] W. Lee, A. Pardo, J. Jang, G. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. Tearing based abstraction for CTL model checking. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 76–81, San Jose, CA, November 1996.
- [LS04] B. Li and F. Somenzi. Efficient computation of small abstraction refinements. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, San Jose, CA, November 2004. 518-525.
- [LWCH03] F. Lu, L. Wang, K. Cheng, and R. Huang. A circuit SAT solver with signal correlation guided learning. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 10892–10897, June 2003.
- [LWS03] B. Li, C. Wang, and F. Somenzi. A satisfiability-based approach to abstraction refinement in model checking. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 89(4), 2003. First International Workshop on Bounded Model Checking. <http://www.elsevier.nl/locate/entcs/volume89.html>.
- [LWS05] B. Li, C. Wang, and F. Somenzi. Abstraction refinement in symbolic model checking using satisfiability as the only decision procedure. *Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 2(7):143–155, 2005.

- [MA03] K. L. McMillan and N. Amla. Automatic abstraction without counterexamples. In *International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'03)*, pages 2–17, Warsaw, Poland, April 2003. LNCS 2619.
- [McM94] K. L. McMillan. *Symbolic Model Checking*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [McM97] K. L. McMillan. A compositional rule for hardware design refinement. In O. Grumberg, editor, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'97)*, pages 24–35. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. LNCS 1254.
- [McM98] K. L. McMillan. Verification of an implementation of Tomasulo's algorithm by compositional model checking. In A. J. Hu and M. Y. Vardi, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV'98)*, pages 110–121. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. LNCS 1427.
- [McM02] K. L. McMillan. Applying SAT methods in unbounded symbolic model checking. In E. Brinksma and K. G. Larsen, editors, *Fourteenth Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'02)*, pages 250–264. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, July 2002. LNCS 2404.
- [MH04] F. Y. C. Mang and P.-H. Ho. Abstraction refinement by controllability and cooperativeness analysis. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 224–229, San Diego, CA, June 2004.
- [MHS00] I.-H. Moon, G. D. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. Border-block triangular form and conjunction schedule in image computation. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and S. D. Johnson, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 73–90. Springer-Verlag, November 2000. LNCS 1954.
- [Mil71] R. Milner. An algebraic definition of simulation between programs. *Proc. 2nd Int. Joint Conf. on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 481–489, 1971.
- [Min93] S.-I. Minato. Zero-suppressed BDDs for set manipulation in combinatorial problems. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 272–277, Dallas, TX, June 1993.
- [MJH<sup>+</sup>98] I.-H. Moon, J.-Y. Jang, G. D. Hachtel, F. Somenzi, C. Pixley, and J. Yuan. Approximate reachability don't cares for CTL model checking. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 351–358, San Jose, CA, November 1998.
- [MKSS99] I.-H. Moon, J. Kukula, T. Shiple, and F. Somenzi. Least fixpoint MBM: Improved technique for approximate reachability. Presented at IWLS99, Lake Tahoe, CA, June 1999.
- [MMZ<sup>+</sup>01] M. Moskewicz, C. F. Madigan, Y. Zhao, L. Zhang, and S. Malik. Chaff: Engineering an efficient SAT solver. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 530–535, Las Vegas, NV, June 2001.



- [SRB02] F. Somenzi, K. Ravi, and R. Bloem. Analysis of symbolic SCC hull algorithms. In M. D. Aagaard and J. W. O’Leary, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 88–105. Springer-Verlag, November 2002. LNCS 2517.
- [SS96] J. P. M. Silva and K. A. Sakallah. Grasp—a new search algorithm for satisfiability. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 220–227, San Jose, CA, November 1996.
- [SSS00] M. Sheeran, S. Singh, and G. Stålmarck. Checking safety properties using induction and a SAT-solver. In W. A. Hunt, Jr. and S. D. Johnson, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 108–125. Springer-Verlag, November 2000. LNCS 1954.
- [Tar72] R. Tarjan. Depth first search and linear graph algorithms. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 1:146–160, 1972.
- [TBK95] H. J. Touati, R. K. Brayton, and R. P. Kurshan. Testing language containment for  $\omega$ -automata using BDD’s. *Information and Computation*, 118(1):101–109, April 1995.
- [TSL<sup>+</sup>90] H. Touati, H. Savoj, B. Lin, R. K. Brayton, and A. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli. Implicit enumeration of finite state machines using BDD’s. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Aided Design*, pages 130–133, November 1990.
- [Var95] M. Y. Vardi. On the complexity of modular model checking. In *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS’95)*, pages 101–111, June 1995.
- [VB00] W. Visser and H. Barringer. Practical CTL\* model checking - should SPIN be extended? *International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 2(4):350–365, 2000.
- [VIS] VIS. <http://vlsi.colorado.edu/~vis>.
- [VVB] VIS verification benchmarks. <http://vlsi.colorado.edu/~vis>.
- [VW86] M. Y. Vardi and P. Wolper. An automata-theoretic approach to automatic program verification. In *Proceedings of the First Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, pages 322–331, Cambridge, UK, June 1986.
- [WBCG00] P. Williams, A. Biere, E. M. Clarke, and A. Gupta. Combining decision diagrams and SAT procedures for efficient symbolic model checking. In E. A. Emerson and A. P. Sistla, editors, *Computer Aided Verification (CAV’00)*, pages 124–138. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, July 2000. LNCS 1855.
- [WBH<sup>+</sup>01] C. Wang, R. Bloem, G. D. Hachtel, K. Ravi, and F. Somenzi. Divide and compose: SCC refinement for language emptiness. In *International Conference on Concurrency Theory (CONCUR01)*, pages 456–471, Berlin, August 2001. Springer-Verlag. LNCS 2154.

- [WH02] C. Wang and G. D. Hachtel. Sharp disjunctive decomposition for language emptiness checking. In M. D. Aagaard and J. W. O’Leary, editors, *Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design*, pages 105–122. Springer-Verlag, November 2002. LNCS 2517.
- [WHL<sup>+</sup>01] D. Wang, P.-H. Ho, J. Long, J. Kukula, Y. Zhu, T. Ma, and R. Damiano. Formal property verification by abstraction refinement with formal, simulation and hybrid engines. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 35–40, Las Vegas, NV, June 2001.
- [WHS03] C. Wang, G. D. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. The compositional far side of image computation. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 334–340, November 2003.
- [WHS04] C. Wang, G. D. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. Fine-grain abstraction and sequential don’t cares for large scale model checking. In *International Conference on Computer Design*, pages 112–118, San Jose, CA, October 2004.
- [WJHS04] C. Wang, H. Jin, G. D. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. Refining the SAT decision ordering for bounded model checking. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 535–538, San Diego, CA, June 2004.
- [WKS01] J. Whitemore, J. Kim, and K. Sakallah. SATIRE: A new incremental satisfiability engine. In *Proceedings of the Design Automation Conference*, pages 542–545, Las Vegas, NV, June 2001.
- [WLJ<sup>+</sup>03] C. Wang, B. Li, H. Jin, G. D. Hachtel, and F. Somenzi. Improving Ariadne’s bundle by following multiple threads in abstraction refinement. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 408–415, November 2003.
- [XB99] A. Xie and P. A. Beerel. Implicit enumeration of strongly connected components. In *International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pages 37–40, San Jose, CA, November 1999.
- [XB00] A. Xie and P. A. Beerel. Implicit enumeration of strongly connected components and an application to formal verification. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design*, 19(10):1225–1230, October 2000.
- [ZM03] L. Zhang and S. Malik. Validating SAT solvers using an independent resolution-based checker: Practical implementations and other applications. In *Design, Automation and Test in Europe (DATE’03)*, pages 880–885, Munich, Germany, March 2003.
- [ZPHS05] L. Zhang, M. R. Prasad, M. S. Hsiao, and T. Sidle. Dynamic abstraction using SAT-based BMC. In *Proceedings of ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference*, pages 754–757, San Jose, CA, June 2005.

## About the Authors

Chao Wang is currently a research staff member at NEC Laboratories America in Princeton, New Jersey. His research is in the general area of electronic design automation. In the past six years, he has been working on formal specification and verification of concurrent systems, including hardware, software, and embedded systems. Dr. Wang received his Ph.D. degree from the University of Colorado at Boulder in 2004 and his B.S. degree from Peking University, China in 1996. He was the recipient of the 2003-2004 ACM Outstanding Ph.D. Dissertation Award in Electronic Design Automation.

## About the Authors

Gary D. Hachtel is a Professor Emeritus who has been working for the last 20 years in the University of Colorado at Boulder in fields of logic synthesis and formal verification. He received his Ph.D. degree from the University of California, Berkeley in 1964 and his B.S. degree from California Institute of Technology in 1959. For the 17 years prior to his stint at the University of Colorado, he was a research staff member in the department of Math Sciences at IBM research in Yorktown Heights, NY. Professor Hachtel is an IEEE Fellow (since 1979). He received the IEEE CASS Mac Van Valkenburg Award in 2004 for his distinguished career of fundamental innovations across the broad spectrum of semiconductor electronic design automation.

## About the Authors

Fabio Somenzi is a Professor in the ECE department of the University of Colorado at Boulder. He has published one book and over 140 papers on the synthesis, optimization, verification, simulation, and testing of digital systems. He received his Dr. Eng. Degree in Electronic Engineering from Politecnico di Torino, Italy in 1980. Prior to joining University of Colorado in 1989, he was with SGS-Thomson Microelectronics, Italy managing a team for computer aids for digital design. Professor Somenzi has served as associate editor for IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design and the Springer journal on Formal Methods in Systems Design, and on the program committees of premier EDA conferences including ICCAD, DAC, ICCD, EDAC/DATE, IWLS, and ISLPED. He was the conference co-chair of Computer Aided Verification (CAV) in 2003.



# Index

- abstraction atom, 6, 41, 44
- abstraction efficiency, 5
- abstraction refinement, 38
- accepting run, 22
- ACTL, 20
- ADDs, 32
- A, *see* path quantifier
- Ariadne's bundle, 50
- atomic proposition, 15
- ATPG, 51
- automata-theoretic approach, 21
- automaton strength, 8
  
- backtracking, 37
- bad states, 57
- BCP, 36
- BDD learning, 152
- BDD minimization, 94, 123
- bdd subsetting, 107
- BDD to CNF translation, 52
- BDDs, 31
- bi-simulation, 38
- bit transition relation, 41
- BMC, 33, 137
- BNV, 45
- Büchi automaton, 21
  
- capacity gap, 2
- care set, 72, 95
- CDG, 144
- characteristic function, 27
- clause, 36, 138
- CNF, 36, 52, 138
- coarse-grain abstraction, 45
- complement edge, 31
- complete, 22
- completeness threshold, 33
- composition, 22
- composition policy, 97
  - lightning-bolt policy, 99
  - popcorn-line policy, 98, 101
- concretization test, 40, 48, 50
- conflict analysis, 37, 144
- conflict dependency graph, 144
- conjoin-quantify, 122
- conservative abstraction, 42
- constrain, 72, 123, 125
- controllability, 78
- cooperativeness, 78
- counterexample, 2, 48
- counterexample guided refinement, 77
- CTL
  - definition, 18
  - model checking, 28
  - rewriting rules, 18
- CTL\*, 15
- CUDD, 32
  
- deadend, 107
- deadend split, 64, 65
- deadend states, 57
- deciding abstraction, 4, 5, 40
- decision, 36
- decision heuristic (SAT), 138
- diameter of the graph, 33
- disjunctive decomposition, 104
- DLL, 36, 137
- DnC, 8, 91, 111
- don't care set, 95
- don't cares, 70, 76, 94, 121
- dynamic abstraction, 54
- dynamic configuration, 145
  
- early quantification, 122
- ECTL, 20
- effective states, 45, 110
- Emerson and Lei algorithm, 25, 87, 111
- essential, 65
- existential property, 19
- E, *see* path quantifier

- extended abstract model, 68
- fair cycle detection, 25, 26, 86, 105
- fair SCC, 25
- fair set, 22
- false negatives, 39
- false positives, 39
- far side, 8
- far side image, 124
- final abstraction, 4, 5, 40
- F, *see* temporal operator
- fine-grain abstraction, 43, 74
- fine-grain image algorithm, 130
- fixpoint computation, 24, 28
- free variable, 102
- free-cut model, 53
- from set, 107
- frontier partitioning algorithm, 47, 74
- FSM, 13
  
- Galois connection, 43
- gate relation, 35
- generalized cofactor, 123
- generational refinement, 7, 56
- G, *see* temporal operator
- GRAB, 7, 60
- guided search, 105
  
- HDLs, 2
- hyperline, 105
  
- image, 28, 121
- implication, 36
- incremental BMC, 152
- initial SCC, 25
- intermediate products, 122
- interpolation, 79
- invisible variable, 44
- ITRS, 1
- IWLS95 algorithm, 123
  
- Kripke structure, 12
  
- language emptiness, 21, 86, 104, 105
- literal, 36
- liveness property, 20
- Lockstep algorithm, 111
- LTL, 16
  - LTL model checking, 21, 85
  - LTL to automaton translation, 23
  - rewriting rules, 17
  
- maximal SCC, 25
- MBM, 71
- min-cut model, 53
- MLP algorithm, 123, 130
- model checking, 11
  - $\mu$ -calculus, 20
  - multi-thread concretization test, 50
  
- near side, 8
- next-state variable, 27
- X, *see* temporal operator
- non-fair SCC, 105
  
- optimum abstraction, 5
- over-approximation, 3, 88, 104, 124
  
- partitioned transition relation, 122
- partitioning threshold, 48, 74
- path quantifier, 17
- pre-image, 28
- present-state variable, 27
- promising states, 106
- proof-based abstraction, 78
- property automaton, 21
- property-preserving abstraction, 42
- propositional logic, 15
- pruning operation, 104
- pseudo-primary inputs, 48
  
- quantification scheduling, 122
  
- rate of convergence, 5
- reachability, 28
  - reachability game, 62
  - reachability onion rings, 106
  - reachable diameter, 33
- refinement
  - refinement direction, 68
  - refinement minimization, 60, 70
- refinement direction, 6, 53
- R, *see* temporal operator
- resolution graph, 138
- restrict, 123, 125
- RO-BDD, 31
- run, 22
  
- safety property, 20
- SAT, 36, 137
- SCC, 25
  - SCC partition, 86
  - SCC refinement, 86
  - SCC-closed set, 25, 89
- SCC enumeration algorithms, 29, 86, 105
- SCC hull algorithms, 25
- SCC quotient graph, 25
- SCC subgraph, 103
- seed, 29
- seed for SCC enumeration, 106
- seed selection, 94, 109
- SepSet, 57, 73
- sequential don't cares, 76
- sequential don't cores, 70
- sharp image, 107

- simulation and testing, 2
- simulation relation, 42, 88
- software verification, 2
- SORs, 7, 41, 49
- spurious counterexample, 40, 48
- state, 27
- state explosion, 2, 14
- state space decomposition, 71
- static configuration, 145
- strength of an automaton, 89
- strength of an SCC, 87
- strength reduction, 90
- strong automaton, 30, 89
- strongly connected component, *see* SCC
- sufficient set, 62, 69
- symbolic model checking, 2, 27
- synchronous composition, 44
  
- temporal logic
  - CTL, 18
  - CTL\*, 15
  - LTL, 16
  - $\mu$ -calculus, 28, 38
  
- temporal operator, 16
- terminal automaton, 30, 89
- theorem proving, 2
- transition function, 44
- transition relation, 27, 44
- trivial SCC, 25
  
- universal property, 19
- unrolling depth, 35
- UNSAT core, 138
- unsatisfiability proof, 137
- U, *see* temporal operator
  
- variable, 36
- variable ordering (BDD), 32, 130
- verification crisis, 1, 2
- visible variable, 44
- VSIDS heuristic, 138, 145
  
- weak automaton, 30, 89
- winning position, 63
  
- ZDDs, 32