

Contributors

Kenneth Benoit is professor of quantitative social sciences at Trinity College, University of Dublin, in the Department of Political Science. His substantive research interests include comparative electoral systems, Eastern European politics, political party systems, and transitions to democracy. His methodological interests include statistical applications, quantitative content analysis, and computer simulations. He has published extensively in leading scholarly journals and written (with Michael Laver) *Party Policy in Modern Democracies* (London: Routledge, 2006).

Scott Desposato is assistant professor of political science at the University of California, San Diego. His research examines legislative representation, including parties, campaigns, and elections, especially in Latin America.

William B. Heller is associate professor of political science at Binghamton University. His research focuses on political institutions, primarily legislative, but covers topics as varied as, among others, bicameralism, the evolution of cooperation, coalition unity, and party discipline. Heller has published articles in a number of journals, most recently including the *American Journal of Political Science*, *Annual Review of Political Science*, *Public Choice*, and *Legislative Studies Quarterly*.

Junko Kato is professor of political science at the University of Tokyo. Her research investigates political parties, institutions, and welfare policies. She is the author of *The Problem of Bureaucratic Rationality: Tax Politics in Japan* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994) and *Regressive Taxation and the Welfare State* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003), and she has published in an array of professional journals such as the *American Political Science Review*, *British Journal of Political Science*, and *Party Politics*.

Marcus Kreuzer is associate professor of political science at Villanova University. His work focuses on evolution of party systems in Western and Eastern Europe and origins of electoral systems. He is the author of various articles, including “Institutions and Innovation: Voters, Parties and Interest Groups in the Consolidation of Democracy—France and Germany, 1870–1939” (2001).

Gail McElroy is assistant professor in the department of political science at Trinity College, Dublin. Her research interests include legislative organization, European Union politics, and the comparative study of political parties. She has published several articles on party competition in the European Parliament.

Carol Mershon is associate professor of politics at the University of Virginia. Mershon’s research examines intraparty competition, the dynamics of party systems, the politics of multiparty government, and legislative politics. Her most recent book is *The Costs of Coalition* (Stanford University Press, 2002). Her articles have appeared in the *American Journal of Political Science*, the *American Political Science Review*, *Comparative Political Studies*, and the *Journal of Politics*, among others.

Timothy P. Nokken is assistant professor in the department of political science at Texas Tech University. His research focuses primarily on the US Congress. In addition to his studies of congressional party switching, he has written extensively on the consequences lame duck sessions of Congress have on roll-call behavior and legislative agenda construction. His work appears in the *Journal of Politics*, *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, *Studies in American Political Development*, *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, and *American Politics Quarterly*.

Vello Pettai is professor of comparative politics at the University of Tartu. He has worked on ethnopolitics, party development, and constitutional courts in the Baltic states. He has published in *World Politics*, *Nations and Nationalism*, *East European Constitutional Review*, and *Journal of Democracy*.

Norman Schofield is director of the Center in Political Economy, the William R. Taussig professor of political economy, and professor in the departments of economics and political science. He is currently working on the theory of social choice, political economy, and democracy. His recent publications on democratic theory include articles in *The Review of Economic Studies* (2007), and (with Gary Miller) in *Political Studies* (2003), the *American Political Science Review* (2003), the *American Journal of Political Science* (2007),

and *Perspectives on Politics* (2008); he has published on authoritarian regimes with Micah Levinson in *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*. His several books include *Social Choice and Democracy* (1985), *Multiparty Government* (with Michael Laver, 1990), and *Mathematical Methods in Economics and Social Choice* (2003). In 2006, he published *Architects of Political Change* and (with Itai Sened) *Multiparty Democracy*, both with Cambridge University Press. *The Spatial Model of Politics* was published in 2007, while *Democracy and Tyranny* will soon be published. He was elected to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences in 2005.

Olga Shvetsova is associate professor of political science at Binghamton University. Her work on institutions and parties appeared in the *American Journal of Political Science*, *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, *Comparative Political Studies*, *Electoral Studies*, *Journal of Democracy*, and in other peer-reviewed journals and edited collections. She is a co-author of *Designing Federalism* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

Kentaro Yamamoto received his PhD in political science from the University of Tokyo. His research explores Japanese party switching and party system change in post-1993 Japan. He explains the dynamics of Japanese party system change using coalition theory.

Index

Note: Page numbers in *italics* indicate illustrative material; those followed by a *t* indicate tables; those followed by an *f* indicate figures.

- accountability, 3, 5, 109–10, 272
- activist coalitions:
 - electoral competition model, 55–7
 - plurality rule, 58–61, 77
 - polarization, 77, 78n9
 - policy positions, 60–1
 - switching equilibria, 59*f*
 - United States elections, 60–1, 77
 - valence, 56–7, 76
- Adenauer, Konrad, 276
- affiliation decisions:
 - Brazil, 113–19, 123–33, 129*t*, 141nn2,5
 - choices, 105n3, 141nn2,5, 142nn10–11
 - code of conduct, 85–6
 - European Parliament (EP), 147–9, 154–5, 163–6, 165*t*
 - legislative switching game, 40–1, 46
 - models, 31–3, 40–1, 46, 123–33, 129*t*, 165*t*
 - nature of political parties, 174–6
 - time-based, 124, 142n11, 222–4
 - United States, 81–3, 101–3
 - voting behavior, 82–3, 87–8, 91–103
 - See also* benefits of party membership; models of party switching; motivation for switching; party switching
- agenda control, 20, 35, 87, 102, 179, 261n4, 280–1
- Ágh, Attila, 282n2
- Aldrich, John H., 36, 56, 83–4, 105n3, 112, 154
- Alexander, Rodney, 105n8
- Alford, Thomas, 93–4*t*, 99*t*, 100
- Allen, George, 73
- ambition:
 - legislators, 12, 30–1, 36–40, 178–9, 201–5, 224
 - motivation for party switching, 12, 174–5, 178–9
 - party leaders, 275
 - switching models, 30–1, 33–4, 36–8, 40
- Am Ehad Party (Israel), 70
- amendment votes:
 - defectors' vote changes, 99*f*
 - DW-NOMINATE scores, 97*f*
 - significance, 103
 - types of, 103–4
 - vote numbers, 83, 88–9, 91*f*, 103–4, 105n10
 - voting behavioral changes, 95–103
- Arab Party, 70*f*, 71*f*
- Atkinson, Eugene, 93*t*, 99*t*, 100
- Australia, 4, 11*t*

- balance of power, 7–8, 12, 57, 60, 76
- ballot access. *See under* elections
- Baltic States, 272–5, 278, 281, 282n4
- bandwagoning, 268
- Barak, Ehud, 70, 72
- bargaining, 12, 33, 38–9, 70, 72, 76, 102, 288
See also coalitions
- Belgium, 21n1, 76, 271
- benefits of party membership:
 Brazil, 119–22
 elections, 12, 41, 47n3, 111–12, 121–2, 270
 parliamentary cycles, 203–5, 207–11, 217t, 218
See also affiliation decisions;
 motivation for switching;
 payoffs; pork
- Benjamin, Gerald, 84
- Benoit, Kenneth, 78n7, 147–72
- Bianco, William T., 36, 83–4, 105n3, 112–13, 154
- bicameral legislatures.
See under legislatures
- Blair, Tony, 179–80
- Boll Weevils, 86
- Bolshevism, 276
- Brazil:
 First and Second Republics, 109
 ruling on elected offices, 140
- Brazilian Chamber of Deputies, 109–44
 access to state resources, 120–2, 125
 affiliation decisions, 113–19, 123–33, 129t, 141nn2,5, 142nn10–11
 ballot access, 121, 126
 benefits of party membership, 119–22
 birthright rule on candidacy (*candidato nato*), 119, 142n7
 causes of party switching, 110–33
 club goods, 111–13, 116, 118, 119–21, 141n3
 coalitions/government composition, 12, 120–1, 130t, 131
 effects of party switching, 133–40
 elections, 17, 119, 121–2, 125, 130t, 142n6
 equilibria strategies, 113–19, 115f, 116f, 117f, 118f
 ideology, 119, 122–3, 125, 130t, 139f, 141n3, 143n16
 open-list proportional representation (OLPR), 12, 121, 126, 142n9
 party agreement scores, 133–5, 134t, 135t
 party influence, 137–40, 138t
 party system, 111–13, 111t, 138–40
 payoffs, 111–13, 115t, 121–2, 125–6
 predictions for party switching, 123, 128–33, 129t, 130t
 prohibition on party switching, 119, 140–1, 142n6
 switching incidence, 4, 11t, 109–10, 110t, 128f, 139–41, 139f, 141n1
 transaction costs, 14, 117, 119, 123, 126, 130t
 value-added of legislator for party, 112–13, 122–3, 126–7, 142n10
 vote pooling, 110, 121
 voting model, 135–7
- British House of Commons:
 electoral laws, 206
 legislative committees, 12
 legislative terms, 206t
 new groups, 222
 start-up parties, 282nn4,8

- switching behavior, 218–19, 282n6
- switching rates, *11t*, 14, 216–20, *217t*, 223–4, 271
- trade unions, 179–80
- Bryan, William Jennings, 74
- Bush, George W., 78n2

- cabinet offices, 12, *130t*
- Canada, 7, 8, *11t*, 12
- candidato nato* (birthright rule on candidacy), 119, 142n7
- Cardoso, Fernando Henrique, 139
- career advancement, *39f*, 40, 202, 280
- cartels, *277f*, 279
- cascade switching, 30, 32–3, 289–91
- Center Party (Estonia), 278
- Center Party (Israel), *67t*
- centrist parties, 76, 78n6, 214, 223, 276
- centrist policy positions, 55, 58, 61
- Chamber of Deputies (Brazil). *See* Brazilian Chamber of Deputies
- Chamber of Deputies (Italy). *See* Italian Chamber of Deputies
- Christian Democratic Center (CCD; Italy), 189, *192–3t*
- Christian Democratic Center–United Democratic Christians (CCD–CDU; Italy), 189, *192–3t*, 213
- Christian Democratic Union/Christian Social Union (CDU/CSU; Germany), 276, 281
- Christian Democrats (DC; Italy), 189, *192t*, 218
- Clean Government Party (CGP; Japan), *255f*, *256f*, 259, 262nn16–17
- clientelism, 269
- coalitions:
 - Brazil, 12, 120–1, *130t*, 131
 - electoral competition model, 57–8
 - European Parliament (EP), 279
 - Germany, 12, 47n8
 - government formation, 12, 70, 72, 76, 120–1, *130t*, 131, 224
 - Israel, 70
 - Japanese Diet, 238–9, 245, 254, 257, 259, 261n6, 262nn16–17
 - legislative switching game, *39f*
 - party unity, 37
 - pro-capital, *59f*
 - procedural, 102
 - pro-labor, *59f*
 - switching equilibria, *59f*
 - US Congress, 102
 - voters' interests, 47n8
 - See also* activist coalitions
- cohesion:
 - ideology, 123
 - party unity, 35, 81, 87, 133, 140–1, 148–9, 151
 - policy, 151
 - procedural matters, 102
 - voting, 148, 150–1, 157
- Collor de Mello, Fernando Afonso, 139
- committee assignments, 14–15, 35, 100, 111, 265
- Communist Party (CPSU), 271, 274
- Communist Party (Italy), 183–4
- Communist Refounding (RC), 184, 190, *192t*, 213–14
- conditional logit (CL) models, 124, *129t*, 164–8, *165t*, *167t*
- Congress (US). *See* United States Congress
- Conservative Coalition, 86
- Conservative Party (Canada), 7
- Conservative Party (UK), 222
- consociational democracies, 269, 271
- constituent relations:
 - legislators, 16, 87, 102–3, 109–10, 119, 176–7, 191, 194n3
 - party systems, 176–7, 191
 - political representation, 265

- Cossiga, Francesco, 214, 215
 Cox, Gary, 87, 103, 267
 Crewe, Ivor, 14, 21n5
 Czech Republic, 4
- D'Alema, Massimo, 214
 Danforth, John, 60–1
 Deal, Nathan, 93–4*t*, 96*f*, 97*f*, 98, 99*t*
 decentralization, 165*t*
 decision making:
 legislators, 40–4
 parties, 39*f*, 44–6
 voters, 39–40
 See also affiliation decisions;
 models of party switching;
 motivation for switching
 decisive majority (DM), 234–49,
 260, 261n3
 Dellay, Vincent J., 92, 93–4*t*,
 99*t*, 101
 Dem-Arab communists (Israel), 67*f*
 Democratic Party (US):
 electoral competition models,
 58–60
 Northern Wing, 86
 party switching, 89, 90*t*, 93–4*t*
 power balance, 7
 realignment, 73–5
 voting behavior, 85, 91–101,
 93–4*t*
 Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ):
 elections, 243–8, 259–60,
 262n14
 inswitchers and loyalists, 258*t*
 Left-Right policy, 254–9, 255*f*,
 256*f*, 260, 262n18
 party membership, 257, 259,
 262nn16–17
 seat distribution, 243*t*, 260
 survival, 246–7, 260
 switching behavior, 252*t*,
 253*t*, 257
 switching rates, 251–4, 257
 winning thresholds, 249–50
- Democratic Union for Europe
 (UDEur), 215
 democratization, 207
 Democrats-Olive Tree (Dem-U),
 214–15, 220
 Denmark, 11*t*
 deregulation, 157, 161*t*, 165*t*,
 255*f*, 256*f*
 Desposato, Scott W., 36, 109–44
 diet. *See* Japanese Diet
 direct democracy, 75
 discipline:
 and ambition, 30–1, 33–4,
 36–8, 40
 Japanese Diet, 242, 250, 257,
 260, 262n18
 party leadership, 14–15, 35–8,
 42–3, 45
 party systems, 1, 6–7, 176,
 178, 288
 tools, 34–8, 45, 47n11, 176,
 178, 288
- Dorussen, Han, 61
 Dow, John K., 64
 Downs, Anthony, 55
 Duma. *See* Russian Duma
 Duverger, Maurice, 181
 DW-NOMINATE data, 83, 85–6,
 88–9, 91–101, 96*f*, 97*f*, 105n4
- Ecuador, 112, 141n3
 Egypt, 73
 elections:
 activist coalitions, 60–1, 77
 ballot access, 14, 19, 35–6, 41–2,
 121, 126, 154, 179
 benefits of party membership, 12,
 41, 47n3, 111–12, 270
 Brazil, 17, 119, 121–2, 125, 130*t*,
 142n6
 by-elections, 176
 European Parliament (EP), 151–2,
 154, 169n4, 225n10
 incumbency/nonincumbency, 282n1

- institutionalization, 268, 270, 276–81
 Israel, 58
 Italy, 225n10
 Japan, 233, 239–41, 243–8, 259–60, 261nn10,12, 262n14
 nominating strategies, 17, 47n6, 111
 parliamentary cycles, 203–5, 207–11, 217t
 party switching, 4–5, 14, 17, 265, 267–8
 plurality rule, 206
 presidential, 56, 58–60, 77n1, 78n9
 primaries, 81, 112, 216
 rules, 177, 206, 288
 switching rates, 17, 210t, 212f
 United States, 56, 58–61, 77, 77n1, 78n9
 winning thresholds, 130t, 233, 239–41, 245, 247–50, 261n10
See also reelection
- electoral competition models, 55–73
 coalitions, 57–8, 59f
 Israel, 57–8, 66–73, 67f, 67t, 68f, 70t, 71t
 Nash equilibrium, 61–6, 68f
 party switching, 55–61
 spatial models, 55–6
 stochastic model, 55–7, 61–73
 United States, 58–60, 73–5
 voter behavior, 56–7
- electoral rules. *See* open-list
 proportional representation;
 plurality rule; proportional
 representation
- Endersby, Jay, 64
 Enelow, James, 61
 Entrepreneurs' Party, 278
 environmental policy, 151, 157, 159, 161t, 162, 165t, 255f, 256f
 equilibria. *See* switching equilibria
 Estonia, 272, 278
- European Liberal and Democrat
 Reform Party (ELDR), 150–1, 150t, 159, 165t
- European Parliament (EP):
 affiliation decisions, 147–9, 154–5, 163–8, 165t, 167t, 168–9
 Comparative Manifestos Project, 152
 conditional logit (CL) models, 164–8, 165t, 167t
 elections, 151–2, 154, 169n4, 225n10
 expansion, 151
 expulsions, 169n1
 ideology, 19, 166, 168
 languages, 151
 Left-Right policy dimension, 148, 155, 158–66, 159f, 161t, 162f, 165t
 membership lists, 8, 156
 national/transnational policy, 151, 158–63, 161t
 Parliamentary Bureau, 166, 167t, 170n7
 party competition levels, 147–9
 party groups and organization, 8, 148–56, 150t, 151–3, 155, 175f, 193–4, 289
 party position expectations, 186t, 187t
 policy space, 158–63, 169
 switching rates, 11t, 153t, 154–5, 158, 159f, 166–9, 167t, 169nn2–3
 vote share, 157, 165t
 See also coalitions; elections
- European People's Party (EPP), 150–1, 150t, 159, 169nn1–2, 279
- European Union (EU), 147–9, 157–62, 161t, 165t
- European United Left/Nordic Green
 Left (GUE), 150t, 159, 165t, 168

- exogenous valence, 56, 58, 60, 63, 70, 76, 78n2
- extremist parties, 45
- final passage votes:
- DW-NOMINATE scores (US), 96f
 - party defectors' vote changes, 99f
 - vote numbers, 83, 86, 88, 89, 91f, 103, 105n10
 - voting behavioral changes, 95–101
 - See also* amendment votes; procedural votes
- fission switches, 8, 9t, 220, 222, 267–8, 268f, 271–2, 276–7
- formal majority (FM), 234–9, 242–9, 260, 261n3
- Forza Italia (FI), 189
- fragmentation, 76–7, 276–7, 279–81, 283n10
- France, 4, 11t, 12, 169n2, 271
- fusion switches, 8, 9t, 222, 267–8, 268f, 271–2, 277–9
- Gaines, Brian, 105n2
- German Christian Democratic Union (CDU), 156
- Germany, 11t, 12, 47n8, 271, 276, 281, 282n4
- goals, individual/party, 33–4, 40–1
- Goldwater, Barry, 60
- Goode, Virgil, 92, 93–4t, 99t, 100, 105nn8,14
- Goodman, Craig, 83
- government formation:
- coalitions, 12, 70, 72, 76, 120–1, 130t, 131, 224
 - electoral competition models, 57–8
 - party switching, 7
- Gramm, Phil, 93–4t, 99t, 100
- Green Party (Brazil), 119
- Greens (Estonia), 278
- Greens (Italy), 190, 192t
- Greens (Verts; EP), 150t, 159, 165t, 168
- Grose, Christian R., 84
- Group for a Union of Democracies and Diversities (EDD), 150t, 159, 162, 165t
- Hall, Ralph, 105n8
- Hamas, 73
- Hannan, Daniel, 169n1
- Hara, Kenzaburo, 261n9
- Harbinger Party, 257, 262n16
- Hatcher, Andrea C., 86, 87, 104n1
- Hayes, Jamie, 93–4t, 96f, 97f, 98, 99t, 101
- Heller, William B., 3–28, 17, 29–51, 131, 173–99, 201–29, 242, 271, 283n10, 287–93, 292n1
- Hix, Simon, 151, 158
- House of Commons (UK). *See* British House of Commons
- House of Representatives (Japan). *See under* Japanese Diet
- House of Representatives (US). *See* United States House of Representatives
- Hungary, 4, 11t
- ideal points:
- changes in, 177
 - estimates, 89, 105n11, 125
 - legislators, 179–80
 - Optimal Classification (OC), 182–5, 189–90, 194nn7–8
 - party system, 176, 180–2, 184–7
- ideology:
- legislative switching game, 38
 - party membership, 83, 87–8, 130t
 - polarization, 86
 - policy outcomes, 176
 - switching behavior, 84–5, 139f, 176
- immigration/nationalism, 149, 159, 161, 161t, 163
- Independent Democratic Party, 90t, 93–4t
- Independent Labour Party, 222
- Independent Royalists, 278

- independents:
 entrepreneurs, 268*f*
 Russia, 213
 switching behavior, 223, 224n1
 switching rates, 89, 90*t*, 225n8
 United States, 89, 90*t*, 92, 93–4*t*,
 98–100, 258n8
 voting, 92, 93–4*t*, 98–100
- India, 4
- institutional constraints:
 Japan, 235–9
 party-switching contexts, 12–17,
 15*f*, 224
 party unity and, 34–6
 procedural rules, 16
See also agenda control;
 elections; decisive majority
- inswitches, 8, 9*t*, 19, 181, 185–8,
 258*t*, 279–81, 290
- involuntary switches, 10
- Israel Beiteinu, 70*f*, 71*f*, 72
- Israeli Knesset, 66–73
 elections, 58
 party positions, 66–9, 67*f*, 68*f*,
 70*f*, 71, 71*f*
 recent switches, 70–3
 seat share, 67*t*
- Italian Chamber of Deputies:
 balance of power, 189
 Committee for Constitutional
 Reform, 211, 214
 corruption scandals and, 271
 elections, 225n10
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 outswitching, 181, 185–8,
 195n11
 parliamentary cycles, 208*t*,
 209–11, 213–15
 party formation, 189–91, 192–3*t*,
 220–3, 221*t*
 proportional representation (PR),
 205, 211, 216–18
 and Russian Duma, 82, 205–6,
 209–20
 secret voting, 194n6
 single-member districts (SMDs),
 205, 224n2
 switching behavior, 182–91,
 187–91, 213–15, 223–4, 271
 switching rates, 4, 11*t*, 82,
 205–6, 210*t*, 212*f*, 217*t*, 271
- Italian Communist Party (PCI),
 190, 192*t*
- Italian Social Democratic Party
 (PSDI), 218
- Italian Socialist Party (PSI),
 218, 220
- Italian Socialist Party of Proletarian
 Unity (PSIUP), 219, 220
- Jacobson, Gary C., 105n3
- Japanese Diet, 233–63
 balance of power, 240–2,
 248, 259
 coalitions, 238–9, 245, 254, 257,
 259, 261n6, 262nn16–17
 committee membership, 238–9,
 260n1, 261nn4,8
 decisive majority (DM), 234–9,
 236*t*, 242–9, 260, 261n3
 discipline, 242, 250, 257, 260,
 262n18
 elections, 233, 239–41, 243–8,
 259–60, 261nn10,12, 262n14
 formal majority (FM), 234–9,
 242–9, 260, 261n3
 House of Councilors (HC), 237,
 261n4
 House of Representatives (HR),
 237–8, 243*t*, 247, 250, 260,
 261nn3–4,10
 institutional constraints, 141n4,
 235–9
 Left-Right policy positions,
 241–2, 254–9, 255*f*, 256*f*,
 262n18
 motivations for switching,
 239–42, 250–4
 party system dynamics, 242–7
 payoffs, 244–6, 246*t*, 261n9

- Japanese Diet—*Continued*
 proportional representation (PR),
 250–2, 261nn11–12
 reelection incentives, 250,
 254, 260
 seat share, 243*t*, 245, 260n1,
 261n10, 262n19
 single-member districts (SMDs),
 248–54
 SSI (Shapley-Shubik Index) data,
 244–7, 245*t*, 246*f*, 248, 249
 structure/organization, 12, 237–9
 switching behavior, 233–4, 240,
 242, 252*t*, 253*t*, 258*t*, 259–60,
 262n13
 switching rates, 11*t*, 244–6,
 250–4, 271
 winning thresholds, 233, 239–41,
 245, 247–50, 261n10
See also Democratic Party of
 Japan (DPJ); Japan; Liberal
 Democratic Party (LDP)
- Jarman, John, 93–4*t*, 99*t*, 100
 Jeffords, James, 7, 8, 74
 Jeong, Gyung-Ho, 78n5
 Johnson, Lyndon B., 60
- Kadima Party (Israel), 58, 71–2,
 71*f*, 78n6, 282n3
 Kansas, 73–4
 Kato, Junko, 233, 233–63, 244, 245
 Katz, Richard, 269
 Kernell, Samuel, 105n3
 King, Anthony, 14, 21n5
 King, Gary, 84
 Kitamura, Naoto, 248, 261n9
 Knesset. *See* Israeli Knesset
 Koizumi, Jun'ichiro, 260
 Kreuzer, Marcus, 265–85
 Labor Party (Israel), 67*f*, 67*t*, 68–9,
 68*f*, 70, 70*f*, 71–2, 71*f*
- Labour Party (Ireland), 180
 Labour Party (UK), 14, 179–80,
 222, 276, 282n8
- Lafontaine, Oscar, 276
 Latvian National Independent
 Movement, 278–9
 Laughlin, Greg, 93–4*t*, 96*f*, 97*f*, 98,
 99*t*, 101
 Laver, Michael, xii, 78n7, 156–7,
 233, 244, 245, 275
 Lebanon, 72–3
 Left Unity Party (CG; EP), 168
 legislative parties:
 catch-all parties, 182
 decision-making power, 39*f*,
 44–6
 effective numbers, 8, 21n2
 electoral strength, 41
 hegemonic parties, 274
 integrity, 39*f*
 legislative switching game,
 38–46, 39*f*, 44–6
 majority parties, 87, 89,
 102, 175*f*
 mass membership parties, 182
 membership and policy, 5–6
 membership lists, 8
 minority parties, 175*f*
 name changes, 195n10
 pan-European, 289
 party-switching contexts and,
 3–5, 8–12, 15*f*, 40, 288–9
 positions/ideology, 19, 44, 67*f*,
 68*t*, 174, 181–8, 275, 292n1
 preferences, 175–82, 190–1
 procedural rules, 10, 14–15, 16
 rules and processes, 35–6,
 47n6, 102
 start-up parties, 8, 9*t*, 21n3, 267,
 268*f*, 271, 282nn4,8
 value of new members, 112–13
See also cohesion; discipline;
 party leadership; party
 membership; party
 switching; party systems;
 party unity
 legislative switching game, 38–46,
 39*f*, 288

- legislators, 173–99
 affiliation choices, 40–1
 ambition, 12, 30–1, 36–40, 178–9, 201–5, 224
 constituent relations, 16, 87, 102–3, 109–10, 112, 119, 176–7, 191
 ideology, 86, 101–2, 275
 leadership control, 180–1
 legislative switching game, 40–4
 motivation for holding office, 33
 multidimensional/unidimensional issues, 12, 160, 178, 194n4
 party preferences, 176–82
 party switching, 15*f*
 party system, 174–82, 292n2
 personality, 270
 policy preferences, 41–2, 47n10, 84–5, 88, 102–3, 155, 292n1
 rank-and-file preferences, 178, 180, 194n2
 raw preferences, 175, 194n1
 voting behavior, 37, 88, 101–2
See also affiliation decisions; benefits of party membership; models of party switching; motivation for switching; party switching
- legislatures:
 bicameral, 16
 committees, 12
 control of agenda, 20
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 legislative weight, 32, 44
 parliamentary systems, 10, 16
 party affiliation types, 46, 47n9
 presidential systems, 16, 206*t*, 217*t*, 221*t*, 280–1
 seat shares, 20, 174, 243*t*, 245, 260n1, 261n10, 290, 292n1
 semi-presidential systems, 206*t*, 217*t*, 221*t*, 224
 unicameral, 16
- Liberal Democratic Party (LDP; Japan):
 21st-Century Club, 249, 261n10
 agenda control, 261n4
 challenges to, 243–4
 coalitions, 257, 262nn16–17
 decisive majority (DM), 242–4, 261n3
 elections, 239, 243–7, 250–4, 259–60, 261n10, 262n14
 Left-Right policy positions, 255*f*, 256*f*, 262n18
 party system dynamics, 242–7
 payoffs, 244–6, 248–9, 261n9
 policy positions, 254–9
 power balance, 12, 248
 seat share, 261n10
 SSI (Shapley-Shubik Index) data, 244–7, 245*t*, 246*f*, 248–9
 strength, 12, 233–4, 237, 246–7, 248, 260, 260n2
 switching behavior, 252*t*, 253*t*
 switching rates, 250–4, 261n9
 winning thresholds, 261n10
- Liberal Democrats (UK), 222
- Liberal Front Party (PFL; Brazil), 126
- Liberal Party (Canada), 7
- Liberal Party (LP; Japan), 251, 253, 257, 262nn13,16,18
- Lieberman, Avigdor, 72
- Lieven, Anatol, 272
- Likud Party (Israel), 58, 67*f*, 67*t*, 68–72, 68*f*, 70*f*, 71*f*
- Lin, Tse Min, 61
- Lipset, Seymour M., 149
- Lithuania, 272
- Local Nash Equilibrium (LNE), 55, 57, 61–6
- Magaloni, Beatriz, 274
- Mainwaring, Scott P., 225
- Mair, Peter, 269, 271
- majority parties, 87, 89, 102, 175*f*
 majority rule, 75
 manifestos, 151–2, 174, 225n9
- Martis, Kenneth C., 104n1
- Marxist parties, 275–6
 mass mobilization parties, 269

- McCubbins, Matthew, 87, 103, 194n8
- McElroy, Gail, 36, 147–72, 148, 156, 157, 169n5
- McFadden, Daniel, 124
- McGinnis, Michael, 56
- McKelvey, Richard D., 61
- Meretz Party, 67*f*, 67*t*, 68*f*, 70*f*, 71*f*
- Mershon, Carol, 3–28, 17, 29–51, 131, 173–99, 242, 271, 282n8, 283n10, 287–93, 292n1
- methodology:
- Brazil, 110–11
 - European Parliament (EP), 156–7, 169n6
 - Italian Chamber of Deputies, 182–4, 194n7
 - Japanese Diet, 239–42
 - legislators, 182–4, 194n7
 - parliamentary cycles, 205–9
- Mexico, 269, 274
- Miller, Gary, 60
- ministerial portfolios, 265
- minority party, 175*f*
- Miskin, Sarah, 36
- Mixed Group (Italy), 190, 192–3*t*, 210, 213–15, 225n5
- mixed groups, 10, 47n9
- Miyamoto, Ichizo, 261n9
- Moakley, John, 93–4*t*, 99*t*, 100
- models of party switching, 29–51
- affiliation decisions, 31–3, 123–33, 129*t*
 - ambition and discipline, 30–1, 33–4, 36–8, 40
 - legislative switching game, 38–46, 39*f*, 288
 - motivation, 31–3, 110–12
 - party unity, 34–6
 - See also* affiliation decisions; benefits of party membership; legislative switching game
- Moledet Party (Israel), 67*f*, 67*t*, 68*f*
- Moore, John, 73
- motivation for switching:
- ambition, 12, 55–6, 174–5, 178–9
 - career advancement, 39*f*, 40, 202, 280
 - contexts, 19–21, 30–3, 281, 288–9
 - electoral incentives, 121, 154–5
 - Italy, 182
 - legislative switching game, 42
 - models of party switching, 31–3, 83–4, 110–12
 - parliamentary cycles, 201, 202–5, 207, 220–3, 221*t*
 - party leaders, 55–6
 - presidential systems, 280–1
 - switching behavior, 166
 - See also* affiliation decisions; benefits of party membership; legislative switching game; models of party switching; parliamentary cycles
- mutual assured destruction doctrine, 14
- Nash equilibrium, 61–6, 68*f*
- national identity, 255*f*, 256*f*
- National Religious Party (NRP; Israel), 67*t*, 68*f*, 70*f*, 71*f*
- negative agenda control, 35, 87
- Neighbor, Cindy, 73
- Nepal, 112
- The Netherlands, 271
- New Conservative Party (NCP; Japan), 255*f*, 256*f*, 262nn16–17
- New Deal, 74, 75
- New Frontier Party (NFP; Japan):
- dissolution, 260
 - elections, 248, 261n12
 - Left-Right policy positions, 254–9, 255*f*, 256*f*
 - seat share, 243*t*, 245
 - switching behavior, 252*t*, 253*t*, 258*t*
 - switching rates, 251–4
 - winning thresholds, 249–50

- new parliamentary groups, 32–3, 205, 213–15, 219–22, 221*t*, 267–8
- New Party Herald (NPH; Japan), 255*f*, 256*f*
See also Harbinger Party
- New Zealand, 11*t*
- no-confidence votes, 7
- Nokken, Timothy P., 81–108, 83, 85, 89, 92, 98, 104*n*1
- NOMINATE, 83, 85–6, 88–101, 104, 105*n*4, 105*n*8, 157, 166–8
- W-NOMINATE, 125, 128–33, 137–140
- Norway, 14, 47*n*9
- Noury, Abdul, 151
- Olim Party, 67*f*, 70
- Olmert, Ehud, 71, 72
- open-list proportional representation (PR), 206
See also proportional representation
- Oppenheimer, Bruce I., 86, 87, 104*n*1
- Optimal Classification (OC), 182–5, 189–90, 194*nn*7–8
- Oslo Accords, 71
- outswitching, 8, 9*t*, 19, 181, 185–8, 195*n*11, 279–81, 290
- overinstitutionalized party systems, 273, 279
- Papua New Guinea, 4
- Parker, Mike, 93–4*t*, 96*f*, 97*f*, 98, 99*t*
- Parkinson, Mark, 73, 74
- Parliament (UK). *See* British House of Commons
- parliamentary cycles, 201–29
 affiliation stage, 203–5, 207–11, 210*t*, 216–18, 217*t*
 aggregated stages, 209–11
 benefits, 203–5, 207–11, 217*t*, 218
 country comparisons, 206*t*, 209–20
 disaggregated substages, 211–15, 212*f*
 dormant stage, 204, 207–11, 217*t*, 220
 elections, 203–5, 207–11, 217*t*
 electoral systems, 217*t*, 218, 221*t*
 Italy/Russia comparison, 205–6, 208*f*, 209–11
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 legislators' goals, 202, 204, 224
 new groups, 205, 213–15, 220–3, 221*t*
 operationalized stages, 207–9, 208*t*
 policy control, 203–5, 207–11, 217*t*, 218–19
 proportional representation (PR), 205, 210*t*, 218
 regime types, 206*t*, 217*t*, 221*t*
 single-member districts (SMDs), 205, 209, 210–11, 210*t*, 216, 218
 switching behavior, 201, 203*f*, 205, 209–11, 209–20, 210*t*
 switching rates, 204, 205–6, 209–15, 210*t*, 217*t*, 222–4
See also motivation for switching
- parliamentary majorities, 280–1
- parliamentary systems, 10, 16
- partisanship, 74–5, 119, 126, 223–4
- Party for Social Democracy in Romania (PDSR), 219
- party hopping, 267–8, 268*f*, 271–4, 279–81, 280*f*, 281, 282*n*2
- party labels:
 communist parties, 174
 identity, 7, 73–5, 122
 integrity, 37, 87, 109–10
 party unity, 34–8, 42
 significance, 81, 92, 101, 103, 121, 141*n*3, 177, 270
- party leadership:
 agenda control, 20, 35, 87, 102, 179, 180–1, 261*n*4, 280–1
 decision-making process, 39*f*, 44–5, 289–90

- party leadership—*Continued*
 exogenous valence, 76, 78n2
 legislative switching game,
 38–46, 39f
 motivation for switching, 55
 party-switching contexts, 15f, 55
 policy preferences, 178–81
 switching behavior, 167–8
 valence, 55, 61, 76
 voting behavior, 184–5
See also ambition; discipline
- party membership:
 automatic renomination, 14
 equilibria strategies, 115f, 116f,
 117f, 118f
 expulsions, 10
 ideology, 83, 87–8, 130t
 loyalty, 88
 payoffs, 111, 115t, 223
 predictability, 130t
 value of new members, 112–13
See also affiliation decisions;
 benefits of party membership;
 party switching; party systems
- party mergers. *See* fusion switches
- Party of Social Democracy
 (PSD; Romania), 219
- Party of the Democratic Left
 (PDS; Italy), 190, 192t
- Party of the European Socialists
 (PES; EP), 150t, 159, 165t,
 168, 279
- party switching, 3–28, 287–92
 balance of power, 7, 8, 12
 cost-benefit analysis, 37–8,
 43–4, 112
 definition, 8–10, 104n1
 effects, 5–6, 91–101, 112, 134–8,
 175–6
 existing research, 12–17, 29, 82,
 83–8, 101–2
 implications and importance,
 3–8, 288
 legislative context, 15f
 phenomenon of, 3–5, 8–11,
 29–30
 prohibitions, 10, 15, 36, 109–19,
 140–1, 141n4, 142n6, 218
 recording methods, 8
 timing, 222–4, 225n6
 voting behavior, 84–8, 91–101
See also legislative switching
 game; motivation for switching;
 parliamentary cycles; switching
 behavior; switching rates;
 switching types
- party systems:
 degree of institutionalization, 4,
 6–7, 20, 207, 225n3, 268–71,
 269f, 270–3, 276–81, 282n7
 effective numbers of parties in, 8,
 21n2
 equilibrium status, 195n11
 fragmentation, 76–7, 276–7,
 279–81, 283n10
 hegemonic parties and, 274
 institutionalized parties in,
 275–6, 280
 legislative switching game,
 38–46, 39f, 44–6
 organizational outcomes in, 267–8
 overinstitutionalized parties in,
 273–5
 party-switching contexts, 3–5,
 8–12, 15f, 32, 40, 223–4,
 266–70, 288–9
 realignment, 4, 74–5, 77
 switching and, 233–63, 265–85
 types, 111t
See also elections; legislative
 parties; switching equilibria
- party unity:
 agenda control, 35, 87
 disciplinary tools, 34–8
 levels, 177–8
 majority party, 102
 value of, 34–8, 41, 42, 102, 194n3
See also cohesion

- Patty, John W., 61
- payoffs:
- electoral, 121–2, 124, 223
 - expectations, 113, 123, 202, 241, 244–6, 246*t*
 - forms of, 12, 113–14, 119–22, 125, 132, 141*n*5, 154*t*, 240
 - hegemonic parties, 274
 - motivation for switching, 110–11, 121
 - parliamentary cycle, 208*t*, 223
 - party membership, 115*t*, 233
 - pork, 13*t*, 111–12, 119–23, 125–6, 140–1
 - See also* affiliation decisions; benefits of party membership; policy
- Pensioners' Party (Israel), 71*f*, 71*t*
- Peretz, Shimon, 71–2
- Pettai, Vello, 265–85
- plurality rule, 56, 58–61, 77, 206
- Poland, 14
- polarization:
- activist coalitions, 77, 78*n*9
 - impact of procedural rules, 105*n*7
 - levels, 86, 96*f*
 - roll-call voting behavior, 88, 95, 98, 101–3
- policy:
- activist coalitions, 60–1
 - bargaining, 7
 - centrist positions, 57
 - consistency, 21*n*1, 281
 - government formation, 72
 - Left-Right dimension (EP), 148, 155, 158–66, 159*f*, 161*t*, 162*f*, 165*t*
 - Left-Right dimension (Japan), 241–2, 254–9, 255*f*, 256*f*, 262*n*18
 - legislative switching game, 39*f*, 40, 41–2
 - motivation for switching, 12, 190, 281
 - party identity, 7, 73–5, 122
 - party switching and, 5–6, 133–40, 174–95
 - party switching effects, 225*n*9, 282*n*9, 290
 - party unity, 37, 102
 - polarization, 77
 - voting behavioral changes, 95–101
 - See also* affiliation decisions
- policy outcomes:
- ideology, 176
 - influences on, 6, 7, 12
 - legislative switching game, 39, 40
 - party switching impact, 8, 288
 - preferences, 34, 47*n*4, 177
 - switching behavior, 202
- political parties. *See* legislative parties; party systems
- political representation, 265–85
- coordination strategies, 267–8
 - effects of party switching, 5–6, 133–40, 273–81, 282*n*9
 - legislative-executive relations, 265
 - legislator-constituent relations, 16, 87, 102–3, 109–10, 119, 176–7, 191, 194*n*3
 - party-switching strategies, 266–73
 - See also* legislative parties; party systems
- Poole, Keith, 56, 83, 85, 88–92, 98, 104*n*1, 157, 169*n*6, 194*n*8, 225*n*7
- Popular Front governments, 275–6
- Popular Party (DC-PPI; Italy), 189, 192*t*
- pork, 13*t*, 111–12, 119–23, 120–2, 125–6, 140–1
- Portugal, 152
- postcommunist democracies, 270, 272, 274–5, 278–9, 282*n*7
- Prata, Adriana, 194*n*8
- presidential systems, 16, 206*t*, 217*t*, 221*t*, 280–1

- primary elections, 81, 112, 216
 procedural rules, 16, 89, 102
 procedural votes:
 behavioral changes, 95–103, 99*f*
 DW-NOMINATE scores, 97*f*
 significance, 103, 105n5
 types of, 103–4
 vote numbers, 83, 87–9, 91*f*,
 103–4, 105n10
 Prodi, Romano, 214
 proportional representation (PR):
 Brazil, 12
 closed-list, 206
 EP elections, 225n10
 Italy, 205, 211, 216–18
 Japanese Diet, 250–2,
 261nn11–12
 new parliamentary groups, 222
 open-list, 206
 parliamentary cycles, 205, 210*t*, 218
 party fragmentation, 77
 party-switching phenomena, 12,
 14, 211, 213, 219, 224, 224n2
 Poland, 14
 policy preferences, 47n10
 Russia, 205
 vote shares, 76
 proto-parties, 270
 Pure strategy Nash Equilibrium
 (PNE), 62
 Radicals (Italy), 190, 192*t*
 reelection:
 Brazil, 119
 incentives, 36–7, 119, 240–2,
 250–4, 260
 Italy, 191
 Japan, 240–2, 250–4, 260
 legislative switching game, 39*f*,
 40–2, 44
 legislator behavior, 154, 178, 191,
 202, 208*t*
 parliamentary cycles, 204, 215
 party membership rules,
 127, 208*t*
 party switching, 14, 16–17, 31–2,
 102, 121, 128–9, 202–4, 203*f*
 rates, 17
 Russia, 215
 United States, 86
 See also elections
 religion, 67*f*, 68*f*, 70*f*, 71*f*
 Republican Party (US):
 electoral competition models,
 58–60
 Jeffords defection, 7
 party switching, 89, 90*t*, 93–4*t*
 realignment, 73–5
 Southern Democrats, 84, 86
 voting behavior, 85, 91–101
 Rockefeller, Nelson, 74
 Rohde, David W., 35, 88–9
 Rokkan, Stein, 149
 Roland, Gérard, 151
 roll call votes:
 European Parliament (EP), 157
 Italian Chamber of Deputies,
 182–4, 194n5
 party affiliation, 82–3, 85–9
 party switching, 265
 polarization, 95, 98
 US Congress, 91–103, 105n12
 See also amendment votes;
 final passage votes;
 procedural votes
 Romanian Chamber of Deputies:
 closed-list PR, 206
 electoral laws, 206
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 new parliamentary groups,
 221*t*, 222
 switching prohibitions, 218
 switching rates, 11*t*, 216–20,
 217*t*, 223
 Rosenthal, Howard, 56, 157,
 169n6, 225n7
 Russia:
 Budennovsk hostage crisis, 213
 Chechen War, 213
 Russian Revolution, 275–6

- Russian Duma, 209–20
 independents, 213
 institutionalization, 279
 and Italian Chamber of Deputies,
 209–20
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 new parliamentary groups,
 220–2, 221*t*
 parliamentary cycles, 208*t*, 209–11
 party membership lists, 8
 proportional representation (PR),
 205, 213
 single-member districts (SMDs),
 205, 213, 216, 224*n*2
 switching behavior, 223
 switching rates, 4, 11*t*, 82,
 205–6, 210–11, 210*t*, 212*f*
- Sánchez de Dios, 37
- Schedler, Andreas, 282*n*5
- Schmitt, Rogério, 17
- Schofield, Norman, 55–79, 60, 65,
 70, 78*n*8
- Schroeder, Gerhard, 276
- seat shares, 174, 243*t*, 245, 260*n*1,
 261*n*10, 262*n*19, 290, 292*n*1
- security policy, 67*f*, 68*f*, 70*f*, 71*f*
- semi-presidential systems, 206*t*,
 217*t*, 221*t*, 224
- Sened, Itai, 70, 78*nn*5,8
- seniority, 280
- Shapley-Shubik power index (SSI),
 244–7, 245*t*, 246*f*, 248–9,
 261*nn*6–7
- Sharon, Ariel, 58, 61, 70, 71–2, 76,
 78*nn*5–6
- Shas Party (Israel), 67*f*, 67*t*, 68*f*, 69,
 70*f*, 71*f*
- Shepsle, Kenneth, 275
- Shinui Party (Israel), 70, 70*f*
- Shvetsova, Olga, 201–29, 282*n*8
- single-member districts (SMDs):
 country comparisons, 205, 206
 Japanese Diet, 248–54, 261*nn*11–12
 policy preferences, 47*n*10
 switching rates, 210–11, 213,
 216–18, 222, 224, 224*n*2
 social conservatives, 59–60, 59*f*,
 73–5
 Social Democratic Party (SDP;
 Japan), 243*t*, 245, 255*f*, 256*f*,
 258*t*
 Social Democratic Party (SDP; UK),
 14, 219, 222, 276, 282*n*8
 Social Democratic Party (SPD;
 Germany), 276
 socialist parties, 275–6
 social liberalism, 59–60, 59*f*, 74–5,
 159, 161–3, 165*t*
 socioeconomic policy, 161*t*, 255*f*,
 256*f*, 288
 Soka Gakkai (Japan), 262*n*17
 solo switches, 9*t*
 South Africa, 11*t*
 Southern Democrats (US), 84, 86
 Soviet Union, 174, 271, 274
 Spain, 10, 11*t*, 12, 15, 17, 36
 spending vs. taxes, 255*f*, 256*f*
 start-up parties, 8, 9*t*, 21*n*3, 205,
 214–15, 220–2, 221*t*, 267,
 268*f*, 271, 282*nn*4,8
 stochastic electoral models, 55–73
 activist valence, 62–3
 balance solution, 64–5
 electoral covariance matrix, 65–6
 equilibrium concepts, 63–4
 extreme value distribution, 64
 Israeli Knesset elections, 66–73
 party leaders, 76
 Stokes, Donald, 77*n*1
 strategic individuals, 34, 47*n*5
 supermajorities, 120, 142*n*8, 178
 switching behavior, 3–28, 173–99,
 287–293
 aggregate voting scores, 91–101
 cartels, 277*f*, 279
 cascades, 30, 32–3, 289–91
 collective modes, 268
 contexts, 15–17, 15*f*, 191, 242,
 266, 288–9

- switching behavior—*Continued*
- defined, 8, 205
 - importance, 5–8, 287–8, 291–2
 - inswitches, 8, 9*t*, 19, 181, 185–8, 258*t*, 279–81, 290
 - levels of party system
 - institutionalization and, 270–3
 - motivation, 166, 202, 276
 - near-simultaneous switches, 9*t*, 10
 - original status quo, 268*f*
 - outswitching, 8, 9*t*, 19, 181, 185–8, 195n11, 279–81, 290
 - party agreement scores, 133–5, 134*t*, 135*t*
 - policy/ideology-driven, 84–5, 88, 139*f*, 176, 178–91, 225n9, 292n1
 - post-switch behavior, 85
 - rule-driven, 9*t*
 - simultaneous switches, 9*t*, 10
 - solo switches (hops), 9*t*
 - survival mergers, 277–8, 277*f*
 - switching strategies, 266–70
 - types, 8–10, 9*t*, 252*t*, 253*t*, 267–8, 268*f*, 277*f*
 - United States, 83–8, 95–101, 218, 225nn7–8
 - voluntary/involuntary, 9*t*, 10, 14, 19, 21n5, 268
 - See also* parliamentary cycles; party switching; political representation; switching rates
- switching equilibria, 55–79
- activist coalitions, 59*f*
 - electoral competition model, 55–61
 - Israel, 66–73
 - Local Nash Equilibrium (LNE), 55, 57, 61–6
 - party strategies, 7, 15, 73–5, 115*f*, 116*f*, 117*f*, 118*f*
 - stochastic models, 55–7, 61–6
 - United States, 73–5
- switching rates:
- Brazil, 109, 110*t*, 128*f*
 - cross-national comparisons, 10–14, 11*t*, 81–3
 - data availability, 8
 - elections, 17, 210*t*, 212*f*
 - European Parliament (EP), 11*t*, 153*t*, 154–5, 158, 159*f*, 166–9, 167*t*, 169nn2–3
 - independents, 89, 90*t*, 225n8
 - Japan LDP, 250–4, 261n9
 - level of party system
 - institutionalization and, 20, 271–3
 - new parliamentary groups, 221*t*
 - parliamentary cycles, 204–6, 209–15, 210*t*, 212*f*, 217*t*, 222–4
 - presidential systems, 217*t*
 - semi-presidential systems, 217*t*
 - single-member districts (SMDs), 210–11, 213, 216–18, 222, 224, 224n2
 - United States, 4, 11*t*, 73–5, 81, 90*t*, 103, 216–20, 217*t*
- switching types, 8–10
- fission, 8, 9*t*, 220, 222, 267–8, 268*f*, 271–2
 - fusion, 8, 9*t*, 222, 267–8, 268*f*, 271–2, 277
 - party hopping, 8, 9*t*, 267–8, 268*f*, 271–4, 279–81, 280*f*, 282n2
 - start-ups, 8, 9*t*, 21n3, 267, 268*f*, 271, 282nn4,8
 - takeover mergers (fusion), 277*f*, 278–9
- switch period, 183, 194n9
- See also* parliamentary cycles
- Syria, 73
- Tauzin, Billy, 93–4*t*, 96*f*, 97*f*, 98, 99*t*, 101
- taxes vs. spending, 161*t*, 165*t*

- Third Way Party (Israel), 67*f*, 68*f*
 Thurmond, Strom, 75
 totalitarian regimes, 269, 271
 transaction costs, 14–15, 17, 112, 130*t*, 272
 transitional party systems, 276, 280
 Turan, Ilter, 37
 Turkey, 11*t*, 73
 Tzomet Party (Israel), 67*f*
- Ukraine, 11*t*, 12, 82
 underinstitutionalized party systems, 268, 270, 276–81
 unicameral legislatures.
See under legislatures
 Union for a Europe of the Nations (UEN; EP), 150*t*, 159, 162, 165*t*, 169*n*2
 Union of Democrats for the Republic (UDR; Italy), 214, 220
 United Kingdom.
See British House of Commons
 United States Congress:
 affiliation decisions, 81–3, 87–8, 141*n*2
 committee structure, 12, 100, 105*n*12
 compared to EP, 158
 DW-NOMINATE scores, 96*f*, 97*f*
 electoral laws, 206
 independents, 89, 90*t*, 92, 93–4*t*, 98–100, 258*n*8
 legislative terms, 206*t*
 new parliamentary groups, 221*t*, 222
 party unity, 21*n*6, 102–3
 plurality rule, 77
 polarization, 77, 95, 96*f*, 98
 political realignment, 77
 power balance, 7
 presidential elections and, 58–60, 77*n*1, 78*n*9
 switching behavior, 83–8, 95–101, 218, 225*nn*7–8
 switching rates, 4, 11*t*, 73–5, 103, 216–20, 217*t*, 223–4, 272
 voting behavior, 91–103, 91*f*
 United States House of Representatives, 81–108
 Appropriations Committee, 100
 Committee of the Whole, 89, 105*n*12
 effects of party switching, 88–101
 negative agenda control, 35
 party switching, 81–8, 99*f*
 procedural rules, 89, 105*n*7
 Rules Committee, 89, 105*n*12
 switching rates, 81, 89, 90*t*
 voting behavior, 91–101, 93–4*t*
See also amendment votes; procedural votes; roll call votes
 United States Senate, 7, 8, 81
- valence, 55–6, 58–66, 76, 77*n*1
 Virginia, 73–4
 voluntary switches, 9*t*, 10, 46*n*1
 vote pooling, 110
 voters:
 candidate or party choice, 19
 electoral competition models, 56–7, 63
 information levels, 47*nn*7–8
 legislative switching game, 38, 39–40, 39*f*, 41, 42
 legislator/constituent relations, 16, 87, 102–3, 109–10, 119, 176–7, 191, 194*n*3, 287
 party labels, 34–6, 109–10
 party-switching contexts, 15*f*
 policy preferences, 176–7
 vote shares:
 European Parliament (EP), 157, 165*t*

vote shares—*Continued*

expectations, 32, 41, 62–5, 121

maximizing, 56–8, 68–9, 113

proportional representation
(PR), 76

switching impact, 84, 278

Watson, Albert, 93–4*t*, 99*t*, 100

Webb, Jim, 73

winning thresholds, 130*t*, 233,
239–41, 245, 247–50,
261*n*10

Workers' Party (PT; Brazil),
119, 126

Yahadut Party (Israel), 67*f*, 67*t*, 71*f*

Yamamoto, Kentaro, 233–63

Yoshinaka, Antoine, 84