



Türk Fizyoterapi ve Rehabilitasyon Dergisi

2014 25(1)28-34

Sevtap GÜNAY¹
Yücel YILDIRIM²
Didem KARADIBAK³

Geliş Tarihi: 17.07.2013 (Received)
Kabul Tarihi: 12.02.2014(Accepted)

İletişim (Correspondence):

E-mail: yuzbasioglu@deu.edu.tr,
didem.karadibak@gmail.com

¹ Izmir Ataturk Education and Research Hospital Physical Therapy Department, Yesilyurt/Izmir, Turkey

² Cyprus International University Faculty of Health Sciences Department of Physical Therapy & Rehabilitation via Mersin 10 Nicosia / North Cyprus

³ School of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Dokuz Eylül University, Inciraltı/ Izmir, Turkey

RESEARCH ARTICLE

THE EFFECT OF THE MUSCLE ENDURANCE TRAINING ON THE CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To compare two different exercises programs in the treatment of chronic low back pain.

Methods: This study was performed with 63 patients with chronic low back pain. The control group consisted of 32 patients. Classical Strength Exercises (CSE) consisting of stretching and strengthening exercises were applied to the control group. The experimental group consisted of 31 patients. Muscle Endurance Training (MET) consisting of warm-up, endurance exercises and cool down were applied on the experimental group. At the end of the treatment session, a hot-pack was applied to the patients in both of the groups. Postural education and back care advice were also given. Patients attended a therapy program 3 days a week for 6 weeks. The disability, pain and muscle endurance were assessed in the 1st, 3rd and 6th weeks.

Results: The 6th week measurement of disability was lower in the MET group than that of the CSE group ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference in the VAS score and abdominal muscle endurance. In the 3rd and 6th week, back muscle endurance time was significantly higher in the MET group than that of the control group ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Recent studies show that muscle endurance has a great importance in the prevention of Lower Back Pain (LBP). Therefore in the treatment of Chronic Low Back Pain, muscle endurance exercises that increase the level of endurance should be added to the treatment program.

Key words: Endurance training, exercise, fatigue, low back pain

ARAŞTIRMA MAKALESİ

KASSAL DAYANIKLILIK EĞİTİMİNİN BEL AĞRISINA ETKİSİ

Amaç: Kronik bel ağrısının tedavisinde iki farklı egzersiz yöntemini karşılaştırmak.

Yöntem: Bu çalışma, kronik bel ağrısı olan 63 hasta üzerinde gerçekleştirildi. Kontrol grubunu oluşturan (klasik kuvvetlendirme egzersiz programına alınan) 32 hastaya germe ve kuvvetlendirme egzersizlerinden oluşan klasik kuvvetlendirme programı, deney grubunu oluşturan (kassal dayanıklılık egzersiz programına alınan) 31 hastaya ısınma, soğuma ve dayanıklılık egzersizlerinden oluşan kassal dayanıklılık eğitimi uygulandı. Her iki gruptaki hastalara, postür düzgünlük ve günlük yaşam aktivitelerinde dikkat edilecek durumlarla ilgili eğitim verildi ve tedavi seanslarının sonunda sıcak uygulama yapıldı. Hastalar haftada 3 gün olmak üzere 6 hafta boyunca tedaviye alındı. Tedavi öncesi ile tedavinin 3. ve 6. haftalarında yetmezlik, ağrı ve dayanıklılık ölçümleri yapıldı.

Sonuç: 3. ve 6. hafta elde edilen dayanıklılık sonuçları, kassal dayanıklılık eğitimi grubunda klasik kuvvetlendirme egzersiz grubuna oranla daha düşük bulundu ($p < 0.05$). Abdominal kas dayanıklılığında ve görsel analog skalası değerlerinde her iki grup arasında fark bulunmamıştır ($p > 0.05$). 3. ve 6. haftada sırt kaslarının dayanıklılık süreleri kontrol grubuna oranla deney grubunda anlamlı olarak yüksek bulunmuştur ($p < 0.05$).

Tartışma: Güncel çalışmalar kassal dayanıklılığın, kronik bel ağrısının önlenmesinde önemli olduğunu göstermiştir. Bu nedenle kronik bel ağrısının tedavisinde kasın dayanıklılık seviyesini arttıran dayanıklılık egzersizleri tedavi programına eklenmelidir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Dayanıklılık eğitimi, egzersiz, yorgunluk, bel ağrısı

INTRODUCTION

Low Back Pain (LBP) is one of the most common cumulative trauma disorders encountered in industry (1,2). 50% - 90% of adults will have low back pain at some time in their lives. The patients with low back pain have restrictions in their ability to perform routine activities of their daily lives (3-9). Low Back Pain sustained for more than 12 weeks is called Chronic Low Back Pain (CLBP) (10-12). Chronic Low Back Pain induces various problems, including physical, psychosocial, and quality of life features. CLBP reduces muscle strength, endurance, flexibility, and balance ability (13-15). In the treatment of CLBP, there is a large quantity of published research on the exercises used (16,17). The aim of these exercise programs is to decrease pain, strengthen weak muscles, and improve posture & trunk mobility (16,18,19). However, researches showed that the incidence of LBP is increased in industrial countries and only having good trunk muscle strength is inadequate in the prevention of LBP (16,20).

Muscle endurance is important in preventing LBP (21-24). Endurance is mechanically defined as either the point of isometric fatigue, where the contraction can no longer be maintained at a certain level or as the point of dynamic fatigue, when repetitive work can no longer be sustained at a certain force level. Fatigue may decrease the muscular support of the spine and may lead to an increase of strain on the passive structures. Poor endurance of the trunk muscles may lead to muscle fatigue in repetitive, long time loading and may cause a decrease in the muscle response to loads. Therefore, muscle fatigue increases the risk of back injuries (21-24).

In order to prevent and treat these injuries and reduce the recurrence risk, it is important to increase muscle endurance through proper physical training and thus help the muscle resist loadings more efficiently. The main point in endurance training is to increase muscle strength as well as muscle endurance and reduce the recurrence risk of injury of intervertebral discs, facet joints and surrounding structures to minimum, by continuing the musculo-ligamentous control (22).

In the literature, there are many studies which investigate muscle endurance levels and the effect

of fatigue in patients with CLBP. However, there are few studies which focus on the effect of endurance training programs on LBP. The aim of this study was to assess the effects of strengthening exercises and endurance training programs in the treatment of chronic low back pain. Hypothesis of the study was that endurance enhancing exercises should be more effective than conventional strengthening exercises in the reduction of disability in the treatment of chronic low back pain.

Methods

Sixty-three patients who were treated in Izmir Ataturk Education and Research Hospital, Physical Therapy Department for CLBP were involved in the present study. The present study was performed with the approval of the local ethics committee. Required explanations were made and written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The inclusion criteria: 1) The ages between 20-55 years, 2) The presence of low back pain as a primary complaint, 3) The onset of pain was three months. The exclusion criteria if had: 1) Tumors, infection or inflammatory diseases affecting the spine, 2) Spinal or lower limb surgery, 3) Spinal fractures or structural deformities such as spinal stenosis, spondylolisthesis and spondylolysis, 4) Signs of nerve root compression 5) Any contraindications for exercise therapy (25-27).

Study Design: This was a randomized controlled study. Patients were randomly divided into two groups using cards in unmarked envelopes, to receive either Muscle Endurance Training (MET) or Classical Strength Exercises (CSE). The patients were randomly allocated into three groups by the second author who was blinded in measurements and assessments. Additionally, the patients were blind to the intervention.

Interventions: Thirty-two patients in the control group were treated with CSE program including stretching exercises (lumbar extensor muscles, iliopsoas muscles, hamstring muscles, gastrocnemius muscles and pectoral muscles) and strengthening exercises (rectus abdominus crunch, oblique crunch, pelvic elevation, single leg pelvic elevation, lumbar spine extension, on hands and knees position with the raise of one leg, on hands and knees position with the raise of opposite arm and leg). Each exercise was repeated 10 times (28).

Thirty-one patients in the experimental group were treated with MET program including warm up, endurance and cool down exercises. The warm up and cool down period consisted of 5-minute walking, and 10 repetitions of stretching exercises. Endurance exercises consisted of 4 levels. The first level consisted of bilateral shoulder lifts in prone position, the second level consisted of contralateral arm and leg lifts in prone position and the third level consisted of placement of both hands behind the head and bilateral shoulder lifts in prone position. The fourth level consisted of bilateral shoulder lifts with arms fully elevated in prone position. The exercise position was sustained for 10 seconds. If pain was aggravated, the exercise position was continued for only 5 seconds, and it was gradually increased to 10 seconds. After 10 repetitions, the subjects were instructed to rest for about 30 seconds. The rest interval was 1 minute for every 50 repetitions until 300 repetitions were completed. Exercises were performed in 6 cycles consisting of 5 sets with 10 repetitions (22).

At the end of the treatment sessions, hot-pack was applied to relieve discomfort in the lower back in both groups. Postural education and low back care advice were also given. Patients underwent rehabilitation program 3 days per a week during the 6 weeks. Disability, pain and muscle endurance were

assessed before treatment and in the 3rd and 6th weeks.

Assessments: Demographic characteristics of the patients (age, BMI, sex, smoking, sport, complaint duration, employment) were collected.

Disability: Disability in daily activity was assessed using the Oswestry disability index (ODI) (26,29,30). The Oswestry disability index, which has been in use since 1980, is a 10-item self-administered questionnaire based upon a scale numbered from 1 to 6 that aims to understand how a patients' back pain has affected everyday life. The sum of the 10 items is transformed into a percentage of maximum score, ranging from 0-100 (26,29,30). Turkish version of the Oswestry disability index was used in the present study (29).

Pain: VAS was used for pain perception. VAS is typically represented as a horizontal line in 100 mm length anchored at each end by a verbal descriptor such as 'no pain' and 'worst pain' ever experienced. "0 point" indicated "no pain" and "100 point" indicated "worst pain". Patients are asked to place a vertical mark along the horizontal line indicating their current degree of pain (26, 31). Pain intensity at rest and activity were examined.

Endurance: Trunk extensors: Muscle endurance was measured using the Sorensen test and the Kra-

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

	MET(n=31) Mean ± SD	CSE(n=32) Mean ± SD	P
Mean age(year)	39.16 ± 7.36	40.22 ± 7.95	0.58
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.24 ± 4.46	25.47 ± 3.88	0.82
Mean complaint time (month)	23.52 ± 24.11	17.22 ± 19.07	0.25
	N(%)	N(%)	
Gender			
Male	12.90	18.75	0.52
Female	87.10	81.25	
Job			
Employee	3.23	12.5	0.35
Unemployed	77.42	65.63	
Bureaucracy	19.35	21.87	
Sport			
Sportsman	25.8	37.5	0.31
Unsportsman	74.19	62.5	
Smoking			
Smoker	19.35	6.25	0.11
Unsmoker	80.65	93.75	

Statistically significant p < 0.05.

MET: Muscle Endurance Training, CSE: Classical Strength Exercises

Table 2. Oswestry and VAS score of MET and CSE groups

	MET(n=31) Mean ± SD	CSE(n=32) Mean ± SD	p
Oswestry			
1 st week	32.42±6.49	33.59±6,28	0.46
3 rd week	22.77±5.33	25.66±5,92	0.04
6 th week	18.29±5.21	21.09±5,79	0.04
VAS			
1 st week	5.5±81.36	5.4±10,95	0.55
3 rd week	3.5±51.09	3.75±0,98	0.44
6 th week	2.26±1.12	2.56±1,01	0.26

Statistically significant $p < 0.05$.

MET: Muscle Endurance Training, CSE: Classical Strength Exercises

use-Weber test. The capability of the trunk extensors' sustaining the antigravity position was evaluated using the Sorensen test. The patient lay prone with the pelvis at the end of the table. The patient maintained his/her body in the horizontal position for as long as patient can tolerate that position. Before performing the re-test, 10-15 minutes rest was given. Maximum value was taken for assessment (32-35). Abdominals: The Krause-Weber test evaluated abdominal muscle endurance and consisted of three sub-tests: (1) The patient was asked to place both of his/her hands on the contralateral shoulders and lift his/her trunk 25 degrees up from the floor. (2) The patient was asked to lift both of his/her fully extended legs 25 degrees up from the floor. (3) The patient was asked to lie on his/her back with knees bent and lift his/her trunk 25 degrees up from the floor. The patient maintained his/her body in the horizontal position for as long as patient can tolerate that position. Before performing the re-test, 10-15 minutes rest was given. Maximum value was taken for assessment (32).

Statistical analysis: All data analysis was performed with the SPSS®, version 15.0. Descriptive statistics were run for all continuous data to obtain means, standard deviations and ranges. Frequencies and percentages were run for nominal variables. The differences between the two groups were analyzed using independent samples t-test and chi-square test. Statistical significance was $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the patients were presented in Table 1. There was no significant

difference between the two groups. 77 patients were invited to participate in the present study. Six patients in the experimental group and 8 in the control group withdrew from the study because they would not be able to complete the treatment program. Sixty-three of the 77 patients completed the original study.

There were no differences between the two groups in the ODI in the measurements performed before treatment ($p > 0.05$) while the disability score was significantly lower in the MET group when compared to the CSE group in the measurements performed in the 3rd and 6th weeks ($p < 0.05$), (Table 2).

In both groups, VAS scores showed a significant decrease in the measurements performed before treatment, in the 3rd and 6th weeks ($p < 0.05$). When the two groups compare there were no significant differences in the VAS score amongst the two groups ($p > 0.05$), (Table 2).

There was no significant difference between the two groups in abdominal muscle endurance in the second and third Krause-Weber tests ($p > 0.05$) while there was significant difference in the first Krause-Weber test between the two groups ($p < 0.05$). When the two groups were compared of the endurance of the back muscles, no significant difference was found in the before treatment ($p > 0.05$) while in the 3rd and 6th weeks, the endurance of the back muscles were significantly higher in the MET group than that of the CSE group ($p < 0.05$), (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The principal finding of the present study was that back muscle endurance time was significantly high-

Table 3. Sorenson and Krause-Weber Tests

	MET(n=31) Mean ± SD	CSE(n=32) Mean ± SD	p
Sorenson			
1 st week	49.13±21.92	46.6±23.31	0.66
3 rd week	83.61±26.31	66.09±29.46	0.01*
6 th week	98.33±30.11	77.03±29.81	0.01*
KW1			
1 st week	40.27±32.45	34.30±21.61	0.39
3 rd week	68.26±40.68	47.95±30.55	0.03*
6 th week	85.25±45.19	57.40±30.57	0.01*
KW2			
1 st week	23.49±19.04	23.85±18.20	0.94
3 rd week	38.93±23.29	30.66±18.41	0.12
6 th week	47.66±30.03	36.86±19.53	0.09
KW3			
1 st week	37.30±29.91	32.65±19.88	0.46
3 rd week	57.75±39.29	44.34±25.55	0.11
6 th week	67.32±41.65	51.40±26.76	0.07

Statistically significant $p < 0.05$.

MET: Muscle Endurance Training, CSE: Classical Strength Exercises, KW: Krause-Weber

her in the muscle endurance group than the control group at the 3rd and 6th week of the treatment. There was no significant difference in the VAS score and abdominal muscle endurance between the groups.

CLBP increases disability rate, and reduces individuals' quality of lives limiting the activities of their everyday lives. One of the aims of treatment is to reduce disability rate and increase their quality of lives reducing their pain and thus increasing their activities. Studies performed on this subject have shown that pain and disability rates reduce in patients with CLBP through exercise programs carried out.

Velde et al. evaluated the effects of six-week exercise in patients with CLBP. At the end of six weeks, the scores showed a statistically significant decrease (23). Barker et al used the ODI and evaluated the effects of regular exercises on the disability of the patients with CLBP who had received hydrotherapy. No significant differences were found in the disability scores during the resting end exercising periods (36). Sung PS carried out a study to determine the effects of the exercises on muscle endurance and functional status. All patients were assessed using the ODI. As a result, the Oswestry score improved significantly from pre to post treatment phases (37). In many studies conducted,

different forms of exercises used in the treatment of low back pain were shown to reduce disability. There still is no definite opinion about which form of exercise is more effective. In this study, we found that the Oswestry score significantly decreased in the MET group in comparison with the CSE group. Disability score was found to be lower in the MET group. These results might be interpreted that endurance training program improves muscle endurance, elevates the fatigue threshold of the muscles and increases durability of the muscles responding to loading. The reason of the difference in disability is thought to be because of the endurance levels. The endurance levels increase with exercise and this leads to an increase the durability of muscles against loading.

The important role of the exercise training in reducing pain in treatment of CLBP is well-known (23,36,38). The results of the present study were parallel the current literature. The change in pain severity using VAS before treatment and in the 3rd and 6th weeks was evaluated and reduction of pain was found to be significant in both groups.

Back muscle tissue can be affected the direct and indirect injury which is aggravated by muscle fatigue. Muscle fatigue causes decreased the muscular support of the spine and also increased mechanical stress of the functional components of the spinal

colon. External loads are transmitted much easier to the soft tissue of the spine when paraspinal musculature loses its ability to generate tension as a result of fatigue. Muscle fatigue can impair motor coordination and control (18). As a result, injury to the spine may occur due to unexpected load or position of the joint. Studies showed that endurance exercise programs given to individuals both elevate the endurance level and increase the resisting time of the muscles.

The studies showed that endurance training of the trunk muscles should improve endurance level, elevate the fatigue threshold, and reduce disability & incidence of LBP (21,38-40). Chock et al evaluated the effects of trunk extensor endurance training on pain and disability. Their study indicated that endurance training of trunk extensors reduced pain and disability after 3 weeks of exercise program (22). In the present study, the endurance time of abdominal and back muscles was also evaluated. At the end of the 6th week, back muscle endurance was significantly higher in the experimental group. There was no significant difference between the two groups in abdominal muscle endurance.

The prime limitation of the present study was that, although 85% of the patients were sedentary, 15% of were sportsmen. Effects of the MET program in professional athletes should be evaluated. Second limitation was isokinetic measurement is required to evaluate muscle endurance of the patients.

In conclusion, the present study showed that trunk endurance training program should increase endurance time while strength exercise program does not any effect on the endurance time in patients with CLBP patients. The muscle endurance levels can be increased with the use of endurance enhancing exercises. In this way, the ability of the muscle to respond to a sudden, recurrent and longtime load may increase. Therefore, endurance training may help to reduce the risk of low back pain and disability.

Conflict of interest statement: All authors have no conflicts of interest with respect to the data collected and procedures used within this study.

Ethical statement: The authors confirm this study meets the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki

and after local ethical approval all subjects provided written informed consent.

Funding: Authors declare that they have no sponsor in the study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Notarnicola A, Fischetti F, Maccagnano G, Comes R, Tafuri S, Moretti B. Daily pilates exercises or inactivity for patients with low back pain: a clinical prospective observational study. *Eur J Phys Rehab Med.* 2014;50(1):59-66.
2. Park SD, Yu SH. The effects of abdominal draw-in maneuver and core exercise on abdominal muscle thickness and Oswestry disability index in subjects with chronic low back pain. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2013;9(2):286-91.
3. Jellema P, van Tulder MW, van Poppel MN, Nachemson AL, Bouter LM. Lumbar supports for prevention and treatment of low back pain: a systematic review within the framework of the Cochrane Back Review Group. *Spine.* 2001;26:377-86.
4. Evans DD, Carter M, Panico R, Kimble L, Morlock JT, Spears MJ. Characteristics and predictors of short-term outcomes in individuals self-selecting yoga or physical therapy for treatment of chronic low back pain. *PM&R.* 2010;2(11):1006-15.
5. Balthazard P, Goumoens P, Gilles R, Demeulenaere P, Ballabeni P, Deriaz O. Manual therapy followed by specific active exercises versus a placebo followed by specific active exercises on the improvement of functional disability in patients with chronic non specific low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2012;13:162-74.
6. Sung PS. Disability and back muscle fatigability changes following two therapeutic exercise interventions in participants with recurrent low back pain. *Med Sci Monit.* 2013;14:40-8.
7. Champagne A, Descarreaux M, Lafond D. Back and hip extensor muscles fatigue in healthy subjects: task-dependency effect of two variants of the Sorensen test. *Eur Spine J.* 2008;17(12):1721-6.
8. Schenkman ML, Jordan S, Akuthota V, Roman M, Kohrt WM, Hearty T, et al. Functional movement training for recurrent low back pain: lessons from a pilot randomized controlled trial. *PM&R.* 2009;1(2):137-46.
9. Heydari A, Nargol AVF, Jones APC, Humphrey AR, Greenough CG. EMG analysis of lumbar paraspinal muscles as a predictor of the risk of low-back pain. *Eur Spine J.* 2010;19:1145-52.
10. Hwang JA, Bae SH, Kim GD, Kim KY. The effects of sensorimotor training on anticipatory postural adjustment of the trunk in chronic low back pain patients. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(9):1189-92.
11. Hofmann J, Peters S, Geidl W, Hentschke C, Pfeifer K. Effects of behavioral exercise therapy on the effectiveness of a multidisciplinary rehabilitation for chronic non-specific low back pain: Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2013;11(14):89.
12. Kim HJ, Kim YE, Bae SH, Kim KY. The effect of the neurac sling exercise on postural balance adjustment and muscular response patterns in chronic low back pain patients. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(8):1015-9.
13. Park JH, Lee SH, Ko SD. The effects of the Nintendo Wii exercise program on chronic work-related low back pain in industrial workers. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(8):985-8.

14. Pincus T, Santos R, Breen A, Burton AK, Underwood M. A review and proposal for a core set of factors for prospective cohorts in low back pain: a consensus statement. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59(1):14-24.
15. Licciardone JC, Gatchel RJ, Kearns CM, Minotti DE. Depression, somatization, and somatic dysfunction in patients with nonspecific chronic low back pain: results from the Osteopathic Trial. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2012;112(12):783-91.
16. F. Steiger F, Wirth B, de Bruin ED, Mannion AF. Is a positive clinical outcome after exercise therapy for chronic non-specific low back pain contingent upon a corresponding improvement in the targeted aspect(s) of performance? A systematic review. *Eur Spine J.* 2012;21(4):575-98.
17. Huang Q, Li D, Yokotsuka N, Zhang Y, Ubukata H, Huo M, et al. The intervention effects of different treatment for chronic low back pain as assessed by the cross-sectional area of the multifidus muscle. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(7):811-3.
18. D'orazio B. In search of the perfect treatment. In: Darling D, Butterworth-Heinmann. *Rehabilitation. USA, Andover medical publishers.*1993;32-71.
19. Larivière C, da Silva RA, Arsenault AB, Nadeau S, Plamondon A, Vadeboncoeur R. Specificity of a back muscle exercise machine in healthy and low back pain subjects. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2010;42(3):592-9.
20. Sinaki M, Mokri B. Low back pain and disorders of the lumbar spine. In: Braddom RL, Buschbacher RM, Dumitru D, Johnson EW, Matthews D, Sinaki M, editors. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation.* 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company Publishing. 1996;813-50.
21. Thomas K, Lee RYW. Fatigue of abdominal and paraspinal muscles during sustained loading of the trunk in the coronal plane. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2000;81(7):916-20.
22. Chok B, Lee R, Latimer J, Tan SB. Endurance training of trunk extensor muscles in people with subacute low back pain. *Phys Ther.* 1999;79(11):1032-42.
23. Velde G, Mierau D. The effect of exercise on percentile rank aerobic capacity, pain and self-rated disability in patients with chronic low back pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2000;81(11):1457-63.
24. Arokoski JP, Valta T, Airaksinen O, Kankaanpää M. Back and abdominal muscle function during stabilization exercise. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2001;82(8):1089-98.
25. Yoon JS, Lee JH, Kim JS. The effect of swiss ball stabilization exercise on pain and bone mineral density of patients with chronic low back pain. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25:953-6.
26. Chung S, Lee J, Yoon J. Effects of stabilization exercise using a ball on multifidus cross-sectional area in patients with chronic low back pain. *J Sport Sci Med.* 2013;12(3):533-41.
27. Hwang JA, Bae SH, Do Kim G, Kim KY. The effects of sensorimotor training on anticipatory postural adjustment of the trunk in chronic low back pain patients. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(9):1189-92.
28. Moon HJ, Choi KH, Kim DH, Kim HJ, Cho YK, Lee KH, et al. Effect of lumbar stabilization and dynamic lumbar strengthening exercises in patients with chronic low back pain. *Ann Rehabil Med.* 2013;37(1):110-7.
29. Yakut E, Düger T, Oksüz C, Yörükan S, Ureten K, Turan D, et al. Validation of Turkish version of the Oswestry Disability Index for patient with low back pain. *Spine.* 2004;29(5):581-5.
30. Brooks C, Siegler JC, Cheema BS, Marshall PW. No relationship between body mass index and changes in pain and disability after exercise rehabilitation for patients with mild to moderate chronic low back pain. *Spine.* 2013;38(25):2190-5.
31. Taddio A, O'Brien L, Ipp M, Stephens D, Goldbach M, Koren G. Reliability and validity of observer ratings of pain using the visual analog scale (VAS) in infants undergoing immunization injections. *Pain.* 2009;147(1-3):141-6.
32. Ito T, Shirado O, Suzuki H, Takahashi M, Kaneda K, Strax TE. Lumbar trunk muscle endurance testing: inexpensive alternative to machine for evaluation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1996;77(1):75-9.
33. Keller A, Hellesnes J, Brox JI. Reliability of the isokinetic trunk extensor test, boering-sorensen test and Astrand Bicycle test. *Spine.* 2001;26(7):771-7.
34. McKeon MD, Albert WJ, Neary JP. Assessment of neuromuscular and haemodynamic activity in individuals with and without chronic low back pain. *Dyn Med.* 2006;5:6.
35. Mayer JM, Nuzzo JL, Chen R, Quillen WS, Verna JL, Miro R, et al. The impact of obesity on back and core muscular endurance in firefighters. *J Obes.* 2012;1-7. DOI:10.1155/2012/729283.
36. Barker KL, Dawes H, Hansford P, Shamley D. Perceived and measured levels of exertion of patients with chronic back pain exercising in a hydrotherapy pool. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2003;84(9):1319-23.
37. Sung PS. Multifidi muscles median frequency before and after spinal stabilization exercises. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2003;84(9):1313-8.
38. Oldervoll LK, Zwart JA, Suebuk S. Comparison of two physical exercises programs for the early intervention of pain in the neck, shoulders and lower back in female hospital staff. *J Rehabil Med.* 2001;33(4):156-61.
39. Moffroid MT, Haugh LD, Haig AJ, Henry SM, Pope MH. Endurance training of Trunk extensor muscles. *Phys Ther.*1993;73(1):3-10.
40. Smeets RJ, Wade D, Hidding A, Van Leeuwen PJ, Vlaeyen JW, Knottnerus JA. The association of physical deconditioning and chronic low back pain: a hypothesis-oriented systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2006; 28(11):673-93.