# Prevalence of diabetic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

Khaled A. Elhefnawy, Ahmed Maher Elsayed

Nephrology Unit, Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

Correspondence to Khaled A. Elhefnawy, MD, Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Postal Code: 44519, Mob: +20100779790; Egypt. e-mail: kelhefnawy@gmail.com

Received 10 December 2018 Accepted 6 February 2019

The Egyptian Journal of Internal Medicine 2019, 31:149–154

#### Introduction

The increased prevalence of diabetes has led to an increase in its vascular complications such as coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetic nephropathy (DN), and end-stage renal disease. The growing epidemic of type 2 diabetes led to increased incidence of DN. DN is characterized by proteinuria.

#### Objective

The aim of the study was to estimate the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

#### Patients an methods

This study included 151 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. After fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria, all patients were submitted to these investigations: complete blood count, fasting blood glucose, and glycosylated hemoglobin, blood urea, serum creatinine, serum uric acid, calculation of estimated glomerular filtration rate using modification of diet in renal disease equation, lipid profile, serum albumin, urine analysis, and urinary albumin creatinine ratio.

# Results

Of the patients, 60.3% have normoalbuminuria, 31.8% have microalbuminuria, and 7.9% have macroalbuminuria. Regarding glomerular filtration rate grades, 25.8% of the patients are of G1, 31.8% G2, 16.6% G3a, 16.6% G3b, 6.6% G4, and 2.6% are of G5. There is a significant increase in grading in patients with macroalbuminuria; also, most normoalbuminuric patients are G1 and G2. Of the studied patients, 53.6% are at low risk of chronic kidney disease progression, 9.9% are at moderate risk, and 36.4% are at high risk.

#### Conclusion

The prevalence of DN is increasing, partly due to the growing epidemic of type 2 diabetes so we have to detect it as early as possible to apply the proper measures to prevent or delay its progression.

#### Keywords:

diabetic kidney disease, prevalence, type 2 diabetes mellitus

Egypt J Intern Med 31:149–154 © 2019 The Egyptian Journal of Internal Medicine 1110-7782

# Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the leading causes of chronic kidney disease (CKD) which is associated with increased morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) has also become the main leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and cardiovascular mortality. In such patients this condition appears after many years of diabetes onset [2]. Despite all pharmacologic therapies available for DN treatment, many patients develop kidney damage. DN affects about one-third of the patients with type1 DM and 25% of patients with type 2 DM [3]. Various postulated mechanisms for DN are hyperglycemia (causing hyperfiltration and renal injury), advanced glycation end products, and activation of cytokines [4].

The overall prevalence of microalbuminuria (MA) and macroalbuminuria in both types of diabetes is  $\sim$ 30–35%. Both MA and macroalbuminuria increase

mortality from any cause in DM [5]. MA independently predicts cardiovascular morbidity and was found to be associated with increased risk of coronary and peripheral vascular disease and death from cardiovascular disease in the general nondiabetic population [5].

# Patients and methods

# Study design and population

This observational study was approved by the local Institutional Ethics Committee and conformed to the Helsinki Declaration and carried out on type 2 diabetic patients who were attending the specialized diabetes and nephrology clinics of Internal Medicine

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Department, Zagazig University Hospitals from January 2018 to June 2018. It included a total number of 151 patients with type 2 DM, 88 are men and 63 are women. Informed written consents were obtained from the patients. Inclusion criteria: type 2 DM of more than 10 years and age more than 40 years old at onset of DM. Exclusion criteria: dependence on insulin therapy or type 1 DM, current urinary tract infection, history of CKD before the onset of diabetes, known malignancy, known hereditary disease, systemic disease (other than DM) known to cause CKD, patients under medications affecting kidney function and urinary protein excretion, recent attack of acute kidney injury during the last 6 months and obesity.

#### Physical examination and measurements

All patients were submitted to full history taking, clinical examination, and these investigations including: complete blood count, fasting blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), blood urea, serum creatinine, serum uric acid, calculation of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using modification of diet in renal disease equation: eGFR (ml/min/ $1.73 \text{ m}^2$ )=175×(serum creatinine)<sup>-1.154</sup> ×(age)<sup>-0.203</sup> ×(0.742 if female) [6], lipid profile, serum albumin, urine analysis, urinary albumin to creatinine ratio, and pelvic abdominal ultrasound.

### Statistical analysis

All data were collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed using SPSS 20.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) & MedCalc 13 for windows (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Qualitative data were represented as frequencies and relative percentages.  $\chi^2$  test was used to calculate the difference between qualitative variables. Quantitative data were expressed as mean±SD. Analysis of variance F test was used to calculate the difference between quantitative variables in more than two groups in normally distributed data. The significance level for all the above-mentioned statistical tests was done. The threshold of significance is fixed at 5% level (Pvalue). A P value of more than 0.05 indicates nonsignificant results; P value of less than 0.05 indicates significant results; and P value of less than 0.01 indicates highly significant results.

# Results

Our results show that 28.5% of the studied patients have abnormal ultrasound findings, 45.7% have diabetic retinopathy, 30.5% have abnormal urine analysis, and 28.5% of the studied patients had hypertension. It was also shown that 60.3% of the patients have normoalbuminuria, 31.8% have MA, and 7.9% have macroalbuminuria. Regarding GFR stages, 25.8% of the patients are of G1, 31.8% G2, 16.6% G3a, 16.6% G3b, 6.6% G4, and 2.6% are of G5 (Table 1). There is a statistically significant increase in grading in patients having macroalbuminuria; also most normoalbuminuric patients are of G1 and G2 (Table 2). In our study, 53.6% of the studied patients are at low risk of CKD, 9.9% are at moderate risk, and 36.4% are at high risk (Table 3) and the degree of risk on the studied patients was classified according to KDIGO 2012 [7] (Fig. 1). There is a statistically significant increase in the prevalence of hypertension, abnormal ultrasound, fundus and urine findings among highrisk cases compared with low and moderate cases and there is a statistically significant increase in systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and mean arterial blood pressure among moderate and high-risk cases compared with low risk cases (Table 4). There is a statistically significant increase in the levels of fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, serum triglycerides, blood urea, serum creatinine, urinary albumin to creatinine ratio, and GFR among high-risk cases compared with moderate and high cases; also there is a statistically significant decrease in the levels of serum albumin among high-risk cases (Table 5).

Table 1 Different parameters and investigations among the studied patients

Variables	n (%) (N=151)
US	
Normal	108 (71.5)
Changes in kidney size	43 (28.5)
Fundus	
Normal	82 (54.3)
Established diabetic retinopathy	69 (45.7)
Urine analysis	
Normal	105 (69.5)
Abnormal	46 (30.5)
HTN	
No	108 (71.5)
Yes	43 (28.5)
Albuminuria category	
Normoalbuminuria (UACR < 30 mg/g)	9 (60.3)
Microalbuminuria (UACR 30–299 mg/g)	48 (31.8)
Macroalbuminuria (UACR≥300 mg/g)	12 (7.9)
GFR grade	
G1 (GFR≥90 ml/min)	39 (25.8)
G2 (GFR 60–89 ml/min)	48 (31.8)
G3a (GFR 59–45 ml/min)	25 (16.6)
G3b (GFR 30–44 ml/min)	25 (16.6)
G4 (GFR 15–29 ml/min)	10 (6.6)
G5 (GFR <15 ml/min)	4 (2.6)

GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HTN, hypertension; UACR, urinary albumin to creatinine ratio; US, ultrasound.

Albuminuria category GFR   Normoalbuminuria $(N=91)$ [n   Mic     grade   (%)]     G1 (GFR $\geq 90$ ml/min)   36 (39.6)     G2 (GFR 60-89 ml/min)   45 (49.5)     G3a (GFR 59-45 ml/min)   9 (9.9)     G3b (GFR 30-44 ml/min)   1 (1.1)	croalbuminuria ( <i>N</i> =48) [ <i>n</i> (%)] 3 (6.2) 3 (6.2)	Macroalbuminuria (N=12) [n (%)] 0 (0) 0 (0)	χ <sup>2</sup> 176.7	P <0.001
G2 (GFR 60-89 ml/min) 45 (49.5)   G3a (GFR 59-45 ml/min) 9 (9.9)	3 (6.2)		176.7	<0.001
G3a (GFR 59–45 ml/min) 9 (9.9)	( )	0 (0)	176.7	< 0.001
G3b (GEB 30_44 ml/min) 1 (1 1)	16 (33.3)	0 (0)		
	22 (45.8)	2 (16.7)		
G4 (GFR 15–29 ml/min) 0 (0)	4 (8.3)	6 (50)		
G5 (GFR <15 ml/min) 0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (33.3)		

GFR, glomerular filtration rate. P value less than 0.05 is significant.

### Table 3 Risk of chronic kidney disease progression among the studied patients

Variables (risk)	Classification according to results	n (%) (N=151	
Low risk	G1A1, G2A1	81 (53.6)	
Moderate risk	G1A2, G2A2, G3aA1	15 (9.9)	
High risk	G3aA2 an A3	55 (36.4)	
	G3bA1, A2 and A3		
	G4A2 and A3		
	G5A3		

Figure 1

				Albuminuria categories Description and range			
Prognosis of CKD by GFR			A1	A2	A3		
and Albuminuria Categories		Normal to mildly increased	Moderately increased	Severely increased			
		<30 mg/g <3 mg/mmol	30-299 mg/g 3-29 mg/mmol	≥300 mg/g ≥30 mg/mmo			
GFR categories (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> Description and range	<b>G1</b>	Normal or high	≥90				
	G2	Mildly decreased	60-90				
	G3a	Mildly to moderately decreased	45-59				
	G3b	Moderately to severely decreased	30-44				
	G4	Severely decreased	15-29				
	G5	Kidney failure	<15				

Progression of CKD by GFR and albuminuria categories. CKD, chronic kidney disease; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

Variables (risk)	Low (N=81) [n (%)]	Moderate (N=15) [n (%)]	High (N=55) [n (%)]	$\chi^2$	Р
Sex					
Male	49 (60.5)	6 (40)	33 (60)	2.29	0.32
Female	32 (39.5)	9 (60)	22 (40)		
HTN					
No	68 (84)	11 (73.3)	29 (52.7)	15.71	< 0.001
Yes	13 (16)	4 (26.7)	26 (47.3)		
US					
Normal	67 (82.7)	11 (73.3)	30 (54.5)	12.79	0.002
Change in kidney size	14 (17.3)	4 (26.7)	25 (45.5)		
Fundus					
Normal	53 (65.4)	7 (46.7)	22 (40)	8.9	0.01
Established diabetic retinopathy	28 (34.6)	8 (53.3)	33 (60)		
Urine analysis					
Normal	66 (81.5)	12 (80)	27 (49.1)	17.09	< 0.001
Abnormal	15 (18.5)	3 (20)	28 (50.9)		
				F	Р
Age (years) (mean±SD)	55.07±5.72	52.53±5.89	54.29±6.97	1.12	0.33
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (mean±SD)	25.47±1.95	25.98±2.58	26.04±2.73	1.08	0.34
SBP (mmHg) (mean±SD)	130.56±11.59	136.67±10.29	136.36±11.76	4.87	0.009
DBP (mmHg) (mean±SD)	79.75±8.66	83.33±9.94	84.91±10.39	5.05	0.008
MABP (mmHg) (mean±SD)	96.7±8.4	101.77±11.03	102.04±9.93	6.14	0.003

Table 4 Comparison between risk of chronic kidney disease and different findings among the studied patients

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HTN, hypertension; MABP, mean arterial blood pressure; SBP; systolic blood pressure; US, ultrasound. *P* value less than 0.05 is significant.

Table 5 Comparison between risk of chronic kidney disease and some laboratory investigations among the studied patients
---

Variables (risk)	Low (n=81)	Moderate (n=15)	High ( <i>n</i> =55)	F	Р
Hb (g/dl) (mean±SD)	11.93±1.24	11.65±0.97	11.81±1.37	0.35	0.7
FBG (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	152.6±53.71	156.95±62.19	171.2±87.11	3.67	0.04
HbA1c (%) (mean±SD)	6.56±0.97	6.86±1.08	7.17±0.96	6.3	0.002
Cholesterol (mg dl) (mean±SD)	193.02±42.42	204.4±55.53	196.9±48.68	0.42	0.66
TG (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	156.52±58.62	175.2±44.18	189.14±64.3	3.27	0.04
Albumin (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	4.36±0.5	4.17±0.46	4.11±0.38	5.11	0.007
Urea (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	46.84±32.14	44.8±16.24	55.68±29.77	3.65	0.04
S.Cr (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	1.39±0.82	1.37±0.53	1.71±0.9	3.71	0.04
Uric acid (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	6.32±1.45	6.45±1.16	6.59±1.71	0.51	0.6
UACR (mg/g) (mean±SD)	74.36±150.72	36.28±39.06	104.68±149.95	4.56	0.01
GFR (ml/min) (mean±SD)	70.67±25.52	57.53±21.06	57.89±27.38	4.65	0.01

FBG, fasting blood glucose; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; S.cr, serum creatinine; TG, triglycerides; UACR, urinary albumin to creatinine ratio. *P* value less than 0.05 is significant.

# Discussion

Type 2 DM is a public health concern worldwide and an important cause of morbidity and mortality. Type 2 DM is associated with microvascular and complications. DN, which macrovascular is characterized by proteinuria, is one of the most serious long-term microvascular complications of DM. The proportion of DN is increasing worldwide. DN is the leading cause of CKDs and ESRD [8].

Type 2 DM is a progressive disease whose prevalence also increases with age, thus exposing the patients to an increased risk of long-term diabetic complications, including diabetic kidney disease [9]. Without any intervention in type 2 diabetic patients, 20-40% with MA progress to manifested nephropathy after 20 years from the onset of diabetes; ~20% develop ESRD [10].

It is also known that DN is mostly characterized by increased urinary albumin excretion and loss of renal function. Increased urinary albumin (proteinuria) is a key component of this disease [11]. A systematic determination of urinary albumin to creatinine ratio and eGFR may contribute to an early diagnosis, thus allowing intervention during the initial stages of the disease when treatment is more efficient [11]. So, the aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease in patients with type 2 DM attending our outpatient clinics for regular follow-up visits; therefore early identification of DN would allow immediate intervention, thus diminishing the progression of renal disease and cardiovascular risk.

Our results show that 31.8% of patients had MA and 7.9% had macroalbuminuria. These findings are in agreement with that of another study which reported that in type 2 diabetic patients, the overall prevalence of MA and macroalbuminuria was 34.2 and 12.8%, respectively [12].

prevalence of MA In Bahrain, the and macroalbuminuria among type 2 diabetic patients were 22 and 5.8%, respectively [13]. Overall, between June 2006 and May 2007 in Kuwait, a result taken from patients with type 2 DM showed that the prevalence of MA was 58.2% [14]. In another setting of Kuwait, the prevalence rate of proteinuria among type 2 diabetic patients was found to be 43.5%, the prevalence of MA and macroalbuminuria was 27.3 and 16.2%, respectively [15]. In Sudan, the prevalence of MA among type 2 diabetic patients was 44% [16]. In Tunisia, the prevalence of MA among type 2 diabetic patients who were followed up in two primary health care centers was 23% [17]. Moreover, studies conducted in Asian countries reported variability in the prevalence rate of MA ranging from 14.2% in Iran, 24.2% in Pakistan, to 36.3% in India, while the prevalence of macroalbuminuria was 12.7% in Taiwan and 11.2% in Thailand [18-20]. The prevalence of MA in European countries was 26.9% in Hungary, while macroalbuminuria was 16% in Italy as well as Sweden and 9% in Germany [21–24].

These variations in the prevalence rate of proteinuria can be attributed to the differences in several factors such as the study design, source of study population, sample selection, race, age, sex structure of the study population, diagnostic criteria, as well as the methods of measurement of proteinuria and urine collection, diabetic duration, diabetic treatment, and presence of hypertension.

According to GFR staging, our results have shown that 53.6% of the studied patients were in low risk, 9.9% were in moderate risk, and 36.4% were in high risk. This finding is similar to that reported by another study which reported that the prevalence for any type of CKD was 27.9% among their diabetic patients when

1145 patients were studied with type 2 DM in primary-care consults [25].

Analysis of our data has shown that there was a statistically significant increase in the levels of fasting blood glucose, HbA1c among high-risk cases compared with moderate and low cases. This finding supports the pathogenic role of hyperglycemia in inducing DN.

In our study, we found that 43 (28.5%) patients were hypertensive. The etiology of hypertension in DN involves mechanisms with multiple interrelated mediators that result in renal sodium reabsorption and peripheral vasoconstriction. In this respect, the results of our study disagree with that reported by another study which showed that the prevalence of hypertension in patients with type 2 DM was 13.37% [26]. The difference between the results may be due to the different sample size and population.

It is known that patients with overt DN (dipstickpositive proteinuria and decreasing GFR) generally develop systemic hypertension. Hypertension is an adverse factor in all progressive renal diseases and seems especially so in DN. Hypertension along with increases in intraglomerular capillary pressure and the metabolic abnormalities (e.g. dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia) likely interact to accelerate renal injury. The deleterious effects of hypertension are likely directed at the vasculature and microvasculature [26]. An evidence suggested that hypertension associated with obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes may play an important role in the pathogenesis of DN. Central obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes lead to increased blood pressure [27].

In our study, we found that 45.7% of the patients had established diabetic retinopathy which agrees with The Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group which estimated that the prevalence rates for retinopathy and vision-threatening retinopathy in diabetic patients older than 40 years were 40.3 and 8.2%, respectively, and these were due to the microvascular complication of DM [28].

# Conclusion

We detected proteinuria in 39.7% of the patients (31.8% of them had MA and 7.9% of them had macroalbuminuria); 25.8% of the studied patients were of G1, 31.8% G2, 16.6% G3a, 16.6% G3b, 6.6% G4, and 2.6% were of G5 and 28.5% of the studied patients had hypertension.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge all participants of the study, and their colleagues and staffs.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1 Gaballa M, Farag MK. Predictors of diabetic nephropathy. Eur J Med 2013; 8:287–296.
- 2 Lopez-Parra V, Mallavia B, Egido J, Gomez-Guerrero C. Immunoinflammation in diabetic nephropathy: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic options. In: Chan JSD ed. Diabetic nephropathy. IIS-Fundacion Jimenez Diaz, Autonoma University, Madrid; Spain: InTech; 2012. 127–146
- 3 Lei L, Mao Y, Meng D, Zhang X, Cui L, Huo Y, Wang Y. Percentage of circulating CD8+ T lymphocytes is associated with albuminuria in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2014; 122: 27–30.
- 4 Odegaard JI, Chawla A. Connecting type 1 and type 2 diabetes through innate immunity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2012; 2:a007724.
- 5 Rosolowsky ET, Skupien J, Smiles AM, Niewczas M, Roshan B, Stanton R, et al. Risk for ESRD in type 1 diabetes remains high despite renoprotection. J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 22:545–553.
- 6 Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med 1999; 130:461–470.
- 7 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl 2013; 3:1–150.
- 8 Aldukhayel A. Prevalence of diabetic nephropathy among type 2 diabetic patients in some of the Arab countries. Int J Health Sci 2017; 11:60–63.
- 9 Ogurtsova K, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Huang Y, Linnenkamp U, Guariguata L, Cho NH, *et al.* IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for2015 and 2040. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2017; 128:40–50.
- 10 Parving HH, Gall MA, Skøtt P, Jørgensen HE, Løkkegaard H, Jørgensen F, et al. Prevalence and causes of albuminuria in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients. Kidney Int 1992; 41:758–762.
- 11 Campbell RC, Ruggenenti P, Remuzzi G. Proteinuria in diabetic nephropathy: treatment and evolution. Curr Diab Rep 2003; 3:497–504.
- 12 Farahat TM, Elsaeed GK, Gazareen SS, Elsayed TI. Prevalence of proteinuria among type 2 diabetic patients in Menoufia governorate, Egypt. Menoufia Med J 2014; 27:363.

- 13 Al-Salman RA, Al-Basri HA, Al-Sayyad AS, Hearnshaw HM. Prevalence and risk factors of albuminuria in type 2 diabetes in Bahrain. J Endocrinol Invest 2009; 32:746–751.
- 14 Shebl M, Atteia M. High prevalence of microalbuminuria (MA) in type 2 diabetic patients. Health Care 2008; 21:221–232.
- 15 Al-Adsani A. Risk factors associated with albuminuria in Kuwaiti adults with type 2 diabetes. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant 2012; 23:860.
- 16 Rahamtalla FA, Elagib AA, Mahdi A, Ahmed SM. Prevalence of microalbuminuria among Sudanese type 2 diabetic patients at Elmusbah center at Ombadda-Omdurman. IOSR J Pharm 2012; 2:51–55.
- 17 Afifa K, Asma SB, Nabil H, Ahlem B, Mounira S, Kawthar Y, et al. Screening for nephropathy in diabetes mellitus: Is micral-test valid among all diabetics? Int J Chronic Dis 2016; 2016:2910627.
- 18 Afkhami-Ardekani M, Modarresi M, Amirchaghmaghi E. Prevalence of microalbuminuria and its risk factors in type 2 diabetic patients. Indian J Nephrol 2008; 18:112.
- 19 Ahmedani MY, Hydrie MZ, Iqbal A, Gul A, Mirza WB, Basit A. Prevalence of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetic patients in Karachi: Pakistan: a multicenter study. J Pak Med Assoc 2005; 55:382–386.
- **20** Wu AY, Kong NC, De Leon FA, Pan CY, Tai TY, Yeung VT, *et al.* An alarmingly high prevalence of diabetic nephropathy in Asian type 2 diabetic patients: the microalbuminuria prevalence (MAP) study. Diabetologia 2005; 48:17–26.
- 21 Molnár M, Wittmann I, Nagy J. Prevalence, course and risk factors of diabetic nephropathy in type-2 diabetes mellitus. Med Sci Monit 2000; 6:929–936.
- 22 Bruno G, Cavallo-Perin P, Bargero G, Borra M, Calvi V, D'Errico N, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for micro-and macroalbuminuria in an Italian population-based cohort of NIDDM subjects. Diabetes Care 1996; 19:43–47.
- 23 Svensson M, Sundkvist G, Arnqvist HJ, Björk E, Blohmé G, Bolinder J, et al. Signs of nephropathy may occur early in young adults with diabetes despite modern diabetes management results from the nationwide populationbased diabetes incidence study in Sweden (DISS). Diabetes Care 2003; 26:2903–2909.
- 24 Meisinger C, Heier M, Landgraf R, Happich M, Wichmann HE, Piehlmeier W. Albuminuria, cardiovascular risk factors and disease management in subjects with type 2 diabetes: a cross sectional study. BMC Health Ser Res 2008; 8:1.
- 25 Rodriguez-Poncelas A, Pepió JM, Roura P, Benito B, Franch-Nadal J, Saez M. Chronic kidney disease in the type 2 diabetic patients: prevalence and associated variables in a random sample of 2642 patients of a Mediterranean area. BMC Nephrol 2012; 13:87.
- 26 Zhou J, Liu C, Shan P, Zhou Y, Xu E, Ji Y. Prevalence and distinguishing features of masked hypertension in type 2 diabetic patients. J Diabetes Complications 2013; 27:82–86.
- 27 Hall JE, Henegar JR, Dwyer TM, Liu J, Da Silva AA, Kuo JJ. Is obesity a major cause of chronic kidney disease?. Adv Ren Replace Ther 2004; 11:41–54.
- 28 Kempen JH, O'Colmain BJ, Leske MC, Haffner SM, Klein R, Moss SE, et al. Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004; 122:552–563.