

Erratum to: Assessing group-level participation in fluid teams: Testing a new metric

Susannah B. F. Paletz · Christian D. Schunn

Published online: 18 December 2012
© Psychonomic Society, Inc. 2012

**Erratum to: Behav Res, Published Vol 43 No 2 June 2011
pp 522 to 536
DOI 10.3758/s13428-011-0070-3**

All the original negative signs in Tables 1, 4, 5, and 6 are missing in the published version. The negative signs for Table 1 are necessary for correctly calculating the formula; the negative signs on Tables 4, 5, and 6 are necessary for

understanding which relationships are negative versus positive. These errors are on pages 526 (Table 1), 532 (Tables 4 and 5), and 533 (Table 6). The original relevant tables are reproduced below.

Table 1 Steps one through three, utterance-level assignments: one example

Utterance	Speaker	Person					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
Have you received the file yet?	1	1/2	-1/2	0	0	0	0
No	2	-1/2	1/2	0	0	0	0
Wait, which file?	2	-1/3	2/3	-1/3	0	0	0
Have you guys seen the file?	3	-1/3	-1/3	2/3	0	0	0
No	2	-1/3	2/3	-1/3	0	0	0
Yes	1	2/3	-1/3	-1/3	0	0	0
I just sent this great document,	4	-1/6	-1/6	-1/6	5/6	-1/6	-1/6
Did you guys get it?	4	-1/6	-1/6	-1/6	5/6	-1/6	-1/6
It's about the new picture we just got.	4	-1/6	-1/6	-1/6	5/6	-1/6	-1/6
Step 2: Sum across utterances		-0.83	0.17	-0.83	2.50	-0.50	-0.50
Step 3: Absolute Value of Step 2		0.83	0.17	0.83	2.50	0.50	0.50

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0070-3>.

S. B. F. Paletz (✉) · C. D. Schunn
University of Pittsburgh,
LRDC 816, 3939 O'Hara Street,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
e-mail: sbfpaletz@gmail.com

Table 4 Fixed effects model of fluid/stable team, team composition, and covariates on P_s

Independent Variable	γ Coefficient	SE	t	df	p
Covariates					
Number of utterances per block	-0.007	0.002	-4.45***	531	< .001
Mission science team (A vs. B)	0.007	0.031	0.25	110	.81
Early/late in first 90 days	0.054	0.029	1.85 ⁺	110	.067
Predictors					
Fluid vs. stable teams	-0.027	0.019	-1.43	531	.15
All males versus mixed-gender	-0.002	0.025	-0.08	531	.94
Homogenous older versus mixed-age groups	-0.042	0.048	-0.88	531	.38
Homogeneous younger versus mixed-age groups	-0.084	0.031	-2.70**	531	.008
Presence of negative affect	0.040	0.017	2.39*	531	.017

⁺ .10 < p < .05, * p < .05,
** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 5 Fixed effects model of fluid/stable team, team composition, and covariates on coded participation

Independent Variable	γ Coefficient	SE	t	df	p
Covariates					
Number of utterances per block	-0.415	0.152	-2.73**	531	.007
Mission science team (A vs. B)	-1.478	2.876	-0.51	110	.61
Early/late in first 90 days	1.859	2.896	0.64	110	.52
Predictors					
Fluid vs. stable teams	-1.470	1.797	-0.82	531	.41
All males versus mixed-gender	-1.098	2.328	-0.47	531	.64
Homogenous older versus mixed-age groups	-1.352	4.866	-0.28	531	.78
Homogeneous younger versus mixed-age groups	-5.150	2.654	-1.94 ⁺	531	.052
Presence of negative affect	4.934	2.044	2.41*	531	.016

⁺ .10 < p < .05, * p < .05,
** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 6 Fixed effects model of fluid/stable team, team composition, and covariates on standard deviation of participation

Independent Variable	γ Coefficient	SE	t	df	p
Covariates					
Number of utterances per block	-0.005	< 0.001	-4.93***	531	< .001
Mission science team (A vs. B)	-0.030	0.023	-1.33	110	.19
Early/late in first 90 days	0.041	0.188	2.17*	110	.032
Predictors					
Fluid vs. stable teams	-0.028	0.010	-2.75**	531	.007
All males versus mixed-gender	0.028	0.017	1.71 ⁺	531	.088
Homogenous older versus mixed-age groups	0.035	0.026	1.32	531	.19
Homogeneous younger versus mixed-age groups	-0.010	0.021	-0.49	531	.63
Presence of negative affect	0.027	0.011	2.54*	531	.012

⁺ .10 < p < .05, * p < .05,
** p < .01, *** p < .001