EDITORIAL

This issue begins my fourth year as editor and witnesses some changing of the guard. Michela Gallagher has reluctantly relinquished her post as an Associate Editor, after serving with distinction and dispatch. We will miss her input, and thank her for her good work and good cheer. Luckily, Paul Gold has agreed to join the cast of Associate Editors, thus guaranteeing that we will be able to carry our increasing workload efficiently, and with broad coverage. Also stepping down, from our Consulting Editors board, is Larry Squire, who has taken over as Editor of *Behavioral Neuroscience*. We wish him well, and thank him for his efforts on behalf of *Psychobiology* during the past three years. Finally, note that a few of our Consulting Editors have changed their addresses; Phil Best has moved to New Orleans and Dan Schacter has moved to Tucson.

In November, the Psychonomic Society convened in Chicago for its annual meeting. Mark Gluck and I chaired a symposium on "Biological Perspectives on Adaptive Network Models of Learning," at which papers were given by Bruce McNaughton, Rick Granger (and Gary Lynch), Mark Gluck (and Dick Thompson), David Rumelhart, and Terry Sejnowski, and shorter comments were given by Steve Hanson, Misha Pavel, and myself. All participants agreed that it was an excellent symposium, and versions of some of the longer presentations will appear in a book being edited by D. Rumelhart and M. Gluck (*Neuroscience and Connectionist Theory*, to be published by L. Erlbaum). Mark and I will prepare a summary of the symposium for inclusion in the next issue of this journal. Notwithstanding this exciting event, there was virtually no other psychobiological research reported at the meeting. There was a good turnout of investigators interested in animal learning and animal cognition, but virtually no investigators interested in neurobiology.

Admittedly, the Society for Neuroscience meetings were being held immediately afterwards, in Toronto. Perhaps this massive gathering, all full of excitement this year at molecular, cellular, and systems levels, has simply marginalized all other meetings. I persist in imagining that we occupy a niche that cannot be thoroughly scratched by neuroscience, cognitive science, or some combination of the two (cognitive neuroscience? neurocognition?). But I would be interested in the views of our readers, in particular those who are members of the Psychonomic Society, concerning the role of our Society, and this journal, in the domain of psychobiology.

Lynn Nadel