

# Tables of letter sequences varying in order of approximation to English\*

KENICHI HIRATA and M. P. BRYDEN  
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ont., Canada

To obtain more complete tables of letter sequences varying in order of approximation to English than those generally available, sequences of zero through fourth-order approximation were computer-generated using tables of single-letter, digram, trigram, and tetragram frequencies. Two sets of tables are presented. One consists of 100 randomly selected 10-letter sequences of each of zero to fourth-order material. The other consists of 40 8-letter sequences of each type, selected with the restriction that no letter appear more than once in the sequence.

In attempts to manipulate familiarity while controlling meaningfulness, many studies of short- and long-term memory and of tachistoscopic word recognition have employed stimuli differing in order of approximation to English (e.g., Baddeley, 1964; Mewhort, 1967; Mewhort, Merikle, & Bryden, 1969; Merikle, 1969; Miller, Bruner, & Postman, 1954). Different orders of approximation to English reflect various degrees of sequential dependencies of English with higher-order approximations more closely resembling ordinary English.

The concept of orders of approximation to English dates back to Shannon's (1948) original work in the field of information theory. A zero-order approximation to English is simply a string of letters selected at random, while a first-order approximation takes into account the relative frequency of the single letters of the alphabet. In a second-order approximation, each pair of letters is selected in such a way as to reflect the digram frequencies of English, and so on. In general, it is possible to construct sequences of  $n$ th order approximation to English, given the relative frequencies of the  $n$ -grams in English.

In practice, several different methods have been used to obtain orders of approximation to English. Miller & Selfridge (1950) and Shannon

(1951) employed a guessing technique that takes advantage of the normal adult's familiarity with English letter sequences. Here, a person would be shown a sequence of letters and asked to construct a word using the sequence of letters. The first letter he added to the string would be incorporated into it, the original first letter dropped and the new string passed on to another individual. While this is an interesting and often entertaining classroom demonstration, college students misjudge the relative frequencies of individual letters (Attnave, 1953), not to mention digram or polygram frequencies.

Miller, Bruner, & Postman (1954) followed a basically similar procedure, but used text material rather than people to generate their material. Their procedure required a rather laborious search of text material for the recurrence of particular strings of letters. An alternative method would be to make use of a complete table of the frequencies of  $n$ -grams of a given order.

The most complete available tables of different orders of approximation are those of Miller, Bruner, & Postman (1954). They provide 15 eight-letter sequences of zero-, first-, second-, and fourth-order approximation. Since many experiments require longer sequences or more than 15 examples of a given order of approximation, it seemed worthwhile to attempt to generate such material.

In constructing our orders of approximation, we made use of the tables of single-letter, digram, trigram, and tetragram frequencies published by Mayzner & Tresselt (1965) and

Mayzner, Tresselt, & Wolin (1965a, b). Our procedure is best illustrated by an example of how a third-order approximation was constructed. Given an initial digram, let us say ST, all trigrams commencing with ST were weighted by assigning them a set of numbers proportional to their relative frequency. A random number was then drawn to indicate which letter should be added to our sequence. Suppose this letter were E: we would then add E to our sequence to make STE and repeat the procedure with trigrams commencing with TE. This procedure was carried out iteratively until it reached a point where all trigrams commencing with the desired digram had observed frequencies of zero. The resulting strings of letters, making use as they do of observed polygram frequencies, should be limited only by the accuracy of the original frequency counts.

To obtain the desired letter sequences, we generated 10,000 letters at each order of approximation. For zero, first, and second orders, this produced a single long string that could be sampled at random. With higher orders of approximation, the fact that particular sets of trigrams or tetragrams had observed frequencies of zero resulted in periodic termination of the string. In such cases, we simply started at a different point and began another string.

For experimental use, we have presented two sets of tables. In the first set, Tables 1-5, there are 100 10-letter sequences of each of zero-through fourth-order material. These have been selected randomly from our computer printout, subject to the limitation that the sequence had to be at least 10 letters in length, and very obvious words or phrases (e.g., AVOICECAME) have been eliminated. Shorter sequences may be constructed by deleting letters from either or both the end and the beginning.

Many Es are also interested in serial position effects, and these are difficult to assess when individual letters are repeated within a sequence. For this reason, we have also selected sequences varying in order of approximation that have no repeated letters. Table 6 gives 40 examples of eight-letter sequences of each order of approximation that have no repeated letters.

Table 1  
Ten-Letter Sequences of Zero-Order Approximation to English

Table 3  
Ten-Letter Sequences of First-Order Approximation to English

Table 4  
Ten-Letter Sequences of Third-Order Approximation to English

Table 5  
Ten-Letter Sequences of Fourth-Order Approximation to English

JUGAVITZSO	TNBOTSHLAD	DSERTIGACL	STHICUNGCAN	SENTEAUGHT	ONEWANDLYT
OITGCUWRAO	EMIQTUBKUC	NMTUOYDSL	ERANGOBED	IIGHTSELD	YINGERANYH
UBLAQHQSRP	CMSARURWYC	RHGHNONRHL	ENTYODEXP	BACHOULD	SECTUREADE
ZKORFSEBYXB	XDPJYILZBLJ	ENLTGCAIDS	RITHOURLYE	ONGEYDERST	VERDEOMNS
VYJQNMKZC	ZWNDEBQPKD	SALFNNEHASD	DAXXANGELR	BESTORELL	BECKERNALL
HIMZSKBWP	JQOTOKNPKV	HGLAOFAEK	ARETNUTAT	THERUGOIEV	CHOOICECA
CXPMMQYUFZ	TMBZLJWEKM	SATHREWLM	PLTHOUNTSE	NCORELINCA	SILENDERSE
HBRPQMQRVZ	ETZCMCFSERP	SATTWTWSIN	HAVEOTHIAN	FENJOBLPE	COLLAGESTY
KCMXZTVDR	LEAOGGITOS	HSNCVSCSOT	WOUStARENO	EANCENTOCA	DREACHECAU
JCLAFYZJDQ	JSENOQSNMK	HSLEUERTTS	ANKEDEXPUC	KEDSINTEDS	EGANGERSES
MUXAZORMCZ	NPTGRVZIDV	EWTMWVAS	REFOMEVEAT	HEMINDOLLA	EXPECIALSO
LGYHGAARAU	OLQQAFFCM	YTHFEMLAAH	KITWATHIPR	FLUIDEALSO	GOVERNCE
ICTNJRPHUE	CNGRWDZUWF	LRLEYTGBO	DAYNRTTIU	HOPENDLOTH	INTOMBERAL
OIBMEUHPUM	ZFLVLUZQBQ	HSVOMDDAAU	OANELKHTAL	JECTUALLED	KULLEVENT
WKRMUAEQI	JKFOLTKVFW	AISUNINWMT	DIRENGOUNDU	JETRONGERS	ESTILLIONS
CNHZGRQWMA	UORKMCYIZD	LOPAWODEA	ENRYERETID	OBSE1OUSE	NICEDARTIC
BSUEBTXUOG	UKKOICYBUD	NRETSAIEMT	ITEDSUSREY	MANDECONEE	SYSSTERORS
OEARMDNSQO	PNUCKOSDIW	DBENFOEDHV	TYSSUGECIA	ASKIDERSS	TIMEEDITOR
SPIHIVANNS	RIXOKHCBKT	YHFTOAHDHU	MPOTLLESTH	ONGETWITE	RAIDENTION
EVOXKGTEFR	JFZAAECZMKR	LTOFEAYAXA	AWINKERSIT	CERSODYNT	RAMEREDICA
VXAXNLEYGX	AEWUXRQPTV	CYTFBNRARO	FOUSKEROGH	DOWEINGRE	RIMESONST
KXHIMNMFVTA	BYCDYSQXLI	ULPNIEHBGBO	ESOPORRALT	TRAALKENTER	ESTEMACHAT
JONHGWXMUO	ASFQALZWS	WIXXTNUHUD	ESFOEDANOU	DLINGUNGETW	WRIESHEVES
VJTCDVNUCN	LLUVRSQSBK	NIBUSGCPNS	RDINESPLOU	SIGHTYRN	HOPLAUTHES
WGHRFSMNW	DUNAGKFQAG	OESNOPEBRI	MPEYERACL	WOUSTALLY	GUSTAUSECT
PUNKWTPYICA	PQHDCCOKJG	KHYCOTERTHN	FOUSAESER	AXESTALKIL	AXESTALKIL
IJCJMOMXIS	PLEBQICLPO	KPTIAOLESO	MADINDROK	TRAILKENTER	TRAILKENTER
QOPQHNCYTC	GDRUPLBPMW	DNUMLTWRH	HISOENEDEL	ESTEMACHAT	ESTEMACHAT
CMEPYJOAFB	SAVVPBZNDH	GTERNATNJS	LUDTHOURBU	TRAILKENTER	TRAILKENTER
QEIPKAHPB	BVKFNGANTUA	RROWEANTY	RDINESPLOU	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
HWTOSHGZUW	ACFLWBQDDE	RESPDNMFH	ENRINTOSH	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
KUGKYCEBYZ	MZREGMQLXP	TESTDYOTLE	NDUETLRCWV	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
IXIZSYONMK	XLOEHAMBZ	WOBSDAUAA	REANGYSTSQ	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
GWVGHRPSTSJ	IUWMMHFSKH	RLPLRILTT	NGHERYERIN	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
XNZDZGSEP	DTLVMMHFSKH	IHAFTCUNZA	NGHERYERIN	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
UEVNAWXPVJ	LJHJOKXMFV	BNPYTYEENL	ANORAVERIA	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
UJTMATMXHM	CFLSNREPPU	TNRUILFEL	ORANENGICL	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
HBRPHKDYDCO	SCHUGFDYJW	BCSFBNR RATE	COUNDEREDPA	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
PNTSQMYLFE	XUOCOQSNUX	IEHLYFTFCG	ORCEDQYOM	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
RHROCTPTEK	AYUUFZAJXK	IECTASDWCH	EREDYAEBSL	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
UEVNAWXPVJ	LEHXZVYHIF	OAHUTIELSL	COTLEABDS	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
WBOPRQWLZV	PTAGLIZCTR	SABEATTBST	RNGOURDEF	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
WBTYRNIBM	ZOCGSUNWQZL	AOMFHAWEE	CUNXSTASHA	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
LIZDHAATCS	BNZECYZIDV	IEMHYSFCTG	MEHMSCIRCH	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
PNUFNLWFK	GTRKFPSHU	EGMTJBGDA	BOWALLELT	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
RTLRTNEDL	FTTRKCECZX	MNRHOFEGI	DGNLYREW	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
AYLHPCZEGX	EVGETYARSH	TAFOENHTR	DECKIROPX	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
NJNSRPJUMZ	DYOCWRTJGI	AUDTHEAVMS	RPHYSTDUDL	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
CFHUGJITRJ	NOJKAMULKL	VOITDTFRTE	ETWPIMDATER	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
SZREYGWALM	ENQZTINLW	EMOWAINT	WONLIGATH	TALEDEREIN	TALEDEREIN
			ILASCHERAS	ENYOULBOUR	ENYOULBOUR

Table 6  
Eight-Letter Sequences Without Repeated Letters

O-order	1st-order	2nd-order	3rd-order	4th-order
OKEBQIHU	NUGLDEFI	KINLESCA	LEPICART	DINGLECT
CVDHMLIY	AYOWUSGC	REAMPICO	DRACKETW	YOREDIUM
HTCRDUNB	LHSNDTYU	ECLOSATH	CHISTAUG	LIMBERSO
LRWDGFYV	AIOPHELK	KNDEYOSA	EPOICHAT	ARSHINKL
PZQVKUGF	OKTAIUPS	EDSTHOUL	INYBETAL	DESPIRAL
OMSBVPZQ	LEUNCoya	WHEPLOBR	ENCHIMPL	MEDI FORY
YLECVGWX	OAHRTEID	NTAROULI	AVERSOMD	WHILDERN
ANNRYOZW	ETCORSBH	ESHARKIC	DERSTANY	CULTINGS
VALRZTQC	DTUOHNAAE	NECODSPR	MAGEIWOR	FAJLEDSI
NJKEMLDX	YUMADTRP	SITHORAN	EDSITHAL	SPEALPHY
UCQXSANV	OBNMELDH	EMICHANS	MOUTSELY	POLICKET
HDFBKVS	MLEIDSHU	OUNGRSTH	NAMICHER	RINTOMBE
YUGKENSJ	NDTCUGM	ASOTHERM	CHOURNIS	PRAINSTE
KWRFHV LJ	DPRABUEO	IRSENYOC	HANITSEL	SURFINDO
JMNROEBV	LHUAEOOTG	DSEROUTH	STEMPORL	OMENTACK
FKMITPSC	NEGMPFCUH	XASTYINE	ANSTRIGH	ONGESTIL
AMOYSVQF	DSERWIYV	ATHIBUNG	HEIRSTAN	APONSTER
PRVSYCHW	UETDHICAM	ONSILDAB	REASPICH	SLANCEPT
ZDXFCRKE	EDKRAQTV	THASEWNG	ASYMBOU P	ALTCENTU
EPXCMZRF	IFAODCKT	TAYORGUC	ACKENTOM	HEMINDOL
MNUWHVLE	WAGTDBHE	HEDICKNG	LOBTGNER	REATIONS
KZBQEMFV	BWAENYRI	TUGHAPOF	GANDEOPL	MERIOUST
NDZRISMK	IHENATUV	BUECADFT	BOASKENL	CLIMPANY
WCRDTIBM	ETFRHMUO	INTPHAMED	STABOURN	UMPERALS
TQGPMLND	HEPGTILA	OWAGUNER	OUPLYIND	LAPERSIN
DUOGNAIV	AUHTBROE	UNDECHIV	ALKINGER	DICTORES
HWPTFNCA	SNHELACY	LCAINDST	CALFROVE	HAMBEDRO
GFZCSOTQ	SOYWAGTF	DSPRAVEN	TRACHINK	FAMILIEDS
RXLPOAEV	EOKABUSL	ATKNEDST	WORTAING	GLOBSERI
FKMERJGC	GESBOAIN	SWHALTED	TREADISB	ONCERTAI
HPFVXCKR	TSPEALNU	DOWACLIS	ORTSEACH	PENDLOTH
URTMEVFA	NRTSGOWA	ELFRISAG	PLADINGE	EDICALMO
CBINGSYJ	ICPEDTAB	WATHIPRE	DESTRU NG	BUILDENS
HCZYSWUO	PNFROELT	MEBUI THA	ESPORNIC	PECIALSO
EBUIWKMH	LRTNADEG	AIEROUNG	PERABOSI	IMPROBLE
OMWVHCBQ	KISDWFEN	HELARSWN	HATUDIES	CRYSTEMP
IDVYENMK	RNYOTLHA	WACKNSPE	HERYINST	TOMINERS
QTPZIFMV	DOIELMST	PSTHORID	STRIDEAC	BIOUSTYL
JNUWHVGB	VNUIHETO	CINDASTH	INGETUCH	OMINGERS
EMFVDOXN	CTUDOLHR	OUGRLASH	SEDOCKAG	REDUCKIN

## REFERENCES

- ATTNEAVE, F. Psychological probability as a function of experienced frequency. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1953, 46, 81-86.
- BADDELEY, A. D. Immediate memory and the "perception" of letter sequences. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1964, 16, 364-367.
- MAYZNER, M. S., & TRESSELT, M. E. Tables of single-letter and diagram frequency counts for various word-length and letter-position combinations. *Psychonomic Monograph Supplements*, 1965, 1(2, Whole No. 2), 13-32.
- MAYZNER, M. S., TRESSELT, M. E., & WOLIN, B. R. Tables of trigram frequency counts for various word-length and letter-position combinations. *Psychonomic Monograph Supplements*, 1965a, 1(3, Whole No. 3), 33-78.
- MAYZNER, M. S., TRESSELT, M. E., & WOLIN, B. R. Tables of tetragram frequency counts for various word-length and letter-position combinations. *Psychonomic Monograph Supplements*, 1965b, 1(4, Whole No. 4), 79-143.
- MERIKLE, P. M. Presentation rate and order of approximation to English as determinants of short-term memory. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 23, 196-202.
- MEWHORT, D. J. K. Familiarity of letter sequences, response uncertainty, and the tachistoscopic recognition experiment. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 1967, 21, 309-321.
- MEWHORT, D. J. K., MERIKLE, P. M., & BRYDEN, M. P. On the transfer from iconic to short-term memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1969, 81, 89-94.
- MILLER, G. A., BRUNER, J. S., & POSTMAN, L. Familiarity of letter sequences and tachistoscopic identification. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1954, 50, 129-139.
- MILLER, G. A., & SELFRIDGE, J. A. Verbal context and the recall of meaningful material. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1950, 63, 176-185.
- SHANNON, C. E. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 1948, 27, 379-423, 623-656.
- SHANNON, C. E. Prediction and entropy of printed English. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 1951, 30, 50-64.