

- Reynolds, G. S. Behavioral contrast. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1961, 4, 57-71.
- Schwartz, B. Maintenance of key pecking by response-independent food presentation: The role of the modality of the signal for food. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1973, 20, 17-22.
- Schwartz, B., & Williams, D. R. Two different kinds of key-peck in the pigeon: Some properties of responses maintained by negative and positive response-reinforcer contingencies. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1972, 18, 201-216.
- Staddon, J. E. R., & Simmelhag, V. L. The "superstition" experiment: A re-examination of its implications for the principles of adaptive behavior. *Psychological Review*, 1971, 78, 3-43.
- Terrace, H. S. Stimulus control. In W. K. Honig (Ed.), *Operant behavior: Areas of research and application*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1966. Pp. 271-344.
- Westbrook, R. F. Negative induction and inhibitory generalization gradients when foot-pressing a bar is the response and the pigeon is the subject. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1974, 21, in press.
- Williams, D. R., & Williams, H. Automaintenance in the pigeon: Sustained pecking despite contingent non-reinforcement. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1969, 12, 511-520.
- Zimmerman, J., & Schuster, C. R. Spaced responding in multiple DRL schedules. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 1969, 5, 497-504.

(Received for publication March 2, 1974.)

#### ERRATUM

FUCCI, DONALD J., McCAFFREY, PATRICK, CURTIS, ANN P., and BLACKMON, ROBERT. Oral vibrotactile stimulation: A study of practice effect—*Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 1974, 3, 209-211—Figure 1 on Page 210 has been published with the key reversed. The broken line should be the control group and the solid line should be the experimental group.