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## NOTE

1. The moving lattice had a velocity of $1.8^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$, and moved for the time required for the observer to make a judgment on a given display. The motion of this lattice was accomplished by changing its position 20 times per second. To the experimenters, the motion looked continuous; and none of the pilot or experimental subjects who commented on the displays said anything to indicate that this motion looked discrete or "apparent."
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## ERRATUM

Hellström, $\AA$. Factors producing and factors not producing time errors: An experiment with loudness comparisons. Perception \& Psychophysics, 1978, 23, 433-444-The last sentence on page 434 should correctly read as follows:

Denoting by $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ the standard normal deviates which correspond to the probability $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ for a judgment to fall in category " 1, ," and the probability $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ for it to fall in category " 2 "' [i.e., $\mathrm{Z}_{1}=$ $\Phi^{-1}\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left.Z_{2}=-\Phi^{-1}\left(P_{2}\right)\right]$, we may note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1}=(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{b}) / \sigma_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}=(D+T-b) / \sigma_{c} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

