conditions are equal in subjective complexity. Another possible explanation is that the Ss are learning the schemata and the lowest level of redundancy within each schema is serving as an anchor point. Future research with a greater range of redundancy levels should clarify this point.

REFERENCES

- ATTNEAVE, F., & ARNOULT, M. D. The quantitative study of shape and pattern perception. *Psychol. Bull.*, 1956, 53, 452-471.
- EVANS, S. H. Redundancy as a variable in pattern perception. *Psychol. Bull.*, 1967a, 67, 104-113.
- EVANS, S. H., & MUELLER, M. R. Vargus 9: computed stimuli for schema research. *Psychon. Sci.*, 1966, 6, 511-512.
- MUNSINGER, H., & KESSEN, W. Uncertainty, structure, and preference. *Psychol. Monogr.*, 1964, 78, 1-24.

- POLLACK, I., & KLEMMER, E. T. The assimilation of visual information from linear dot patterns. Technical Report No. 54-16, 1954, Air Force Cambridge Research Center.
- VITZ, P. C. Preference for different amounts of visual complexity. *Behav. Sci.*, 1966, 11, 105-114.
- WINER, B. J. *Statistical principles in experimental design*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1962.

NOTE

1. This research was supported in part by a Rehabilitation Service Administration training grant RSA-RH2 to the Institute of Behavioral Research and by Department of Defense Project THEMIS contract number DAADO5-68-C-0176 to T. C. U.

Erratum

Eastman, R. The relative crossmodal transfer of a form discrimination. *Psychon. Sci.*, 1967, 9 (4), 197-198. The second sentence in the results section should read: "The means of the T-V and V-T groups, 5.20 and 3.54 respectively, showed that the T-V sequence produced significantly superior transfer of the shape discrimination than did the V-T sequence."