ANNOUNCEMENT

NATO Advanced Study Institute Cognitive Processes and Spatial Orientation in Animal and Man

June 27-July 7, 1985
Aix-en-Provence, France

For information write:
Dr. Paul Ellen
Department of Psychology
Georgia State University
Atlanta, GA 30303

ERRATUM

Marschark, M. (1983). Semantic congruity in symbolic comparisons: Salience, expectancy, and associative priming. [*Memory & Cognition*, 11(2), 192-199]. On page 196, the normative means and t tests reported refer to the entire sets of 32 salient and 32 nonsalient stimuli, not the 16 stimuli with each salience $\times$ dimension cell. The means and standard deviations for each salience $\times$ dimension $\times$ pole cell are: *Salient*—large 8.09 (SD = 1.00), fierce 8.54 (SD = .81), small 1.87 (SD = .92), meek 3.23 (SD = 1.06); *Nonsalient*—large 6.80 (SD = 1.15), fierce 5.93 (SD = .59), small 3.49 (SD = .91), meek 2.83 (SD = 1.20). The more restricted means indicate that salience and extremity were confounded with salience $\times$ dimension $\times$ pole cells, even if extremity did not differ between the salience conditions across dimensions and poles.