- the comparison of temporal intervals. *Psychological Review*, 1935, 42, 127-152.
- Woodrow, H. In S. S. Stevens (Ed.), *Handbook of experimental psychology*. New York: Wiley, 1951. Chap. 32.
- Woodrow, H., & Stott, L. The effect of practice on positive time order errors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1936, 19, 694-705.
- Woodworth, R. S., & Schlosberg, H. *Experimental psychology*. New York: Holt, 1954.
- Zacks, J. L. Temporal summation phenomena at threshold:

Their relation to visual mechanisms. *Science*, 1970, 170, 197-199.

NOTE

1. The formula for d'_{FC} printed in Creelman (1962) is incorrect.

(Received for publication September 24, 1973;
revision received February 20, 1974.)

ERRATUM

HERRICK, R. M. Foveal light-detection thresholds with two temporally spaced flashes: A review. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 1974, 15, 361-367—The note that appears on p. 367 contains a production error. The complete note should correctly read: "1. Actually, only in an earlier study (Bouman & Van den Brink, 1952) did they present p vs i plots. Most of their data were presented in plots employing an index based on p . The index has a value of 1.0 when the two flashes occur simultaneously, and 0.0 when the two flashes were widely separated in time. Bouman & Van den Brink's index may be written as follows: $\text{Index} = -[P_{\infty}/(P_0 - P_{\infty})] + [1/(P_0 - P_{\infty})]p$, where P_{∞} is the probability of a "Yes" with two flashes separated by a very long interval, P_0 is the probability of a "Yes" with two flashes at zero interval, and p is the probability of a "Yes" with two flashes at a particular interval. For the data of any one session, P_0 and P_{∞} are constants, and the equation relating the index and p is simply the equation of a straight line, with an intercept of $-[P_{\infty}/(P_0 - P_{\infty})]$ and a slope of $[1/(P_0 - P_{\infty})]$.