ERRATUM

Grilly, D. M., & Genovese, R. F. Assessment of shock discrimination in rats with signal detection theory (*Perception & Psychophysics*, Volume 25, pages 466-472):

(1) On page 468, please scratch out the last 5 lines on that page. The last sentence in column 2 should then read:

“However, these results are opposed to those obtained by Rollman (1969), using electrocutaneous shock in [continuing on to page 470] human observers, whose ROC functions were linear but with slopes clearly less than one.”

(2) On page 470, the section starting with the last sentence in column 2 and continuing on to page 471 should correctly read:

“The fact that the .1-sec, .25-mA shock continued to reliably elicit a ‘flinch’ in all animals throughout thousands of exposures does not support the argument that neither shock was painful.

The question of whether or not the .1-sec, .075-mA shock was noxious is difficult to answer. This intensity is quite close to the .041-mA shock (delivered by a constant-current ac generator) aversion threshold noted by Campbell and Masterson (1969). Their value ...”