ERRATUM

In the article "Visual Representation in Analogical Problem Solving" by M. Beveridge and E. Parkins, published in *Memory & Cognition*, 1987, 15(3), 230-237, several of the data were incorrectly reported.

In Table 1, for the Red Adair analogue in Experiment 1, the number correct should have read 10 rather than 70. In Experiment 2, the control group's correct responses numbered 23 rather than 24, the percentage correct for the summative intensity condition was 80%, not 82%, and the number correct in the Gick and Holyoak (1983) diagram condition was 25 rather than 28 out of 40.

In Table 2, the percentage correct in the control condition of Experiment 4 was 57, not 51.

In addition to these tabular errors, the statistical analysis presented in the paper contained the inaccuracies presented in the table below. It should be noted that the results of Experiment 3, with children, now show only a nonsignificant trend toward better performance when the diagram is present as a retrieval cue. The subsequent discussion and suggestions concerning the role of the diagram as a retrieval cue now relate more directly to the results of Experiment 4, which involved only adults. The number of subjects in Experiment 4 was in fact 91 and not 99 as indicated in the original paper. As a point of clarification we would like to indicate that the control subjects of Experiments 3 and 4 were those of Experiments 1 and 2, with the diagram shown in the first part of Figure 2 additionally presented. As Table 2 shows, no subjects solved the problem following the additional presentation of this diagram.

The Psychonomic Society Publications Office and the authors apologize to the readers for any inconvenience caused by these errors. They are for the most part either rounding or proofreading errors. Apart from the modification to Experiment 3, already noted, none of the changes reported alter the conclusions of the article.

Table
Reported and Correct Values for the Statistical Analyses

Experiment	Comparison	Reported Values		Correct Values	
		Chi Square	Sig. Level	Chi Square	Sig. Level
1	Red Adair vs. Colored Strips	7.8	.001	7.68	.005
1	Red Adair vs. Control	5.2	.01	4.54	.025
2	Red Adair vs. Colored Strips	6.38	.001	6.28	.01
2	Summative Intensity vs. Colored Strips.	4.54	.01	4.41	.025
2	Summative Intensity vs. Gick and Holyoak (1983) Diagram	3.47	.01	3.57	.05
3	Diagram with Target vs. Not	2.39	.05	2.37	n.s.
4	Diagram with Target vs. Not	3.08	.02	3.09	.05

Note—Significance levels are one-tailed probabilities.