## Remifentanil and propofol sedation cost saving in ICU

A remifentanil and propofol (RP) sedation regimen was cost effective compared to a midazolam and fentanyl (MF) regimen when administered to mechanically-ventilated patients following cardiac surgery, according to the results of a German study presented at the 8th Annual European Congress of the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), held in Florence, Italy.

Their prospective single-blinded study compared the costs, ventilation times, and discharge times of 80 ICU patients sedated with either the RP or MF regimen:

- remifentanil (6 to a maximum of 60 μg/kg/h) and as required propofol (0.5–4 mg/kg/h)
- midazolam (0.02–0.2 mg/kg/h) and fentanyl (1–7 μg/kg/h).\*

Patients sedated with the RP regimen were removed from mechanical ventilation 3.5 hours sooner and discharged 18.3 hours earlier than patients sedated with the MF regimen, for an equivalent cost.\*\* As baseline dosing levels for RP were high in this study, medication costs may actually be lower in routine practice, saving an estimated €200 per patient. The RP regimen dominated MF sedation, as it reduced "the mechanical ventilation time and hence the risk of ventilatorassociated morbidity at equal costs (baseline) or even savings (scenario)", conclude the authors. The results were sensitive to variations in staff and drug costs.

\* Two of the authors were affiliated with GlaxoSmithKline, Germany

\*\* Costs (2003 values) included drugs, materials, and staff, and are reported from the perspective of the hospital system.

Muellejans B, et al. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of sedation with remifentanil/ propofol versus midazolam/fentanyl in the intensive care unit. Value in Health 8: A15 (plus poster) abstr. DN4, No. 6, Nov-Dec 2005 801025711