Acoustic impedance characteristics of linear compressors^{*}

Zhi-hua GAN¹, Long-yi WANG¹, Sheng-ying ZHAO^{†‡2}, Yu-jing SONG¹, Wei-wei WANG¹, Yi-nong WU³

(¹Institute of Cryogenics and Refrigeration, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China)

(²School of Information and Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University City College, Hangzhou 310015, China)

(³Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200083, China)

[†]E-mail: zhaosy@zucc.edu.cn

Received Sept. 21, 2012; Revision accepted June 17, 2013; Crosschecked June 24, 2013

Abstract: The acoustic field of a linear compressor serves to deliver the compression work to the load, such as the connected cold head of a cryocooler; it plays an equivalently important role as the electrical and mechanical parts, especially in the impedance match issue. This paper studies the acoustic impedance characteristics of a linear compressor. The parameters including the current, the piston displacement, the pressure amplitude, the electrical power dissipation, the power factor, the pressure-volumetric (PV) power delivered, and the efficiency are theoretically and experimentally investigated. Different from previous theoretical studies, optimization for the operations away from the resonance is also included. More general optimization results imply relevance between thermoacoustic engines and linear compressors. The predicted results are validated by the experiments performed on a linear compressor with an adjustable resistive-capacitive (RC) acoustic load. The comparisons between the calculations and the measurements are presented and analyzed. The results provide deeper insight into the mechanism of the linear compressor and the impedance match in a cryocooler system.

Key words: Linear compressor, Acoustic impedance, Resistive-capacitive (RC) load

doi:10.1631/jzus.A1300113

Document code: A

CLC number: TB651

1 Introduction

The linear compressor possesses the advantages of oil-free, high reliability, low vibration, and long-life operation. Since being introduced for the first time in 1981 (Davey, 1981), they are often used to drive cryocoolers to ensure long-life and high-reliability (Nast *et al.*, 2006; Raab and Tward, 2010). The operation of the linear compressor comprises complex transition processes among the electrical, mechanical, and acoustic fields.

Previous studies reported the dynamic characteristics of linear compressors. However, the acoustic impedance on the piston was modeled using a simple

equivalent damping coefficient and a gas spring stiffness (Koh *et al.*, 2002; Park *et al.*, 2002; Chen *et al.*, 2007; Chen and Zhu, 2012). For the application in cryogenic fields, the acoustic field is equivalently important because it delivers the compression power to the load, which is usually a connected cold head (Radebaugh *et al.*, 2010). The impedance match between them is a key to optimizing the system performance. Therefore, quantitative calculations of the acoustic characteristics and their coupling with the electric and mechanical fields are fundamental.

Wakeland (2000) used an equivalent circuit model to analyze the performance and optimized the acoustic resistance R_a under the resonant condition. He found that the optimum efficiency of a linear compressor can be obtained when $R_a = \sigma R_m / A^2$, where σ is a compressor constant, R_m is the mechanical resistance, and A is the piston area. Swift (2002) also derived the efficiency using acoustic impedance analyses, and optimized the piston area A at resonance.

[‡] Corresponding author

^{*} Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51176165), and the Open Project Program of the Key Laboratory of Infrared Imaging Materials and Detectors (No. IIMDKFJJ-11-07), China

© Zhejiang University and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Radebaugh *et al.* (2010) discussed the acoustic impedance match based on a given commercial linear compressor. Dai *et al.* (2011) analyzed the impedance matching principle between the linear compressor and the cold head from the perspective of an energy balance. However, most of the work mentioned above were focused on the resonant operation, which in practice is difficult to achieve. For example, the impedance of a cold head is often optimally designed such that the volume flow rate leads the pressure wave by tens of degrees at the hot end, that is at the piston (Gan *et al.*, 2008), which does not always fit the best output characteristics of a given compressor, hence non-resonance usually occurs. Also, the effects of acoustic impedance on the parameters, except for pressure-volumetric (PV) power and efficiency, remain unanswered. Bao *et al.* (2006) studied the effect of a resistive-capacitive (RC) load on the performance of a thermoacoustic system and investigated a wide range of acoustic impedance. It was experimentally found that a maximum PV power or efficiency can be obtained when the acoustic resistance equals the acoustic reactance. However, this is different from the conclusion of Wakeland (2000) and not always valid for a linear compressor (Gan *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2012).

This paper focuses on developing a general expression for the dependence of performance on a variety of related parameters, including the current, the piston displacement, the pressure amplitude, the electrical power dissipation, and the power factor. The PV power delivered and the efficiency of a linear compressor are optimized without the assumption that the compressor and the load are in a resonant status. The effects of acoustic impedance on the output characteristics of the compressor are discussed. Experiments are carried out using the RC load approach to validate the calculations.

2 Theoretical analysis

The linear compressor shown in Fig. 1 is a kind of electrodynamic device, which can be described by the following linear harmonic-approximation equations (Swift, 2002):

$$U = R_e I + j\omega L_e I + \frac{\alpha V_c}{A}, \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha I = p_c A + R_m \frac{V_c}{A} + j\left(\omega M - \frac{k_s}{\omega}\right) \frac{V_c}{A}, \quad (2)$$

where U is the complex voltage across the electric terminals, the bold font representing the complex vectors, R_e is the electric resistance, I is the complex electric current, L_e is the electric inductance, α is the transduction coefficient which is the product of the magnetic field and the length of the wire in the field, V_c is the volume flow rate at the piston face, p_c is the pressure amplitude inside the compression volume, j equals $\sqrt{-1}$, M is the moving mass, $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the angular frequency, and k_s is the mechanical spring stiffness. These two equations express Ohm's law and Newton's law, respectively. Here, the electrical impedance Z_e , the mechanical impedance Z_m , and the acoustic impedance on the piston Z_a are defined as

$$Z_e = R_e + j\omega L = R_e + jX_e, \quad (3)$$

$$Z_m = R_m + j(\omega M - k_s / \omega) = R_m + jX_m, \quad (4)$$

$$Z_a = \frac{p_c}{V_c} = R_a + jX_a, \quad (5)$$

where R_a is the acoustic resistance, X_e , X_m , and X_a express the electrical, mechanical and acoustic reactance, respectively. By solving Eqs. (1)–(5), we derive the expressions of I , piston displacement x , and p_c as follows:

$$I = \frac{U}{Z_e + \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2 Z_a + Z_m}}, \quad (6)$$

$$x = \frac{V_c}{j\omega A} = \frac{1}{j\omega} \frac{\alpha U}{A^2 Z_a Z_e + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m}, \quad (7)$$

Fig. 1 Physical model of a linear compressor

$$p_c = \frac{\alpha AU}{A^2 Z_e + \frac{1}{Z_a}(\alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m)}. \quad (8)$$

Then the input electrical power can be obtained:

$$W_e = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{U} \tilde{\mathbf{I}}] = \frac{|\mathbf{U}|^2}{2} \times \frac{(A^2 R_a + R_m) \alpha^2 + R_e [(A^2 R_a + R_m)^2 + (A^2 X_a + X_m)^2]}{|A^2 Z_e Z_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|^2}. \quad (9)$$

The PV power on the piston is expressed as

$$W_{PV} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}[p_c \tilde{V}_c] = \frac{|\mathbf{U}|^2}{2} \frac{(\alpha A)^2 R_a}{|A^2 Z_e Z_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|^2}. \quad (10)$$

Hence, the ratio of Eqs. (9) and (10) gives the efficiency of the transformation of electric to PV power on the piston:

$$\eta = \frac{W_{PV}}{W_e} = \frac{(\alpha A)^2 R_a}{(A^2 R_a + R_m) \alpha^2 + R_e [(A^2 R_a + R_m)^2 + (A^2 X_a + X_m)^2]}. \quad (11)$$

Eq. (11) is equivalent to that in (Wakeland, 2000; Swift, 2002) but is derived in a straightforward way. It is found that X_e is absent in Eq. (11), which means that the electrical inductance has no influence on η although it affects the W_e and W_{PV} terms.

An equivalent mechanical reactance X combining the mechanical and acoustic impedances is defined as (Wakeland, 2000)

$$X \equiv X_m + A^2 X_a. \quad (12)$$

Note that X here has the essential meaning because when $X=0$, the system is known to be at resonance. In a similar way, an equivalent mechanical resistance R combining the mechanical and acoustic impedances is defined as

$$R \equiv R_m + A^2 R_a. \quad (13)$$

Substituting Eqs. (12) and (13) into Eqs. (9) and (11), respectively, leads to simple and accessible forms:

$$W_e = \frac{|\mathbf{U}|^2}{2} \frac{R \alpha^2 + R_e (R^2 + X^2)}{|A^2 Z_e Z_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|^2}, \quad (14)$$

$$\eta = \frac{W_{PV}}{W_e} = \frac{(\alpha A)^2 R_a}{R \alpha^2 + R_e (R^2 + X^2)}. \quad (15)$$

A total impedance is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z} &= \frac{\mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{Z}_e + \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2 \mathbf{Z}_a + \mathbf{Z}_m} \\ &= \left[R_e + \frac{R \alpha^2}{R^2 + X^2} \right] + j \left[X_e - \frac{X \alpha^2}{R^2 + X^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The first term of Eq. (16), in other words the real part of $\mathbf{Z}$, represents the equivalent electrical resistance, while the second term, in other words the imaginary part of $\mathbf{Z}$, represents the equivalent electrical reactance. Since the power can be only dissipated in the real part of the impedance, the PV power efficiency can be also obtained from the fractional contribution of the acoustic resistance to the total equivalent resistance from Eq. (16), hence, the same result as Eq. (15) can be obtained.

The power factor can be expressed as

$$\cos \theta_{U-I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{(R^2 + X^2) X_e - X \alpha^2}{(R^2 + X^2) R_e + R \alpha^2} \right]^2}}. \quad (17)$$

Eq. (17) indicates that the power factor is not only related to the electrical part, but also to the mechanical as well as the acoustic domain. The highest power factor does not accompany the highest efficiency ($X=0$). In other words, when the power factor equals 1, it does not mean that the system is at resonance. A capacitor in series with the coil is necessary to shift the electrical resonance ($\cos \theta_{U-I}=1$) to the mechanical resonance ($X=0$) which allows $X_e=0$.

Differentiating Eq. (10) with respect to R_a and

setting the result equal to zero gives the maximum PV power:

$$R_{a_PV} = \frac{1}{A^2 |Z_e|} |jA^2 Z_e X_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|, \quad (18)$$

$$W_{PV(max)} = \frac{|U|^2 \alpha^2 |jA^2 Z_e X_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|}{2 |Z_e| |A^2 Z_e Z_a + \alpha^2 + Z_e Z_m|^2}. \quad (19)$$

Differentiating Eq. (11) with respect to R_a without the assumption of $X=0$, and equating the result to zero, we obtain the optimum of R_a as

$$R_{a_ \eta} = \frac{1}{A^2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 R_m}{R_e} + R_m^2 + X^2} = \frac{1}{A^2} \sqrt{R_m^2 \sigma^2 + X^2}, \quad (20)$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{\alpha^2 / (R_e R_m) + 1}$ determines the highest possible efficiency of a linear compressor (Wakeland, 2000). For this value of R_a , the efficiency has its maximum value of

$$\eta_{max} = \frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{R_m^2 \sigma^2 + X^2}}{(\sqrt{R_m^2 \sigma^2 + X^2} + R_m) \alpha^2 + R_e \left[(\sqrt{R_m^2 \sigma^2 + X^2} + R_m)^2 + X^2 \right]}. \quad (21)$$

Eqs. (20) and (21) are obtained for the first time by the present authors without the resonance assumption. When the compressor is at resonance ($X=0$), Eqs. (20) and (21) are simplified to

$$R'_{a_ \eta} = \frac{\sigma R_m}{A^2}, \quad \eta'_{max} = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma + 1}. \quad (22)$$

Eq. (22) is the same as derived by Wakeland (2000) and Dai *et al.* (2011).

For a thermoacoustic system, there are no mechanical components, therefore $R_m = X_m = 0$, and Eq. (20) simplifies to $R'_{a_ \eta} = X_a$, which is the same as found by Bao *et al.* (2006). Although thermoacoustic engines are far more complicated than that described in Eqs. (1) and (2), the foregoing analyses without resonance assumption imply some relevance between thermoacoustic engines and linear compressors.

3 Experimental setup

To verify the analyses above and to investigate the effects of acoustic impedance on the performance of the linear compressor over a wide range of R_a , experimental studies are carried out. The experimental setup consists of a linear compressor with a swept volume of 3.6 cm³ and an RC load. The measured parameters of the linear compressor are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Parameters of the linear compressor

R_e (Ω)	L_e (mH)	R_m (kg/s)	M (kg)	k_s (N/m)	α (N/A)	A (m ²)
2.3	1.66	0.6	0.13	5360	18.0	2.01×10^{-4}

The RC load comprises a needle valve and a reservoir, as shown in Fig. 2. Helium gas was employed here. Two piezoelectric-type pressure transducers p_c and p_r are mounted before and after the valve in the experiments, respectively. The current, the power factor, and the electrical power are obtained from the power meter. A linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT) is mounted on one piston end of the compressor to measure the displacement. Fig. 3 shows the measured pressure and displacement waves, which are all sinusoidal. The delivered PV power can be calculated as

$$W_{PV} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} [p_c \tilde{V}_c] = \pi f A |p_c| |x| \sin \theta_{p_c - x}, \quad (23)$$

Fig. 2 Schematic of an RC load driven by a linear compressor

Fig. 3 Measured waves of pressures and displacement

where θ_{p_c-x} is the phase difference between p_c and x .

When a linear compressor is operating, the PV power delivered to the RC load is dissipated in the valve (R). The compression volume is small enough to be ignored, and the reservoir is made of stainless steel which can be considered as an adiabatic reservoir; hence, R_a and X_a are equal to the resistance of the valve (R) and the capacitance of the reservoir (C), respectively, which are given by (Bao *et al.*, 2006)

$$R_a = \frac{p_c - p_r}{V_c} = \frac{\gamma p_M |p_c|}{2\pi f V |p_r|} \sin \theta_{p_c-p_r}, \quad (24)$$

$$X_a = -\frac{\gamma p_M}{2\pi f V},$$

where $\theta_{p_c-p_r}$ is the phase difference between p_c and p_r , γ is the specific heat ratio of the working gas, p_M is the mean charging pressure, and V is the volume of the reservoir.

During the experiments, R_a is changed by regulating the valve openings, while X_a is changed by using various reservoir volumes and operating frequencies.

4 Analyses and discussion

4.1 Volume influence

Figs. 4–10 compare the theoretical predictions of the root mean square (RMS) current I_{RMS} , piston displacement amplitude $|x|$, pressure amplitude $|p_c|$, electric power, power factor, PV power, and the efficiency with the corresponding experimental results

with different volumes of the reservoir. In the calculations and experiments, the input RMS voltage U_{RMS} of the compressor is 14 V, the charging pressure in the system is 2.0 MPa, and f is 40 Hz. $A^2 R_a$ is used as the abscissa instead of R_a because it has the same unit of R_m . The calculations are carried out in a wide range of $A^2 R_a$ between 10^{-3} – 10^5 Pa·m·s, while the experiments are performed within the range of $A^2 R_a$ between 10^0 – 10^2 Pa·m·s. Here, different volumes will only result in different values of X_a . On the whole, the model and experimental results agree well with each other.

The deviations between measurements and calculations are due to several aspects that are neglected in our calculations, for example the eddy current loss and the hysteresis loss inside the yokes (Reed *et al.*, 2006), the blow-by through the clearance seal (Reed *et al.*, 2006), the irreversible heat transfer inside the compression volume (Reed *et al.*, 2004), and the pressure amplitude in the back volume. So the measured W_{PV} is less than the calculations (Fig. 9), thus the efficiency is lower (Fig. 10). Moreover, the static electrical inductance employed here is different from the dynamic electrical inductance, which results in the deviation between measurements and calculations in Fig. 8.

It is shown in Figs. 4–10 that all these parameters (I_{RMS} , $|x|$, $|p_c|$, W_e , power factor, W_{PV} , and η) are affected by $A^2 R_a$ in a wide range (10^{-3} – 10^5 Pa·m·s), when R_a is much smaller than X_a , then X_a , or in other words V , is the decisive factor for the performance. When R_a is comparable with X_a , both R_a and X_a have an equivalent impact on the performance. When R_a is much larger than X_a , it determines the performance of the linear compressor.

Fig. 4 RMS current vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 5 Piston displacement amplitude vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 6 Pressure amplitude at load inlet vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 7 Electrical input power vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 8 Power factor vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 9 PV power delivered vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Fig. 10 Efficiency vs. acoustic resistance under different volumes

Along with the increase of R_a , the magnitude $|Z|$ of the total equivalent impedance Z , shown in Eq. (16), decreases; therefore I_{RMS} and W_e increase for a given voltage. The equivalent damping coefficient R increases, hence, $|x|$ decreases. Thus, as indicated by Eq. (2), more motor force is applied to the gas inside the compression volume, as a result, $|p_c|$ increases. In the range where $|x|$ decreases (Fig. 5) and $|p_c|$ increases (Fig. 6), W_{PV} exhibits a peak as shown in Fig. 9. As for the power factor, it reaches a peak value of 1 at the condition of $(R^2 + X^2)X_e = X\alpha^2$, and it will finally reach the value $R_e / \sqrt{R_e^2 + X_e^2}$ when R_a becomes infinite. The variation of η can be explained from the tendencies of both W_{PV} and W_e , or by examining the variation of the fractional contribution of the acoustic resistance to the total equivalent resistance as given by Eq. (16). As R_a goes up, this contribution first increases, peaks, and then decreases.

As the reservoir volume, V increases, $|x|$ increases and $|p_c|$ decreases, I_{RMS} and W_e decrease at first and then increase, while the power factor and η increase at first and then decrease. With this linear compressor, for the given conditions of 40 Hz and 2.0 MPa, we have $X_m = 11.4 \text{ kg/s}$, and $A^2 X_a = -53.6$, -10.7 , and $-2.15 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}$ for V of 10 cm^3 , 50 cm^3 and 250 cm^3 , respectively. Here, the volume of 50 cm^3 provides the approximate resonant operation ($X=0$), and the efficiency η also reaches its maximum.

It is also interesting to note that the curves in Fig. 10 are symmetric about R_a on the logarithmic scale. A brief mathematical explanation of this feature is provided in the Appendix.

4.2 Frequency influence

The effects of frequency on the compressor performance have also been investigated. Figs. 11–17 compare the theoretical predictions with the corresponding experimental results at different frequencies. Here, in the calculations and experiments, the input voltage U_{RMS} of the compressor is 11 V, the charging pressure in the system is 2.0 MPa, and V is 250 cm^3 . Unlike the effect of V , different values of f will result in not only different values of X_a , but also different values of X_e and X_m . Also, it is found that the tendencies in the theoretical results describe the experimental data.

Fig. 11 RMS current vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 12 Piston displacement amplitude vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 13 Pressure amplitude at load inlet vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 14 Electrical input power vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 15 Power factor vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 16 PV power delivered vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

Fig. 17 Efficiency vs. acoustic resistance under different frequencies

The effects of acoustic impedance at different frequencies f are similar to those at different reservoir volumes V . An exception occurs when R_a is much larger than X_a ; in this range of R_a the performance is mainly determined not only by R_a but also by f (because f affects X_e and X_m). When R_a is much smaller than X_a , an increase in f results in a decrease in both $|x|$ and $|p_c|$, whereas I_{RMS} and W_e decrease at first and then increase, and η increases at first and then decreases. Also, some losses, for example the eddy current loss and the hysteresis loss inside the yokes, increase with frequency increases, that is why the PV power of 60 Hz in Fig. 16 is smaller than that of 40 Hz at their peak values.

Eqs. (6), (8) and (9) indicate that when R_a becomes infinite, I , p_c , and W_e will reach their maximum:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\max} &= \frac{|U|}{|Z_e|}, \\ p_{c\max} &= \frac{\alpha |U|}{A |Z_e|}, \\ W_{e\max} &= \frac{|U|^2}{2} \frac{R_e}{(R_e^2 + X_e^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Thus, in the range where R_a is much larger than X_a , an increase in f will cause I_{RMS} , $|p_c|$, and W_e all to decrease.

Likewise, the curves of efficiency on the logarithmic scale are symmetric. The efficiency η reaches its maximum at 40 Hz, which is the so-called “resonant frequency”.

5 Conclusions

A detailed study focusing on the effects of acoustic impedance in a linear compressor has been performed. The parameters including the current, the piston displacement, the pressure amplitude, the electrical power dissipation, the power factor, the PV power delivered, and the efficiency have been theoretically and experimentally investigated. An optimized acoustic resistance has been developed without the assumption of resonance, thereby providing more general expressions than in previous studies and correlating characteristics between a linear compressor and a thermoacoustic engine. Both calculations and experiments have been carried out which show that an appropriate acoustic impedance is crucial in order to achieve the best performance. The research provides a better understanding of the operation mechanism of a linear compressor and the impedance match in a cryocooler system.

References

- Bao, R., Chen, G.B., Tang, K., Jia, Z.Z., Cao, W.H., 2006. Effect of RC load on performance of thermoacoustic engine. *Cryogenics*, **46**(9):666-671. [doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2006.04.002]
- Chen, N., Tang, Y.J., Wu, Y.N., Chen, X., Xu, L., 2007. Study on static and dynamic characteristics of moving magnet linear compressors. *Cryogenics*, **47**(9-10):457-467. [doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2007.03.011]
- Chen, X., Zhu, Z.Q., 2012. Modeling and evaluation of linear oscillating actuators. *Journal of International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, **1**(4):517-524.
- Dai, W., Luo, E.C., Wang, X.T., Wu, Z.H., 2011. Impedance match for Stirling type cryocoolers. *Cryogenics*, **51**(4):168-172. [doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2011.01.002]
- Davey, G., 1981. The Oxford University Miniature Cryogenic Refrigerator. International Conference on Advanced Infrared Detectors and Systems, London, p.39.
- Gan, Z.H., Liu, G.J., Wu, Y.Z., Cao, Q., Qiu, L.M., Chen, G.B., Pfothenhauer, J.M., 2008. Study on a 5.0 W/80 K single stage Stirling type pulse tube cryocooler. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A*, **9**(9):1277-1282. [doi:10.1631/jzus.A0820220]
- Gan, Z.H., Wang, L.Y., Liu, D.L., Zhang, X.J., Wu, Y.N., 2012. Performance testing with RC load approach in linear compressors. *Journal of Engineering Thermophysics*, **33**(9):1475-1478 (in Chinese).
- Koh, D.Y., Hong, Y.J., Park, S.J., Kim, H.B., Lee, K.S., 2002. A study on the linear compressor characteristics of the Stirling cryocooler. *Cryogenics*, **42**(6-7):427-432. [doi:10.1016/S0011-2275(02)00064-4]
- Nast, T., Olson, J., Champagne, P., Evtimov, B., Frank, D., Roth, E., Renna, T., 2006. Overview of Lockheed Martin cryocoolers. *Cryogenics*, **46**(2-3):164-168. [doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2005.12.006]
- Park, S.J., Hong, Y.J., Kim, H.B., Koh, D.Y., Kim, J.H., Yu, B.K., Lee, K.B., 2002. The effect of operating parameters in the Stirling cryocooler. *Cryogenics*, **42**(6-7):419-425. [doi:10.1016/S0011-2275(02)00063-2]
- Raab, J., Tward, E., 2010. Northrop Grumman aerospace systems cryocooler overview. *Cryogenics*, **50**(9):572-581. [doi:10.1016/j.cryogenics.2010.02.009]
- Radebaugh, R., Garaway, I., Veprik, A.M., 2010. Development of Miniature, High Frequency Pulse Tube Cryocoolers. Proceedings of SPIE, **7660**:76602J-1-14. [doi:10.1117/12.852766]
- Reed, J., Bailey, P.B., Dadd, M.W., Davis, T., 2006. Motor and thermodynamic losses in linear cryocooler compressors. *Advances in Cryogenic Engineering*, **51**:361-368. [doi:10.1063/1.2202436]
- Reed, J.S., Davey, G., Dadd, M.W., Bailey, P.B., 2004. Compression losses in cryocoolers. *Cryocoolers*, **13**:209-214. [doi:10.1007/0-387-27533-9_30]
- Swift, G., 2002. Thermoacoustics: A Unifying Perspective for Some Engines and Refrigerators. Acoustical Society of America Publications, New York, America, p.234-238.
- Wakeland, R.S., 2000. Use of electrodynamic drivers in thermoacoustic refrigerators. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, **107**(2):827-832. [doi:10.1121/1.428265]
- Wang, L.Y., Zhou, W.J., Gan, Z.H., 2012. Performance testing of linear compressors with RC approach. *Advances in Cryogenic Engineering*, **57**:1624-1631. [doi:10.1063/1.4707094]

Appendix: Explanation of the feature of η

Eq. (11) can be written as

$$\eta = \frac{C_1}{C_2 R_a + \frac{C_3}{R_a} + C_4},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= (\alpha A)^2, C_2 = A^4 R_e, \\ C_3 &= R_m \alpha^2 + (R_m^2 + X^2) R_e, \\ C_4 &= A^2 \alpha^2 + 2 R_m A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, R_a is written in an exponential form so that $R_a = 10^i$, and we define the function $f(R_a)$ as

$$f(R_a) = C_2 R_a + \frac{C_3}{R_a},$$

and the function $g(i)$ about i as

$$g(i) = f(R_a) = m10^{i-n} + m10^{-(i-n)},$$

where

$$m = \sqrt{C_2 C_3}, \quad n = \lg \sqrt{\frac{C_3}{C_2}}.$$

It is found that $g(i)$ is symmetric about i on the linear coordinates, hence, $f(R_a)$ and η are symmetric about R_a on the logarithmic coordinates, as shown in Fig. 10.