MRS Communications (2019), 9, 181-188

© Materials Research Society, 2019. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1557/mrc.2019.24



Research Letter

Programmable microscale stiffness pattern of flat polymeric substrates by temperature-memory technology

Yi Jiang, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Kantstr. 55, 14513 Teltow, Germany; Institute of Chemistry, University of Potsdam, 14476 Potsdam, Germany Ulrich Mansfeld, and Karl Kratz, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Kantstr. 55, 14513 Teltow, Germany Andreas Lendlein, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Kantstr. 55, 14513 Teltow, Germany; Institute of Chemistry, University of Potsdam, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

Address all correspondence to Andreas Lendlein at andreas.lendlein@hzg.de

(Received 10 January 2019; accepted 12 February 2019)

Abstract

Temperature-memory technology was utilized to generate flat substrates with a programmable stiffness pattern from cross-linked poly(ethylene-*co*-vinyl acetate) substrates with cylindrical microstructures. Programmed substrates were obtained by vertical compression at temperatures in the range from 60 to 100 °C and subsequent cooling, whereby a flat substrate was achieved by compression at 72 °C, as documented by scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy (AFM). AFM nanoindentation experiments revealed that all programmed substrates exhibited the targeted stiffness pattern. The presented technology for generating polymeric substrates with programmable stiffness pattern should be attractive for applications such as touchpads, optical storage, or cell instructive substrates.

Introduction

Polymeric substrates comprising local mechanical stiffness pattern or nanostructural features are intensively investigated in the context of applications such as haptic displays (touchpads), stretchable electronics, mechanical and optical data storage devices,^[1–8] or as instructive cell substrates guiding mechanosensitive (stem) cells.^[9–15] While individual cells can react to structural features of few nanometers in size and mechanical differences in the Pascal (Pa) range,^[9,14] the tactile sensitivity of a human finger is only capable of detecting structural features above 10 nm and local mechanics in the kPa regime.^[16–20]

Mechanically patterned surfaces can be realized by variation of the polymer's chemical composition (e.g., phase separated blends)^[2] or crosslinking density (e.g., hydrogels).^[9,21] Another approach is based on placing rigid microstructures or defined closed cavities (pores)^[22] under soft/elastic polymeric materials and applying pressure.^[11]

In most technical applications (i.e., touchpads or data storage) the programmability of the material's surface is a central requirement. Thermally-triggered shape-memory polymers $(SMPs)^{[23]}$ are a class of smart polymers that allow the realization of programmable surface structures. Here, thermal transitions (e.g., melting T_m or glass transition T_g temperature) are utilized as molecular switches that fix/stabilize a deformed (temporary) shape during a heating–deformation–cooling procedure, called programming. The obtained temporary shape is long-term stable until the programmed materials are again exposed to temperatures above the switching temperature, which causes recovery of the original shape. Besides the shape-memory effects on macroscopic specimens, the topographical recovery of microstructures on the surfaces of SMP films has also been reported, where programming was realized by vertical or tilted compression of the substrates, or via stretching the specimen in an elastic mold.^[24–28] Among the SMP materials, polymer networks with broad melting or glass transition temperatures are of particular interest, as these allow the adjustment of the switching temperature (the temperature of maximum recovery rate) by variation of the deformation temperature within the thermal transition.^[7,28–33]

In this study we explored whether flat polymeric substrates with a distinct mechanical pattern can be obtained by applying temperature-memory programming to microstructured polymer substrates. We hypothesize that to achieve a substrate that is flat at the nanometer scale, the application of a specific deformation temperature (sT_{prog}) during programming is required, where the contractive effects during cooling under stress are compensated (see Scheme 1).

Our concept for achieving flat polymeric substrates with a defined (programmable) microscale stiffness pattern involves pressing elevated microstructures of a temperature-memory polymer into the underlying substrate without significantly compressing the whole polymer film. As polymer materials with crystallizable switching segments have advantages in terms of the stability of temporary shapes, we have chosen cross-linked poly(ethylene-*co*-vinyl acetate) (cPEVA) with a broad polyethylene melting transition for this work. For the substrates (thickness = 500 μ m), a topographical design consisting of an array of microcylinders 50 μ m apart, each with a diameter of 25 μ m and





Scheme 1. Schematic representation of programming originally microstructured polymer substrates into flat substrates by application of a specific deformation temperature sT_{prog} (center). At deformation temperatures below sT_{prog} the incomplete impression results in remaining elevated features while deformation above sT_{prog} generates small cavities caused by local, oriented crystallization during cooling under stress.

a height of 10 µm was chosen. Here the volume of the microstructures represents 0.2% of the total substrate volume. The cPEVA substrates were prepared by an integrated process, whereby shaping and crosslinking occur simultaneously. Temperaturememory programming of the microstructured SMP surfaces to form flat substrates was realized by vertical or tilted compression at deformation (programming) temperatures from 60 to 100 °C and a fixation temperature of 10 °C, which were previously reported to result in an excellent shape fixation $(R_f \ge$ 90%).^[26,29,33,34] The thermomechanical properties of the obtained microstructured substrates were explored by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA). Original and programmed flat surfaces were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), while the microcylinder height and local mechanical stiffness were examined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and nanoindentation.

Experimental Preparation of microstructured cPEVA substrates

Microstructured cPEVA substrates were prepared utilizing a fabrication scheme integrating shaping and covalent

crosslinking according to the method described in the literature.^[26,28] In brief, in a first step a blend film composed of PEVA with vinyl acetate content of 18 wt% (trade name "Elvax460", DuPont de Nemours, Neu-Isenburg, Germany) and the crosslinking agent dicumyl peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany) was solution casted from toluene (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany). In the next step microstructuring of the blend film was achieved by thermal embossing at 140 °C with a nanostructured poly(dimethylsiloxane) mold, having equidistant cylindrical microcavities with a depth of 10 μ m and a diameter of 25 μ m, and subsequently increasing the temperature to 220 °C for 30 min for cross-linking. The obtained microstructured cPEVA substrates had a thickness of around 500 μ m.

Thermomechanical characterization

DSC experiments were conducted on a Netzsch DSC 204 Phoenix (NETZSCH Group, Selb, Germany) at heating and cooling rates of 10 K/min in sealed aluminum pans. A typical testing cycle is as follows. The polymer samples were first heated from room temperature to 150 °C to remove the processing related thermal history. The sample was then cooled from 150 to -100 °C and subsequently heated to 150 °C. Both temperature changes were analyzed with regard to the peak crystallization (T_c) and melting temperature (T_m).

DMTA measurement was carried out on an Eplexor 25N (Gabo, Ahlden, Germany) equipped with a 25 N load cell using the standard type test specimen (DIN EN ISO 527-2/1BB) in compression mode. The applied oscillation frequency was 10 Hz. The measurement was performed in the temperature sweep mode from -100 to 150 °C with a constant heating rate of 2 °C/min.

Temperature-memory programming of flat substrates

For temperature-memory programming, the microstructured substrates (area = 0.5 cm × 0.5 cm) were placed between two smooth silicon wafers and compressed (vertically or at an angle) at temperatures ranging from $T_{\rm prog}$ = 60 to 100 °C for 20 min using foldback clips (width 25 mm) providing a force of approximately 20 N.^[35] Subsequently the whole sandwich was cooled to 10 °C and maintained at this temperature for 20 min prior pressure release.

Scanning electron microscopy

The original as well as programmed cPEVA substrates were analyzed at room temperature using an environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM) (Quanta FEG 250 (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) operating at 30 kV equipped with a gaseous secondary electron detector. The samples were inspected with iridium coating.

Polarized optical microscopy

Polarized optical microscopy (POM) analysis was conducted using a Zeiss AxioImager A1m microscope, which was equipped with a Zeiss Axiocam MRC CCD camera and ZEN software (all Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany). The programmed samples were cut using a razor blade at ambient temperature into cross-sections with a thickness of around $50-70 \,\mu\text{m}$ and were placed on a glass coverslip. The orthoscopic images were recorded in transmission mode (and 0° position of the polarizer) with an objective Zeiss A-Plan $40 \times /$ 0.65 Pol (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) at ambient temperature.

Characterization of original and programmed substrates by AFM

AFM measurements at ambient temperature were performed using a MFP-3D-BioTM AFM (Asylum Research, Goleta, USA). Tapping mode (AC) with a scan rate of 0.5 Hz was applied to characterize the topographies of single microcylinders, as reported elsewhere.^[26] A scan size of 50 μ m × 50 μ m was chosen for investigation of height and diameter of individual microcylinders. The apparent height, *H*, determined by AFM represents the height at the center of the microcylinders and relative to the level of the surrounding interspace surface of the substrate. Five individual microcylinders were analyzed for determination of *H*. Three-dimensional (3D) AFM images of the obtained raw data (height images and surface roughness) were processed using software MountainsMap[®] (Digital Surf, Besançon, France). The shape fixity ratio (R_f) of the programmed single microcylinders was calculated according to Eq. (1):

$$R_{\rm f} = \frac{H_0 - H_{\mu}}{H_0} \times 100\% \tag{1}$$

with H_0 and H_{μ} being the apparent heights of original and programmed (temporary) microcylinder, respectively.

Contact mode was applied to characterize the local reduced *E*-modulus of microstructured surface at the microscopic level. The probe type AC160TS (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a typical driving frequency of 300 kHz (individual difference ranging from 200 to 400 kHz) and a typical spring constant of 26 N/m (individual difference ranging from 8.4 to 57 N/m) was used. Before indenting samples, the cantilever was first calibrated for the deflection inverse optical lever sensitivity (Defl InvOLS) by indentation on a clean smooth silicon wafer, and then calibrated for the spring constant by the thermal method using Asylum Research software (IGOR Pro, Wavemetrics, Inc.). In this mode, force maps with 20×20 indents with a size of $60 \,\mu\text{m} \times 60 \,\mu\text{m}$, containing a single micropillar at the center and surrounding inter-pillar space were obtained. Force was applied from 7.0 to 8.5 µN in order to keep the deformation depth at around 500-600 nm. The reduced E-modulus map was obtained by analyzing the force map using Asylum Research software. The Oliver-Pharr model^[36,37] was utilized to fit the single force curves and the reduced E-modulus was calculated by the fitting from 60% to 90% of indentation depth. The Poisson ratio (v) of cPEVA was assumed to be 0.5, similar to that of rubber.^[38] The average of 16 points at the center of single micropillar was taken as the reduced *E*-modulus of single microcylinder (E_m) , and the average of 25 points at each corner of the force map was taken as the reduced *E*-modulus of inter-pillar space (E_i) .

Results and discussion

Microstructured cPEVA substrates comprising microcylinders with a diameter of 25 μ m, a height of 10 μ m, and inter-pillar distance of 50 μ m were fabricated via an integrated structuring process. Here the total volume of all microcylinders represents 0.2% of the overall substrate volume. The uniformity of the microcylinders was visualized by SEM, showing arrays of identical cylinders across the substrate area, while an average height of the cylinders of 10.0 ± 0.1 μ m was determined by AFM (Fig. 1).

The thermomechanical characteristics of the original cPEVA substrates were examined by means of DSC and DMTA. DSC results revealed a broad melting transition of the cPEVA substrates in the range of 40–90 °C with a peak maximum at $T_{\rm m} = 82 \pm 1$ °C during heating, while upon cooling a peak crystallization temperature of $T_{\rm c} = 62 \pm 1$ °C was





Figure 1. Surface characteristics of original microstructured cPEVA substrate. SEM image of the surface showing regular cylinder arrays (a) and a single microcylinder (b); 3D reconstruction of AFM scan of a single microcylinder (c) and the related height profile (d).

observed [Fig. 2(a)]. A pronounced maximum in the tan δ temperature curve was found at -15 °C in DMTA experiments, which can be attributed to the glass transition of cPEVA [Fig. 2(b)]. The loss modulus decreases with increasing temperature from approximately 2 GPa at -50 °C to approximately 10 MPa at 100 °C [Fig. 2(b)]. Based on these results, the temperature-memory programming temperatures ($T_{\rm prog}$) were chosen in the range of 60–100 °C for investigating the influence of the applied $T_{\rm prog}$ on the resultant temporarily flat substrates. In this context, a fixation temperature of 10 °C was applied to ensure proper crystallization (see the Experimental

section). With increasing the deformation temperature from 60 to 100 °C the degree of crystallinity of the cPEVA material decreases, and consequently the stiffness of the substrate as represented by the reduction in loss modulus from $E' = 35 \pm 1$ MPa at 60 °C to $E' = 8 \pm 1$ MPa at 100 °C [Fig. 2(c)]. As the compressive force applied by the foldback-clips was constant in all programming procedures, it is anticipated that the decreasing stiffness with increasing programming temperature results in microcylinders being more fully compressed into the substrate. According to the DMTA results this would be the case at temperatures above 81 °C. During cooling to 10 °C with



Figure 2. Thermomechanical characteristics of original microstructured cPEVA substrate. DSC heating and cooling thermograms (a), change in loss modulus (E') and tan δ with temperature obtained by DMTA (b), change in E' in the temperature range from 60 to 100 °C (c).



Figure 3. SEM images of flat cPEVA substrates and single microcylinders vertically programmed at different temperatures of (a) 60 °C, (b) 70 °C, (c) 72 °C, (d) 75 °C, and (e) 80 °C.

keeping the compressive stress local oriented crystallization at the microcylinder site should occur, which can cause formation of nanocavities.

SEM investigation of the whole programmed sample revealed a more pronounced compression of the microcylinders into the substrate at the areas close to fixing foldback clips, when compared with the center of the sample. Therefore, all further SEM and AFM investigations were conducted with sample sections from the central area. Representative SEM images of the differently programmed substrates and single microcylinders confirmed a decrease in height of the compressed microfeatures with increasing T_{prog} from 60 to 100 °C (Fig. 3). From these SEM micrographs it becomes further obvious that up to $T_{\rm prog} = 72 \,^{\circ}{\rm C}$ the compressed microcylinders are surrounded by a ring-shaped trench. A similar phenomenon was observed for programmed cPEVA surfaces comprising arrays of pyramids, which were applied for dry adhesion purposes.^[24] The displayed SEM micrographs further indicated that width and depth of the trench decreases with variation of T_{prog} from 60 to 72 °C.

The analysis of the programmed microcylinders by AFM revealed a decrease in microfeature height from 1.3 ± 0.1 to 0.4 ± 0.1 µm with increasing the programming temperature from 60 to 80 °C. The excellent fixation of the deformation

was documented by high shape fixity ratios ranging from $R_{\rm f}$ = $87 \pm 1\%$ to $R_f = 104 \pm 1\%$. Here, R_f -values above 100% might result from the contractive local oriented crystallization effects. It could be further observed that the depth and width of the surrounding trench vary when deformation was conducted at different temperatures. The obtained data are summarized in Table I and the 3D AFM images and height profiles are displayed in Fig. 4. While at deformation temperatures $\leq 70 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ elevated microstructures remained, an almost flat (compressed) microcylinder could be obtained when a specific deformation temperature of $sT_{prog} = 72$ °C was applied to the selected substrate design. It can be assumed that the sT_{prog} -value of structured polymeric substrates can be in addition influenced by the microstructures' size and shape or the applied compressive force. Interestingly, the application of deformation temperatures >75 °C caused the formation of microcavities, which might be attributed to specific local contractive effects, induced by oriented crystallization during the programming process (i.e., compression and cooling under stress). It is assumed that the processes of deforming the microcylinder and impressing it into the underlying substrate generate local stress, which causes orientation of the macromolecules. As the local deformability increases with increasing programming temperature, different stress patterns should be obtained for cPEVA substrates

Table I Height (*H*), shape fixity ratio (R_f), and relative reduced *E*-modulus (E_m/E_i) determined by AFM for cPEVA microcylinders which were vertically programmed at various T_{prog} .

$T_{\rm prog}$ (°C)	60	70	72	80	100
<i>Η</i> (μm)	1.34 ± 0.02	0.63 ± 0.01	-0.04 ± 0.01	-0.41 ± 0.01	-0.1 ± 0.01
R _{f,H} (%)	87 ± 1	94 ± 1	100 ± 1	104 ± 1	101 ± 1
<i>E</i> _m / <i>E</i> _i (%)	113 ± 1	117 ± 1	110 ± 1	109 ± 1	109 ± 1





Figure 4. AFM 3D images and height profiles of cPEVA microcylinders vertically programmed at (a) 60 °C, (b) 70 °C, (c) 72 °C, (d) 80 °C, and (e) 100 °C. Polarized optical micrographs of substrates programmed at 72 °C (f) and 80 °C (g), the yellow arrows indicate the maximum stress concentration.

programmed at different deformation temperatures. POM investigations were conducted to visualize the local stress in programmed cPEVA substrates (for details see the Experimental section). In Fig. 4(f) and (g), the POM images obtained for substrates programmed at $T_{\text{prog}} = 72$ and 80 °C are shown. Here different stress concentrations became obvious, indicating a difference in the orientation of the macromolecules, which might be the cause of the formation of microcavities at $T_{\text{prog}} \ge$ 75 °C during the oriented crystallization process. We anticipate that the mechanism of contraction is similar to that described for crystallizable water-blown polyurethane foams exhibiting reversible height and pore size changes upon repetitive heating and cooling after programming by compression.^[39] In contrast to the flat or elevated microfeatures, for such microcavities, no ring-shaped trench could be observed.

AFM nanoindentation was applied to characterize the local reduced *E*-modulus of the surface of the impressed microcylinders ($E_{\rm m}$) and the neighboring interface area ($E_{\rm i}$). The obtained reduced *E*-modulus maps for differently programmed cPEVA substrates are shown in Fig. 5. The stiffness difference between microcylinders and interspace was quantified by the relative ratio $E_{\rm m}/E_{\rm i}$. The original microstructured substrates exhibited



Figure 5. AFM reduced *E*-modulus maps of original cPEVA microcylinders (a) and the surrounding area and programmed by vertically compression at (b) 60 °C, (c) 70 °C, (d) 72 °C, (e) 75 °C, (f) 80 °C, or (g) 100 °C.

a reduced *E*-modulus of $E_{\rm m} = 9.6 \pm 0.2$ MPa, which was slightly lower than that of the surrounding interspace ($E_{\rm i} = 10.1 \pm 0.2$ MPa). This difference might be related to the thermomechanical history implemented during the integrated structuring process or demolding. In contrast, for all programmed cPEVA substrates a higher reduced *E*-modulus (about 9–17%) was found at the surface of the impressed microcylinders, whereby lower deformation temperatures resulted in higher differences in stiffness. The highest difference between $E_{\rm m}$ and $E_{\rm i}$ ($E_{\rm m}/E_{\rm i} = 117 \pm$ 1%) was found for the substrates deformed at 70 °C. We attribute this observation to a higher degree of orientation of the macromolecules in the area of the microcylinders.

Conclusions

In this study we demonstrated that flat polymeric surfaces with a programmable stiffness pattern on microscale can be achieved by applying temperature-memory creation procedures to microstructured cPEVA substrates. Here the programming temperature was chosen as a key physical control parameter, which is capable of determining both the resultant surface topography and the local stiffness. All programmed samples showed a higher local stiffness on the microcylinder locations than on the interspace between the microstructures. It can be anticipated that the presented technology for achieving a programmable stiffness pattern on flat substrates is of relevance for haptic displays or cell instructive substrates.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Helmholtz-Association through programme-oriented funding and by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research through Grant No. 031A095. The authors thank Ms. Y. Pieper and Ms. D. Radzik for technical support and Dr. O.E. Gould for graphical support.

References

- T. Ouchi, J. Yang, Z. Suo, and R.C. Hayward: Effects of stiff film pattern geometry on surface buckling instabilities of elastic bilayers. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10, 23406 (2018).
- J. Raczkowska, S. Prauzner-Bechcicki, P. Dąbczyński, and R. Szydlak: Elasticity patterns induced by phase-separation in polymer blend films. *Thin Solid Films* 624, 181 (2017).
- L. Jin and Z. Suo: Smoothening creases on surfaces of strain-stiffening materials. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 74, 68 (2015).
- Y. Cao, G. Zhang, Y. Zhang, M. Yue, Y. Chen, S. Cai, T. Xie, and X. Feng: Direct fabrication of stretchable electronics on a polymer substrate with process-integrated programmable rigidity. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28, 1804604 (2018).
- A. Auguste, L. Jin, Z. Suo, and R.C. Hayward: Post-wrinkle bifurcations in elastic bilayers with modest contrast in modulus. *Extreme Mech. Lett.* 11, 30 (2017).
- D.L.N. Kallepalli, A.M. Alshehri, D.T. Marquez, L. Andrzejewski, J.C. Scaiano, and R. Bhardwaj: Ultra-high density optical data storage in common transparent plastics. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 26163 (2016).
- W.G. Bae, J.H. Choi, and K.Y. Suh: Pitch-tunable size reduction patterning with a temperature-memory polymer. *Small* 9, 193 (2013).
- D. Chen, L. Jin, Z. Suo, and R.C. Hayward: Controlled formation and disappearance of creases. *Mater. Horiz.* 1, 207 (2014).

- 9. D.E. Discher, P. Janmey, and Y.-I Wang: Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. *Science* **310**, 1139 (2005).
- S. Di Cio and J.E. Gautrot: Cell sensing of physical properties at the nanoscale: mechanisms and control of cell adhesion and phenotype. *Acta Biomater.* **30**, 26 (2016).
- J.T. Pham, L. Xue, A. del Campo, and M. Salierno: Guiding cell migration with microscale stiffness patterns and undulated surfaces. *Acta Biomater*. 38, 106 (2016).
- H. Sunami, Y. Shimizu, J. Denda, I. Yokota, T. Yoshizawa, Y. Uechi, H. Nakasone, Y. Igarashi, H. Kishimoto, and M. Matsushita: Modulation of surface stiffness and cell patterning on polymer films using micropatterns. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B* **106**, 976 (2017).
- R. Sunyer, A.J. Jin, R. Nossal, and D.L. Sackett: Fabrication of hydrogels with steep stiffness gradients for studying cell mechanical response. *PLoS ONE* 7, e46107 (2012).
- 14. Y. Yang, K. Wang, X. Gu, and K.W. Leong: Biophysical regulation of cell behavior—cross talk between substrate stiffness and nanotopography. *Engineering* 3, 36 (2017).
- H. Juhee, L. Sujin, P. Sukho, and L. Junghoon: Micro checkerboard patterned polymeric surface with discrete rigidity for studying cell migration. *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 25, 045012 (2015).
- S. Kuroki and S.Y. Nishida: Human tactile detection of within- and interfinger spatiotemporal phase shifts of low-frequency vibrations. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 4288 (2018).
- M.S. Sarwar, Y. Dobashi, C. Preston, J.K.M. Wyss, S. Mirabbasi, and J.D. W. Madden: Bend, stretch, and touch: locating a finger on an actively deformed transparent sensor array. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1602200 (2017).
- B.M. Dzidek, M.J. Adams, J.W. Andrews, Z. Zhang, and S.A. Johnson: Contact mechanics of the human finger pad under compressive loads. *J. R. Soc., Interface* 14, 20160935 (2017).
- L. Skedung, M. Arvidsson, J.Y. Chung, C.M. Stafford, B. Berglund, and M.W. Rutland: Feeling small: exploring the tactile perception limits. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 2617 (2013).
- A. Abdouni, M. Djaghloul, C. Thieulin, R. Vargiolu, C. Pailler-Mattei, and H. Zahouani: Biophysical properties of the human finger for touch comprehension: influences of ageing and gender. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 4, 170321 (2017).
- C.-H.R. Kuo, J. Xian, J.D. Brenton, K. Franze, and E. Sivaniah: Complex stiffness gradient substrates for studying mechanotactic cell migration. *Adv. Mater.* 24, 6059 (2012).
- 22. J. Hu, Y. Zhou, Z. Liu, and Y.T. Ng: Pattern switching in soft cellular structures and hydrogel-elastomer composite materials under compression. *Polymers (Basel)* 9, 229 (2017).
- 23. A. Lendlein and S. Kelch: Shape-memory polymers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **41**, 2034 (2002).
- 24. J.D. Eisenhaure, T. Xie, S. Varghese, and S. Kim: Microstructured shape memory polymer surfaces with reversible dry adhesion. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 7714 (2013).
- N. Garcia-Huete, J.M. Cuevas, J.M. Laza, J.L. Vilas, and L.M. Leon: Polymeric shape-memory micro-patterned surface for switching wettability with temperature. *Polymers (Basel)* 7, 1674 (2015).
- 26.Y. Jiang, L. Fang, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Influence of compression direction on the shape-memory effect of micro-cylinder arrays prepared from semi-crystalline polymer networks. *MRS Adv.* 1, 1985 (2016).
- W.L. Lee and H.Y. Low: Geometry- and length scale-dependent deformation and recovery on micro- and nanopatterned shape memory polymer surfaces. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23686 (2016).
- Y. Jiang, U. Mansfeld, L. Fang, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Temperatureinduced evolution of microstructures on poly[ethylene-co-(vinyl acetate)] substrates switches their underwater wettability. *Mater. Des.* 163, 107530 (2018).
- K. Kratz, S.A. Madbouly, W. Wagermaier, and A. Lendlein: Temperaturememory polymer networks with crystallizable controlling units. *Adv. Mater.* 23, 4058 (2011).
- P. Miaudet, A. Derre, M. Maugey, C. Zakri, P.M. Piccione, R. Inoubli, and P. Poulin: Shape and temperature memory of nanocomposites with broadened glass transition. *Science* **318**, 1294 (2007).
- T. Xie, K.A. Page, and S.A. Eastman: Strain-based temperature memory effect for Nafion and its molecular origins. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 21, 2057 (2011).



- 32. G. Li and A. Wang: Cold, warm, and hot programming of shape memory polymers. J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. 54, 1319 (2016).
- U. Nochel, C.S. Reddy, K. Wang, J. Cui, I. Zizak, M. Behl, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Nanostructural changes in crystallizable controlling units determine the temperature-memory of polymers. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 3, 8284 (2015).
- 34. Y. Jiang, U. Mansfeld, L. Fang, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Temperatureinduced evolution of microstructures on poly[ethylene-co-(vinyl acetate)] substrates switches their underwater wettability. *Mater. Des.* **163**, 107530 (2019).
- 35.Y. Liu, M.Y. Razzaq, T. Rudolph, L. Fang, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Two-level shape changes of polymeric microcuboids prepared from crystallizable copolymer networks. *Macromolecules* **50**, 2518 (2017).
- 36. J. Deuschle, S. Enders, and E. Arzt: Surface detection in nanoindentation of soft polymers. J. Mater. Res. 22, 3107 (2011).
- 37.W.C. Oliver and G.M. Pharr: Measurement of hardness and elastic modulus by instrumented indentation: advances in understanding and refinements to methodology. *J. Mater. Res.* **19**, 3 (2011).
- D. Koblar, J. Škofic, and M. Boltežar: Evaluation of the young's modulus of rubber-like materials bonded to rigid surfaces with respect to Poisson's ratio. J. Mech. Eng. 60, 506 (2014).
- E. Zharinova, M. Heuchel, T. Weigel, D. Gerber, K. Kratz, and A. Lendlein: Water-blown polyurethane foams showing a reversible shape-memory effect. *Polymers (Basel)* 8, 412 (2016).