

## Potential uses of microsatellites in marker-assisted selection for improved bread-making quality in wheat

Obreht D., Kobiljski B.\*, Denčić S.\*, Djan M., Vapa Lj.

Faculty of Natural Sciences, \*Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops  
21000 Novi Sad, Serbia and Montenegro  
Corresponding author: obrehtd@ib.ns.ac.yu

### SUMMARY

Implementation of marker assisted selection (MAS) in conventional breeding programs could allow assessment of the genetic potential of specific genotypes prior to their phenotypic evaluation. Furthermore, it could identify important trait alleles or marker-trait associations for further determination of a precise position for the loci of interest. Potential uses of microsatellite markers in molecular evaluation of bread-making quality was tested in a sample of 69 wheat genotypes that were genotyped with 3 microsatellites linked to previously mapped QTLs for loaf volume and Hagberg falling number on chromosome 3A. A total of 19 alleles were found, with an average of 6.33 alleles per loci, and average PIC value of 0.40. Specific SSR alleles were tested for association with bread-making related parameters. The association study approach, which uses statistical analysis of marker and phenotypic data, showed significant association of a specific allele at the GWM674 locus with Hagberg falling number in wheat.

**Index words:** wheat, SSRs, bread-making quality

### INTRODUCTION

The molecular basis for the role of wheat protein and non-protein components of bread-making quality (BMQ) is not fully understood. The high molecular weight (HMW), and low molecular weight (LMW) glutenin subunits account for about 60% of the variation in bread making quality. Other non-gluten factors such as amylase activity (Mansour, 1993), grain hardness (Hogg *et al.*, 2005) and other minor prolamine-like proteins (Clarke *et al.*, 2003) may account for a substantial remainder of the unexplained variation.

Several studies have found evidence of the importance of chromosome 3A in wheat. QTLs were detected for quality related traits (Gross *et al.*, 2002, Schmidt *et al.*, 2004) and for yield related traits (Shah *et al.*, 1999, Campbell *et al.*, 2003). Law *et al.* (2005) reported two highly significant QTLs for the BMQ parameters, namely loaf volume and Hagberg falling number (HFN). It was proposed that a single gene *Lvl 1*, located on the 3AL chromosome, was responsible for variation in baking quality performance. The second detected QTL, also located on 3A chromosome, could be a single gene controlling HFN.

Currently, the most common method for QTLs mapping involves generating populations derived from single crosses and estimating recombination frequencies between marker loci and the genes of interest. Despite the widespread use of this approach, marker application is being impeded through the lack of information on the polymorphism and marker trait associations in genetic material relevant to most breeding programs worldwide (Eisemann *et al.*, 2004). After the QTL detection phase, the next step towards genetic profiling of complex traits is assessment of the allelic variation at candidate loci. Marker-trait

association studies are considered a feasible strategy for elucidation of the molecular basis of complex traits in human genetics, and in animal and plant breeding. A broad range of studies in barley (Ivandić *et al.*, 2003), potato (Gebhardt *et al.*, 2004) and wheat (Bai *et al.*, 2004, Breseghello and Sorrells, 2005) have been performed in which associations between the phenotypic and the genotypic variability were established.

This research has been conducted in order to explore potential uses of microsatellite markers in the evaluation of bread-making quality and testing the effectiveness of the association study approach for specific SSR alleles and desirable phenotypic values of five BMQ related traits.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The 69 wheat cultivars analysed in this study represent a subset of Novi Sad Core Collection located at Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia and Montenegro (Table 1).

Table 1. Hexaploid wheat cultivars and breeding lines

Genotype	Origin	Genotype	Origin	Genotype	Origin
Agent	USA	KG 56	SCG	Panonija	SCG
Amadeus	AUT	Klein Fortin	ARG	Partizanka	SCG
Anastasija	SCG	Klein Toledo	ARG	Pergamino Gaboto	ARG
Auburn	USA	Košuta	SCG	Pesma	SCG
Avalon	GBR	Leones Inta	ARG	Pinzon Inta	ARG
Balkan	SCG	Lepenica	SCG	Pobeda	SCG
Bankuti 1205	HUN	Lerma Rojo	MEX	Proteinka	SCG
Bezostaya 1	RUS	Manitou Insens	CAN	Radika	FYRM
Cajeme 71	MEX	Mina	SCG	Renan	FRA
Caldwell	USA	Mironovskaya 808	UKR	Renesansa	SCG
Cappelle Desprez	FRA	Nakhodka 5	UKR	Sava	SCG
Centurk	USA	Nizija	SCG	Siete Cerros	MEX
Chris	CAN	NS 0.1079	SCG	Sofija	SCG
Cook	AUS	NS 0.1081	SCG	Sonata	SCG
Dnestrovskaya 25	RUS	NS 0.733	SCG	Sreća	SCG
Evropa 90	SCG	NS 121/98	SCG	Sremica	SCG
F 53-70	ROM	NS 164/98	SCG	Super zlatna	CRO
Fawwon 77	MEX	NS 42/00	SCG	Suwon 92	KOR
Fawwon 78	MEX	NS 45/00	SCG	Tavrchanka	UKR
Flamura 80	ROM	NS 57/00	SCG	Tiha	SCG
Garazinko	BRA	NS 82/00	SCG	UPI 301	IND
Hira	IND	NSR 2	SCG	Vireo S	MEX
Jugoslavija	SCG	Odeskaya 51	UKR	Zugoly	HUN

Based on detailed (54 traits) evaluation of 710 wheat genotypes from 52 countries, a subset of 69 genotypes with the highest overall phenotypic variation was identified for screening with microsatellites. Thirty-one cultivars were bred in Serbia. The remaining cultivars had an international breeding background. Wheat cultivar Chinese Spring was used as control cultivar in the SSR analysis.

DNA was isolated from 0.2 g of ground seed according to Plaschke *et al.* (1995). Microsatellite markers GWM32, GWM674 and GWM720 from chromosome 3A were analysed in 20 µl PCR reaction containing: 30 ng DNA, 1xPCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 nM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each SSR primers, and 2 units of Taq polymerase (Amersham Pharmacia). The following thermal profile was used for SSR amplification: initial denaturation for 3 min at 94° C, 45 cycles of 1 min at 94°, 2 min at 72° C, and final extension for 10 min at 72° C. Annealing of primers was 1 min at 60° C for GWM674 and GWM720, and 55° C for GWM32. PCR products were size separated by standard 0.4 mm 6% PAA denaturing gels and visualised by silver staining according to Sanguinetti *et al.* (1994). Marker fragments were scored according to their electrophoretic mobility in comparison with the Chinese Spring standard.

The following bread-making quality components were analysed: Hagberg falling number (s) determined by ICC standard method 107/1 (1999), Bread yield (g/100g flour), Loaf volume yield (ml/100g flour), Loaf volume (cm<sup>3</sup>, rapeseed displacement method), and Loaf quality (scored 0-7, based on loaf volume, the spring and the break of the crust, cell size and distribution, and resilience and softness of the crumb). The values of bread-making quality components were based on 2-year average.

Statistical analysis: PIC score was calculated according to Anderson *et al.* (1993). For microsatellite markers GWM674 and GWM32 the strength of association between marker alleles and bread-making quality parameters was tested using One-Way ANOVA. Wheat genotypes were grouped according to the presence of particular microsatellite alleles (independent variables) and group mean values of analysed BMQ parameters were treated as dependent variables. In the case of microsatellite marker GWM720, where significant differences of variances for two BMQ parameters between allele-groups were found, a non-parametric ANOVA - Kruskal-Wallis H-test was applied. All tests were performed in SPSS ver.10.

## RESULTS

Nineteen alleles were found in the 69 analysed genotypes, averaging 6.33 alleles per SSR locus. GWM674 possessed a low PIC score of 0.17, with 4 alleles detected (Table 2). At GWM720, 10 alleles were found and the marker PIC value was 0.66, while at GWM32, 5 alleles were detected with a PIC value of 0.38.

Statistical analysis of marker-trait association was performed only for alleles present in 5 or more genotypes. Based on that criteria two alleles (*a* and *b*) for GWM674, two alleles (*d* and *e*) for GWM32 and three alleles (*c*, *g* and *i*) for GWM720 were analysed.

At the SSR locus GWM674, genotypes with allele *a* have had higher mean values compared to those with allele *b* for all analysed BMQ parameters (Table 3). Significant difference between group means was found only for Hagberg falling number (Table 4).

Table 2. Allele frequency at GWM720, GWM674 and GWM32 loci

Allele	Number of genotypes	Allele frequency	Allele	Number of genotypes	Allele frequency
GWM720			GWM674		
a	1	0.014	a	62	0.900
b	2	0.030	b	5	0.072
c	36	0.521	c	1	0.014
d	1	0.014	d	1	0.014
e	2	0.030	GWM32		
f	1	0.014	b	3	0.043
g	9	0.130	c	4	0.058
h	4	0.058	d	5	0.072
i	11	0.159	e	54	0.783
k	2	0.030	f	3	0.043

Statistical analysis of GWM32 alleles and BMQ parameters showed that genotypes with allele *e* possessed higher mean values compared to the ones with allele *d*, for all analysed BMQ traits (Table 3), but no significant differences between marker classes were observed (Table 4).

Table 3. Basic statistics for analysed BMQ parameters in regard to used SSRs

Locus	allele	N	HFN		Bread yield		Loaf vol. yield		Loaf volume		Loaf quality	
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
GWM 674	<i>a</i>	62	356.6	99.4	139.5	2.7	519.5	75.8	1288.5	171.57	4.5	1.7
	<i>b</i>	5	256.0	56.4	137.7	1.7	509.1	67.0	1276.0	142.9	3.8	1.4
GWM 32	<i>d</i>	5	296.8	118.9	138.12	2.4	509.3	96.3	1271.0	220.2	3.9	2.5
	<i>e</i>	54	353.7	100.6	139.38	2.9	518.9	73.5	1288.5	166.2	4.4	1.7
GWM 720	<i>c</i>	36	365.8	92.2	140.1	2.4	538.6	70.2	1330.4	164.7	4.9	1.3
	<i>g</i>	9	338.4	84.4	138.6	2.0	480.1	74.7	1201.7	167.6	3.7	2.2
	<i>i</i>	11	309.5	113.6	138.3	3.8	496.2	85.8	1239.1	185.9	3.4	2.1

Table 4. Basic statistics (ANOVA) for analysed BMQ parameters in regard to GWM674 and GWM32

BMQ parameters	GWM 674			GWM 32		
	df	F	Sig.	df	F	Sig.
HFN	1, 65	4.941*	0.030	1, 57	1.426	0.237
Loaf volume	1, 65	0.025	0.875	1, 57	0.048	0.827
Bread yield	1, 65	2.188	0.144	1, 57	0.895	0.348
Loaf vol. yield	1, 65	0.088	0.767	1, 57	0.074	0.786
Loaf quality	1, 65	0.725	0.398	1, 57	0.321	0.573

For GWM720 Levene's test showed that variances of allele-groups were non-homogenous (Table 5), and sample sizes were unequal (Table 3) indicating that a non-parametric ANOVA would be appropriate to test the strength of association between marker alleles and bread-making quality parameters. Results of non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis H test determine that allele-groups do not differ in mean ranks for the criterion variables (Table 6). Based on presented results it could be concluded that no significant association were found between any particular allele in GWM720 locus and any BMQ parameter.

Table 5. Levene's test of homogeneity of variances for GWM 674, GWM 32 and GWM720

BMQ parameters	Levene statistics	df1	df2	Sig.
GWM674				
HFN	2.942	1	65	0.091
Loaf vol.	0.327	1	65	0.569
Bread yield	0.943	1	65	0.335
Loaf vol. yield	0.185	1	65	0.669
Loaf quality	0.107	1	65	0.744
GWM32				
HFN	0.154	1	57	0.697
Loaf vol.	0.785	1	57	0.379
Bread yield	0.253	1	57	0.617
Loaf vol. yield	0.754	1	57	0.389
Loaf quality	2.588	1	57	0.113
GWM720				
HFN	0.703	2	53	0.499
Loaf vol.	0.457	2	53	0.636
Bread yield	3.206*	2	53	0.048
Loaf vol. yield	0.962	2	53	0.389
Loaf quality	6.976**	2	53	0.002

Table 6. Kruskal-Wallis test statistics for GWM720<sup>a</sup>

	HFN	Loaf vol.	Bread yield	Loaf vol. yield	Loaf quality
Chi-Square	3.057	4.589	4.027	4.483	4.749
df	2	2	2	2	2
Asymp. Sig.	0.217	0.101	0.134	0.106	0.093

<sup>a</sup> grouping variable: allele

## DISCUSSION

Based on chromosomal maps of chromosome 3A, Law *et al.* (2005) previously identified two highly significant QTLs for loaf volume and Hagberg falling number, (Cappelle Desprez x Bezostaya 1) flanked by 7 microsatellite markers. These results showed that a QTL for loaf volume is located on the long arm of chromosome 3A, approximately 30-40 cM from the centromere, while a QTL for Hagberg falling number is located in the centromeric region of 3A chromosome.

The microsatellite map of chromosome 3A (Law *et al.*, 2005) places marker GWM720 at the proximal region of 3AL. Of the three microsatellites analysed, this marker is nearest to the suggested QTL region for loaf volume. In this study GWM720 allele *c* had the highest frequency and genotypes with allele *c* possessed higher mean values for all analysed BMQ parameters. Unfortunately, results of statistical analysis do not confirm a significant association between any particular allele at this locus and any baking quality parameter. The reason for this could be in either the strong effect of GxE interaction on BMQ parameters or could be the result of unsuitable genetic background of the analysed genotypes in respect to BMQ performance in Serbian environment.

Marker GWM674 is located at the centromere region of 3AL, while GWM32 is located at the centromere region of 3AS (Roder *et al.*, 1998). The absence of allele GWM32 association and the presence of significant differences in marker-trait association for allele GWM674 and Hagberg falling number, could imply QTL for analysed trait to be located at centromere region of 3AL, as also pointed out by Law *et al.* (2005). Even so, this fact should be reconsidered because marker GWM674 showed low allelic variability (4 alleles), only two of which could be statistically analyzed.

Although for marker GWM32 statistically significant differences were not found for quality parameters, it should be pointed out the genotypes that possess allele *e* also possess higher values for all analysed quality parameters. If the frequencies of named target alleles of all three GWM markers are compared, it is clear they are present in the highest frequencies in analysed loci (allele *c* 52% at GWM720, allele *a* 90% at GWM674 and allele *e* 78% at GWM32). High frequencies of specific alleles could indicate genomic regions responsible for expression of the mentioned quality traits. Since analysed genotypes are commercial cultivars or advanced lines it seems that, in different countries, these regions have been under strong selection pressure during the breeding process. In this content, the statement of Simko (2004) that an associative study approach most likely detect only alleles with high frequencies, is proven to be correct.

Our future strategy is based on identification of the desirable regions, which, in respect to BMQ, could gain benefits in breeding for these traits. We consciously accept to, among all alleles, select even "false positive" ones, assuming the frequency of "real positive" ones could and would bring about benefits to our wheat breeding program targeting excellent BMQ. A similar approach, which proved to be very informative and useful, has already been determined to be useful in molecular breeding for improved grain yield in wheat (Kobiljski *et al.*, 2005).

#### Acknowledgements

This research was funded in part by the Ministry of Science and Environmental Protection, Republic of Serbia, grant No. TR 6880B.

#### REFERENCES

1. Anderson, J.A., Sorels M.E., Tanksley S.D. (1993) RFLP analysis of genomic regions associated with resistance to preharvest sprouting in wheat. *Crop Sci.* 33: 453-459.
2. Bai G., Das M.K., Carver B.F., Xu X., Krenzer E.G. (2004) Covariation for microsatellite marker alleles associated with *Rht8* and coleoptile length in winter wheat. *Crop Sci.* 44: 1187-1194.
3. Breseghello F., Sorrells M.E. (2005) Linkage disequilibrium and association mapping in soft winter wheat cultivars. *Plant&Animal Genomes XIII Conference*, San Diego, USA, January 15-19.2005., P361.

4. Campbell B.T., Baenziger P.S., Gill K.S., Eskridge K.M., Budak H., Erayman M., Dweikat I., Yen Y. (2003) Identification of QTLs and environmental interactions associated with agronomic traits on chromosome 3A of wheat. *Crop Sci.* 43: 1493-1505.
5. Clarke B.C., Phongkham T., Gianibelli M.C., Beasley H., Bekes F. (2003) The characterisation and mapping of a family of LMW-gliadin genes: effect on dough properties and bread volume. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106: 629-635.
6. Eisemann B., Banks P., Butler D., Christopher M., DeLacy I., Jordan D., Mace E., McGowan P., McIntyre, Poulsen D., Rodgers D. and Sheppard J. (2004) Pedigree-based genome mapping for marker-assisted selection and recurrent parent recovery in wheat and barley. 4th Inter. Crop Sci. Congress, Brisbane, Australia.  
[http://www.cropscience.org.au/icsc2004/poster/3/4/1/1422\\_eisemannb.htm](http://www.cropscience.org.au/icsc2004/poster/3/4/1/1422_eisemannb.htm)
7. Gebhardt C., Ballvora A., Walkemeier B., Oberhagemann P., Schuler K. (2004) Assessing genetic potential in germplasm collections of crop plants by marker-trait association: a case study for potatoes with quantitative variation of resistance to late blight and maturity type. *Molecular Breeding* 13: 93-102.
8. Gross C., Gay G., Perretant M.-R., Gervais L., Bernard M., Dedryver F., Charmet G. (2002) Study of the relationship between pre-harvest sprouting and grain colour by quantitative trait loci analysis in white x red grain bread-wheat cross. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 104: 39-47.
9. Hogg A.C., Beecher B., Matrin J.M., Meyer F., Talbert L., Lanning S., Giroux M.J. (2005) Hard wheat milling and bread baking traits affected by the seed-specific overexpression of puroindolines. *Crop Sci.* 45: 871-878.
10. ICC (1999) Standard Methods (Complete Edition including 1/7 Supplements). International Association of Cereal Science and Technology, Schwechat, Austria.
11. Ivandić V., Thomas W.T.B., Nevo E., Zhang Z., Forster B.P. (2003) Associations of simple sequence repeats with quantitative trait variation including biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in *Hordeum spontaneum*. *Plant Breed.* 122: 300-304.
12. Kobiljski B., Denčić S., Hristov N., Mladenov N., Quarrie S., Stephenson P. and Kirby J. (2005) The potential of microsatellites for marker-assisted breeding for improved grain yield in wheat. Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> Inter. Wheat Conf., Mar Del Plata, Argentina. pp.100.
13. Law C.N., Bhandari D.G., Salmon S.E., Greenwell P.W., Foot I.M., Cauvain S.P., Sayers E.J., Worland A.J. (2005) Novel genes on chromosome 3A influencing bread-making quality in wheat, including a new gene for loaf volume, *Lvl 1*. *J. Cereal Sci.* 41: 317-326.
14. Mansour K. (1993) Sprout damage in wheat and its effect on wheat flour products. pp 8-9. In: Walker-Simons M.K., Ried J.L. (eds) Preharvest sprouting in cereals. Amer Assn of Cereal Chemists, USA.
15. Plaschke J., Ganai M. W., Roder M. S. (1995) Detection of genetic diversity in closely related bread wheat using microsatellite markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 91: 1001-1007.
16. Roder M.S., Korzun V., Wendehake K., Plaschke J., Tixier M-H., Leroy P., Ganai M.W. (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics* 149: 2007-2023.
17. Sanguinetti C.J., Dias Neto E., Simpson A.J.G. (1994) Rapid silver staining and recovery of PCR products separated on polyacrylamide gels. *Biotechniques* 17: 915-919.
18. Schmidt A.L., Chunji L., Martin D., Kelly A., McIntyre L. (2004) Molecular markers for selected quality traits in Australian hexaploid bread wheat. *New directions for a diverse planet: Proceedings for the 4th International Crop Science Congress, Brisbane, Australia, 26 September - 1 October 2004.* [www.cropscience.org.au](http://www.cropscience.org.au)

19. Shah M.M., Gill K.S., Baenziger P.B., Yen Y., Kaeppler S.M., Ariyaratne H.M. (1999) Molecular mapping of loci for agronomic traits on chromosome 3A of bread wheat. *Crop Sci.* 39: 1728-1732.

20. Simko I. (2004) One potato, two potato: Haplotype association mapping in autotetraploids. *Trends in Plant Science* 9: 441-448.

---

Received 20 May, 2005, accepted 17 April, 2006