

## Is “Pre-Preclinical AD” Helpful to the EU/US CTAD Task Force?

T. Daly

Sorbonne Université, Sciences Norms Democracy, UMR 8011, Paris, France.

Corresponding Author: Timothy Daly, Sorbonne Université, Sciences Norms Democracy, UMR 8011, Paris, France, [timothy.daly@sorbonne-universite.fr](mailto:timothy.daly@sorbonne-universite.fr)

Dear Editor,

In their article in the Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer’s Disease on “Platform Trials to Expedite Drug Development in Alzheimer’s Disease: A Report from the EU/US CTAD Task Force”, Aisen and colleagues (1) offer an important description of the latest developments of these trials which are “essential to ensure that the field builds on and moves past the previous disappointments that have plagued amyloid trials ... by testing the predictive value of biomarker status and the surrogate value of biomarkers” (p. 311, *ibid*). But defending early platform trials and describing the individuals involved in them can be done without the concept of “pre-preclinical AD” defended by the authors (p. 309, *ibid*). There are four reasons why.

Firstly, there is already significant controversy surrounding preclinical Alzheimer’s Disease and anti-amyloid strategies, so by adding another prefix (pre-preclinical AD), the term risks alienating critics from meaningful dialogue around these important trials in an already divided community (2). Secondly, the International Working Group has reiterated its position that “biomarker-positive cognitively unimpaired individuals should be considered only at-risk for progression to Alzheimer’s disease” (p. 484, (3)). It could therefore be argued, with such reasoning, that “pre-preclinical Alzheimer’s Disease” simply means being at risk of being at risk of developing dementia, and can lead to confusion. Thirdly, patients and their families are concerned by such labels, and for all the research community’s talk of a continuum, the lay conception of Alzheimer’s Disease is still that of an irreversible

death sentence (4). Thus, framing and communicating such a label with its normative connotations (i.e. of a current or impending disease state), could cause needless harm. Finally, there are neutral alternatives, including “cognitively unimpaired individuals with a low burden of A $\beta$  pathology” as proposed by researchers who have made meticulous efforts to define different thresholds at different levels of A $\beta$  and other pathologies, measured with different methodologies (5).

Thus, promoting these valuable trials may be made unnecessarily harder by the use of the label “pre-preclinical AD” and the EU/US CTAD Task Force’s efforts might therefore benefit from dropping it.

*Acknowledgements:* Timothy Daly’s doctoral work is supported by a Fondation Médéric Alzheimer doctoral bursary.

*Conflict of Interest:* The author declares no conflicts of interest.

### References

1. P. S. Aisen et al., Platform Trials to Expedite Drug Development in Alzheimer’s Disease: A Report from the EU/US CTAD Task Force. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis.* 2021;8(3):306-312. doi: 10.14283/jpad.2021.21.
2. T. Daly, M. Houot, A. Barberousse, A. Petit, S. Epelbaum, A Proposal to Make Biomedical Research into Alzheimer’s Disease More Democratic Following an International Survey with Researchers. *J Alzheimers Dis Rep.* 2021 Aug 6;5(1):637-645. doi: 10.3233/ADR-210030. eCollection 2021.
3. B. Dubois et al., Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease: recommendations of the International Working Group. *Lancet Neurol.* 2021 Jun;20(6):484-496. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00066-1.
4. M. Smedinga, E. M. Bunnik, E. Richard, M. H. N. Schermer, The Framing of “Alzheimer’s Disease”: Differences Between Scientific and Lay Literature and Their Ethical Implications. *Gerontologist.* 2021 Jul 13;61(5):746-755. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnaa113.
5. M. Milà-Alomà et al., Cognitively unimpaired individuals with a low burden of A $\beta$  pathology have a distinct CSF biomarker profile. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* 2021 Jul 27;13(1):134. doi: 10.1186/s13195-021-00863-y.

How to cite this article: T. Daly. Is “Pre-Preclinical AD” Helpful to the EU/US CTAD Task Force? *J Prev Alz Dis* 2022;1(9):184; <http://dx.doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2021.65>