Determination of Rosuvastatin in Pharmaceutical Formulations by Capillary Zone Electrophoresis

İ. Süslü, M. Çelebier, S. Altınöz✉

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hacettepe University, 06100 Sıhhiye, Ankara, Turkey;
E-Mail: saltinoz@hacettepe.edu.tr

Erratum to: Chromatographia DOI 10.1365/s10337-007-0221-y

Unfortunately, in the original article the Tables 6 and 7 are incorrect. The correct tables are given below.

Table 6. The ruggedness of proposed method (added of RC of 100.00 µg mL⁻¹) (*n* = 7)

1. Analyst found (µg mL ⁻¹)	2. Analyst found (µg mL ⁻¹)
99.46	98.57
100.68	100.64
101.11	102.21
99.69	100.44
101.47	102.08
102.66	101.72
102.43	101.03
$\bar{x}$: 101.07 ± 0.47	$\bar{x}$: 100.96 ± 0.48
SD: 1.24	SD: 1.26
RSD %: 1.23	RSD %: 1.25
t_c = 0.18 < t_t = 2.18, p > 0.05	
F_c = 1.00 < F_t = 4.28, p > 0.05	

$\bar{x}$: Mean ± standard error

SD standard deviation, RSD % relative standard deviation, t_c calculated t value, t_t tabulated t value, F_c calculated F value, F_t tabulated F value

Table 7. The results of pharmaceutical preparations containing RC analysed by proposed methods (*n* = 6)

Proposed method	Compared method [20]
Found (mg)	Found (mg)
10.24	9.71
10.18	9.95
10.04	9.74
10.01	10.25
9.99	9.99
10.00	10.00
$\bar{x}$: 10.08 ± 0.04	$\bar{x}$: 9.94 ± 0.08
SD: 0.11	SD: 0.2
RSD %: 1.09	RSD %: 2.01
t_c = 1.51 < t_t = 2.23, p > 0.05	
F_c = 3.31 < F_t = 5.05, p > 0.05	

$\bar{x}$: Mean ± standard error

SD standard deviation, RSD % relative standard deviation, t_c calculated t value, t_t tabulated t value, F_c calculated F value, F_t tabulated F value

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1365/s10337-007-0221-y](https://doi.org/10.1365/s10337-007-0221-y).