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#### Abstract

The branching structure of uniform recursive trees is investigated in this paper. Using the method of sums for a sequence of independent random variables, the distribution law of $\eta_{n}$, the number of branches of the uniform recursive tree of size $n$ are given first. It is shown that the strong law of large numbers, the central limit theorem and the law of iterated logarithm for $\eta_{n}$ follow easily from this method. Next it is shown that $\eta_{n}$ and $\xi_{n}$, the depth of vertex $n$, have the same distribution, and the distribution law of $\zeta_{n, m}$, the number of branches of size $m$, is also given, whose asymptotic distribution is the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda=\frac{1}{m}$. In addition, the joint distribution and the asymptotic joint distribution of the numbers of various branches are given. Finally, it is proved that the size of the biggest branch tends to infinity almost sure as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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## 1 Introduction

A tree is a connected simple graph without cycles ${ }^{[1]}$. The recursive tree of size $n$ is a kind of random trees on $n$ particles that attach to each other randomly. The process of generating a recursive tree is as follows (see ref. [2]): let the set of particles be $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$, and $\left\{p_{k, i}, i=1,2, \cdots, k\right\}, k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, be a sequence of probability mass functions, i.e.

$$
p_{k, i} \geqslant 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{k, i}=1, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

At step 1, put all particles in a plane; at step 2, particle 2 attaches to particle 1 ; at step 3 , particle 3 attaches to particle 1 with probability $p_{21}$ or to particle 2 with probability $p_{22}$. In general, at step $k+1$, particle $k+1$ attaches to one of the particles in the set $\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ with the probabilities $p_{k, i}, i=1,2, \cdots, k$, respectively. After $n$ steps, the resulting tree with the root vertex 1 is called a recursive tree. If

$$
p_{k, i}=\frac{1}{k}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, k ; \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

i.e. at each step the new particle attaches to a uniformly selected particle from the previous ones, independent of previous attachments, then we call it a uniform recursive tree, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{n}$. At the $k \operatorname{th}(k \geqslant 2)$ step we can make $k-1$ choices, so $(n-1)$ ! different
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trees can be obtained, and each tree occurs with the same probability $\frac{1}{(n-1)!}$.
With many applications, recursive trees have been proposed as models for the spread of epidemics ${ }^{[3]}$, the family trees of preserved copies of ancient or medieval texts ${ }^{[4]}$, pyramid schemes ${ }^{[5]}$, etc. Here we give an example of the model for the spread of epidemics.

Suppose there exist $n$ persons infected by a specific infectious disease (e.g. SARS) in turn in some area, and only one of them is the original case. The second case must be infected by the original one. Unknowing the law of infection, we suppose that the third case was infected by one of the previous two with the probability $1 / 2$. In general, we suppose that the $k$ th case was infected by one of the previous $k-1$ cases with respective probabilities $\frac{1}{k-1}$, $k=2,3, \cdots, n$. Let vertex $k$ represent the $k$ th case, and vertex $i$ attaches to vertex $j(1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n)$ if and only if the $j$ th case was infected by the $i$ th case. Then we obtain a uniform recursive tree. By this token, such a study of the uniform recursive trees can make the law of infection clear to a certain extent.
In $\mathcal{T}_{n}, D_{j}$ denotes the set of vertices of the $j$ th generation. A subtree with the root in $D_{1}$ is called a branch, which is also a uniform recursive tree ${ }^{[6]}$. Obviously, the number of branches is the total number of vertices in the set $D_{1}$, denoted by $\eta_{n}$. If the size of a branch is $m(1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1)$, we call it an $m$-branch, and let $\zeta_{n, m}$ denote the number of the $m$-branches. In particular, if $m=1$, the only vertex in the branch is called a child-leaf of the root 1 . It is easy to see that $\eta_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_{n, i}$. Furthermore, if vertex $k \in D_{j}$, we say that the depth of vertex $k$ is $j$, and let $\xi_{k}$ denote the depth of vertex $k$.

Many authors have studied the depth of vertices. For example, Szymański has given the distribution of $\xi_{n}$, the depth of vertex $n^{[7]}$; Devroye has proved the central limit theorem for $\xi_{n}^{[8]}$; Mahmoud has done some further studies on the limiting behavior of $\xi_{n}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k}{ }^{[9,10]}$; Meir and Moon have given the distribution of the number of vertices in each generation ${ }^{[6]}$.

It is easy to see that the branching structure is one of the important properties of the uniform recursive trees, but as far as we know, no one has considered it. In this paper, our main purpose is to study it. In Section 2, taking advantage of the mutual independence of the events $\left(2 \in D_{1}\right),\left(3 \in D_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(n-1 \in D_{1}\right)$, we establish easily the strong law of large numbers, the central limit theorem and the law of iterated logarithm for $\eta_{n}$, and give the distribution law of $\eta_{n}$ directly. In Section 3, we prove that $\eta_{n}$ and $\xi_{n}$ have the same distribution law. In Section 4, we give the distribution law and asymptotic distribution law of $\zeta_{n, m}$, but also give the joint distribution of the numbers of various branches and their expectations and covariance matrix, and simultaneously we prove the asymptotic independence of them. Finally, in Section 5, we show that $\nu_{n}$, the size of the biggest branch of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$, tends to infinity almost sure as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 2 The number of branches of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$

In this section, we shall discuss the properties of $\eta_{n}$. Meir and Moon have
given the distribution law of $\eta_{n}$, but their method is more complex and they have not discussed the properties of $\eta_{n}$ ulteriorly ${ }^{[6]}$.
Let $X_{j}=I\left(j+1 \in D_{1}\right)$. According to the process of generating a uniform recursive tree, it is just related to the $j$ th step of the process that vertex $j$ is in $D_{1}$ or not. Therefore, $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n-1}$ are mutually independent Bernoulli random variables, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} X_{j}=1+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} X_{j} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(X_{j}=1\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(j+1 \in D_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{j}, \quad j=1, \cdots, n-1
$$

then
$\mathrm{E} X_{j}=\frac{1}{j}, \quad \operatorname{Var} X_{j}=\frac{j-1}{j^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{E}\left|X_{j}-\mathrm{E} X_{j}\right|^{3} \leqslant \mathrm{E} X_{j}^{3}=\frac{1}{j}, \quad j=1, \cdots, n-1$.
Let $\log x=\ln \max \{e, x\}$. Furthermore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E} \eta_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E} X_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j}=\log n+O(1) ;  \tag{2}\\
& B_{n}:=\operatorname{Var} \eta_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Var} X_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j-1}{j^{2}}=\log n+O(1) ;  \tag{3}\\
& G_{n}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E}\left|X_{j}-\mathrm{E} X_{j}\right|^{3} \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E} X_{j}^{3}=C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j}=C \log n+O(1) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1 (Marcinkiewicz SLLN). For any $1 \leqslant p<2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta_{n}-\log n}{\log ^{1 / p} n} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta_{n}}{\log n} \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1), $\eta_{n}$ is a sum for mutually independent random variables, $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n-1}$, and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var} X_{n}}{\log ^{2 / p} n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{2 / p}}<\infty
$$

Therefore, according to Theorem 6.6 in ref. [11],

$$
\frac{\eta_{n}-\mathrm{E} \eta_{n}}{\log ^{1 / p} n}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(X_{j}-\mathrm{E} X_{j}\right)}{\log ^{1 / p} n} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

And it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{E} \eta_{n}-\log n}{\log ^{1 / p} n} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \frac{\mathrm{E} \eta_{n}}{\log n} \longrightarrow 1
$$

Hence, Theorem 1 holds.
Theorem 2 (CLT).

$$
\frac{\eta_{n}-\log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)
$$

Proof. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{B_{n}^{3 / 2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E}\left|X_{j}-\mathrm{E} X_{j}\right|^{3} \leqslant C(\log n)^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ satisfy the Lyapunov's condition, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\eta_{n}-\mathrm{E} \eta_{n}}{B_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)
$$

Thus, by (2) and (3), Theorem 2 holds.

## Theorem 3 (LIL).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{n}-\log n}{\sqrt{2 \log n \log \log \log n}}=1 \quad \text { a.s.; } \\
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{n}-\log n}{\sqrt{2 \log n \log \log \log n}}=-1 \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By (1), $\eta_{n}$ is a sum for uniform bounded mutually independent random variables, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\mathrm{E} \eta_{n}=\log n+O(1), \quad B_{n}=\log n+O(1), \quad \log \log B_{n} \sim \log \log \log n
$$

Therefore, Theorem 3 follows by the well-known Kolmogorov's law of iterated logarithm.

Using (1), we can write out the distribution law of $\eta_{n}$ easily. Assume that $m_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots$ only can take values on nature numbers, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n, 0}=1, \quad \beta_{n, k}=\sum_{1 \leqslant m_{1}<\cdots<m_{k} \leqslant n-2} m_{1} \cdots m_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n-2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum extends over all $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{k} \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying $1 \leqslant m_{1}<\cdots<$ $m_{k} \leqslant n-2$, for a fixed $k \in\{1,2, \cdots, n-2\}$.

Theorem 4. If $n \geqslant 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\eta_{n}=k\right)=\frac{\beta_{n, n-1-k}}{(n-1)!}, \quad k=1, \cdots, n-1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\eta_{n}$ is the sum of $n-1$ mutually independent Bernoulli random variables, $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n-1}$, the event $\left(\eta_{n}=k\right)$ occurs, if and only if $k$ of them equal 1 and the rest equal 0 . Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\eta_{n}=k\right) & =\sum_{1 \leqslant j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leqslant n-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j_{i}} \prod_{j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\}}\left(1-\frac{1}{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{1 \leqslant j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leqslant n-1} \prod_{j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\}}(j-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{1 \leqslant m_{1}<\cdots<m_{n-1-k} \leqslant n-2} m_{1} \cdots m_{n-1-k}=\frac{\beta_{n, n-1-k}}{(n-1)!}$.

## 3 The depth of vertex $n$

In this section, we shall prove that $\xi_{n}$, the depth of vertex $n$, and $\eta_{n}$ have the same distribution law.
There exists only a shortest path between the root and vertex $n$ in $\mathcal{T}_{n}$, denoted by $Z_{n}$. Except the root, the number of vertices in $Z_{n}$ is just the depth of vertex $n$. Obviously, $n \in Z_{n}$, thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}=1+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} I\left(j \in Z_{n}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall discuss the distribution law of $I\left(j \in Z_{n}\right)$ first. Obviously, $\mathrm{P}(n-1 \in$ $\left.Z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}$. The event ( $n-2 \in Z_{n}$ ) occurs, if and only if vertex $n-2$ is the parent or the grandparent of vertex $n$, therefore,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(n-2 \in Z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}+\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n-2}=\frac{1}{n-2} .
$$

Similarly, by induction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(i \in Z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{i}, \quad i=2, \cdots, n-1 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we assume that for some $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$, (10) holds for all $i<j \leqslant n-1$. We only need to prove that it also holds for $i$. Let $A_{i, j}$ be the event that vertex $j$ is a child of vertex $i$. It is easy to see that

$$
I\left(i \in Z_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} I\left(j \in Z_{n}, A_{i, j}\right) .
$$

$A_{i, j}$ is related to the $j$ th step of the generating process only and the event $\left(j \in Z_{n}\right)$ is just related to step $j+1, \cdots, n$, therefore, the two events $A_{i, j}$ and $\left(j \in Z_{n}\right)$ are mutually independent. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(i \in Z_{n}\right) & =\mathrm{E} I\left(i \in Z_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathrm{E} I\left(j \in Z_{n}, A_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(j \in Z_{n}, A_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(j \in Z_{n}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j(j-1)}+\frac{1}{n-1}=\frac{1}{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, the random variables $I\left(2 \in Z_{n}\right), \cdots, I\left(n-1 \in Z_{n}\right)$ are mutually independent. For any $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$ and $2 \leqslant j_{k}<\cdots<j_{2}<j_{1} \leqslant n-1$, the event

$$
\left(I\left(j_{i} \in Z_{n}\right)=1, I\left(j \in Z_{n}\right)=0, j \neq j_{i} ; i=1,2, \cdots, k\right)
$$

represents that vertex $n$ is a child of $j_{1}$ and $j_{i}$ is a child of $j_{i+1}, i=1, \cdots k-1$.

Let $j_{0}=n$. And by the rule of generating process,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & \left(I\left(j_{i} \in Z_{n}\right)=1, I\left(j \in Z_{n}\right)=0, j \neq j_{i} ; i=1,2, \cdots, k\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j_{i}-1}=\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\}}(j-1)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j_{i}} \prod_{j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\}} \frac{j-1}{j} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{P}\left(I\left(j_{i} \in Z_{n}\right)=1\right) \prod_{j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\}} \mathrm{P}\left(I\left(j_{i} \in Z_{n}\right)=0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields that $I\left(2 \in Z_{n}\right), \cdots, I\left(n-1 \in Z_{n}\right)$ are mutually independent random variables.

Comparing (9) with (1), the expression of $\eta_{n}\left(\right.$ in (1), $\left.X_{1}=I\left(2 \in D_{1}\right)=1\right)$, we can see that they are the same, then $\xi_{n}$ and $\eta_{n}$ have the same distribution law. Therefore, Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 still hold for $\xi_{n}$. The first two results can be found in Devroye ${ }^{[8]}$ and Mahmoud ${ }^{[10]}$, but their proof are much more complex.

## 4 The number of $m$-branches

In this section, we will give not only the distribution law and the asymptotic distribution of the numbers of various branches, but also the joint distribution law and the asymptotic joint distribution of all the different branches.

### 4.1 The distribution law and the asymptotic distribution of $\zeta_{n, 1}$

In fact, the result in this subsection is a part of Theorem 6 in the next, but for the particularity of child-leaves, we give a different way here.

First we give a recursive formula for $\zeta_{n, 1}$. According to the total probability formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k\right)= & \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k \mid \zeta_{n, 1}=k\right) \\
& +\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k-1\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k \mid \zeta_{n, 1}=k-1\right) \\
& +\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k+1\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k \mid \zeta_{n, 1}=k+1\right) \\
= & \frac{n-k-1}{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k-1\right)+\frac{k+1}{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k\right)-(n-1) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k\right) \\
= & \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k-1\right)+(k+1) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k+1\right)-k \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replace $n$ by $j$ and sum up for $j=1,2, \cdots, n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
n \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k-1\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(k+1) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k+1\right) \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} k \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the recursive formula (11), we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 5. For any $n \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=k\right)=\frac{a_{n-k}}{k!}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, n-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!}, \quad i \geqslant 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic distribution of $\zeta_{n, 1}$ is the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda=1$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the following recursive relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=1, \quad a_{2}=0, \quad a_{j}=\frac{1}{j-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} a_{i}, \quad j \geqslant 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (12) holds for $n=1,2$.
Suppose that (12) holds for $n(n \geqslant 2)$. It suffices to prove that it still holds for $n+1$. Obviously,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=n-1\right)=0, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=n\right)=\frac{1}{n!}
$$

By (11), (14) and inductive assumption, then for any $k \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-2\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n+1,1}=k\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k-1\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(k+1) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k+1\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} k \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{j}=k\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=k}^{n} \frac{a_{j-(k-1)}}{(k-1)!}+\sum_{j=k+2}^{n}(k+1) \cdot \frac{a_{j-k-1}}{(k+1)!}-\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} k \cdot \frac{a_{j-k}}{k!} \\
& =\frac{a_{n-(k-1)}}{(k-1)!}+\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k-1} a_{j}=\frac{(k+n-k) a_{n-(k-1)}}{k!} \\
& =\frac{n a_{n+1-k}}{k!}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields that (12) holds for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$.
From (12), it is obvious that the asymptotic distribution of $\zeta_{n, 1}$ is the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda=1$.

Moreover, by (12), a consequence of Theorem 5 is as follows.
Corollary. For any nature number $n \geqslant 3$,

$$
\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, 1}=1, \quad \operatorname{Var} \zeta_{n, 1}=1
$$

### 4.2 The general cases

Obviously, for any $m \in\{1, \cdots, n-1\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m} \geqslant 0\right)=1, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}>\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[t]$ is the biggest integer not more than $t$.
Now we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 6. In uniform recursive trees of size $n$, the distribution law of $\zeta_{n, m}$, the number of the $m$-branches, is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=k\right)=\frac{1}{m^{k} k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]-k} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!m^{i}}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots,\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right] . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specially, if $m>\frac{n-1}{2}, \zeta_{n, m}$ is a Bernoulli random variable, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=1\right)=1-\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=0\right)=\frac{1}{m} .
$$

Proof. From the set $\{2,3, \cdots, n\}, i$ subsets of size $m$ are chosen to make $i$ $m$-branches (each may have ( $m-1$ )! forms), and the rest of $n-m i-1$ vertices attach arbitrarily by the above rule . Therefore, the number of the ways of generating a recursive tree is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\binom{n-1}{m}\binom{n-m-1}{m} \cdots \cdots\binom{n-m(i-1)-1}{m}((m-1)!)^{i}(n-m i-1)!}{i!} \\
= & \frac{(n-1)!}{m^{i} i!}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right] . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (15),

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=j\right)=1
$$

Set $i=1$ in (17), then

$$
\frac{\binom{n-1}{m}(m-1)!(n-m-1)!}{(n-1)!}=\frac{1}{m}
$$

In view of the fact that each recursive tree which has $j m$-branches exactly is counted $j$ times and $\left|\mathcal{T}_{n}\right|=(n-1)$ !, the left of the above formula is $\sum_{j=1}^{\left.\frac{[n-1}{m}\right]}\binom{j}{1} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=\right.$ j). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]}\binom{j}{1} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=j\right)=\frac{1}{m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=2}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]}\binom{j}{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=j\right)=\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}  \tag{19}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\sum_{j=i}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]}\binom{j}{i} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=j\right)=\frac{1}{m^{i} i!},
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]-1\right)+\left(\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{m^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]-1}\left(\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]-1\right)!}, \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]\right)=\frac{1}{m^{\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]}\left(\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]\right)!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the $\left[\frac{n-1}{m}\right]+1$ formulae above from the bottom up, then it is easy to yield (16).
If $m>\frac{n-1}{2}, \zeta_{n, m}$ only can take values of 0 or 1 . Since $\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]=1$,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=1\right)=1-\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=0\right)=\frac{1}{m},
$$

by (16).
By (18) and (19), we can obtain the expectation and variance of $\zeta_{n, m}$ :
Corollary. (1) For any $n \geqslant 2$,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, m}\right)=\frac{1}{m}, \quad m=1, \cdots, n-1 ;
$$

(2) For any $n \geqslant 3$,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\zeta_{n, m}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{m}, & 1 \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{n-1}{2} ;  \tag{20}\\
\frac{m-1}{m^{2}}, & \frac{n-1}{2}<m \leqslant n-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It follows from (18) that $\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, m}=\frac{1}{m}$. And by (19), if $1 \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, m}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, m}\right)=\frac{1}{m^{2}},
$$

thus,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\zeta_{n, m}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, m}^{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, m}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{m} ;
$$

if $\frac{n-1}{2}<m \leqslant n-1$, the result is obvious.
From Theorem 6, it is easy to see
Theorem 7. For any $m \in \mathcal{N}$, the asymptotic distribution of $\zeta_{n, m}$ is the Poisson distribution with the parameter $\lambda=\frac{1}{m}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, m}=k\right)=e^{-1 / m} \frac{1}{m^{k} k!}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots ; \quad m=1,2, \cdots . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.3 The joint distribution of $\zeta_{n, m}$

Next we give the joint distribution of random vector $\left(\zeta_{n, 1}, \zeta_{n, 2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}\right)$.
Theorem 8. In $\mathcal{T}_{n}$, the joint distribution of the numbers of various branches

$$
\left(\zeta_{n, 1}, \zeta_{n, 2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}\right)
$$

is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \zeta_{n, 2}=x_{2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}=x_{n-1}\right)=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right\}$ is any sequence of nonnegative integers satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i x_{i}=n-1
$$

Proof. It suffices to compute the number of the elementary events (corresponding to a certain recursive tree) in the event $\left\{\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}=x_{n-1}\right\}$. Consider the groups of $n-1$ vertices (the vertices of a group belong to the same branch). The number of the ways of grouping is

$$
\frac{(n-1)!}{(1!)^{x_{1}}(2!)^{x_{2}} \cdots((n-1)!)^{x_{n-1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{1}!x_{2}!\cdots x_{n-1}!} .
$$

And $m$-branch has $(m-1)$ ! different forms, so the number of the elementary events in $\left\{\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}=x_{n-1}\right\}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n-1)!}{(1!)^{x_{1}}(2!)^{x_{2}} \cdots((n-1)!)^{x_{n-1}}} \cdot \frac{(0!)^{x_{1}}(1!)^{x_{2}} \cdots[(n-2)!]^{x_{n-1}}}{x_{1}!x_{2}!\cdots x_{n-1}!} \\
= & \frac{(n-1)!}{1^{x_{1}} 2^{x_{2}} \cdots(n-1)^{x_{n-1}} x_{1}!x_{2}!\cdots x_{n-1}!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the elementary events occur with the same probability $\frac{1}{(n-1)!}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \zeta_{n, 2}=x_{2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}=x_{n-1}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{1^{x_{1}} 2^{x_{2}} \cdots(n-1)^{x_{n-1}} x_{1}!x_{2}!\cdots x_{n-1}!}=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the previous subsection, we have obtained the expectation of random vector $\left(\zeta_{n, 1}, \zeta_{n, 2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}, \zeta_{n, 2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}\right)=\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give its covariance matrix.
Theorem 9. For any $1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n-1$, if $k+l \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{n, k}, \zeta_{n, l}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $k+l>n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{n, k}, \zeta_{n, l}\right)=-\frac{1}{k l} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n-1$ and $k+l>n-1$, it is obvious that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, k}=i, \zeta_{n, l}=j\right)=0, \quad i, j>0
$$

thus,

$$
\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, k} \zeta_{n, l}=0, \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{n, k}, \zeta_{n, l}\right)=-\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, k} \mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, l}=-\frac{1}{k l}
$$

For $1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n-1$ and $k+l \leqslant n-1$, if $i, j>0, i k+j l \leqslant n-1$, the number of the uniform recursive trees which exactly have $i k$-branches and $j$ $l$-branches is $(n-1)!\cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, k}=i, \zeta_{n, l}=j\right)$. Let $A$ and $B$ be two disjoint subsets of the set $\{2,3, \cdots, n\}$, whose sizes are $k$ and $l$, respectively. Then the number
of uniform recursive trees, which have a $k$-branch and a $l$-branch consisting of the vertices in $A$ and $B$, is $(k-1)!(l-1)!(n-k-l-1)!$. Noting that $A$ and $B$ can be chosen arbitrarily, the number multiplied by $\binom{n-1}{k} \cdot\binom{n-k-1}{l}$ is

$$
M:=\binom{n-1}{k} \cdot\binom{n-k-1}{l}(k-1)!(l-1)!(n-k-l-1)!=\frac{(n-1)!}{k l} .
$$

It is easy to see that in $M$, each recursive tree which exactly has $i k$-branches and $j l$-branches is counted $i j$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{(i, j): i, j>0, i k+j l \leqslant n-1} i j(n-1)!\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, k}=i, \zeta_{n, l}=j\right)=M=\frac{(n-1)!}{k l}
$$

That is

$$
\sum_{(i, j): i j>0, i k+j l \leqslant n-1} i j \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, k}=i, \zeta_{n, l}=j\right)=\frac{1}{k l},
$$

hence,

$$
\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, k} \zeta_{n, l}=\frac{1}{k \cdot l}=\mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, k} \mathrm{E} \zeta_{n, l}, \quad 1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n-1, k+l \leqslant n-1
$$

by (23). And $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{n, k}, \zeta_{n, l}\right)=0$ follows.
From this theorem, the following consequence is obvious.
Corollary. The covariance matrix of random vector $\left(\zeta_{n, 1}, \zeta_{n, 2}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, n-1}\right)$ is
$B_{n}=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$, where

$$
b_{i i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{i}, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{n-1}{2} \\
\frac{i-1}{i^{2}}, & \frac{n-1}{2}<i \leqslant n-1
\end{array} ; \quad b_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
0, & i \neq j, \\
i+j \leqslant n-1 \\
-\frac{1}{i j}, & i \neq j, \\
i+j>n-1
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

4.4 The asymptotic joint distribution of $\zeta_{n, m}$

To study the asymptotic joint distribution of $\zeta_{n, m}$, we prove a lemma first.
Lemma 1. For any $m \in \mathcal{N}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the limit of $\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \cdots, \zeta_{n, m}=\right.$ $0)$ exists.

Proof. It holds for $m=1$ by Theorem 7. Consider the case $m=2$. Let

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0\right)=a_{n}, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0\right)=b_{n} .
$$

By Theorem 8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{n}=\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0\right)=\sum_{2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1) x_{n-1}=n-1} \prod_{m=2}^{n-1-i} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} ; \\
& a_{n}=\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0\right)=\sum_{3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1) x_{n-1}=n-1} \prod_{m=2}^{n-1-i} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{n} & =\sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \sum_{3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1) x_{n-1}=n-2 j-1} \prod_{m=3}^{n-2 j-1} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n-2 j} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that the limit of $a_{n}$ does not exist as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exist $0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant 1$, satisfying

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\alpha, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\beta
$$

Noting that $16-9 \sqrt{e}>\sqrt{256}-\sqrt{81 \times 3}>0$, let

$$
\delta=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}>0, \quad \delta_{1}=\left(4-\frac{9 \sqrt{e}}{4}\right) \delta>0
$$

For any fixed $0<\varepsilon<(11 \sqrt{e}-18) \delta$, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=e^{-1}$, there exists an $n_{0}$, such that for any $n_{2}>n_{1}>n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n_{1}}-b_{n_{2}}\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

And when $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha-\delta<a_{n}<\beta+\delta, \quad n \geqslant n_{0}  \tag{28}\\
\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!}<\delta_{1}, \quad n \geqslant n_{0} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then fix an $n_{0}$, which satisfies the above three formulae (27)—(29).
When $n$ is sufficiently large, rewrite (26) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n-2 j}+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n-2 j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\beta, \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\alpha$, there exist $n_{2}>n_{1}>3 n_{0}$, satisfying

$$
a_{n_{1}}>\beta-\delta_{1}, \quad a_{n_{2}}<\alpha+\delta_{1} .
$$

Noting that $n_{2}-2 n_{0}>n_{1}-2 n_{0}>n_{0}$, (28) holds for all $n=n_{1}-2 j, n=$ $n_{2}-2 j, j \in\left\{1,2, \cdots, n_{0}\right\}$. Hence, combining (29) and (30), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n_{1}} & =a_{n_{1}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{1}-2 j}+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{1}-2 j} \\
& >\left(\beta-\delta_{1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!}(\alpha-\delta)+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{1}-2 j} \\
& >\left(\beta-\delta_{1}\right)+(\sqrt{e}-1)(\alpha-\delta)-\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \\
& >\left(\beta-\delta_{1}\right)+(\sqrt{e}-1)(\alpha-\delta)-\delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n_{2}} & =a_{n_{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{2}-2 j}+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{2}-2 j} \\
& <\left(\alpha+\delta_{1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!}(\beta+\delta)+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} a_{n_{1}-2 j} \\
& <\left(\alpha+\delta_{1}\right)+(\sqrt{e}-1)(\beta+\delta)+\sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \\
& <\left(\alpha+\delta_{1}\right)+(\sqrt{e}-1)(\beta+\delta)+\delta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{n_{1}}-b_{n_{2}}>(2-\sqrt{e})(\beta-\alpha)-2(\sqrt{e}-1) \delta-4 \delta_{1} \\
= & 4 \delta-2(\sqrt{e}-1) \delta-(16-9 \sqrt{e}) \delta=(11 \sqrt{e}-18) \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is in contradiction with (27). Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the limit of $\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=\right.$ $0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0$ ) exists.

It is not hard to prove that Lemma 1 is holds for all $m \in \mathcal{N}$ by induction, whose process is similar as $m=1 \Rightarrow m=2$.
The main result in this subsection is the following theorem.
Theorem 10. In uniform recursive trees, the numbers of various branches are asymptotical independent. Furthermore, for any $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and any sequence of nonnegative integers $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right\}$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, m}=x_{m}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, j}=x_{j}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} e^{-1 / j} \frac{1}{j^{x_{j}} \cdot x_{j}!}$.
Proof. The proof is divided into two parts: (1) the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=\right.$ $x_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, m}=x_{m}$ ) exists; (2) for any $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and sequence of nonnegative integers $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=x_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n, m}=x_{m}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} e^{-1 / j} \frac{1}{j^{x_{j}} \cdot x_{j}!} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 7, it is shown that for any $j \in \mathcal{N}$ and nonnegative integer $i$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, j}=i\right)=e^{-1 / j} \frac{1}{j^{i} \cdot i!},
$$

which yields that the theorem holds for $m=1$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0\right)=e^{-1} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case $k=2$. By (22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=i, \zeta_{n, 2}=j\right) & =\frac{1}{i!\cdot 2^{j} j!} \sum_{3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1-i) x_{n-1-i}=n-1-i-2 j} \\
& \prod_{m=3}^{n-1-i} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} \\
& =\frac{1}{i!\cdot 2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-i-2 j, 1}=0, \quad \zeta_{n-i-2 j, 2}=0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 1, the limit

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=i, \zeta_{n, 2}=j\right)=\frac{1}{i!\cdot 2^{j} j!} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-i-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-i-2 j, 2}=0\right)
$$

exists. We only need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0\right)=e^{-1-1 / 2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{1}=0, \zeta_{2}=0\right):=p, \quad 0 \leqslant p \leqslant 1 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n, 1}=0, \zeta_{n, 2}=0\right)=\sum_{3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1-i) x_{n-1}=n-1} \prod_{m=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} .
$$

On the other hand, (32) can be rewrite as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1-i) x_{n-1}=n-1} \prod_{m=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \sum_{3 x_{3}+\cdots+(n-1-2 j) x_{n-1-2 j}=n-1-2 j} \prod_{m=3}^{n-1-2 j} \frac{1}{m^{x_{m}} x_{m}!} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-2 j, 2}=0\right)=e^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} e \sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-2 j, 2}=0\right)=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

And (35) shows that for a sufficiently large nature number $n_{0}$ and if $n>3 n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e \sum_{j=0}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-2 j, 2}=0\right) \\
\leqslant & e \sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1) / 2]} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-2 j, 2}=0\right) \\
\leqslant & e \sum_{j=0}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \mathrm{P}\left(\zeta_{n-2 j, 1}=0, \zeta_{n-2 j, 2}=0\right)+e \sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (34) and (35), let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
e p \sum_{j=0}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} \leqslant 1 \leqslant e p \sum_{j=0}^{n_{0}} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!}+e \sum_{j=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j} j!} .
$$

And let $n_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ in the above formula, too. Then

$$
e^{1+\frac{1}{2}} p=1
$$

i.e. (33) follows and the theorem holds for $m=2$.

For the case $m \geqslant 3$, by induction, it is not hard to be proved, whose process is similar as $m=1 \Rightarrow m=2$. Hence the proof is completed.

## 5 The biggest branch of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$

As described in the introduction, in $\mathcal{T}_{n}, \nu_{n}$ denotes the size of the biggest branch, i.e.

$$
\nu_{n}=\max \left\{m: \zeta_{n, m} \geqslant 1\right\} .
$$

In the model for spread of epidemics, $\nu_{n}$ represents the largest number of the sufferers, who were infected directly or indirectly by someone infected by the origin case directly.

## Proposition 1.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left(\nu_{n}>\frac{n-1}{2}\right)=\ln 2 .
$$

Proof. The event

$$
\left(\nu_{n}>\frac{n-1}{2}\right)=\bigcup_{m=[(n-1) / 2]+1}^{n-1}\left(\nu_{n}=m\right)
$$

and two of the events $\left(\nu_{n}=[(n-1) / 2]+1\right),\left(\nu_{n}=[(n-1) / 2]+2\right), \cdots,\left(\nu_{n}=\right.$ $n-1$ ) cannot occur at the same time, therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\nu_{n}>\frac{n-1}{2}\right)=\sum_{m=[(n-1) / 2]+1}^{n-1} \mathrm{P}\left(\nu_{n}=m\right)=\sum_{m=[(n-1) / 2]+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m} \rightarrow \ln 2 .
$$

Remark. The theorem shows that the probability of an existing branch, whose size is more than $[(n-1) / 2]$, is very large. To a certain extent, it shows that there existed some super-infectors in the spread of SARS.
Moreover, it can be proved that $\nu_{n}$ tends to infinity almost sure, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that

$$
\nu_{n} \leqslant \nu_{n+1} \leqslant \nu_{n}+1,
$$

then $\nu_{n}$ is increasing in $n$ and the limit of $\nu_{n}$ exists.

## Theorem 11.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=\infty, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof. Recall that $\eta_{n}$ denotes the number of all branches, and $\nu_{n}$ denotes the size of the biggest branch, then $\eta_{n} \nu_{n} \geqslant n-1$, from this and (6),

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n \cdot \nu_{n}}{n} \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\eta_{n}}=1, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n}=0$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=\infty$, a.s.
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