RESEARCH



Antagonist activities of native rhizosphere micro-flora against groundnut stem rot pathogen, *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc.



A. U. Akash^{1*}, V. Ramya¹, G. Uma Devi¹, S. N. C. V. L. Pushpavalli² and S. Triveni³

Abstract

Background: Stem rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. is a major nuisance in groundnut production, causing substantial yield losses in almost all groundnut-growing areas around the world. Biological control is regarded as a sustainable choice over the currently popular management strategy i.e., chemical control, as later has a negative influence on the environment. The present study evaluated the antagonistic effect of native rhizosphere micro-flora against groundnut stem rot pathogen *S. rolfsii*.

Results: A total of 111 bacterial isolates and 9 *Trichoderma* isolates isolated from groundnut rhizosphere soil were evaluated for their antagonist activity against *S. rolfsii* in vitro. Eight isolates (seven bacterial and one *Trichoderma*) were chosen as prospective biocontrol candidates based on the findings of the dual culture assay. Molecular characterization of these isolates by 16S rDNA and ITS rDNA sequencing confirmed the identity of bacterial isolates as *Bacillus* spp. (six *B. subtilis* and one *B. amyloliquefaciens*) and fungal isolate as *Trichoderma asperellum*. Also, the selected seven bacterial isolates recorded favourable results for antagonism-promoting biochemical traits.

Conclusion: The results of the current study suggested that the native groundnut rhizosphere micro-flora can be exploited for biological control of groundnut stem rot pathogen *S. rolfsii*. Further research may enable the use of the isolated rhizosphere biocontrol agents as single organisms or in a consortium for sustainable management of the groundnut stem rot pathogen.

Keywords: Groundnut stem rot, Sclerotium rolfsii, Biological control, Rhizosphere micro-flora, Bacillus, Trichoderma

Background

Biological control is fast gaining importance as a sustainable strategy for managing plant diseases which is effective and environment friendly. A critical review of the literature on biological control suggests skewed research towards soil-borne pathogens, as the response has been more positive in this area as compared to foliar pathogens (Kumar and Thirumalaisamy 2016). Numerous bacterial and fungal agents are used for the biological control

*Correspondence: auakash17@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

of a variety of plant diseases. *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* are majorly exploited bacterial biocontrol agents, while *Trichoderma* is the widely exploited fungal biocontrol agent.

While talking about biological control, disease-suppressive soils have to be emphasized as it is the major source of biocontrol agents. Disease-suppressive soils offer effective protection to plants against infection by soil-borne pathogens and the specific disease suppression that occurs in these soils is mostly microbial in origin (Gómez Expósito et al. 2017). Rhizosphere, the narrow zone surrounding and influenced by plant roots, is a hotspot for a wide variety of organisms and is regarded as one of the exceedingly complex ecosystems on the earth (Raaijmakers et al. 2009). Rhizospheric organisms



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

¹ Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

play a critical role in reprogramming the entire defence response of the host plant (Spence et al. 2014).

Groundnut [*Arachis hypogaea* L.], "the king of oilseeds", is a member of the family Fabaceae and is an edible oilseed crop that is extensively used for oil extraction, cooking, and domestic purposes. India is the second largest producer of groundnut after China. However, the area under groundnut cultivation in India has declined over the years from 8.30 million ha during 1990–1991 to 6.02 million ha by 2020–2021 owing to various biotic and abiotic constraints including low moisture, poor soil fertility, the incidence of pests, and diseases (INDIASTAT 2022). Among the soil-borne diseases affecting groundnut, stem rot (*Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc.) and crown/collar rot (*Aspergillus niger*) are threats to successful groundnut cultivation and can cause yield losses up to 50% (Joshi et al. 2020).

Stem rot disease is a major constraint in groundnut production, causing severe yield losses in almost all groundnut-growing areas around the world. It is caused by *S. rolfsii*, an ubiquitous, polyphagous soil-borne pathogen that causes destructive plant diseases of different crop species. The wide host range of *S. rolfsii* due to its prolific growth and ability to produce persistent sclerotia contribute to the large economic losses associated with this disease (Cilliers et al. 2003).

Management of stem rot is difficult because the pathogen can survive in the soil and plant tissues and has a wide host range. The use of chemicals is one of the widely practiced methods to manage the disease. However, it has many disadvantages such as the emergence of fungicideresistant populations, disruption of local ecosystems, increased cost and labour and threats to human health. Therefore, it is important to develop effective and environmentally friendly methods to control the disease and biological control comes to play here.

The potential of rhizosphere microorganisms in controlling soil-borne plant pathogens like S. rolfsii has been well-established by researchers around the world (Swaroopa and Madhuri 2021). Beneficial microbes present in native rhizosphere hold immense potential in managing soil-borne pathogens, so it is necessary to study their antagonist potential. The antagonistic bacterial population in the groundnut rhizosphere against S. rolfsii majorly belongs to Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Burkholderia (Le et al. 2018). Swaroopa and Madhuri (2021) reported that Bacillus spp. isolated from the soil are capable of promoting plant growth, while simultaneously inhibiting the growth of S. rolfsii in groundnut. Native isolates of Trichoderma spp. and rhizosphere bacteria from groundnut rhizosphere soil are effective against pod rot associated pathogens of groundnut including S. rolfsii (Ramanjineyulu et al. 2021).

Various other scientists have reported the ability of rhizosphere micro-flora in suppressing plant diseases caused majorly by soil-borne pathogens like *S. rolfsii* and promoting plant growth in a variety of crops including groundnut (Leona et al. 2020). So, the present investigation focussed on determining the antagonistic activity of native rhizosphere micro-flora against the groundnut stem rot pathogen *Sclerotium rolfsii* in vitro.

Methods

Test pathogen Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc.

The pathogen *S. rolfsii* was isolated from the stems of infected groundnut plants with white mycelial growth on the collar region on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium by tissue segment method (Rangaswami and Mahadevan 1999). A pathogenicity test was conducted, and the isolate was molecularly confirmed by ITS rDNA sequencing as *Athelia rolfsii* (Fig. 1). Gene sequence of the test pathogen was submitted to NCBI GenBank as *Athelia rolfsii* isolate GNS1 under the accession number OL150603.1.

Collection of rhizosphere soil samples

A roving survey was conducted in groundnut-growing regions of Nagarkurnool district of Telangana, India, for the collection of rhizosphere soil samples. Rhizosphere soil samples adhering to the roots of groundnut plants were collected for the isolation of rhizosphere microflora. Collected rhizosphere soil samples were stored in an icebox for transportation to the laboratory.

Isolation of rhizosphere micro-flora

The serial dilution and plating method by Timonin (1940) was used for the isolation of microorganisms from groundnut rhizosphere soil. Briefly, 10 g of rhizosphere soil is serially diluted to obtain dilutions of 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-5} , and 10^{-6} . Dilutions of 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} were used for isolation in potato dextrose agar medium (PDA), Martin's Rose Bengal agar medium (RBA) and actinomycetes isolation agar medium (AIA), while dilutions of 10^{-5} and 10^{-6} were used for isolation in Nutrient agar medium (NA) and King's B medium (KB). Hundred µl of respective dilutions were spread onto potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) and Martin's Rose Bengal agar medium (RBA) for isolation of fungus and Nutrient agar medium (NA), King's B medium (KB) and actinomycetes isolation agar medium (AIA) for isolation of bacteria present in rhizosphere soil. These media plates were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C for 3–4 days and 28 ± 2 °C for 24–48 h for isolation of fungus and bacteria, respectively. After the completion of incubation, subculturing was done to obtain pure cultures. Pure cultures of fungal isolates were obtained by the single spore and single hyphal tip method. While bacterial pure cultures were obtained by



picking a single colony with a sterilized inoculation loop and streaking in fresh sterile media plates. The isolates were maintained by periodical subculturing.

Screening of antagonistic activity of rhizosphere isolates against *S. rolfsii* in vitro

The rhizosphere isolates were tested against stem rot pathogen in vitro by dual culture technique (Dennis and Webster 1971).

Primary screening of rhizosphere isolates for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*

All bacterial isolates were subjected to primary screening for assessing their inhibitory effect on the growth of *S. rolfsii*. Five-mm mycelial disc of 5-day-old culture of *S. rolfsii* was placed in the centre of a sterile agar plate and four distinct bacterial isolates streaked 1 cm away from the periphery on four sides without touching each other. A control plate with only *S. rolfsii* was also maintained and observations were made once complete growth has been reached in this plate. If the *S. rolfsii* mycelium grows over the streak of rhizosphere isolate it is considered non-antagonistic. In another case, if the mycelial growth of *S. rolfsii* was halted by the isolate, the isolate was selected for secondary screening, while all the rhizosphere fungal isolates identified as *Trichoderma* were considered in secondary screening.

Secondary screening of rhizosphere isolates for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*

Isolates showing inhibitory effects in primary screening were then tested for their antagonism against *S. rolfsii* in the dual culture. Loopful of 24-h-old pure cultures of test isolate was streaked 1 cm away from the periphery of PDA plates, and a 5-mm mycelial disc of 5-day-old

culture of *S. rolfsii* was placed at the opposite end and incubated at 25 ± 2 °C. A control plate with only *S. rolf-sii* was also maintained, while only the fungal rhizosphere isolates identified as *Trichoderma* through colony morphology and microscopy were studied for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*. Five-mm mycelial discs of a 5-day-old culture of *S. rolfsii* and the test fungal isolate were placed at opposite ends of the Petri dish 1 cm away from the periphery and incubated at 25 ± 2 °C. When full growth was achieved in the control plate, the mycelial growth of the pathogen was measured in each Petri dish separately and expressed in mm. Per cent inhibition of the mycelial growth of the pathogen by different test isolates was calculated using the formula given by Vincent (1947):

$$I = \frac{C - T}{T} \times 100$$

where I=Per cent inhibition of mycelial growth over control; C=Radial growth of the pathogen in control (mm); T=Radial growth of the pathogen in treatment (mm).

Morphological characterization of potential biocontrol isolates

Morphological and biochemical characterizations of the potential bacterial biocontrol isolates were done using standard methods outlined in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology and Borkar (2017). Gram staining and biochemical characterization were performed on bacteria that had been cultured for 24 h. Potential *Trichoderma* isolates were inoculated in sterile potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 25 ± 2 °C for 7 days. The cultural characteristics of *Trichoderma* isolate on PDA plates were recorded. Further identification of *Trichoderma* isolate was done by examining the cultures

under the microscope for the characters of conidia and conidiophores (Barnett and Hunter 1972).

Molecular characterization of potential biocontrol isolates

Molecular characterization of the potential rhizosphere fungal isolate was done by ITS rDNA sequencing, while that of potential rhizosphere bacterial isolates was done by 16S rDNA sequencing. Genomic DNA of fungal and bacterial isolates was extracted by the methodologies proposed by Lee (1990) and Bazzicalupo and Fancelli (1997), respectively, with minor modifications. PCR amplification of 16S rDNA region of isolated bacterial DNA was carried out with 27F and 1492R primers while fungal DNA isolated was amplified at internal transcribed spacer region by ITS1F and ITS4 primers. A small volume (10 µl) reaction was carried out, followed by a large volume (50 µl) reaction. Base sequences of primers used, the composition of PCR mixture and cycling conditions and amplicon size are given in Table 1. PCR products were then sequenced, and sequence results obtained were analysed using BioEdit, MEGA11 and NCBI-BLAST

Table 1 Molecular characterization of potential biocontrol isolates

(a) Base sequences of 16S rDNA and ITS rDNA primers used

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#). The top ten NCBI hits for each sequence were aligned using ClustalW, followed by Molecular Phylogenetic analysis by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Kimura 2-parameter model in MEGA11. Based on that, the closest homolog of each isolate from the NCBI GenBank database was identified.

Screening of potential bacterial isolates antagonism-promoting biochemical parameters

Selected potential antagonist bacterial isolates were studied for their antagonism-promoting biochemical parameters i.e., HCN, ammonia, siderophore, cellulase and pectinase productions.

HCN production

HCN production of test isolates was conducted as per the method of Castric and Castric (1983). The selected bacterial isolates were streaked on nutrient agar supplemented with 0.44% (w/v) glycine. A Whatman filter paper saturated with alkaline picric acid solution (2% sodium carbonate in

| Primers | Primer ID | Sequence | Base pairs |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| 16S rDNA | 27F | 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' | 20 |
| | 1492R | 5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3' | 22 |
| ITS rDNA | ITS1F | 5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3' | 19 |
| | ITS4 | 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3' | 20 |
| (b) PCR mixtures for 10 µl and 50 |) µl reaction volumes | | |
| Components | Quantity for one reaction | | |
| | Total volume (10 µl) | Total volume (50 μl) | |
| EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix (2X premix) | 5 μΙ | 25 μΙ | |
| Primers (2.5 pmol/µl) | | | |
| Forward | 1 µl | 5 µl | |
| Reverse | 1 µl | 5 µl | |
| Template DNA (100 ng/µl) | 1 µl | 5 µl | |
| dH ₂ O | 2 µl | 10 µl | |
| Total volume | 10 µl | 50 µl | |
| (c) Cycling conditions and ampli | con size for 16S rDNA and ITS | rDNA amplification | |
| Step | 16S rDNA | ITS rDNA | |
| Initial denaturation | 96 °C for 4 min | 94 °C for 5 min | |
| 35 cycles of | | | |
| Final denaturation | 94 °C for 40 s | 94 °C for 45 s | |
| Primer annealing | 57 °C for 1 min | 55 °C for 45 s | |
| Extension | 72 °C for 80 s | 72 ℃ for 1 min | |
| End of cycle | | | |
| Final extension | 72 °C for 10 min | 72 °C for 5 min | |
| Amplicon size | ~ 1500 bp | ~600 bp | |

0.5% picric acid) was placed on the upper lids of Petri plates and incubated at 30 ± 2 °C for 4 days. Plates are monitored for the development of red-brown shade from the yellow colour of filter paper, indicating HCN production.

Ammonia production

Estimation of ammonia production by test isolates was carried out by adding 0.5 ml Nessler's reagent to isolates grown in peptone water broth (5 g peptone and 10 g sodium chloride in 1 L water) at 30 ± 2 °C for 48 h. The development of slight yellow to brownish colour indicates the production of ammonia (Gupta and Pandey 2019).

Siderophore production

A qualitative assay of siderophore production activity of test isolates was tested on Chrome Azurol S (CAS) agar medium (Schwyn and Neilands 1987). The test bacterial isolates were spot-inoculated on CAS agar plates and incubated at 30 ± 2 °C for 3–4 days. The formation of a yellow to orange coloured zone around the colony indicates siderophore production. Based on the clearing halo zone length, solubilization index (SI) and solubilization efficiency (SE) were calculated for each isolate using the following formulas:

Solubilization index (SI) = $\frac{C+Z}{C}$

Solubilization efficiency (SE) = $\frac{Z}{C} \times 100$

where Z=Solubilization zone (mm); C=Colony diameter (mm).

| chie / zulor 5 reagent |
|------------------------|
|------------------------|

| Solution 1 | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Chrome azurol S | 0.0605 g |
| Distilled water | 50 ml |
| Solution 2 | |
| Ferric chloride | 0.0027 g |
| 10 mM Hydrochloric acid | 10 ml |
| Solution 3 | |
| HDTMA | 0.0729 g |
| Distilled water | 40 ml |

Solution (1) was mixed with 9 ml of solution (2) this was mixed with solution (3). The solution should now be in blue. Autoclave and store in a plastic container.

CAS agar plates: King's B medium was prepared separately and autoclaved after which 99 ml of Chrome Azurol S reagent (prepared as above) was added to 750 ml of medium aseptically which gave it a greenishblue colour. The medium was then poured into plates and allowed to solidify.

Cellulase production

Cellulose production activity was screened on carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) agar medium [sodium nitrate-2 g; potassium dihydrogen phosphate-1 g; magnesium sulphate-0.5 g; potassium chloride-0.5 g; carboxymethylcellulose-2 g; peptone-0.2 g; agar-20 g; distilled water-1000 ml] according to the method of Hankin and Anagnostakis (1977) as modified by Kasana et al. (2008). Test isolates were spot-inoculated on CMC agar medium plates and incubated at 30 ± 2 °C for 3–4 days. After incubation, plates were flooded with Gram's iodine (2.0 g KI and 1.0 g iodine in 300 ml distilled water) for 3 to 5 min. Positive cellulase production activity was indicated by the formation of a zone of clearance around the colony. Based on the clearing halo zone length, solubilization index (SI) and solubilization efficiency (SE) were calculated for each isolate.

Pectinase production

Screening for pectinase production activity by isolates was studied on pectinase screening agar medium (PSAM) [disodium hydrogen phosphate-6 g; potassium dihydrogen phosphate-3 g; sodium chloride-5 g; ammonium chloride-2 g; magnesium sulphate-0.1 g; pectin-10 g; agar-20 g; distilled water-1000 ml; final pH-4.5 \pm 0.5] (Oumer and Abate 2018). Test isolates were spot inoculated on PSAM agar plates and incubated at 30 \pm 2 °C for 2 days. After incubation, plates were flooded with Gram's iodine (2.0 g KI and 1.0 g iodine in 300 ml distilled water) for 3 to 5 min. Positive pectinase production activity was indicated by the formation of a zone of clearance around the colony. Based on the clearing halo zone length, solubilization index (SI) and solubilization efficiency (SE) were calculated for each isolate.

Statistical analysis

The data of the experiments were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and means were separated ($p \le 0.05$) by Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) using statistical analysis software GRAPES 1.0.0, Kerala Agricultural University, Kerala, India (Gopinath et al. 2021).

Results

Collection of rhizosphere soil samples

The survey covered 10 villages in 6 mandals of Nagarkurnool district viz., Bijinapalle, Tadoor, Kalwakurthy, Nagarkurnool, Peddakothapally and Kollapur. Groundnut rhizosphere soil samples were collected from 12 different sites in these six mandals. The soil pH, electrical conductivity, available nitrogen, available phosphorous, available potassium and organic carbon of survey soil samples were analysed and are presented in Table 2.

| Mandal 51 Bijinapalle 52 | | | | Нq | Electrical | Available | Available | Available | Organic carbon (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 51 Bijinapalle 52 | Village | Latitude (°N) | Longitude (°E) | | conductivity (dS m ⁻¹) | nitrogen (kg ha ^{_1}) | phosphorous (kg ha ⁻¹) | potassium (kg ha ^{_1}) | |
| S2 | Palem | 16.51448 | 78.250468 | 6.11 ± 0.17 | 0.354 ± 0.005 | 175.56 ± 2.55 | 39.33 ± 0.29 | 527.25 ± 2.83 | 0.58±0.01 |
| | Palem | 16.51645513 | 78.23946526 | 6.09 ± 0.14 | 0.455 ± 0.002 | 128.31 ± 0.73 | 42.67 ± 0.76 | 502.88 ± 3.90 | 0.55 ± 0.01 |
| S3 Tadoor | Polmur | 16.55449166 | 78.41018216 | 6.62 ±0.22 | 0.382 ± 0.002 | 114.24土1.92 | 28.50 ± 2.50 | 280.88 ± 2.60 | 0.29 ± 0.01 |
| S4 Kalwakurthy | Tharnikal | 16.63257867 | 78.47112144 | 6.65 ± 0.07 | 0.369 ± 0.006 | 109.83 ± 3.69 | 35.83 ± 0.76 | 297.38 ± 4.55 | 0.22±0.01 |
| S5 Nagarkurnool | Vanapatla | 16.44346687 | 78.34063245 | 7.42 ± 0.07 | 0.380 ± 0.005 | 184.38土0.96 | 38.67 ± 0.58 | 307.50 ± 0.65 | 0.47 ± 0.01 |
| S6 | Vanapatla | 16.442247 | 78.341947 | 7.57 ± 0.03 | 0.434土 0.003 | 190.47 土 2.91 | 48.50 土 0.87 | 535.13±5.20 | 0.52±0.02 |
| S7 | Deshitkyal | 16.44037965 | 78.34143955 | 7.09±0.11 | 0.371 ± 0.002 | 116.97 土 2.98 | 28.17±0.29 | 267.75 ± 2.98 | 0.41 ± 0.01 |
| S8 Peddakothapally | Adirala | 16.363835 | 78.359953 | 7.46±0.14 | 0.341 ± 0.003 | 136.92 ± 1.31 | 34.50 ± 0.50 | 392.25±1.30 | 0.47 土 0.01 |
| S9 | Chandrakal | 16.352544 | 78.359924 | 6.91 ± 0.03 | 0.342 ± 0.002 | 137.13±5.36 | 41.33 土 0.76 | 357.38±8.52 | 0.48 土 0.01 |
| S10 | Chennapuraopally | 16.192217 | 78.322912 | 7.02 ± 0.14 | 0.336±0.002 | 102.27 土 1.92 | 26.67 ± 0.29 | 352.88±7.32 | 0.32 ± 0.01 |
| S11 Kollapur | Ankiraopally | 16.167291 | 78.315946 | 7.40±0.11 | 0.425 ± 0.003 | 141.12±3.51 | 36.33 ± 0.29 | 441.38±2.34 | 0.25 ± 0.01 |
| S12 | Choutabetla | 16.12870577 | 78.30240042 | 7.11±0.09 | 0.408 ± 0.002 | 104.37 土 1.59 | 44.50 土 0.50 | 329.63±1.95 | 0.29 ± 0.01 |

| <u>ц</u> |
|-------------|
| Ú |
| . <u> </u> |
| st |
| ÷ |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| ŏ |
| č |
| Ξ |
| 2 |
| ž |
| a |
| σ |
| σ |
| Z |
| 4 |
| 0 |
| S |
| \subseteq |
| 0 |
| ÷ |
| g |
| ğ |
| 0 |
| ÷ |
| Ç |
| é |
| ā. |
| Ĩ |
| 三 |
| 0 |
| F |
| 5 |
| 2 |
| Ŧ |
| σ |
| υ |
| H |
| ă |
| = |
| 0 |
| U |
| S |
| |
| 0 |
| 01 |
| Ð |
| ā |
| č |
| 0 |
| S |
| 0 |
| .12 |
| _ |
| 5 |
| ¥ |
| 2 |
| 누 |
| 2 |
| F |
| Ц |
| 2 |
| σ |
| ÷ |
| 0 |
| S |
| Ð |
| ÷ |
| 2 |
| ď |
| ŏ |
| Ĕ |
| Ω |
| - |
| 8 |
| ·ĭ |
| Ľ |
| Φ |
| |
| Q |
| 0 |
| .9 |
| |
| Ś |
| Ŋ |
| hys |
| Phys |
| 2 Phys |
| 2 Phys |
| le 2 Phys |



Isolation of rhizosphere micro-flora

Rhizosphere micro-flora was isolated by serial dilution and plating method on five different media viz., King's B medium (KB), nutrient agar medium (NA), actinomycetes isolation agar medium (AIA), potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) and Martin's Rose Bengal agar medium (RBA) from the collected 12 rhizosphere soil samples. A total of 111 bacterial isolates were obtained from KB, NA and AIA, and 79 fungal isolates were obtained from PDA and RBA, respectively (Fig. 2). All the bacterial and fungal isolates were maintained by periodical subculturing for use in further experiments.



antagonism against *Sclerotium rolfsii*; here A, C, and D are antagonistic while B is non-antagonistic

Primary screening of rhizosphere isolates for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*

All 111 bacterial isolates obtained were considered for primary screening for antagonism against *Sclerotium rolfsii* (Fig. 3). Among these, 33 isolates (12 from KB, 15 from NA and 6 from AIA) showed antagonism against *S. rolfsii*, which were then evaluated for antagonism against *S. rolfsii* in dual culture. Among the 79 fungal isolates, 9 isolates were identified as *Trichoderma* and these were selected for testing in dual culture for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*.

Secondary screening of rhizosphere isolates for antagonism against *S. rolfsii*

A total of 33 bacterial isolates (12 from KB, 15 from NA, and 6 from AIA) was selected through primary screening and 9 native Trichoderma isolates isolated from rhizosphere soil were tested for their antagonistic activity against S. rolfsii by dual culture technique (Figs. 4, 5). Observations were taken on the day when the radial growth of S. rolfsii in the control plate was full. Among the 33 bacterial isolates tested, isolate S3KB6, S9KB4 and S1NA7 recorded maximum inhibition of 62.82, 61.70 and 61.11% over control, respectively, followed by isolates S2NA6 (58.52%), S10KB2 (57.25%), S4KB5 (55.39%) and S8KB2 (54.64%). Significantly minimum inhibition of 11.11% over control was recorded by isolate S11A1, which is on par with the values recorded by isolates S6A1 (11.48%) and S3KB2 (13.01%). The zone of inhibition was maximum in isolate S3KB6 (37.33 mm), followed by S1NA7 (27.67 mm). However, isolates S3KB2, S6A1 and S11A1 did not record any zone of inhibition (Table 3; Fig. 4).

| Isolate | Mycelial growth (mm) | h (mm) Per cent mycelial inhibition | | |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| S1KB2 | 66.00 ^{de} | 26.39 ^{qr} | 7.67 ^p | |
| S1NA3 | 63.67 ^{fg} | 29.26 ^{op} | 4.67 ^r | |
| S1NA4 | 68.33 ^c | 24.07 ^r | 1.33 ^u | |
| S1NA5 | 44.67 ⁿ | 50.37 ^{fg} | 20.67 ^f | |
| S1NA7 | 35.00 ^s | 61.11 ^{ab} | 27.67 ^b | |
| S2KB4 | 46.33 ^{mn} | 48.33 ^{gh} | 10.67 ¹ | |
| S2NA1 | 61.67 ^g | 31.48 ^{no} | 8.33 ^{op} | |
| S2NA2 | 51.33 ^j | 42.96 ^{jk} | 9.33 ^{mn} | |
| S2NA3 | 67.67 ^{cd} | 24.81 ^r | 3.67 ^s | |
| S2NA6 | 37.33 ^r | 58.52 ^{bc} | 19.00 ^{gh} | |
| S3KB2 | 78.00 ^b | 13.01 ^s | 0 ^v | |
| S3KB6 | 33.33 ^s | 62.82 ^a | 37.33 ^a | |
| S4A1 | 64.00 ^{ef} | 28.89 ^{opq} | 1.33 ^u | |
| S4KB2 | 55.33 ⁱ | 38.28 ^l | 19.33 ^g | |
| S4KB4 | 44.67 ⁿ | 50.18 ^g | 8.33 ^{op} | |
| S4KB5 | 40.00 ^{pq} | 55.39 ^{de} | 19.67 ^g | |
| S6A1 | 79.67 ^b | 11.48 ^s | 0 ^v | |
| S6NA1 | 52.00 ^j | 42.22 ^k | 15.33 ^j | |
| S6NA5 | 42.33° | 52.96 ^{ef} | 10.67 ^I | |
| S7NA3 | 57.67 ^h | 35.93 ^{Im} | 6.33 ^q | |
| S8A1 | 48.33 ^{lm} | 46.30 ^{hi} | 22.33 ^e | |
| S8A2 | 59.33 ^h | 34.07 ^{mn} | 8.67 ^{no} | |
| S8KB2 | 40.67 ^{op} | 54.64 ^e | 25.00 ^{cd} | |
| S8NA1 | 41.67 ^{op} | 53.70 ^e | 18.33 ^{hi} | |
| S9KB1 | 49.00 ^{kl} | 45.35 ^{ij} | 11.67 ^k | |
| S9KB2 | 41.67 ^{op} | 53.53 ^e | 17.67 ⁱ | |
| S9KB4 | 34.33 ^s | 61.70 ^a | 24.67 ^d | |
| S9NA1 | 52.00 ^j | 42.22 ^k | 2.67 ^t | |
| S9NA2 | 50.67 ^{jk} | 43.70 ^{ijk} | 9.67 ^m | |
| S9NA3 | 66.67 ^{cd} | 25.93 ^r | 7.67 ^p | |
| S10KB2 | 38.33 ^{qr} | 57.25 ^{cd} | 25.67 ^c | |
| S11A1 | 80.00 ^b | 11.11 ^s | 0^ | |
| S11A2 | 66.00 ^{de} | 26.67 ^{pqr} | 2.33 ^t | |
| Control | 90.00 ^a | O ^t | 0^ | |

Table 3 Antagonistic activity of rhizosphere bacterial isolates on radial growth of Sclerotium rolfsii by dual culture technique

Values presented are mean of three replications; Values in columns with the same letters after them indicate insignificant differences at the 5% significance level

Further, among the nine native isolates of *Trichoderma* tested, isolate Tricho5 recorded maximum inhibition of 70.37% over control, followed by isolates Tricho9 (63.33%) and Tricho6 (62.59%). Significantly minimum inhibition of 52.59% over control was recorded by isolates Tricho1 and Tricho7 (Table 4; Fig. 5).

Morphological characterization of potential biocontrol isolates

Eight rhizosphere isolates were selected as potential biocontrol isolates against *S. rolfsii* based on secondary screening. These include seven bacterial isolates (S1NA7, S2NA6, S3KB6, S4KB5, S8KB2, S9KB4 and S10KB2) and one *Trichoderma* isolate (Tricho5). The morphological



| Isolate | Mycelial growth (mm) | Per cent mycelial inhibition |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Tricho1 | 42.67 ^b | 52.59 ^e |
| Tricho2 | 39.67 ^d | 55.93 ^c |
| Tricho3 | 41.33 ^{bc} | 54.07 ^{de} |
| Tricho4 | 40.33 ^{cd} | 55.19 ^{cd} |
| Tricho5 | 26.67 ^f | 70.37 ^a |
| Tricho6 | 33.67 ^e | 62.59 ^b |
| Tricho7 | 42.67 ^b | 52.59 ^e |
| Tricho8 | 39.33 ^d | 56.30 ^c |
| Tricho9 | 33.00 ^e | 63.33 ^b |
| Control | 90.00 ^a | O ^f |

Table 4 Antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* isolates from groundnut rhizosphere on radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* by dual culture technique

Values presented are mean of three replications; Values in columns with the same letters after them indicate insignificant differences at the 5% significance level



and biochemical characterization of the bacterial isolates was done. Also, cultural and morphological characteristics of the *Trichoderma* isolate (Tricho5) were recorded. The results are presented in Table 5.

Molecular characterization of potential biocontrol isolates Molecular characterization of eight selected biocontrol isolates viz., seven bacterial isolates (S1NA7, S2NA6, S3KB6, S4KB5, S8KB2, S9KB4 and S10KB2) and one *Trichoderma* isolate (Tricho5) were done by ITS rDNA sequencing (*Trichoderma* isolate) and 16S rDNA gene sequencing (bacterial biocontrol isolates). The fungal biocontrol isolate Tricho5 showed 99.82 per cent identity to *Trichoderma asperellum* (MH013955.1). Bacterial biocontrol isolates S1NA7, S2NA6, S3KB6, S4KB5, S8KB2 and S10KB2 showed highest per cent identity to *Bacillus subtilis* sequences, GU125629.1 (100%), MH160718.1 (99.86%), MT111002.1 (99.83%), GU125629.1 (99.72%), KC438378.1

| lsolate ID | Colony morphology | Indole production test | Methyl red test | Voges proskaeur's test | Citrate utilization | Oxidase test | Catalase test | KOH test | Gram staining | Shape |
|-------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|
| S1NA7 | Cream coloured moderate smooth circular colonies with wavy margin | 1 | | + | + | | + | I | + | Rod |
| S2NA6 | White coloured small veined irregular colonies with wavy margin | Ι | Ι | + | + | Ι | + | Ι | + | Rod |
| S3KB6 | Greyish white coloured large rough irregular colonies with wavy margin | I | I | + | + | Ι | + | Ι | + | Rod |
| S4KB5 | Buff coloured large rough irregular colonies with wavy margin | Ι | Ι | + | + | I | + | Ι | + | Rod |
| S8KB2 | Cream coloured veined moderate irregular colonies with wavy margin | Ι | Ι | + | + | Ι | + | Ι | + | Rod |
| S9KB4 | White coloured small wrinkled irregular colonies with entire margin | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | + | + | Ι | + | Rod |
| S10KB2 | Cream coloured moderate veined irregular colonies with wavy margin | Ι | Ι | I | I | I | + | I | + | Rod |
| Potential I | hizosphere Trichoderma isolate | | | | | | | | | |
| Isolate ID | Cultural characteristics | | | | | Microscopic | : characteristic | | | |
| Tricho5 | White coloured culture turns to green later | based on conic | lia colour | | | Globose con | idia produced oi | n bottle shap | oed conidic | phores |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5 Morphological characteristics of selected potential biocontrol isolates

Potential rhizosphere bacterial isolates

(99.72%) and GU125629.1 (99.71%) respectively (Figs. 6, 7). The bacterial biocontrol isolate S9KB4 showed 99.72 per cent identity to *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (AB983212.1). Partial gene sequences of the potential biocontrol agents were submitted to NCBI GenBank and cultures are submitted to the National Agriculturally Important Microbial Culture Collection (NAIMCC), NBAIM, Mau, India and accession numbers were obtained (Table 6).

Screening of potential bacterial isolates antagonism-promoting biochemical parameters

Selected seven potential bacterial isolates were evaluated for antagonism antagonism-promoting biochemical parameters (Table 7; Fig. 8). All the tested bacterial isolates registered negative results for HCN production, except for *B. subtilis* isolates S4KB5 and S8KB2, which recorded slightly positive reactions. Ammonia production for all of the examined bacterial isolates was moderately positive. All the isolates except *B. subtilis* isolate S10KB2 recorded positive reactions for siderophore production with *B. subtilis* isolate S4KB5 recording the highest values for solubilization efficiency ($306.67 \pm 11.55\%$) and solubilization index (4.07 ± 0.115). While all the tested isolates recorded positive results for cellulase and pectinase production with *B. amyloliquefaciens* isolate S9KB4 recording the highest values for both (Table 7).



Table 6 Details of accession numbers of potential biocontrol isolates obtained from NCBI GenBank and NAIMCC

| Isolate ID | Submitted as | NCBI GenBank accession number | NAIMCC accession number |
|------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| S1NA7 | Bacillus subtilis isolate S1NA7 | OK655678.1 | NAIMCC-B-03057 |
| S2NA6 | B. subtilis isolate S2NA6 | OK655682.1 | NAIMCC-B-03055 |
| S3KB6 | B. subtilis isolate S3KB6 | OK655683.1 | NAIMCC-B-03056 |
| S4KB5 | B. subtilis isolate S4KB5 | OK655727.1 | NAIMCC-B-03058 |
| S8KB2 | B. subtilis isolate S8KB2 | OK655743.1 | NAIMCC-B-03059 |
| S9KB4 | Bacillus amyloliquefaciens isolate S9KB4 | OK655745.1 | NAIMCC-B-03053 |
| S10KB2 | B. subtilis isolate S10KB2 | OK655744.1 | NAIMCC-B-03054 |
| Tricho5 | Trichoderma asperellum isolate Tricho5 | OK655746.1 | NAIMCC-F-04250 |



Table 7 Screening of potential bacterial biocontrol isolates for antagonism-promoting biochemical traits in vitro

| Isolate ID | HCN production | Ammonia production | Siderophore production | | Cellulase prod | uction | Pectinase production | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | SE (%) | SI | SE (%) | SI | SE (%) | SI |
| Bacillus subtilis isolate S1NA7 | _ | ++ | 207.33 ± 7.15 | 3.07 ± 0.071 | 495.24±8.25 | 5.95 ± 0.082 | 373.33 ± 11.55 | 4.73±0.115 |
| B. subtilis isolate S2NA6 | - | ++ | 151.27 ± 5.35 | 2.51 ± 0.054 | 410.30 ± 16.8 | 5.10 ± 0.168 | 473.33 ± 23.09 | 5.73 ± 0.231 |
| B. subtilis isolate S3KB6 | - | ++ | 232.32 ± 4.63 | 3.32 ± 0.046 | 462.04±11.23 | 5.62 ± 0.112 | 189.68±9.01 | 2.90 ± 0.090 |
| B. subtilis isolate S4KB5 | + | ++ | 306.67 ± 11.55 | 4.07 ± 0.115 | 389.63 ± 10.02 | 4.90 ± 0.100 | 386.67 ± 11.55 | 4.87 ± 0.115 |
| B. subtilis isolate S8KB2 | + | ++ | 252.19 ± 5.83 | 3.52 ± 0.058 | 275.09 ± 3.17 | 3.75 ± 0.032 | 217.04 ± 5.13 | 3.17 ± 0.051 |
| <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> isolate S9KB4 | _ | ++ | 138.79 ± 2.10 | 2.39 ± 0.021 | 577.78±19.25 | 6.78±0.192 | 555.56 ± 19.25 | 6.56±0.192 |
| B. subtilis isolate S10KB2 | - | ++ | - | - | 385.93 ± 5.13 | 4.86 ± 0.051 | 310.12 ± 20.85 | 4.10 ± 0.209 |

Values expressed are mean of replications \pm standard deviation

SE, solubilization efficiency; SI, solubilization index

-: negative; +: slightly positive; ++: moderately positive; +++: highly positive; ++++: extremely positive

Discussion

Twelve different groundnut rhizosphere soil samples were used to isolate the native rhizosphere micro-flora, yielding a total of 111 bacterial and 79 fungal isolates. Then, the isolates were tested for antagonistic activity against S. rolfsii. In primary screening, 33 of the 111 bacterial isolates showed antagonistic behaviour towards S. rolfsii, and they were further evaluated in dual culture. All the isolates significantly inhibited radial growth of S. rolfsii with isolates S3KB6, S9KB4 and S1NA7 recording maximum inhibition of 62.82, 61.70 and 61.11% over control respectively. Results are in agreement with the findings of Safni and Antastia (2018) who reported that rhizobacterial species showed significant antagonistic activity against S. rolfsii with inhibition up to 60%. Swaroopa and Madhuri (2021) found that Bacillus spp. isolated from the soil inhibited the growth of S. rolfsii in groundnut. The in vitro inhibition of radial growth of S. rolfsii by rhizosphere isolates was also reported by Ramanjineyulu et al. (2021). Nine isolates identified as *Trichoderma* spp. from the 79 rhizosphere fungal isolates were tested for antagonistic activity against S. rolfsii in dual culture assay. All the isolates showed significant inhibition of radial growth of S. rolfsii and isolate Tricho5 recorded a maximum inhibition of 70.37% over control. Results obtained are in conformity with Karthikeyan et al. (2006), who reported inhibition of S. rolfsii radial growth of mycelium in dual culture by Trichoderma isolates ranging between 39.93 and 69.40% with isolate Tv1 of T. viride recording highest inhibition over control. Likewise, Hirpara et al. (2017) tested 11 Trichoderma isolates against S. rolfsii. T. virens NBAII Tvs12 exhibited maximum growth inhibition of S. rolfsii (87.91%), followed by T. koningii MTCC 796 (67.03%), T. viride NBAII Tv23 (63.74%) and

T. harzianum NBAII Th1 (60.44%). The in vitro inhibition of radial mycelial growth of S. rolfsiiby Trichoderma was also reported by Pacheco et al. (2016). Eight isolates (seven bacterial and one Trichoderma) were selected as potential biocontrol ones. Molecular characterization of selected biocontrol isolates by 16S rDNA and ITS rDNA sequencing confirmed the identity of bacterial isolates as Bacillus spp. (B. subtilis and B. amyloliquefaciens) and fungal isolate as Trichoderma sp. (Trichoderma asperellum). Further, the selected bacterial isolates recorded favourable results for antagonism-promoting biochemical parameters i.e., HCN production, ammonia production, siderophore production, cellulase production and pectinase production, which is comparable to the findings of Syed et al. (2020). The cultures of the potential biocontrol were deposited to NAIMCC, NBAIM, Mau, India. The use of these isolates in the biological control of S. rolfsii may be made possible with further study, thus offering a sustainable solution for the management of groundnut stem rot disease.

Conclusion

Results of the present study proved the effectiveness of 33 bacterial isolates and 9 *Trichoderma* isolates from groundnut rhizosphere soil in controlling *S. rolfsii* under in vitro conditions. Of these, the identities of seven bacterial isolates and one *Trichoderma* isolate, which recorded significantly high inhibition of radial growth of *S. rolfsii* were morphologically and molecularly confirmed. Further research may enable the use of the isolated rhizosphere biocontrol agents as single organisms or in a consortium for sustainable management of the groundnut stem rot pathogen.



Abbreviations

KB: King's B medium; NA: Nutrient agar medium; AIA: Actinomycetes isolation agar medium; PDA: Potato dextrose agar medium; RBA: Martin's Rose Bengal agar medium; ITS: Internal Transcribed Spacer; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; NBAIM: National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Microorganisms.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

AUA, VR, GUD, SNCVLP and ST were involved in the conceptualization of research work and designing of experiments. AUA carried out the experiments and recorded data. AUA, VR and SNCVLP were involved in the statistical analysis and interpretation of data. AUA wrote the first manuscript. VR revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this manuscript.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India. ²Institute of Biotechnology, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India. ³Department of Agricultural Microbiology and Bio-Energy, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India.

Received: 18 July 2022 Accepted: 15 November 2022 Published online: 28 November 2022

References

- Barnett HL, Hunter BB (1972) Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi, 3rd edn. Burgess Publishing Company, Minnesota, p 241
- Bazzicalupo M, Fancelli S (1997) DNA extraction from bacterial cultures. In: Micheli MR, Bova R (eds) Fingerprinting methods based on arbitrarily primed PCR. Springer, Berlin, pp 41–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-642-60441-6_7
- Borkar SG (2017) Biochemical tests used in identification of bacteria. In: Borkar SG (ed) Laboratory techniques in plant bacteriology, 1st edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 93–109. https://doi.org/10.1201/97813 15206882
- Castric KF, Castric PA (1983) Method for rapid detection of cyanogenic bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 45(2):701–702. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem. 45.2.701-702.1983
- Cilliers AJ, Pretorius ZA, Van Wyk PS (2003) Integrated control of *Sclerotium rolf-sii* on groundnut in South Africa. J Phytopathol 151(5):249–258. https://doi.org/10.1046/i.1439-0434.2003.00715.x
- Dennis CJ, Webster J (1971) Antagonistic properties of species-groups of Trichoderma: I. Production of non-volatile antibiotics. Trans Br Mycol Soc 57(1):25–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(71)80077-3
- Gómez Expósito R, de Bruijn I, Postma J, Raaijmakers JM (2017) Current insights into the role of rhizosphere bacteria in disease suppressive soils. Front Microbiol 8(2529):1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02529

- Gopinath PP, Parsad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS (2021) grapesAgri1: collection of shiny apps for data analysis in agriculture. J Open Source Softw 6(63):3437. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03437
- Gupta S, Pandey S (2019) ACC deaminase producing bacteria with multifarious plant growth promoting traits alleviates salinity stress in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) plants. Front Microbiol 10:1506. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fmicb.2019.01506
- Hankin L, Anagnostakis SL (1977) Solid media containing carboxymethylcellulose to detect Cx cellulase activity of micro-organisms. Microbiology 98(1):109–115. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-98-1-109
- Hirpara DG, Gajera HP, Hirpara HZ, Golakiya BA (2017) Antipathy of *Tricho*derma against Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc.: evaluation of cell wall-degrading enzymatic activities and molecular diversity analysis of antagonists. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 27(1):22–28. https://doi.org/10.1159/000452997
- INDIASTAT (2022) Season-wise area, production and productivity of groundnut in India (1949–1950 to 2021–2022 - 3rd advance estimates). Retrieved from https://www.indiastat.com/table/agriculture/season-wise-areaproduction-productivity-groundnut/17354#
- Joshi E, Sasode DS, Singh N, Chouhan N (2020) Diseases of groundnut and their control measures. Biotica Res Today 2(5):232–237
- Karthikeyan V, Sankaralingam A, Nakkeeran S (2006) Biological control of groundnut stem rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* (Sacc.). Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot 39(3):239–246. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400500094688
- Kasana RC, Salwan R, Dhar H, Dutt S, Gulati A (2008) A rapid and easy method for the detection of microbial cellulases on agar plates using Gram's iodine. Curr Microbiol 57(5):503–507. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00284-008-9276-8
- Kumar V, Thirumalaisamy PP (2016) Diseases of groundnut. In: Dubey SC, Agarwal R, Patro TSSK, Sharma P (eds) Disease of field crops and their management. Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers, New Delhi, pp 445–494
- Le CN, Thai TH, Tran DH, Nguyen TL, La TTH, Nguyen XV (2018) Genetic diversity of groundnut rhizosphere antagonistic bacteria and biological control of groundnut wilted diseases in central Vietnam. Legume Res Int J 42(3):405–410. https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-427
- Lee SB (1990) Isolation of DNA from fungal mycelia and single spores. In: PCR protocols, a guide to methods and applications, pp 282–287
- Leona G, Sudhakar R, Uma Devi G, Uma Maheswari T (2020) Management of stem rot of groundnut caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc with actinomycetes. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 9(12):3587–3601. https://doi.org/10. 20546/ijcmas.2020.912.427
- Oumer OJ, Abate D (2018) Screening and molecular identification of pectinase producing microbes from coffee pulp. BioMed Res Int 2018:2961767. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2961767
- Pacheco KR, Viscardi BSM, de Vasconcelos TMM, Moreira GAM, do Vale HMM, Blum LEB (2016) Efficacy of *Trichoderma asperellum, T. harzianum, T. longibrachiatum* and *T. reesei* against *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Biosci J 32(2):412–421
- Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C, Alabouvette C, Moënne-Loccoz Y (2009) The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. Plant Soil 321(1):341–361. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9568-6
- Ramanjineyulu P, Viswanath K, Nagamani P, Kumar NK (2021) Evaluation of rhizospheric antagonistic microorganisms and fungicides against pod rot associated pathogens of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Pharma Innov J 10(5):374–379
- Rangaswami G, Mahadevan A (1999) An agar block technique for isolating soil micro organisms with special reference to pythiaceous fungi. Sci Cult 24:85
- Safni I, Antastia W (2018) *In vitro* antagonism of five rhizobacterial species against *Atheliarolfsii* collar rot disease in soybean. Open Agric 3(1):264– 272. https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2018-0028
- Schwyn B, Neilands JB (1987) Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal Biochem 160(1):47–56. https://doi. org/10.1016/0003-2697(87)90612-9
- Spence C, Alff E, Johnson C, Ramos C, Donofrio N, Sundaresan V, Bais H (2014) Natural rice rhizospheric microbes suppress rice blast infections. BMC Plant Biol 14(1):1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-130
- Swaroopa ZM, Madhuri RJ (2021) Bio-control activity of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Plant Arch 21(1):379–383. https:// doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2021.v21.no1.052

- Syed S, Tollamadugu NP, Lian B (2020) *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* control in the early stages of *Arachis hypogaea* (groundnut crop) by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) consortium. Microbiol Res 240:126562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2020.126562
- Timonin MI (1940) The interaction of higher plants and soil micro-organisms: I. Microbial population of rhizosphere of seedlings of certain cultivated plants. Can J Res 18(7):307–317. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjr40c-031

Vincent JM (1947) Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. Nature 159:850–852. https://doi.org/10.1038/159850b0

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com