(2022) 7:6

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access



Coordination of dual setting overcurrent relays in microgrid with optimally determined relay characteristics for dual operating modes

Raghvendra Tiwari^{*}, Ravindra Kumar Singh and Niraj Kumar Choudhary

Abstract

Fault current magnitude in a microgrid depends upon its mode of operation, namely, grid-connected mode or islanded mode. Depending on the type of fault in a given mode, separate protection schemes are generally employed. With the change in microgrid operating mode, the protection scheme needs to be modified which is uneconomical and time inefficient. In this paper, a novel optimal protection coordination scheme is proposed, one which enables a common optimal relay setting which is valid in both operating modes of the microgrid. In this context, a common optimal protection scheme is introduced for dual setting directional overcurrent relays (DOCRs) using a combination of various standard relay characteristics. Along with the two variables, i.e., time multiplier setting (TMS) and plug setting (PS) for conventional directional overcurrent relay, dual setting DOCRs are augmented with a third variable of relay characteristics identifier (RCI), which is responsible for selecting optimal relay characteristics from the standard relay characteristics according to the IEC-60255 standard. The relay coordination problem is formulated as a mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) problem, and the settings of relays are optimally determined using the genetic algorithm (GA) and the grey wolf optimization (GWO) algorithm. To validate the superiority of the proposed protection scheme, the distribution parts of the IEE-14 and IEEE-30 bus benchmark systems are considered.

Keywords: Plug setting, Time multiplier setting, Protection coordination, Overcurrent relay, Coordination time interval

1 Introduction

Relay coordination is the operation of protective relays in a proper sequence when a fault occurs. Depending upon the fault location in a network, primary and backup relay pairs (RP) are identified. For proper relay coordination, the primary relay must operate before the backup relay, and there must be a time gap between the primary and backup relay operating times, known as the coordination time interval (*CTI*) which depends on the type of relays. The *CTI* is within the range of 0.3–0.6 s for electromechanical relays, while for microprocessor-based relays it ranges between 0.2 and 0.5 s [1]. The existing operating time gap between the primary and backup relays, known

*Correspondence: raghvendra@mnnit.ac.in

Electrical Engineering Department, MNNIT, Allahabad, Payagraj, UP, India

as measured coordination time interval (MCT) must be greater than or equal to CTI to ensure proper coordination among the relays.

A relay coordination scheme has two types of independent variables, namely *TMS* and *PS*. Depending on these decision variables, the coordination scheme is formulated as a linear, nonlinear, or MINLP programming problems [2]. In linear programming, only *TMS* is treated as a decision variable, while *PS* is fixed. Using linear programming (LP) techniques, the optimal value of *TMS* is obtained by root tree optimization (RTO) [3], improved firefly algorithm (IFA) [4], genetic algorithm (GA) [5], improved harmony search algorithm (IHSA) [6], etc. In nonlinear programming techniques, *TMS* and *PS* are both taken as continuous or discrete decision variables. For electromechanical relays, *TMS* is continuous, and



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

PS is taken as a discrete variable whereas, for microprocessor-based relays, both TMS and PS are considered as continuous variables. Using nonlinear programming, the optimal values of TMS and PS are obtained by the modified firefly algorithm (MFA) [7], differential evolution (DE) [8], gravitational search algorithm (GSA) [9], random search technique (RST) [10], teaching learning based optimization (TLBO) [11], etc. To overcome the problem of trapping in local minima, some hybrid techniques consisting of two different optimization techniques, such as gravitational search algorithm-sequential quadratic programming (GSA-SQP) [12], DE-LP [13], biogeography-based optimization-linear programming (BBO-LP) [14], etc. have also been implemented to obtain the optimal values of TMS and PS. In contrast, for the MINLP technique [15], TMS and PS are considered continuous and discrete, respectively. To increase the flexibility in the coordination scheme, relay characteristic coefficients (α and β) have been introduced as another decision variable. Thus, each relay is associated with four decision variables, i.e., *TMS*, *PS*, α and β , to further reduce the total relay operating time as compared to fixed relay characteristics [16].

Using the above-mentioned techniques, several coordination schemes have been proposed for conventional and dual setting DOCR. Conventional DOCR operates for the forward direction of the fault current, and hence there exists a single setting, used by DOCR for both primary and backup operations. Whereas, dual setting DOCR can operate independently for both forward and reverse directions, based upon which two different relay settings (TMS_{fow} , PS_{fow} , and TMS_{rev} , PS_{rev}), one for each direction, are identified. For the forward direction, the relay will act as the primary, and for the reverse direction, the same relay acts as backup protection in both operating modes of the microgrid. [17]

The fault current characteristics of inverter interface distribution generator (IIDGs) are completely different from those of the conventional rotating synchronous machine-based DGs (SBDGs). The fault current contribution of SBDGs are 4-5 times that of the rated current, whereas, due to the limitation of inverter thermal overload capability, the fault current contribution of IIDGs is limited typically to about 1.2–2 times the rated current [18]. Therefore, overcurrent protection schemes may not be significant in the islanded mode of operation consisting of only IIDGs. However, in the presence of multiple highly penetrated IIDGs along with SBDG, the total fault current contribution can still be significant for the implementation of the overcurrent protection schemes. Because of the fault current variation in grid-connected and islanded modes of the microgrid, two different relay settings are assigned. To obtain a common relay setting for both operating modes, the fault current magnitude must be maintained approximately equal in each mode. To achieve this, a series connected, fault current limiter (FCL) is used for reducing the fault current magnitude in the grid-connected mode during the fault period [19]. However, with the inclusion of an extra device, the protection scheme becomes costly and complicated [20]. To overcome this, a common optimum protection scheme using conventional DOCR for both operating modes of microgrid is proposed in [21], where the combination of optimally selected standard relay characteristics is used. To further improve the performance in terms of the total relay operating time, dual setting DOCR is considered in place of conventional DOCR in this paper, and the common setting is optimally determined for both operating modes of the microgrid. The novelty of this work lies in identifying common settings for dual setting relays in both operating modes without using any external element or communication system.

The protection scheme for the relay coordination problem formulated in this paper is an MINLP because of the involvement of the third decision variable *RCI*. The proposed protection scheme is tested on the 7-bus and 18-bus microgrid systems. To show the effectiveness of dual setting DOCR, its performance is compared with the results obtained by conventional DOCRs [21]. The remainder of the paper is divided into five sections as follows. Section 2 describes problem formulation using dual setting DOCRs, and the solution method is defined in Sect. 3. Section 4 provides a brief discussion of the test system and results, while validation of the proposed protection scheme on a larger microgrid system is presented in Sect. 5. Finally, the conclusion is given in Sect. 6.

2 Relay coordination problem formulation in a microgrid

The operating time of overcurrent relay depends on its time–current characteristics, classified according to IEC-60255 standard as normal inverse (NI), very inverse (VI), and extremely inverse (EI), as shown in Fig. 1. Each relay characteristic is identified considering the respective characteristic coefficients as shown in Table 1. From Fig. 1, it can be seen that, for a fixed fault current value, the relay operating time is reduced as the relay characteristics change from NI to EI. The relay characteristics shown in Fig. 1 can be derived for different values of TMS and PS using (2) and (3). The objective of the proposed work is to find optimum relay settings and reduce the overall operating time of dual setting DOCR for both operating modes of the microgrid.

The objective function (OF) for relay coordination is formulated as the summation of all primary relay operating



Fig. 1 Time-current characteristics of a dual setting DOCR

 Table 1
 Overcurrent relay characteristics coefficient, according to IEC-60255 std

Characteristic curve of relay	α	β	Relay characteristics identifier (RCI)
Very inverse (VI)	13.5	1	1
Extremely inverse (EI)	80	2	2
Normal inverse (NI)	0.14	0.02	3

times for different fault locations shown in (1) and the required constraints to fulfill the objective of the relay coordination problem are given from (4) to (7).

$$OF = \min \sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{i}_{op_fow} \tag{1}$$

where

$$t_{op_fow}^{i} = \frac{\alpha * TMS_{fow}}{\left\{\frac{I_{f}}{PS_{fow} * CTR}\right\}^{\beta} - 1}$$
(2)

$$t_{op_rev} = \frac{\alpha * TMS_{rev}}{\left\{\frac{I_f}{PS_{rev} * CTR}\right\}^{\beta} - 1}$$
(3)

$$t_{op_rev} - t_{op_fow} \ge CTI \tag{4}$$

$$t_{op_\min} \le t_{op_fow} \le t_{op_\max} \tag{5}$$

$$TMS_{\min} \le TMS_{fow}, TMS_{rev} \le TMS_{\max}$$
 (6)

$$PS_{\min} \le PS_{fow}, PS_{rev} \le PS_{\max}$$
 (7)

In (1), $t^{i}_{op fow}$ is the operating time of the *i*th relay in the forward direction, and *n* is the number of primary relays for different fault locations. The relay operating times for forward and reverse directions of fault current are $t_{op fow}$ and $t_{op rev}$ respectively, as given in (2) and (3). The relay characteristic coefficients α and β are selected as per IEC-60255 standard. $\mathit{TMS}_{\mathit{fow}}$ and $\mathit{TMS}_{\mathit{rev}}$ are the time multiplier setting and PS_{fow} and PS_{rev} are the plug setting of relays operating in forward and reverse directions respectively. In (4), CTI is the coordination time interval, and its minimum value is 0.2 s. The maximum and minimum operating time of relays (t_{op_max} and t_{op_min}) are 4.0 s and 0.1 s, respectively. Different kind of transients may exist in the power system for a time period of less than one microsecond to several milliseconds. In order to tackle all the transients in the system, the minimum relay operating time (0.1 s) is also considered as a constraint to establish the overcurrent relay coordination. Therefore, all transients vanish before the operation of the primary relay. The lower and upper bound of TMS (TMS_{min} and TMS_{max}) and PS (PS_{min} and *PS_{max}*) are 0.1, 1.1, 0.5, 2.0 respectively.

3 Solution method for the relay coordination problem

The optimal coordination among the dual setting DOCRs can be achieved by obtaining the optimum values of relay settings, i.e., TMS_{fow} , TMS_{rev} , PS_{fow} , and PS_{rev} , along with the optimal selection of relay characteristics *RCI*. The optimal values of all decision variables must be selected to reduce the total relay operating time without any violation of constraints. Thus, each relay is associated with twice the number of variables used in conventional DOCR. For the forward direction of fault current, the relay is associated with the forward settings (TMS_{fow} , PS_{fow} , and *RCI*) and for the reverse direction the same relay is associated with reverse settings (TMS_{rev} , PS_{rev} , and *RCI*). In this paper GA and GWO are used to obtain the values of all decision variables. The structure of the chromosome used in GA for dual setting DOCR is shown in Fig. 2.

The proposed protection method using dual setting DOCR for both operating modes of the microgrid is shown in Fig. 3. In the proposed protection scheme, the first step is to identify the operating mode of the microgrid, and then the three-phase midpoint fault current is measured at each line using short circuit analysis. The relay pairs (primary





Fig. 3 Proposed protection method to determine optimal relay setting in grid connected and islanded operating mode

and backup) for the different fault locations are identified in both operating modes. Furthermore, the summation of the operating times of all primary relays is taken as an objective function, and all the constraints related to *CTI* as well as minimum and maximum relay operating times are formulated. After the determination of GA/GWO parameters, the optimum settings of relays are obtained. If the obtained values satisfy all the constraints for both operating modes, they are considered as the final optimal relay settings. However, in the case where there is any violation of constraints, the values of GA/GWO parameters are updated and the process continues until the final optimal relay setting is obtained without any violation of relay constraints.

4 Test system description and results

In this paper, for both test systems considered (distribution parts of the IEEE-14 and IEEE-30 bus test systems), multiple IIDGs are used along with one SBDG and a utility grid. Therefore, the total fault current in grid connected mode is shared by all the considered active sources of IIDGs, SBDG and the utility grid. In the islanded mode of operation, the total fault current is shared by multiple IIDGs and the SBDG. The distribution part of the IEEE-14 bus system (7-bus microgrid system), as shown in Fig. 4, has two inverter-based DGs (IBDGs) each rated at 20 MVA, connected at buses B2 and B7, and one synchronous generator (SG) of 50 MVA at bus B1. The 7-bus microgrid system is connected with the subtransmission network through buses B3 and B6 each having 60 MVA generation capacity. Buses B1, B2, B3, and B6 have a maximum short circuit capacity of 250 MVA, 80 MVA, 300 MVA, and 300 MVA, respectively. All other specifications of the test system can be obtained from [22]. The 7-bus microgrid test system consists of 8 lines, which are protected by 16 dual setting DOCRs placed at both ends of the lines. The CT ratios (CTR) used for dual setting DOCRs are given in Table 2. The fault current magnitudes through each relay coil for different fault locations in both operating modes of the microgrid are shown in Table 3. For eight different fault locations (L1, L2, L8), there are twenty-two relay pairs (RP1-RP22). For relay pair RP1, R1 and R3 will act as the primary and backup dual setting DOCR, respectively. The fault current via the primary and backup relay coils in grid-connected and islanded operating modes are 12.075A (R1), 3.19A (R3), 9.03A (R1), and 0.64A (R3), respectively.



Fig. 4 Distribution part of IEEE-14 bus test system with dual setting DOCR

 Table 2
 CT ratios of DOCR for 7-bus microgrid system

Relay	CT ratio
1	2000/5
2	1000/5
3	3000/5
4	2000/5
5	1600/5
6	1000/5
7	2500/5
8	1600/5
9	2500/5
10	1200/5
11	1200/5
12	2500/5
13	800/5
14	3000/5
15	1600/5
16	1600/5

It can be seen from the short circuit analysis that the fault current magnitude in grid-connected mode is higher than in the islanded mode of operation. Consequently, it is possible that DOCRs with NI relay characteristics may take a long time to operate. This is not desirable as it may lead to mis-coordination of relay pairs, potentially resulting in a larger portion of the system being isolated. To avoid this situation, relay characteristic curves have been optimally selected by including a third optimization variable known as a relay characteristics identifier (RCI). Besides this, the fault current magnitude in the forward direction is higher than the reverse direction, which justifies the need of dual setting relays.

4.1 Optimum relay setting in grid-connected mode

The settings of the optimal dual setting DOCR obtained by GA in the grid-connected mode of operation, using NI, VI, EI and mixed relay characteristics, are shown in Table 4. The total operating times of all dual setting DOCRs with NI and VI characteristics are found to be 3.3877 s and 1.6825 s, respectively. From the results, it can be seen that by using VI characteristics the overall relay operating time can be reduced by up to 50.33% when compared to NI characteristics. From the obtained optimal settings, it can be seen that for NI characteristics, the operating time of R1 in RP1 is 0.2146 s for the forward direction, whereas for the reverse direction the operating time of R1 in RP4 is 2.049 s. Thus, the relay operating time for the forward direction of fault current is lower than the reverse direction. This statement is valid for all the dual setting DOCRs with NI, VI, EI, and mixed characteristics in grid-connected mode. Similarly, the results obtained using EI relay characteristics and a combination of optimally selected relay characteristics (mixed-characteristics) in grid-connected mode show that the total operating times of dual setting DOCRs with EI and mixed characteristics are 1.6124 s and 1.6065 s, respectively. Thus, there is a reduction of 0.36% in total relay operating time using mixed characteristics as compared to EI characteristics. In addition, it can be seen that by using mixed characteristics the total relay operating time is reduced by 52.57% and 4.51% as compared to NI and VI characteristics, respectively. From the results, it can be concluded that by using optimally selected relay characteristics the total relay operating time is the least when compared to NI, VI, EI characteristics. Also only VI and EI characteristics are optimally selected in mixed characteristics. A graphical representation of the primary relay operating times obtained by GA with NI, VI, EI, and mixed characteristics in grid-connected mode using dual setting DOCR is shown in Fig. 5. The MCT and backup relay operating times for dual setting DOCR obtained by GA in grid-connected mode of the 7-bus microgrid system are presented in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. Here MCT can be defined as the actual operating time difference between the primary and backup relays using optimal values of TMS and PS. In all cases, the value of MCT is always greater than CTI. This indicates the required time gap between primary and backup relays for each RP. The optimal results satisfy all the considered constraints while formulating the relay coordination problem.

Faulty line	Relay pair	Primary relay	Backup relay	Fault curren	t through relay c	oils	
			(dual)	Grid-connec	ted (A)	Islanded mo	de (A)
				Primary	Backup	Primary	Backup
L1	RP1	R1	R3	12.075	3.19	9.03	0.64
	RP2	R1	R5	12.075	2.53	9.03	1.76
	RP3	R2	R7	17.175	4.13	11.52	1.45
L2	RP4	R3	R1	9.561	4.10	8.07	2.39
	RP5	R3	R5	9.561	2.16	8.07	1.04
	RP6	R4	R14	16.075	2.64	5.35	1.59
	RP7	R4	R15	16.075	1.69	5.35	3.30
L3	RP8	R5	R1	17.196	3.27	12.4	2.32
	RP9	R5	R3	17.196	2.67	12.4	0.538
	RP10	R6	R16	16.785	6.18	11.72	2.60
L4	RP11	R7	R2	7.038	9.94	6.174	7.34
	RP12	R8	R9	16.793	2.34	6.134	2.80
L5	RP13	R9	R8	16.038	6.08	5.356	6.52
	RP14	R10	R11	11.634	10.86	8.65	7.85
L6	RP15	R11	R10	19.90	19.37	9.154	8.36
	RP16	R12	R13	7.18	22.15	4.94	15.11
L7	RP17	R13	R12	18.28	5.75	9.675	2.97
	RP18	R14	R4	11.04	5.51	6.43	6.37
	RP19	R14	R15	11.04	3.012	6.43	3.66
L8	RP20	R15	R4	17.728	3.047	9.52	5.22
	RP21	R15	R14	17.728	2.075	9.52	1.435
	RP22	R16	R6	9.734	9.145	8.14	6.165

Table 3 Current through relay coils in grid-connected and islanded operating modes for 7-bus microgrid system

4.2 Optimum relay setting in islanded mode

The optimal settings obtained by GA in islanded mode using dual setting DOCR, with NI, VI, EI and mixed relay characteristics are shown in Table 5. It is found that the total operating times of relays obtained by GA using NI and VI characteristics are 3.9882 s and 1.7765 s, respectively. It can be seen that using VI characteristics, the total relay operating time obtained by GA can be minimized by 55.45% when compared to NI characteristics. Also, the operating time for relay R1 in RP1 is 0.2350 s for the forward direction whereas for the reverse direction of fault current the operating time of relay R1 in RP4 is 1.6779 s (with NI characteristics). Thus the relay operating time for the forward direction is lower than that of the reverse direction. Similarly, from the results obtained by GA using EI and mixed relay characteristics in islanded mode, the total dual setting DOCR operating times obtained by GA using EI and mixed characteristics are 1.6928 s and 1.6345 s respectively. By using mixed characteristics, the relay operating time obtained by GA is reduced by 59% and 7.99% compared to NI and VI characteristics, respectively. It can be concluded that by using optimally selected relay characteristics the relay operating time is lower than all the other (NI, VI, and EI) characteristics. In the islanded mode of operation, only VI and EI type relay characteristics are optimally selected in the case of mixed characteristics. The primary dual setting DOCR operating times obtained by GA using NI, VI, EI and mixed characteristics in islanded operating mode are shown in Fig. 8.

4.3 Comparative analysis of results in dual operating mode

The performance of dual setting DOCR in terms of the total relay operating time is compared with conventional DOCR [20], in Table 6. It can be seen that, as the relay characteristics change from NI to optimally selected mixed characteristics, there is a significant reduction in the relay operating time in both operating modes of the microgrid. To validate the effectiveness of

d)
ğ
2
-
e
IJ
e
Ē
8
4
Ξ.
O)
. <u> </u>
G
ŏ
$\overline{\Box}$
ð
÷
Ð
Š
la
ъ
Š
9
S
ŝť
. <u> </u>
Ϋ́
ğ
ar
5
≥
2
8
.×
E
\overline{O}
E
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
>
Ē
/it
>
p
÷Ē
et
5
<u>(</u>
P
a
Ξ
Эtі
ð
ž
4
Ĩ
at
Ĥ

Relay	NI relay ch	aracteristi	CS		VI relay ch	aracteristic	S		El relay cha	Iracteristic	2		Mixed rela	/ character	istics		RCI
	Forward		Reverse		Forward		Reverse		Forward		Reverse		Forward		Reverse		
	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	1
R1	0.1	0.5121	0.9624	0.5	0.1664	0.5127	1.1	0.6921	0.1631	1.0575	1.1	1.980	0.7210	0.5	0.1156	1.7939	5
R2	0.1	0.5	0.9838	1.8298	0.1014	1.1690	1.1	2	1.1	0.5848	1.1	1.9954	0.1579	1.5166	1.1	1.9999	2
R3	0.1	0.5	0.7723	0.7037	0.1289	0.5145	0.4245	1.097	0.4819	0.5	1.1	1.9986	0.3517	0.5673	0.4377	0.6875	2
R4	0.1002	0.5	0.3553	1.6428	0.1	1.1015	1.0171	0.5	1.0843	0.5433	1.0965	2	1.0824	0.5433	0.1539	1.3230	2
R5	0.1	0.5	0.6815	0.5001	0.1736	0.6995	0.1156	1.5592	0.5740	0.8006	1.1	2	0.4359	0.9163	0.5404	0.5011	2
R6	0.1	0.5	0.8508	0.8232	0.1572	0.7579	1.0591	1.9992	1.0277	0.5821	1.1	2	0.5267	0.8171	1.1	1.9065	2
R7	0.1	0.5	1.1	0.6261	0.1040	0.5	1.1	0.8780	0.2207	0.5402	1.1	2	0.2347	0.5107	1.1	0.8577	2
R8	0.1	0.8808	1.1	0.9211	0.1948	0.6102	1.1	1.2910	0.2753	1.1354	1.1	1.9940	1.0746	0.5699	1.1	1.2693	2
R9	0.1	0.5	0.2334	1.5578	0.2293	0.5	0.1625	1.5080	0.975	0.5766	1.1	2	0.1	1.7735	0.1	1.0914	2
R10	0.1	0.5644	1.1	2	0.1073	0.7490	1.1	1.9999	0.6700	0.5	1.1	1.9846	0.6703	0.5	1.0999	1.9966	2
R11	0.1	0.5	1.1	1.6436	0.1874	0.75	1.0945	1.3768	0.2089	1.5295	0.9944	1.9579	1.0363	0.6902	1.0999	2	2
R12	0.1	0.5	1.1	0.8664	0.1064	0.5	0.3895	1.6736	0.1124	0.75	1.0245	2	0.1006	0.7924	0.8604	1.0015	2
R13	0.1	0.5	1.1	2	0.2679	0.5	1.1	2	0.2875	1.2163	1.0849	1.9933	0.9133	0.6726	1.1	1.9999	2
R14	0.1	0.5082	0.1483	0.5	0.2666	0.5	0.1046	1.5332	0.6012	0.5028	1.0994	1.9357	0.3895	0.6214	0.1014	0.5063	2
R15	0.1	0.5	0.108	0.5136	0.2533	0.5	0.3810	0.5	0.1604	1.5536	1.1	1.6875	0.1720	1.5085	0.1666	0.7099	2
R16	0.1	0.5	1.1	0.9336	0.1371	0.5	1.0810	0.7936	0.1	1.0781	1.0957	1.9354	0.1200	0.5625	0.6290	1.25	.
T _{op}	3.3877 (s)				1.6825 (s)				1.6124 (s)				1.6065 (s)				



Fig. 5 Primary relay operating time having NI, VI, EI and mixed characteristics in grid-connected mode



Fig. 6 MCT having NI, VI, and EI and mixed characteristics using dual setting DOCR in grid-connected mode



Fig. 7 Backup relay operating time having NI, VI, El and mixed characteristics in grid- connected mode

g DOCR in islanded mode
.⊑'
sett
dual
for
ics
rist
cte
ara
÷
relay
b G
ліх
p
an
Ш
2
Z
g wit
setting
relay
nal
otir
ŏ
5
٩
Ta

			,														
Relay	NI relay ch	aracteristi	CS		VI relay chi	aracteristic	S		El relay chi	aracteristic	S		Mixed rela)	/ character	istics		RCI
	Forward		Reverse	_	Forward		Reverse		Forward		Reverse		Forward		Reverse		
	TMS	R	TMS	S	TMS	PS	TMS	S	TMS	PS	TMS	PS	TMS	S	TMS	PS	1
R1	0.1	0.5	0.3990	1.7560	0.1	0.6179	0.8838	1.0268	0.1	1.1507	0.6248	1.6556	0.4037	0.5	0.8180	1.5353	2
R2	0.1	0.5	1.1	1.9999	0.1	0.7874	1.1	2	0.5490	0.5543	1.1	1.9860	0.6568	0.5	1.1	2	2
R3	0.1001	0.5107	0.1	0.5	0.1110	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.9322	0.1036	0.5	0.1499	0.7313	0.1	0.5	2
R4	0.1	0.5	1.1	2	0.1	0.5001	1.1	1.9994	0.1361	0.5150	1.1	1.9989	0.1	0.5	1.0999	1.9999	-
R5	0.1001	0.5	0.8837	0.5627	0.1746	0.5	0.2198	0.8933	0.1296	1.2249	0.1773	0.8465	0.3070	0.7862	0.4373	0.7167	2
R6	0.1	0.5023	1.1	2	0.1078	0.7464	1.1	2	0.2603	0.8131	1.1	1.9987	0.1082	0.7492	1.1	2	-
R7	0.1	0.5033	0.2640	1.0202	0.1	0.5	1.0687	0.5626	0.1	0.75	0.9422	0.75	0.1693	0.5258	1.1	0.6901	2
R8	0.1	0.5	1.0332	1.6895	0.1	0.5	1.1	2	0.1707	0.5212	1.1	1.9033	0.1690	0.5230	1.1	2	2
R9	0.1	0.5	0.9751	0.7813	0.1001	0.5	0.4204	1.7383	0.1	0.6063	1.1	1.6982	0.1421	0.5	0.5146	1.7406	2
R10	0.1	0.5	1.0968	1.9100	0.1126	0.5286	1.1	1.9979	0.3576	0.5108	1.1	1.9918	0.3705	0.5	1.1	2	2
R11	0.1	0.5	1.0970	2	0.1269	0.5	1.1	2	0.1779	0.7685	1.0928	1.9973	0.3679	0.53	1.1	2	2
R12	0.1001	0.5	0.8712	1.6211	0.1	0.5	0.9056	1.7699	0.1173	0.5078	0.7916	1.9945	0.1284	0.5	0.9226	1.5083	2
R13	0.1	0.5	1.1	2	0.1346	0.5	1.1	2	0.4711	0.5079	1.1	2	0.3753	0.5548	1.1	2	2
R14	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.7615	0.1	0.5128	0.3620	0.9708	0.1970	0.5121	0.9614	0.75	0.1	0.7111	0.9115	0.7626	2
R15	0.1	0.5	1.1	1.5384	0.1315	0.5026	0.8687	2	0.4680	0.5	1.1	1.3455	0.4483	0.5	1.1	1.6245	2
R16	0.1	0.5	0.3807	2	0.1121	0.5	0.9770	1.0648	0.3744	0.5	0.6468	1.45	0.1999	0.6384	1.0960	1.2421	2
T _{op}	3.9882 (s)				1.7765 (s)				1.6928 (s)				1.6345 (s)				



Fig. 8 Primary relay operating time having NI, VI, El and mixed characteristics in islanded mode

Table 6 Comparative analysis of conventional DOCR [20] and dual setting DOCR for 7-bus microgrid system

Operating mode	Relay characteristics	Conventional DOCR operating time (s) using GA [20]	Dual DOCR operating time (s) using GA	Conventional DOCR operating time (s) using GWO	Dual DOCR operating time (s) using GWO	Reduction in total relay operating time using GA	Reduction in total relay operating time using GWO
Grid-connected	NI	7.2041	3.3877	7.2453	3.4045	52.97%	53.01%
	VI	2.4392	1.6825	2.5482	1.7402	31.02%	31.70%
	EI	1.6681	1.6124	1.7053	1.6139	3.39%	5.35%
	Mixed	1.6684	1.6065	1.6868	1.6103	3.71%	4.53%
Islanded	NI	7.3148	3.9882	7.4868	3.9918	45.47%	46.68%
	VI	3.2457	1.7765	3.3625	1.7885	45.26%	46.81%
	EI	6.7142	1.6928	2.0911	1.7258	74.78%	17.46
	Mixed	2.0670	1.6345	2.0888	1.6459	20.92%	21.20%

 Table 7
 Coordination constraint violation summary of the 7-bus microgrid system using GA

Setting calculated	Characteristics curve considered	Constraint viol [<mark>20</mark>]	ation in conventional DOCR	Constraint viol	ation in dual
		Islanded	Grid-connected	Islanded	Grid- connected
Islanded	NI	NIL	13	NIL	01
	VI	NIL	14	NIL	16
	EI	NIL	5	NIL	17
	Mixed	NIL	12	NIL	16
Grid-connected	NI	08	NIL	04	NIL
	VI	07	NIL	04	NIL
	EI	04	NIL	07	NIL
	Mixed	06	NIL	05	NIL



Fig. 9 Method for common optimal setting for dual operating modes of MG

GA, the results are also compared with the grey wolf optimization (GWO) technique. The results show that GA gives better results in terms of total relay operating time in all cases except the islanded case of conventional DOCR using EI characteristics. The percentage reduction in operating time of dual-setting DOCRs compared to conventional DOCR in each case is shown in Table 6. The violation constraints (in terms of number) in both operating modes of the microgrid are displayed in Table 7, while any protection schemes are no longer valid if any of the constraints associated with the relay coordination problem are violated. It is seen that when the optimal settings obtained for grid-connected mode (for dual setting DOCRs) are applied in islanded mode, several constraints are violated (4 for NI, 4 for VI, 7 for EI and 5 for mixed characteristics). In the same way when the optimal relay settings of islanded mode are applied in grid-connected mode, some constraints are violated (1 for NI, 16 for VI, 17 for EI and 16 for mixed characteristics). Therefore, it is desirable to obtain a common relay setting for the operation of the protection scheme, one which can satisfy all the operating mode constraints.

4.4 Common optimum relay setting in dual operating modes of microgrid

The proposed method for a common optimal setting that can be used in both operating modes is shown in Fig. 9, where the effects of both operating modes of the microgrid are taken into account, to identify the common optimal relay setting for dual setting DOCRs. In this process, all the relay constraints of both operating modes are considered together when minimizing the objective function. The common optimal relay settings obtained by GA with the optimally selected relay characteristics are shown in Table 8. The primary relay operating times obtained by GA using conventional and dual setting overcurrent relays are displayed in Fig. 10. In this case, the number of relays remains the same, but the number of relay constraint, and relay pairs, are doubled (RP1-RP44) compared to grid-connected or islanded operating mode (RP1-RP22). The results reveal that for the obtained common relay settings, all three types of relay characteristics, i.e., NI, VI, and EI, are optimally determined. The total relay operating times obtained by GA are found to be 1.6800 s for dual setting DOCR and 2.4392 s for conventional DOCR [20]. This represents a reduction of 31.12% while using dual setting relays with the common optimal settings, while the constraints in both operating modes are completely satisfied, i.e., no constraint violation occurs for either of the operating modes.

5 Proposed protection scheme validation on 18-bus microgrid system

To validate the effectiveness of the proposed protection scheme, the proposed protection method implemented on the distribution part of the IEEE-14 bus test system is applied in a similar manner to a larger microgrid system, i.e., the distribution part of the IEEE-30 bus test system (an 18-bus microgrid system) is considered. The 18-bus microgrid system consists of 22 lines, one SG (50MVA) connected at bus B1, and three IBDG (20 MVA each) at buses B4, B11, and B18 [20]. The other relevant information regarding the IEEE-30 bus system is given in "Appendix". To protect this system, 44 dual setting relays (R1-R44) are required. These are placed at both ends of the lines as shown in Fig. 11. The system is connected to the utility grid through buses B1, B2 and B16 as shown in Fig. 11. The primary-backup relay pairs (RP1-RP72) for different fault locations (L1–L22) are shown in Table 9. In this test system, for some of the relay pairs, the fault currents flowing through the respective backup relays are very small compared to the primary relays because the backup relay operating times are larger than those of the primary relays. Such relay pairs are ignored during the relay coordination process, as they always satisfy the respective constraints. All other test system information is taken from [22]. To show

Relay	Forward		Reverse		RCI	Relay	Forward		Reverse		RCI
	тмѕ	PS	тмѕ	PS			тмѕ	PS	тмѕ	PS	
R1	0.5071	0.595	0.1000	0.816	2	R9	0.2205	0.516	0.610	0.500	1
R2	0.6757	0.734	0.9420	1.649	2	R10	0.6688	0.500	1.1000	1.744	2
R3	0.1329	0.500	0.1188	0.500	1	R11	0.2159	1.502	1.1000	1.638	2
R4	0.1000	0.500	0.3501	1.657	3	R12	0.2540	0.500	1.0990	0.531	2
R5	0.7841	0.682	0.1626	0.504	2	R13	0.1614	1.594	1.1000	2.000	2
R6	0.1000	1.146	0.9213	1.500	1	R14	0.6022	0.500	0.1000	0.572	2
R7	0.2440	0.500	0.1610	0.622	2	R15	0.1000	1.211	0.2417	0.500	1
R8	0.2390	0.5000	1.1000	1.2920	1	R16	0.1333	0.5075	0.1475	0.8044	1
Тор	1.68 (s)										

Table 8 Common optimal relay setting using dual setting DOCR for 7-bus microgrid system



Fig. 10 Primary relay operating time using single and dual setting DOCR for common relay setting

the efficacy of the proposed protection scheme for the 18-bus microgrid system, only the common operating mode is considered due to page limitations. To determine the common optimal relay settings which can be used in both operating modes, the impacts of both operating modes are considered simultaneously. Therefore, the number of constraints is doubled compared to those in the individual operating mode. The minimum and maximum values of TMS, PS, and primary relay operating time are considered the same as in the 7-bus microgrid system. The common optimal relay settings for the 18-bus microgrid system using dual-setting overcurrent relays, obtained by GA are shown in Table 10. From Table 10, it can be seen that the total primary relay operating time obtained by GA for dual setting overcurrent relays, is 4.4472 s, which is 60.47% lower than that for conventional DOCRs [20] as shown in Table 11. The primary relay operating times for all the relay pairs (RP1–RP144) associated with grid-connected mode (RP1–RP72) and islanded mode (RP73–RP144) using single and dual setting overcurrent relays in common operating mode are shown in Fig. 12.

It can be concluded that the common optimal relay settings satisfy all the constraints related to grid-connected and islanded mode of operation simultaneously. Thus,



Fig. 11 Distribution part of IEEE-30 bus system (18-bus microgrid system)

the proposed protection scheme using dual-setting overcurrent relays also provides the common optimal relay settings for larger test system such as the 18-bus microgrid test system which can be used in both operating modes. To show the efficacy of the GA, a comparative analysis in terms of total relay operating time for both test systems (the 7-bus and 18-bus microgrid systems) is shown in Table 11. It can be seen there that the total relay operating times obtained by the GA are better than the GWO for both test cases. In addition, the total primary relay operating time in the common operating mode using dual setting DOCR is always lower than the conventional DOCR [20].

6 Conclusion

This paper presents a comparative analysis of relay coordination for 7-bus and 18-bus microgrid systems using dual-setting relays in both operating modes of a microgrid. One of the major findings of the research is the determination of common settings of dual setting relays for both operating modes of the microgrid. From the results, it can be concluded that the relay operating times in both modes decrease significantly as the relay characteristics change. In this context, for the 7-bus microgrid system, 16 dual-setting relays (R1-R16) have been considered with NI, VI, EI and mixed characteristics by which the total relay operating times are reduced by 52.97%, 31.02%, 3.39% and 3.71% in grid-connected mode, and by 45.47%, 45.26%, 74.78% and 20.92% in islanded mode as compared to conventional DOCR. Also, in common operating mode, the percentage reductions in total relay operating time for dual setting DOCR obtained by GA in the 7-bus and 18-bus microgrid systems are 31.02% and 60.47% respectively, compared to the conventional DOCR. Similarly, the percentage reductions in total relay operating time for dual-setting DOCR obtained by GWO in the 7-bus and 18-bus microgrid systems

Fault location	Relay pair (RP)	Primary relay	Backup relay (dual)	Fault location	Relay pair (RP)	Primary relay	Backup relay (dual)	Fault location	Relay pair (RP)	Primary relay	Backup relay (dual)
L1	RP1	R1	R3	L7	RP26	R13	R9	L15	RP51	R29	R28
	RP2	R1	R5		RP27	R13	R11		RP52	R30	R32
	RP3	R1	R7		RP28	R14	R21		RP53	R30	R33
	RP4	R2	R22	L8	RP29	R15	R10	L16	RP54	R3 1	R20
L2	RP5	R3	R1		RP30	R16	R12		RP55	R3.2	R30
	RP6	R3	R5		RP31	R16	R17		RP56	R32	R33
	RP7	R3	R7		RP32	R16	R19	L17	RP57	R33	R30
	RP8	R4	R26	L9	RP33	R1 7	R12		RP58	R33	R32
L3	RP9	R5	R1		RP34	R1 7	R16		RP59	R34	R37
	RP10	R5	R3		RP35	R1 7	R19	L18	RP60	R35	R34
	RP11	R5	R7		RP36	R18	R23		RP61	R35	R37
	RP12	R6	R27	L10	RP37	R19	R12	L19	RP62	R37	R34
L4	RP13	R7	R1		RP38	R19	R16		RP63	R38	R39
	RP14	R7	R3		RP39	R19	R17		RP64	R38	R41
	RP15	R7	R5		RP40	R20	R31	L20	RP65	R39	R38
	RP16	R8	R28	L11	RP41	R21	R14		RP66	R39	R41
	RP17	R8	R29		RP42	R22	R2		RP67	R40	R43
L5	RP18	R9	R11	L12	RP43	R23	R18	L21	RP68	R41	R38
	RP19	R9	R13		RP44	R24	R25		RP69	R41	R39
	RP20	R10	R15	L13	RP45	R25	R24		RP70	R42	R44
L6	RP21	R11	R9		RP46	R26	R4	L22	RP71	R43	R40
	RP22	R11	R13	L14	RP47	R27	R6		RP72	R44	R42
	RP23	R12	R16		RP48	R28	R8				
	RP24	R12	R17		RP49	R28	R29				
	RP25	R12	R19		RP50	R29	R8				

Table 9 Primary-Backup relay pair for different fault locations in 18 bus microgrid system

Relay	RCI	Forward		Reverse		Relay	RCI	Forward		Reverse	
		TMS	PS	тмѕ	PS			тмѕ	PS	тмѕ	PS
1	2	0.2909	1.1371	1.1000	1.9998	23	1	0.2277	0.5167	1.1000	1.9998
2	2	0.1	1.7002	0.4104	0.5510	24	2	0.4351	0.9222	1.1000	1.0659
3	2	0.1477	1.7122	1.1000	2.0000	25	2	0.1581	1.5164	1.1000	0.6721
4	2	1.0844	0.5740	0.7170	0.5913	26	2	0.5009	0.6876	1.1000	2.0000
5	2	0.8237	0.7349	1.1000	2.0000	27	2	0.4578	0.9954	1.0751	1.9645
6	1	0.2503	0.5144	1.0034	0.7500	28	2	0.1000	1.9102	1.1000	2.0000
7	2	0.6753	0.7578	1.1000	2.0000	29	2	0.5285	0.7757	1.1000	1.9953
8	2	0.2382	1.1168	1.1000	1.6350	30	2	0.4732	0.8708	1.0933	1.9979
9	2	0.5338	0.8283	1.1000	2.0000	31	2	0.4841	0.9310	0.9246	1.4508
10	2	1.0805	0.5953	1.1000	0.5156	32	2	0.1660	1.5015	1.1000	2.0000
11	1	0.2643	0.5162	1.1000	1.1119	33	2	0.7823	0.7869	1.1000	2.0000
12	2	0.2656	1.3253	1.1000	2.0000	34	2	1.0812	0.5786	1.1000	1.9987
13	2	1.0956	0.6344	1.1000	1.8827	35	2	0.3466	1.0587	1.1000	1.2698
14	2	0.8333	0.6044	1.1000	2.0000	36	2	0.2712	0.5864	1.1000	0.7618
15	2	0.3386	1.1727	0.1061	0.5050	37	2	0.2140	1.5094	0.1841	1.3251
16	2	1.0961	0.5312	1.1000	2.0000	38	1	0.2141	0.5218	1.1000	1.9983
17	2	0.1610	1.5053	1.1000	2.0000	39	2	0.3391	1.1641	1.1000	2.0000
18	2	1.0198	0.6835	1.1000	1.9844	40	2	1.0323	0.6713	1.1000	2.0000
19	2	0.3944	0.9916	1.1000	1.9985	41	2	1.0477	0.6434	1.1000	1.9999
20	2	0.9609	0.5456	1.0377	1.3120	42	3	0.1001	0.5000	1.1000	2.0000
21	2	0.5839	0.7671	1.0999	2.0000	43	2	1.0830	0.5672	1.1000	0.8326
22	2	0.2362	1.2411	1.1000	2.0000	44	2	0.9623	0.6789	1.1000	2.0000
T _{op}	4.4472 (s)										

Table 10 Optimal relay setting for common operating mode in 18 bus microgrid system using GA

Table 11 Summary of total relay operating time using single and dual setting overcurrent relays in common operating mode

Sr. nos	Test system	Optimization technique GA [20]	Total relay operating t	Percentage reduction in	
			Conventional DOCR	Dual setting DOCR	total relay operating time
	7 Bus microgrid		2.4392	1.6800	31.12%
		GWO	2.4412	1.7028	30.24%
2	18 Bus microgrid	GA [20]	11.2509	4.4472	60.47%
		GWO	7.0393	4.5780	34.96%

are 30.24% and 34.96% respectively when compared to conventional DOCR. One of the major advantages of the proposed technique is that there is no constraints violation in either operating mode of the microgrid.

The performance of the proposed protection scheme can be further enhanced by taking the relay characteristic coefficients (α and β) as continuous variables.



Fig.12 Primary relay operating time using single and dual setting overcurrent relays for common relay setting in 18 bus microgrid test system

Appendix

See Tables 12, 13 and 14.

Table	12	Bus load	l and ir	ijection d	data of	IEEE 30-	bus system
-------	----	----------	----------	------------	---------	----------	------------

 Table 13
 Reactive power limit of IEEE-30 bus test system

Bus	Load	Bus	Load	Bus	Qmin (p.u.)	Qmax (p.u.)	Bus	Qmin (p.u.)	Qmax (p.u.)
1	0.0	16	3.5	1	- 0.2	0.0	16		
2	21.7	17	9.0	2	-0.2	0.2	17	- 0.05	0.05
3	2.4	18	3.2	3			18	0.0	0.055
4	67.6	19	9.5	4			19		
5	34.2	20	2.2	5	-0.15	0.15	20		
6	0.0	21	17.5	6			21		
7	22.8	22	0.0	7			22		
8	30.0	23	3.2	8	-0.15	0.15	23	- 0.05	0.055
9	0.0	24	8.7	9			24		
10	5.8	25	0.0	10			25		
11	0.0	26	3.5	11	-0.1	0.1	26		
12	11.2	27	0.0	12			27	- 0.055	0.055
13	0.0	28	0.0	13	- 0.15	0.15	28		
14	6.2	29	2.4	14			29		
15	8.2	30	10.6	15			30		

Line	From bus	To bus	/t (p.u.)	l (p.u)	Tap ratio	Rating (p.u)
1	1	2	0.0192	0.0575		0.300
2	1	3	0.0452	0.1832	0.9610	0.300
3	2	4	0.0570	0.1737	0.9560	0.300
4	3	4	0.0132	0.0379		0.300
5	2	5	0.0472	0.1983		0.300
6	2	6	0.0581	0.1763		0.300
7	4	6	0.0119	0.0414		0.300
8	5	7	0.0460	0.1160		0.300
9	6	7	0.0267	0.0820		0.300
10	6	8	0.0120	0.0420		0.300
11	6	9	0.0000	0.2080		0.300
12	6	10	0.0000	0.5560		0.300
13	9	11	0.0000	0.2080		0.300
14	9	10	0.0000	0.1100	0.9700	0.300
15	4	12	0.0000	0.2560	0.9650	0.650
16	12	13	0.0000	0.1400	0.9635	0.650
17	12	14	0.1231	0.2559		0.320
18	12	15	0.0662	0.1304		0.320
19	12	16	0.0945	0.1987		0.320
20	14	15	0.2210	0.1997		0.160
21	16	17	0.0824	0.1932		0.160
22	15	18	0.1070	0.2185		0.160
23	18	19	0.0639	0.1292	0.9590	0.160
24	19	20	0.0340	0.0680		0.320
25	10	20	0.0936	0.2090		0.320
26	10	17	0.0324	0.0845	0.9850	0.320
27	10	21	0.0348	0.0749		0.300
28	10	22	0.0727	0.1499		0.300
29	21	22	0.0116	0.0236		0.300
30	15	23	0.1000	0.2020		0.160
31	22	24	0.1150	0.1790		0.300
32	23	24	0.1320	0.2700	0.9655	0.160
33	24	25	0.1885	0.3292		0.300
34	25	26	0.2544	0.3800		0.300
35	25	27	0.1093	0.2087		0.300
36	28	27	0.0000	0.3960		0.300
37	27	29	0.2198	0.4153	0.9810	0.300
38	27	30	0.3202	0.6027		0.300
39	29	30	0.2399	0.4533		0.300
40	8	28	0.0636	0.2000	0.9530	0.300
41	6	28	0.0169	0.0599		0.300

 Table 14
 Line parameter of IEEE-30 bus test system

Abbreviations

DOCR: Directional overcurrent relay; TMS: Time multiplier setting; PS: Plug setting; RCI: Relay characteristics identifier; CTI: Coordination time interval; CTR: Current transformer ratio; RP: Relay pair; OF: Objective function; NI: Normal inverse; VI: Very inverse; EI: Extremely inverse; IIDG: Inverter interface distributed generator; SBDG: Synchronous based distributed generator; CCM: Current control mode; VCM: Voltage control mode; GA:: Genetic algorithm; GWO: Grey wolf optimization.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

RT carried out problem formulation, simulation, calculations, preparing manuscript, RKS and NKC participated in problem conceptualization, coordination and helped to draft manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Mr. Raghvendra Tiwari is Research Scholar pursuing his PhD in Electrical Engg. from Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology (MNNIT) Allahabad, Prayagraj (U.P), India., he graduated (2007) and post graduated (2013) in Electrical Engg. From National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research (NITTTR), Chandigarh (Haryana). His areas of interest include Power system analysis, power system protection and artificial intelligence.

Prof. Ravindra Kumar Singh is presently working as a Professor (H.A.G) in Dept of Electrical Engg., MNNIT Allahabad, Prayagraj (U.P.) India. He has completed his PhD from IIT, Kanpur. His areas of interest include Power electronics, power system protection, and power systems.

Dr. Niraj Kumar Choudhary is presently working as a Asst. Professor in Dept of Electrical Engg, MNNIT Allahabad, Prayagraj (U.P.) India. He has completed his PhD from MNNIT Allahabad. His areas of interest include renewable energy and distributed generation based power system power system protection, and microgrid protection and smart grid, integration issues of DG in distribution system.

Funding

No funding received from any agency.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Received: 21 September 2020 Accepted: 27 January 2022 Published online: 21 February 2022

References

- Singh, M. (2017). Protection coordination in distribution systems with and without distributed energy resources—A review. Protection and Control of Modern Power Systems, 2, 27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41601-017-0061-1
- Alam, M. N., Das, B., & Pant, V. (2016). An interior point method based protection coordination scheme for directional overcurrent relays in meshed networks. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2016.02.012
- Wadood, A., Farkoush, S. G., Khurshaid, T., Kim, C. H., Jiangtao, Y., Geem, Z. W., & Rhee, S. B. (2018). An optimized protection coordination scheme for the optimal coordination of overcurrent relays using a nature-inspired root tree algorithm. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*. https://doi.org/10. 3390/app8091664
- Khurshaid, T., Wadood, A., Farkoush, S. G., Kim, C. H., Jiangtao, Yu., & Rhee, S. B. (2019). Improved firefly algorithm for the optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays. *IEEE Access*, 7, 78503–78514. https://doi. org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2922426
- Bedekar, P. P., Bhide, S. R., & Kale, V. S. (2009). Optimum coordination of overcurrent relays in distribution system using genetic algorithm. In 2009 International conference on power systems, ICPS '09 (pp. 1–6). https://doi. org/10.1109/ICPWS.2009.5442716.
- Barzegari, M., Bathaee, S. M.T., & Alizadeh, M. (2010). Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays using harmony search algorithm. In 2010 9th Conference on environment and electrical engineering, EEEIC 2010 (Vol. 7, pp. 321–24). https://doi.org/10.1109/EEEIC.2010.5489935.
- Tjahjono, A., Anggriawan, D. O., Faizin, A. K., Priyadi, A., Pujiantara, M., Taufik, T., & Purnomo, M. H. (2017). Adaptive modified firefly algorithm for optimal coordination of overcurrent relays. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, *11*(10), 2575–2585. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2016.1563
- Sharma, A., Kiran, D., & Panigrahi, B. K. (2018). Planning the coordination of overcurrent relays for distribution systems considering network reconfiguration and load restoration. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, *12*(7), 1672–1679. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2017.1674
- 9. Srivastava, A., Tripathi, J. M., Krishan, R., & Parida, S. K. (2018). Optimal coordination of overcurrent relays using gravitational search algorithm

with DG penetration. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 54*(2), 1155–1165. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2017.2773018

- Birla, D., Maheshwari, R. P., Gupta, H. O., Deep, K., & Thakur, M. (2006). Application of random search technique in directional overcurrent relay coordination. *International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems*, 7(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.2202/1553-779X.1271
- Singh, M., Panigrahi, B. K., & Abhyankar, A. R. (2013). Optimal coordination of directional over-current relays using teaching learning-based optimization (TLBO) algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 50(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.02.011
- Radosavljević, J., & Jevtić, M. (2016). Hybrid GSA-SQP algorithm for optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution, 10*(8), 1928–1937. https://doi.org/10.1049/ iet-gtd.2015.1223
- Costa, M. H., Saldanha, R. R., Ravetti, M. G., & Carrano, E. G. (2017). Robust coordination of directional overcurrent relays using a matheuristic algorithm. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution, 11*(2), 464–474. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2016.1010
- Albasri, F. A., Alroomi, A. R., & Talaq, J. H. (2015). Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays using biogeography-based optimization algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, *30*(4), 1810–1820. https:// doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2015.2406114
- Solati Alkaran, D., Vatani, M. R., Sanjari, M. J., Gharehpetian, G. B., & Naderi, M. S. (2018). Optimal overcurrent relay coordination in interconnected networks by using fuzzy-based GA method. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 9(4), 3091–3101. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2016.2626393
- Sharaf, H. M., Zeineldin, H. H., Ibrahim, D. K., El Din, E., & El-Zahab, A. (2015). A proposed coordination strategy for meshed distribution systems with DG considering user-defined characteristics of directional inverse time overcurrent relays. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 65, 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.09.028
- Sharaf, H. M., Zeineldin, H. H., & El-Saadany, E. (2018). Protection coordination for microgrids with grid-connected and islanded capabilities using communication assisted dual setting directional overcurrent relays. *IEEE Transactions* on Smart Grid, 9(1), 143–151. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2016.2546961
- Nimpitiwan, N., Heydt, G. T., Ayyanar, R., & Suryanarayanan, S. (2007). Fault current contribution from synchronous machine and inverter based distributed generators. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 22(1), 634–641. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2006.881440
- Khademi, M. M. (2017). Designing a coordinated protection system for microgrids enabled with DERs based on unidirectional FCL. *CIRED - Open Access Proceedings Journal*, 2017(1), 1027–1030. https://doi.org/10.1049/ oap-cired.2017.0192
- Najy, W. K. A., Zeineldin, H. H., & Woon, W. L. (2013). Optimal protection coordination for microgrids with grid-connected and islanded capability. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60(4), 1668–1677. https://doi. org/10.1109/TIE.2012.2192893
- Alam, M. N. (2019). Overcurrent protection of AC microgrids using mixed characteristic curves of relays. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 74, 74–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2019.01.003
- 22. Christie R. Power system test cases. (1993). www.ee.washington.edu/ research/pstca.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com