SHORT REPORT Open Access



Is vegetarianism related to anxiety and depression? A cross-sectional survey in a French sample

Laurent Bègue^{1*} and Rebecca Shankland²

Abstract

Objective: The relationship between vegetarianism and mental health is controversial. The aim of the present study is to examine the cross-sectional association between anxiety, depression, and vegetarianism in a French sample while controlling for potential confounders.

Design: Self-reported questionnaire data were obtained from a large cross-sectional sample.

Participants and setting: We included an online sample of 6578 participants aged 18–90, 70.8% females.

Results: Analyses of variance with age, gender, body mass index (BMI), educational level, monthly income, and city size as covariates showed that vegetarians and non-vegetarians did not appear to have significantly different levels of anxiety or depression.

Conclusion: Our findings do not suggest a link between plant-based diet and anxiety or depression, either before or after adjustment for relevant factors.

Background

It has been estimated that there are about 1.5 billion vegetarians worldwide. After a long history in Asian cultures, the popularity of plant-based diets is currently increasing in the Western world. According to recent polls, approximately 10% people exclude meat from their diets in New Zealand, Australia, and Israel [1]. They represent 8% of the population in Canada [2] and UK [3], 5% in the USA [4], Germany [5], and France [3], and 3% in Spain [3]. In France, a recent rise in vegetarian consumption has been observed alongside a 9% decrease in meat consumption in recent years [3]. Three main motivations have been shown to underlie vegetarianism: sustainability issues [6], health benefits [7, 8], and animal welfare [9]. Regarding health benefits, it has been widely documented that

excessive meat consumption increases the risks of cancer [10, 11], heart diseases [12], strokes [13-15], type 2 diabetes [14, 16, 17], and obesity [7, 18]. The health benefits of eating less or no meat have been underlined by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics [19] and may be explained by a higher intake of fiber, polyunsaturated fats, vitamin C, bioactive molecules, and a lower consumption of saturated fat [20, 21]. However, the consequences of vegetarianism on a person's mental health remain controversial. Despite several studies showing that vegetarians generally follow diets that are closer to public health guidelines than those of omnivores [22], some studies have also suggested that potential nutritional deficits among vegetarians in relation to vitamin B12, long-chain n-3 fatty acids, folates, and/or zinc [23-25] may lead to adverse psychological outcomes.

Anxiety and depression both count among these adverse psychological outcomes. Anxiety is a psychological state characterized by feelings of tension, recurring intrusive thoughts or concerns, and physical changes

¹ LIP/PC2S, University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: Laurent.Begue@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

such as increased blood pressure. Depression is a negative affective state, ranging from unhappiness and discontent to an extreme feeling of sadness that interferes with daily life, which is associated with a lack of energy or motivation, difficulty in concentrating or making decisions, and withdrawal from social activities. A link between vegetarianism or low meat consumption and anxiety and/or depression has been observed in several prior studies [22–27]. For example, in a recent German study, the percentage of participants who screened positive for potential cases of depression and anxiety was 5.3% in omnivores and 8.5% in vegetarians or vegans [25]. In the largest existing single study [28], which involved a sample of 90,380 French participants, depressive symptoms were associated with exclusion of meat and fish and a lacto-ovo-vegetarian diet (exclusion of meat, fish, eggs, and dairy products) in a multivariate analysis controlling for an extended number of relevant covariates (e.g., age, sex, income, education level, physical activity, smoking, and alcohol intake). In that sample, 28.4% of meatabstainers showed symptoms of depression, compared to only 16.2% of meat consumers. However, the observed association between a vegetarian diet and depression only represented a particular case of a broader association between depressive symptoms and food exclusion, regardless of food type. It therefore seemed non-specific to the exclusion of animal food but, rather, to underlie food avoidance in general. The authors also noted that depressive symptoms were not associated with a vegetarian diet among individuals with high legume intake.

A few other studies carried out in general population samples have not confirmed an increased risk of depression or anxiety among vegetarians [29–31]. In a cross-sectional and longitudinal culturally diverse and representative sample, vegetarianism was found to be unrelated to mental health in the USA, Russia, and Germany and was only slightly predictive of depression and anxiety among Chinese students [32]. In another study with 12,905 participants in Germany and 15,532 Australians, the authors concluded that the effect of diet on subjective well-being was either nonexistent or negligible [31]. No relationship has been found in specific populations like endurance runners [33] or people from developing countries either [34].

Finally, a few studies have found lower levels of anxiety and depression among people adopting a vegetarian diet [29, 34, 35]. In the only randomized controlled trial currently available, a large multicenter nutrition intervention found that a vegan diet (without any animal products) appeared inversely related to depression and anxiety [35]. However, as was acknowledged by the authors of that study, the control group did not receive any intervention, and the mere presence of an intervention in the

nutrition intervention group could have accounted for the observed effects.

The contradictory results observed in the aforementioned studies may be the consequence of many factors such as research design, sampling methodology, the nature of the nutritional measures used (self-reported or actual intake), the validity of outcome measures, and the lack of statistical controls on relevant variables. Three recent systematic reviews have provided results based on aggregated independent research studies [19, 36, 37]. In the first one, a large comprehensive synthesis based on eighteen studies comprising a total of 160,257 participants, it was concluded that meat-abstention was related to higher rates of risk of depression, anxiety, and related symptoms [38]. This systematic review showed that seven out of 14 studies had found a higher prevalence or risk in participants who avoided meat, while three found no differences between groups, two showed mixed results, and the remaining two found a higher risk among meat consumers. Moreover, the authors estimated the quality of the included studies and observed that the four more rigorous studies indicated a link between avoidance of meat and risk of depression, anxiety, and related symptoms. The second meta-analysis on vegetarianism and mental health was quantitative, an approach which is supposed to provide higher-quality results [37]. It included thirteen studies (total N=147,964) published between 2005 and 2020, with samples from Europe, Asia, and the USA. The results reported that no association was found between adherence to a vegetarian diet and the prevalence of depression and anxiety [36]. The third available metaanalysis included thirteen studies with a total of 17,809 participants and concluded that there was no statistically significant difference between vegetarian or vegan diets and omnivores regarding the incidence of continuous measures of depression, stress, and well-being. Moreover, individuals who followed vegetarian and vegan diets had lower levels of anxiety. However, when depression was analyzed as a categorical variable, vegetarians and vegans had a higher risk of depression [19]. It has been proposed that the contrasting conclusions of these three meta-analyses might be attributable to differences in their reviewing methodologies [37].

Given the still uncertain relationship between vegetarianism and depression, we aimed to determine whether vegetarianism was related to depressive symptomatology and happiness in a large community sample in France. In several studies, education, income, and city size were associated with meat consumption [39–41]. We therefore measured and controlled for these relevant covariates. We also measured body mass index, which is considered a relevant measure in

studies on vegetarian diet and health [42-45], and was also included as a measure.

In summary, the aim of the present research was to advance the understanding of the possible link between vegetarianism, anxiety, and depression. To that end, we relied on a large community sample enabling the inclusion and the statistical control of relevant covariates.

Methods

Setting and sample

There was no a priori defined sample size. Following a public campaign in the national media, the study's participants had previously freely registered on a Web site promoting meat and fish substitution once a week. The campaign, called "Green Monday" (or "Lundi Vert" in French), officially started in January 2019 with a massive press release of a petition with 500 public figures' signatures, including those of well-known artists, sportsmen, politicians, scientists, and NGOs, calling on consumers to change their eating habits and avoid eating meat and fish every Monday throughout 2019 for environmental, health, and animal welfare reasons [46]. Most French news Web sites, as well as the country's public television and radio broadcasts, publicized the campaign, and large 3 × 4 posters were also displayed in 60 subway stations in Paris. Participants in the present study completed an online survey in December 2019, eleven months after the launch of the campaign. The total sample size was 9993 participants. Those who did not indicate their gender (n=1371) or who were not eligible to participate due to being aged below 18 (n = 612), or did not reply in any other question were deleted. The final sample therefore included 6578 participants (70.1% females), aged 18-90 years old.

Measures

Covariates

Due to being generally related to mental health and/ or food habits, the following variables were selected as covariates: age, gender, educational level, monthly income, and city size. We also included participants' BMI [47], which was calculated from their self-reported weight and height (weight divided by height squared; kg/ m2). The participants were asked to report their educational level (from 1 = lowest level below baccalaureate, to 7 = doctorate or other degrees), their current net monthly income (from 1 = less than 1000 euros to 6 = more than 4500 euros), and the size of their city of residence (from 1 = less than 10,000 inhabitants to 6 = more than 400,000 inhabitants).

Main variables

Due to time constraints, short measures were preferred over longer and/or extended multidimensional measures. We relied on the GAD-2 scale [48] to evaluate anxiety (M=2.30, SD=2.00, Cronbach's alpha=0.81, example item: "In the last two weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems: feeling nervous, anxious, or on the edge"). The PGQ-2 scale [49] was used to estimate depression (M = 1.72, SD = 1.50, Cronbach's alpha = 0.80, example item "In the last two weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems: Feeling down, depressed of hopeless"). Response options for both measures ranged from 1 = not at all, to 5 = every day. Regarding food exclusion habits, the participants were asked which foods they never (or almost never) consumed, from a list including meat (beef, chicken, pork, and others), fish, milk, and dairy products (cheese, yoghurts, etc.), or other foods. We observed that 4.2% of participants excluded eggs, 9.2% excluded dairy products, 17.7% excluded fish, and 28.2% excluded meat. These observations suggest that the sample was not representative of food habits in France, where vegetarianism is estimated between 2 and 5% of general population. While these features would raise an issue if the aim of the study was to provide absolute values regarding food habits in France, the fact that vegetarians were oversampled enabled us to ensure a comparison with enough statistical power.

Statistical methods

Participants with any missing values were excluded from the analysis. Two analyses of covariance were performed to compare the anxiety and depression scores of the vegetarian and the non-vegetarian group controlling for relevant factors (see below). Regarding the dichotomization of the variable, participants who declared that they avoided both meat and fish (12.8%, N=839) were classified in the vegetarian group, coded 1, which was contrasted with a category for those who ate meat, fish, or both (87.2%, N=5739), coded 0. Age, gender, BMI, educational level, monthly income, and city size were all entered covariates, and anxiety (GAD-2 scale) and depression (PhQ-2 scale) were entered as dependent variables in the models.

Ethical aspects

All procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards for questionnaire studies at University Grenoble Alpes, and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Table 1 Characteristics of the samples and univariate comparisons

	Not vegetarians $(N = 5739)$	Vegetarians (N = 839)	Statistical tests
Age (M. SD)	60.85 (22.06)	60.06 (21.81)	t (6576) = 0.96, ns
Education level (1–7) (mean, SD)	4.20 (1.35)	4.10 (1.34)	$t_{cor}(1101.04) = 2.04$, ns
Income (1–6) (mean, SD)	4.20 (1.41)	3.71 (1.50)	$t_{cor}(1066.06) = 8.80, p. < .001$
City size (1–6) (mean, SD)	1.27 (1.18)	1.01 (1.18)	$t_{cor}(1095.91) = 2.05, p. < .001$
BMI (mean, SD)	23.35 (3.97)	22.24 (3.47)	t_{cor} (1184.23) = 8.47, p . < .001
Anxiety, GAD 2 (1–5) (mean, SD)	2.30 (1.04)	2.31 (1.04)	t(6576) = 0.20, ns
Depression, PHQ2 (1–5) (mean, SD)	1.72 (0.84)	1.77 (0.86)	t(6576) = 1.72 ns

BMI body mass index, GAD2 Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale, PGQ scale, Patient Health Questionnaire Scale, NB due to Bonferroni correction, the significance threshold is 0.005

Table 2 Analysis of covariance with anxiety (GAD-2 scale) as a dependent variable

	Type III sum of squares	ddl	Mean square	F	<i>p</i> value
Corrected model	72.54	7	10.36	9.56	.000
Constant	413.50	1	413.50	381.53	.000
Gender	4.85	1	4.85	4.48	.03
Age	5.29	1	5.29	4.88	.02
City size	14.72	1	14.72	13.58	.000
Educational level	15.84	1	15.84	14.62	0.30
Income	24.01	1	24.01	22.15	.000
BMI	5.48	1	5.48	5.05	.025
Vegetarianism	0.46	1	0.46	0.43	0.83
Error	7120.47	6570	1.08		
Total	42,251.34	6578			
Corrected total	7193.01	6577			

Results

A univariate analysis with Bonferroni corrections (Table 1) indicated that vegetarians were not significantly different in depression or anxiety.

The analysis of covariance including gender, age, city size, income, and BMI indicated that vegetarians and omnivorous were not significantly different regarding anxiety score (GAD-2 scale, M = 2.31, SD = 1.04 vs. M = 2.30, SD = 1.04, F(1,6577) = 0.43, p = 0.83) and depression score (PhQ-2 scale, -M = 1.72, SD = 0.84 vs. M = 1.77, SD = 0.86, F(1,6577) = 1.01, p = 0.31). The results of both analyses are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to investigate the possible associations between vegetarianism, anxiety, and depression, controlling for relevant potential confounders. Our results showed that individuals with a

Table 3 Analysis of covariance with depression (PHQ-2 scale) as a dependent variable

	Type III sum of squares	ddl	Mean square	F	<i>p</i> value
Corrected model	124.51	7	17.78	25.39	.000
Constant	194.67	1	194.67	277.94 .00	00
Gender	0.78	1	0.78	1.12	0.28
Age	5.07	1	5.07	7.24	.007
City size	11.85	1	11.85	16.86	.000
Educational level	0.72	1	0.72	1.03	0.30
Income	33.33	1	30.33	43.31	.000
BMI	30.67	1	30.67	43.79	.000
Vegetarian- ism	0.71	1	0.71	1.01 0.31	
Error	4601.786	6570	0.700		
Total	24,365.249	6578			
Corrected total	4726.30	6577			

vegetarian diet did not present an increased risk of anxiety or depression.

Some limitations affecting this study need to be discussed. Firstly, our measures were only based on self-reported and declarative measures. The possibility therefore cannot be excluded that some participants answering that they rejected meat or fish were actually not fully abstaining from these foods, as many earlier studies have suggested [36, 38]. Moreover, in this study, some important potential covariates, such as alcohol and tobacco consumption, were not measured [50, 51], and the possibility of response bias in different agegroups was not estimated. Finally, the sample was not representative of the country's general population, as it was reached through a specific national campaign. This

specificity regarding our sample imposes a limitation on the generalization of our results, but it also represents an asset. The individual profiles of participants included in our sample were indeed more homogeneous because they all had in common the fact of having registered on a Web site to limit the consumption of meat every Monday. Consequently, vegetarians and non-vegetarians in the current study were probably more similar in many other personality and social variables, which decreased the risk of a spurious relationship between following a plant-based diet and our main measures. It has been shown that health, environmental, and ethical motives represented important motivations for vegetarianism [52]. Future studies could include an exploration of the underlying motivations for vegetarianism. Differentiating subtypes of vegetarians could also contribute to clarifying the link between this specific eating behavior and indices of mental health.

In conclusion, a vegetarian diet appears to be unrelated to anxiety or depression in this study. Further studies should investigate the generalizability of these results in a representative sample of French participants.

Abbreviations

BMI: Body Mass Index; GAD scale: Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; PGQ scale: Patient Health Questionnaire Scale.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Natacha Borel and Zoe Lackner for their contribution in data management.

Author contributions

LB and RS contributed to conceptualization; LB analyzed the data and made the first draft; RS and LB provided the final draft. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the Grant IUF2017/UGA to the first author.

Availability of data and materials

Data are fully available on request to Laurent.Begue@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards for questionnaire studies at University Grenoble Alpes, and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Consent for publication

All the participants involved in the study gave their consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors do not declare any competing interests.

Author details

 $^1\mbox{LIP/PC2S},$ University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France. $^2\mbox{University Lyon 2}$ Lumière, DIPHE, Lyon, France.

Received: 22 October 2020 Accepted: 27 April 2022 Published online: 09 May 2022

References

- Edelstein S. Food science: an ecological approach. Burlington: Jones & Bartlett: 2018
- 2. Ruby MB, Heine SJ, Kamble S, Cheng TK, Waddar M. Compassion and contamination. Cult Differ Veg Appet. 2013;71:340–8.
- FranceAgriMer, Impact de la crise économique sur la consommation de viande et évolution des comportements alimentaires, vol. 21. Paris: Les synthèses de FranceAgriMer; 2015.
- Le LT, Sabate J. Beyond meatless, the health effects of vegan diets: findings from the Adventist cohorts. Nutrients. 2014;6:2131–47.
- Mensink G, Barbosa CL, Brettschneider A-K. Prevalence of persons following a vegetarian diet in Germany. J Health Monit. 2016;1:2–14.
- Godfray C, et al. Meat consumption, health, and the environment. Science. 2018;361:eaam5324.
- Wang X, et al. Red and processed meat consumption and mortality: dose–response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Public Health Nutr. 2016;19:893–905.
- Vergnaud AC, Norat T, Romaguera D, Mouw T, May AM, Travier N, Luan JA, Wareham N, Slimani N, Rinaldi S, et al. Meat consumption and prospective weight change in participants of the EPIC-PANACEA study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:398–407.
- 9. Rosenfeld DL. The psychology of vegetarianism: recent advances and future directions. Appetite. 2018;131:125–38.
- Dinu M, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A, Sofi F. Vegetarian, vegan diets and multiple health outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2017;57(17):3640–9.
- Boutron-Ruault MC, Mesrine S, Pierre F. Meat consumption and health outcomes. In: Mariotti F (editor) Vegetarian and plant-based diets in health and disease prevention. New York: Academic Press; 2017. p. 197–214.
- 12. Wolk A. Potential health hazards of eating red meat. J Intern Med. 2017;281:106–22.
- Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaffarian D. Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus a systematic review and meta-analysis. Circulation. 2010;121:2271–83.
- 14. Kaluza J, Wolk A, Larsson SC. Red meat consumption and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Stroke. 2012;43:2556–60.
- Chiu TH, Pan WH, Lin MN, Lin CL. Vegetarian diet, change in dietary patterns, and diabetes risk: a prospective study. Nutr Diabetes. 2018;8(1):12.
- Kahleova H, Pelikanova T. vegetarian diets in the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes. J Am Coll Nutr. 2015;34(5):448–58.
- Wang Y, Beydoun MA. Meat consumption is associated with obesity and central obesity among US adults. Int J Obes. 2009;33:621–8.
- 18. Craig W, Mangels AR. Position of the American Dietetic Association: vegetarian diets. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2009;109:1266–82.
- Iguacel I, Huybrechts I, Moreno LA, Michels N. Vegetarianism and veganism compared with mental health and cognitive outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Rev. 2021;79(4):361–81.
- Melina V, Craig W, Levin S. Position of the academy of nutrition and dietetics: vegetarian diets. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2016;116(12):1970–80.
- Gorczyca D. Nutritional status of vegetarian children. In: Mariotti F, editor. Vegetarian and plant-based diets in health and disease prevention. New York: Academic Press; 2017. p. 529–47.
- 22. Hibbeln JR, Northstone K, Evans J, et al. Vegetarian diets and depressive symptoms among men. J Affect Disord. 2018;225:13–7.
- Michalak J, Zhang XC, Jacobi F. Vegetarian diet and mental disorders: results from a representative community survey. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;9:67.
- Li X, Cao HJ, Xie S, et al. Adhering to a vegetarian diet may create a greater risk of depressive symptoms in the elderly male chinese population. J Affect Disord. 2019;243:182–7.
- Paslakis G, Richardson C, Nöhre, et al. Prevalence and psychopathology of vegetarians and vegans. Results from a representative survey in Germany. Sci Rep. 2020;10:6840.
- 26 Stokes NC, Gordon M, DiVasta A. Vegetarian diets and mental health in adolescents with anorexia. J Adoles Health. 2011;48:2550.
- 27. Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Williams LJ, Mann N, Hodge A, Brazionis L, Berk M. Red meat consumption and mood and anxiety disorders. Psychother Psychosom. 2012;81:196–8.

- Matta J, Czernichow S, Kesse-Guyot E, Hoertel N, Limosin F, Goldberg M, Zins M, Lemogne C. Depressive symptoms and vegetarian diets: results from the constances cohort. Nutrients. 2018;10(11):1695.
- 29. Beezhold BL, Johnston CS, Daigle DR. Vegetarian diets are associated with healthy mood states. A cross-sectional study in Seventh Day Adventist adults. Nutr J. 2010;9(26):1–7.
- Timko CA, Hormes JM, Chubski J. Will the real vegetarian please stand up? An investigation of dietary restraint and eating disorder symptoms in vegetarians versus non-vegetarians. Appetite. 2012;58(3):982–90.
- 31. Pfeiler T, Egloff B. Do vegetarians feel bad? Examining the association between eating vegetarian and subjective well-being in two representative samples. Food Qual Prefer. 2020;86: 104418.
- Lavallee K, Zhang XC, Michalak J, et al. Vegetarian diet and mental health: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses in culturally diverse samples. J Affect Disord. 2019:248:147–54.
- Wirnitzer K, Boldt P, Lechleitner C, Wirnitzer G, Leitzmann C, Rosemann T, et al. Health status of female and male vegetarian and vegan endurance runners compared to omnivores—results from the NURMI study (step 2). Nutrients. 2018;11(1):29.
- Santivañez-Romani A, Carbajal-Vega V, Pereyra-Elías R. Association between a vegetarian diet and emotional symptoms: a cross-sectional study among adolescents in four developing countries. Int J Adoles Med Health. 2018;1:66. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijamh-2018-0130.
- Beezhold BL, Johnston CS. Restriction of meat, fish, and poultry in omnivores improves mood: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Nutr J. 2012;14:11–9.
- Askari M, Daneshzad E, Darooghegi Mofrad M, Bellissimo N, Suitor K, Azadbakht L. Vegetarian diet and the risk of depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2020;4:1–11.
- Thomas-Odenthal F, Molero P, van der Does W, Molendijk M. Impact of review method on the conclusions of clinical reviews: a systematic review on dietary interventions in depression as a case in point. PLoS ONE. 2020:15(9): e0238131.
- Dobersek U, Wy G, Adkins J, Altmeyer S, Krout K, Lavie CJ, Archer E. Meat and mental health: a systematic review of meat abstention and depression, anxiety, and related phenomena. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2021;61(4):622–35.
- ANSES. Étude individuelle nationale des consommations alimentaires (Inca3). Rapport d'expertise collective. Maison Alfort: Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail; 2017.
- 40. Gossard MH, York R. Social structural influences on meat consumption. Hum Fcol Rev. 2003:10:1–9.
- 41. Pfeiler TM, Egloff B. Examining the "Veggie" personality: results from a representative German sample. Appetite. 2018;120:246–55.
- Burkert NT, Muckenhuber J, Großschädl F, et al. Nutrition and health—the association between eating behavior and various health parameters: a matched sample study. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(2): e88278.
- Davey GK, et al. EPIC—Oxford lifestyle characteristics and nutrient intakes in a cohort of 33 883 meat-eaters and 31 546 non meat-eaters in the UK. Public Health Nutr. 2003;6:259–68.
- Key TJ, et al. Mortality in vegetarians and nonvegetarians: detailed findings from a collaborative analysis of 5 prospective studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;70:516S-524S.
- 45. Tonstad S, Butler T, Yan R, Fraser GE. Type of vegetarian diet, body weight, and prevalence of type 2 diabetes. Diabet Care. 2009;32:791.
- Bègue L, Treich N. Immediate and 15-weeks correlates of individual commitment to a "Green Monday" national campaign fostering weekly substitution of meat and fish by other nutrients. Nutrients. 2019;11(7):1694.
- Who. 2006. BMI classification. Global Database on Body Mass Index. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, et al. Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146:317–25.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. Med Care. 2003;41:1284–92.
- Alewaeters K, Clarys P, Hebbelinck M, Deriemaeker P, Clarys JP. Cross-sectional analysis of BMI and some lifestyle variables in Flemish vegetarians compared with non-vegetarians. Ergonomics. 2005;48(11–14):1433–44.
- 51. Rottka H. Health and vegetarian life-style. Bibl Nutr Dieta. 1990;45:176–94.

 Ruby MB. Vegetarianism. A blossoming field of study. Appetite. 2012;58:141–50.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

