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#### Abstract

We provide new proofs of two key results of $p$-adic Hodge theory: the FontaineWintenberger isomorphism between Galois groups in characteristic 0 and characteristic $p$, and the Cherbonnier-Colmez theorem on decompletion of $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules. These proofs are derived from joint work with Liu on relative $p$-adic Hodge theory, and are closely related to the theory of perfectoid algebras and spaces, as in the work of Scholze.
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To Robert, forever quelling the rebellious provinces
Let $p$ be a prime number. The subject of $p$-adic Hodge theory concerns the interplay between different objects arising from the cohomology of algebraic varieties over $p$-adic fields. A good introduction to the subject circa 2010 can be found in the notes of Brinon and Conrad [4]; however, in the subsequent years the subject has been radically altered by the introduction of some new ideas and techniques. While these ideas have their origins in work of this author on relative $p$-adic Hodge theory $[15,16]$ and were further developed in joint work with Liu [18, 19], they are most widely known through Scholze's work on the theory and applications of perfectoid algebras [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].
The purpose of this paper is to reinterpret and reprove two classic results of $p$-adic Hodge theory through the optic of perfectoid algebras (but in a self-contained manner). The first of these results is a theorem of Fontaine and Wintenberger [10] on the relationship between Galois theory in characteristic 0 and characteristic $p$.

Theorem 0.0.1 (Fontaine-Wintenberger) For $\mu_{p}$ 都 the group of all p-power roots of unity in an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, the absolute Galois groups of the fields $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p} \infty\right)$ are isomorphic (and even homeomorphic as profinite topological groups).

The original proof of Theorem 0.0.1 depends in a crucial way on higher ramification theory of local fields, as developed for instance in the book of Serre [27]. This causes difficulties when trying to generalize Theorem 0.0.1, e.g., to local fields with imperfect residue fields. We expose here a new approach to Theorem 0.0 .1 in which ramification

Springer
theory plays no role; one instead makes a careful analysis of rings of Witt vectors over valuation rings. In the process, we obtain a far more general result, in which $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ can be replaced by any sufficiently ramified $p$-adic field; more precisely, we obtain a functorial (and hence compatible with Galois theory) correspondence between perfectoid fields and perfect fields of characteristic $p$. This is the tilting correspondence in the sense of [21], which is proved using almost ring theory; our proof here is the somewhat more elementary argument found in [18] (see Remark 1.5.7 for further discussion). As a historical note, we remark that we learned the key ideas from this proof from attending Coleman's 1997 Berkeley course "Fontaine's theory of the mysterious functor," whose principal content appears in [6].

Our second topic is the description of continuous representations of $p$-adic Galois groups on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-vector spaces (such as might arise from étale cohomology with $p$-adic coefficients) in terms of ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules. The original description of this form was given by Fontaine [9] in terms of a Cohen ring for a field of formal power series, and is an easy consequence of Theorem 0.0.1. Our main focus is the refinement of Fontaine's result by Cherbonnier and Colmez [5], in which the Cohen ring is replaced with a somewhat smaller ring of convergent power series (see Theorem 2.6.2 for the precise statement). This refinement is critical to a number of applications of $p$-adic Hodge theory, notably Colmez's construction of the $p$-adic Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ [7].

Existing proofs of the Cherbonnier-Colmez theorem, including a generalization to families of representations by Berger and Colmez [3], rely on some calculations involving a formalism for decompletion in continuous Galois cohomology, inspired by results of Tate and Sen and later axiomatized by Colmez. However, one can express the proof in such a way that one makes essentially the same calculations on $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules as in [5], but without any need to introduce the Tate-Sen formalism. Besides making the proof more transparent, this approach gives rise to analogous results for representations of the étale fundamental groups of some rigid analytic spaces; for instance, the theory of overconvergent relative ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules introduced by Andreatta and Brinon [1] is generalized in [19] using this approach. (It should similarly be possible to recover the results of [3] in this fashion, and even to obtain a common generalization with [19].)

## 1 Comparison of Galois groups

### 1.1 Preliminaries on strict $p$-rings

We begin by recalling some basic properties of strict p-rings underlying the constructions made later, following the derivations in [27, \$5]. (All rings considered will be commutative and unital.)

Lemma 1.1.1 For any ring $R$ and any nonnegative integer $n$, the map $x \mapsto x^{p^{n}}$ induces a well-defined multiplicative monoid map $\theta_{n}: R /(p) \rightarrow R /\left(p^{n+1}\right)$.

Proof If $x \equiv y\left(\bmod p^{m}\right)$ for some positive integer $m$, then $x^{p}-y^{p}=(x-y)\left(x^{p-1}+\cdots+y^{p-1}\right)$ and the latter factor is congruent to $p x^{p-1}$ modulo $p^{m}$; hence $x^{p} \equiv y^{p}\left(\bmod p^{m+1}\right)$. This proves that $\theta_{n}$ is well-defined; it is clear that it is multiplicative.

Definition 1.1.2 A ring $R$ of characteristic $p$ is perfect if the Frobenius homomorphism $x \mapsto x^{p}$ is a bijection; this forces $R$ to be reduced. (If $R$ is a field, then $R$ is perfect if and only if every finite extension of $R$ is separable.) A strict $p$-ring is a $p$-torsion-free, $p$-adically complete ring $R$ for which $R /(p)$ is perfect, regarded as a topological ring using the p-adic topology.

Example 1.1.3 The ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a strict $p$-ring with $\mathbb{Z}_{p} /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Similarly, for any (possibly infinite) set $X$, if we write $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ for the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $X$ and put $\mathbb{Z}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right]=\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}\left[X^{p^{-n}}\right]$, then the $p$-adic completion $R$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$ is a strict $p$-ring with $R /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$.

Lemma 1.1.4 Let $\bar{R}$ be a perfect ring of characteristic $p$, let $S$ be a $p$-adically complete ring, and let $\pi: S \rightarrow S /(p)$ be the natural projection. Let $\bar{t}: \bar{R} \rightarrow S /(p)$ be a ring homomorphism. Then there exists a unique multiplicative map $t: \bar{R} \rightarrow S$ with $\pi \circ t=\bar{t}$. In fact, $t(\bar{x}) \equiv x_{n}^{p^{n}}\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$ for any nonnegative integer $n$ and any $x_{n} \in \operatorname{Slifting} \bar{t}\left(\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right)$.

Proof This is immediate from Lemma 1.1.1.

Definition 1.1.5 Let $R$ be a strict $p$-ring. By the case $S=R$ of Lemma 1.1.4, the projection $R \rightarrow R /(p)$ admits a unique multiplicative section $[\bullet]: R /(p) \rightarrow R$, called the Teichmüller map. (For example, the image of $[\bullet]: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ consists of 0 together with the ( $p-1$ )-st roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.) Each $x \in R$ admits a unique representation as a $p$-adically convergent sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$ for some elements $\bar{x}_{n} \in R /(p)$, called the Teichmüller coordinates of $x$.

Lemma 1.1.6 Let $R$ be a strict p-ring, let $S$ be a p-adically complete ring, and let $\pi: S \rightarrow S /(p)$ be the natural projection. Let $t: R /(p) \rightarrow S$ be a multiplicative map such that $\bar{t}=\pi \circ t$ is a ring homomorphism. Then the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\overline{x_{n}}\right]\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n} t\left(\bar{x}_{n}\right) \quad\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots \in R /(p)\right) \tag{1.1.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a (necessarily unique) p-adically continuous homomorphism $T: R \rightarrow S$ such that $T \circ[\bullet]=t$.

Proof We check by induction that for each positive integer $n, T$ induces an additive $\operatorname{map} R /\left(p^{n}\right) \rightarrow S /\left(p^{n}\right)$. This holds for $n=1$ because $\pi \circ t$ is a homomorphism. Suppose the claim holds for some $n \geq 1$. For $x=[\bar{x}]+p x_{1}, y=[\bar{y}]+p y_{1}, z=[\bar{z}]+p z_{1} \in R$ with $x+y=z$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\bar{z}] } & \equiv\left(\left[\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right]+\left[\bar{y}^{p^{-n}}\right]\right)^{p^{n}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right) \\
t(\bar{z}) & \equiv\left(t\left(\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right)+t\left(\bar{y}^{p^{-n}}\right)\right)^{p^{n}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 1.1.4. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T([\bar{z}])-T([\bar{x}])-T([\bar{y}]) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p^{n}-1}\binom{p^{n}}{i} t\left(\bar{x}^{i p^{-n}} \bar{y}^{1-i p^{-n}}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right) \tag{1.1.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\frac{1}{p}\binom{p^{n}}{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p^{n}-1$, we may write

$$
z_{1}-x_{1}-y_{1}=\frac{[\bar{x}]+[\bar{y}]-[\bar{z}]}{p} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{p^{n}-1} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p^{n}}{i}\left[\bar{x}^{i p^{-n}} \bar{y}^{1-i p^{-n}}\right] \quad\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)
$$

apply $T$, invoke the induction hypothesis on both sides, and multiply by $p$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p T\left(z_{1}\right)-p T\left(x_{1}\right)-p T\left(y_{1}\right) \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{p^{n}-1}\binom{p^{n}}{i} t\left(\bar{x}^{i p^{-n}} \bar{y}^{1-i p^{-n}}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right) \tag{1.1.6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T(x)=T([\bar{x}])+p T\left(x_{1}\right)$ and so on, we may add (1.1.6.2) and (1.1.6.3) to deduce that $T(z)-T(x)-T(y) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{n+1}\right)$, completing the induction. Hence $T$ is additive; it is also clear that $T$ is $p$-adically continuous. From this it follows formally that $T$ is multiplicative: for $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right], y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(x) T(y) & =\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} p^{m+n} t\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) t\left(\bar{y}_{n}\right)=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} p^{m+n} t\left(\bar{x}_{m} \bar{y}_{n}\right) \\
& =T\left(\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} p^{m+n}\left[\bar{x}_{m} \bar{y}_{n}\right]\right)=T(x y)
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $T$ is a ring homomorphism as claimed.

Remark 1.1.7 Take $R$ as in Example 1.1.3 with $X=\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}$. By Lemma 1.1.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\bar{x}]-[\bar{y}]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[P_{n}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right] \tag{1.1.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $P_{n}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ in the ideal $\left(\bar{x}^{p^{-\infty}}, \bar{y}^{p^{-\infty}}\right) \subset \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\bar{x}^{p^{-\infty}}, \bar{y}^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$ and homogeneous of degree 1. By Lemma 1.1.6, (1.1.7.1) is also valid for any strict $p$-ring $R$ and any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R /(p)$. One can similarly derive formulas for arithmetic in a strict p-ring in terms of Teichmüller coordinates; these can also be obtained using Witt vectors (Definition 1.1.9).

Theorem 1.1.8 The functor $R \rightsquigarrow R /(p)$ from strict p-rings to perfect rings of characteristic $p$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof Full faithfulness follows from Lemma 1.1.6. To prove essential surjectivity, let $\bar{R}$ be a perfect ring of characteristic $p$, choose a surjection $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right] \rightarrow \bar{R}$ for some set $X$, and put $\bar{I}=\operatorname{ker}(\psi)$. Let $R_{0}$ be the $p$-adic completion of $\mathbb{Z}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$; as in Example 1.1.3, this is a strict $p$-ring with $R_{0} /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[X^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$. Put $I=\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right] \in R_{0}: \bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots \in \bar{I}\right\}$; this forms an ideal in $R_{0}$ by Remark 1.1.7. Then $R=R_{0} / I$ is a strict $p$-ring with $R /(p) \cong \bar{R}$.

Definition 1.1.9 For $\bar{R}$ a perfect ring of characteristic $p$, we write $W(\bar{R})$ for the unique (by Theorem 1.1.8) strict $p$-ring with $W(\bar{R}) /(p) \cong \bar{R}$. This is meant as a reminder that $W(\bar{R})$ also occurs as the ring of $p$-typical Witt vectors over $\bar{R}$; that construction obtains the formulas for arithmetic in Teichmüller coordinates in an elegant manner linked to symmetric functions.

Remark 1.1.10 Let $R$ be a strict $p$-ring. Since $R$ is $p$-adically complete, the Jacobson radical of $R$ contains $p$. In particular, if $\bar{R}$ is local, then so is $R$.

### 1.2 Perfect norm fields

Theorem 0.0 .1 is obtained by matching up the Galois correspondences of the fields $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$. The approach taken by Fontaine and Wintenberger is to pass from characteristic 0 to characteristic $p$ by looking at certain sequences of elements of finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ in which each term is obtained from the succeeding term by taking a certain norm between fields; the resulting functor is thus commonly called the functor of norm fields. It is here that some careful analysis of higher ramification theory is needed in order to make the construction work.
While the Fontaine-Wintenberger construction gives rise directly to finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$, it was later observed that a simpler construction (used repeatedly by Fontaine in his further study of $p$-adic Hodge theory) could be used to obtain the perfect closures of these finite extensions. Originally the construction of these perfect norm fields depended crucially on the prior construction of the imperfect norm fields of Fon-taine-Wintenberger (as in the exposition in [4]), but we will instead work directly with the perfect norm fields.

Definition 1.2.1 By an analytic field, we will mean a field $K$ which is complete with respect to a multiplicative nonarchimedean norm $|\bullet|$. For $K$ an analytic field, put $\mathfrak{o}_{K}=\{x \in K:|x| \leq 1\}$; this is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{K}=\{x \in K:|x|<1\}$. We say $K$ has mixed characteristics if $|p|=p^{-1}$ (so $K$ has characteristic 0 ) and the residue field $\kappa_{K}=\mathfrak{o}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ of $K$ has characteristic $p$.

Remark 1.2.2 Any finite extension $L$ of an analytic field $K$ is itself an analytic field; that is, the norm extends uniquely to a multiplicative norm on the extension field. A key fact about this extension is Krasner's lemma: if $P(T) \in K[T]$ splits over $L$ as $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(T-\alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\beta \in K$ satisfies $\left|\alpha_{1}-\beta\right|<\left|\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{i}\right|$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$, then $\alpha_{1} \in K$.

A crucial consequence of Krasner's lemma is that an infinite algebraic extension of $K$ is separably closed if and only if its completion is algebraically closed. More precisely, Krasner's lemma is only needed for the "if" implication; the "only if" implication follows from the fact that the roots of a polynomial vary continuously in the coefficients. This principle also appears in the proof of Lemma 1.5.4.

Remark 1.2.3 Using Krasner's lemma, one may show that $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p} \infty\right)$ and its completion have the same Galois group. Similarly, $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$, its perfect closure, and the completion of
the perfect closure all have the same Galois group. Consequently, from the point of view of proving Theorem 0.0.1, there is no harm in considering only analytic fields.

Definition 1.2.4 Let $K$ be an analytic field of mixed characteristics. Let $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ be the inverse limit $\lim \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$ under Frobenius. In symbols,

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}=\left\{\left(\bar{x}_{n}\right) \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p): \bar{x}_{n+1}^{p}=\bar{x}_{n}\right\} .
$$

By construction, this is a perfect ring of characteristic $p$ : the inverse of Frobenius is the shift map $\left(\bar{x}_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto\left(\bar{x}_{n+1}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. By applying Lemmas 1.1.4 and 1.1.6 to the homomorphism $\bar{\theta}: \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$, we obtain a multiplicative map $\theta: \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ and a homomorphism $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$.

For $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots\right) \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$, define $|\bar{x}|^{\prime}=|\theta(\bar{x})|$. If we lift $\bar{x}_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$ to $x_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$, then $|\bar{x}|^{\prime}=\left|x_{n}\right|^{p^{n}}$ whenever $\left|x_{n}\right|>|p|$. Consequently, $|\bullet|^{\prime}$ is a multiplicative nonarchimedean norm on $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ under which $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ is complete (given any Cauchy sequence, the terms in any particular position eventually stabilize).

Lemma 1.2.5 With notation as in Definition 1.2.4, for $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}, \bar{x}$ is divisible by $\bar{y}$ if and only if $|\bar{x}|^{\prime} \leq|\bar{y}|^{\prime}$.

Proof If $\bar{x}$ is divisible by $\bar{y}$, then $|\bar{x}|^{\prime} \leq|\bar{y}|^{\prime}|\bar{x} / \bar{y}|^{\prime} \leq|\bar{y}|^{\prime}$. Conversely, suppose $|\bar{x}|^{\prime} \leq|\bar{y}|^{\prime}$. If $\bar{y}=0$, then $\bar{x}=0$ also and there is nothing more to check. Otherwise, write $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots\right), \bar{y}=\left(\bar{y}_{0}, \bar{y}_{1}, \ldots\right)$, and choose lifts $x_{n}, y_{n}$ of $\bar{x}_{n}, \bar{y}_{n}$ to $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$. Since $\bar{y} \neq 0$, we can find an integer $n_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\left|y_{n}\right| \geq p^{-1+1 / p}$ for $n=n_{0}$, and hence also for $n \geq n_{0}$. Then for $n \geq n_{0}$, the elements $z_{n}=x_{n} / y_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ have the property that $\left|z_{n+1}^{p}-z_{n}\right| \leq p^{-1 / p}$. By writing $z_{n+2}^{p^{2}}=\left(z_{n+1}+\left(z_{n+2}^{p}-z_{n+1}\right)\right)^{p}$, we deduce that $\left|z_{n+2}^{p^{2}}-z_{n+1}^{p}\right| \leq p^{-1}$. We thus produce an element $\bar{z}=\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots\right)$ with $\bar{x}=\bar{y} \bar{z}$ by taking $\bar{z}_{n}$ to be the reduction of $z_{n+1}^{p}$ for $n \geq n_{0}+1$.

Definition 1.2.6 Keep notation as in Definition 1.2.4. By Lemma 1.2.5, $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ is the valuation ring in an analytic field $K^{\prime}$ which is perfect of characteristic $p$. We call $K^{\prime}$ the perfect norm field associated to $K$. (Scholze [21] calls $K^{\prime}$ the tilt of $K$ and denotes it by $K^{\text {b }}$.)

Exercise 1.2.7 The formula $x \mapsto\left(\theta\left(x^{p^{-n}}\right)\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defines a multiplicative bijection from $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ to the inverse limit of multiplicative monoids (but not of rings)

$$
\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{o}_{K}: x_{n+1}^{p}=x_{n}\right\} .
$$

This map extends to a multiplicative bijection from $K^{\prime}$ to the inverse limit of multiplicative monoids

$$
\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} K: x_{n+1}^{p}=x_{n}\right\} .
$$

### 1.3 Perfectoid fields

In general, the perfect norm field functor is far from being faithful.

Exercise 1.3.1 Let $K$ be a discretely valued analytic field of mixed characteristics. Then $K^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the maximal perfect subfield of $\kappa_{K}$.

To get around this problem, we restrict attention to analytic fields with a great deal of ramification. (The term perfectoid is due to Scholze [21].)

Definition 1.3.2 An analytic field $K$ is perfectoid if $K$ is of mixed characteristics, $K$ is not discretely valued, and the $p$-th power Frobenius endomorphism on $\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$ is surjective.

The following statements imply that taking the perfect norm field of a perfectoid analytic field does not concede too much information.

## Lemma 1.3.3 Let K be a perfectoid analytic field.

(a) We have $\left|K^{\times}\right|=\left|\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right|$.
(b) The projection $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow \lim _{\longleftarrow} \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$ is surjective and induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} /(\bar{z}) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{K} /($ p $)$ for any $\bar{z} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ with $|\bar{z}|^{\prime}=p^{-1}$ (which exists by (a)). In particular, we obtain a natural isomorphism $\kappa_{K^{\prime}} \cong \kappa_{K}$.
(c) The map $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is also surjective. (The kernel of $\Theta$ turns out to be a principal ideal; see Corollary 1.4.14.)

Proof Since $K$ is not discretely valued, we can find $r \in\left|K^{\times}\right|$such that $p^{-1} r^{p} \in\left(p^{-1}, 1\right)$; the surjectivity of Frobenius then implies $p^{-1 / p} r \in\left|K^{\times}\right|$. This implies $p^{-1 / p} \in\left|K^{\times}\right|$, so $p^{-1 / p} \in\left|\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right|$and $p^{-1} \in\left|\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right|$. Since also $\left|K^{\times}\right| \cap\left(p^{-1}, 1\right)=\left|\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right| \cap\left(p^{-1}, 1\right)$, we obtain (a), and (b) and (c) follow easily.

## Corollary 1.3.4 The perfect norm field functor on perfectoid analytic fields is faithful.

Example 1.3.5 Take $K$ to be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ for the unique extension of the $p$-adic norm, and fix a choice of a sequence $\left\{\zeta_{p^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in which $\zeta_{p^{n}}$ is a primitive $p^{n}$-th root of unity and $\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^{p}=\zeta_{p^{n}}$. The field $K$ is perfectoid because

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\bar{\zeta}_{p}, \bar{\zeta}_{p^{2}}, \ldots\right] /\left(1+\bar{\zeta}_{p}+\cdots+\bar{\zeta}_{p}^{p-1}, \bar{\zeta}_{p}-\bar{\zeta}_{p^{2}}^{p}, \ldots\right)
$$

By the same calculation, we identify $K^{\prime}$ with the completed perfect closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$ by identifying $\bar{\pi}$ with $\left(\bar{\zeta}_{1}-1, \bar{\zeta}_{p}-1, \ldots\right)$. This example underlies the theory of $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules; see Sect. 2.

Example 1.3.6 Let $F$ be a discretely valued analytic field of mixed characteristics (e.g., a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ ) and choose a uniformizer $\pi$ of $F$. Choose a sequence $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots$ of elements of an algebraic closure of $F$ in which $\pi_{0}=\pi$ and $\pi_{n+1}^{p}=\pi_{n}$ for $n \geq 0$. Take $K$ to be the completion of $F\left(\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots\right)$; then $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is the completion of $\mathfrak{o}_{F}\left[\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots\right]$, so

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\bar{\pi}_{1}, \bar{\pi}_{2}, \ldots\right] /\left(\bar{\pi}_{1}^{p}, \bar{\pi}_{1}-\bar{\pi}_{2}^{p}, \ldots\right)
$$

By Exercise 1.3.7 below, $K$ is perfectoid. By the same calculation, we identify $K^{\prime}$ with the completed perfect closure of $\kappa_{F}((\bar{\pi}))$ by identifying $\bar{\pi}$ with $\left(\bar{\pi}_{0}, \bar{\pi}_{1}, \ldots\right)$. This example underlies the theory of Breuil-Kisin modules, which provide a good replacement for ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules for the study of crystalline representations; see $[8, \$ 11]$.

Exercise 1.3.7 Let $K$ be an analytic field of mixed characteristics which is not discretely valued.
(a) Assume that there exists $\xi \in K$ with $p^{-1} \leq|\xi|<1$ such that Frobenius is surjective on $\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(\xi)$. Then $K$ is perfectoid. (Hint: first imitate the proof of Lemma 1.3.3(a) to construct an element of $K$ with norm $|\xi|^{1 / p}$, then construct $p$ th roots modulo successively higher powers of $\xi$.)
(b) Suppose that there exists an ideal $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ such that the $I$-adic topology and the norm topology on $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ coincide, and Frobenius is surjective on $\mathfrak{o}_{K} / I$. Then $K$ is perfectoid. (Note that $I$ need not be principal; for instance, take $I=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}:|x|<p^{-1 / 2}\right\}$. If $I$ is finitely generated, however, it is principal.)

Exercise 1.3.8 An analytic field $K$ of mixed characteristics is perfectoid if and only if for every $x \in K$, there exists $y \in K$ with $\left|y^{p}-x\right| \leq p^{-1}|x|$. As in the previous exercise, one can also replace $p^{-1}$ by any constant value in the range $\left[p^{-1}, 1\right)$.

Remark 1.3.9 When developing the theory of norm fields, it is typical to consider the class of arithmetically profinite algebraic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, i.e., those for which the Galois closure has the property that its higher ramification subgroups are open. One then shows using Exercise 1.3.7 that the completions of such extensions are perfectoid. While this construction has the useful feature of providing many examples of perfectoid fields, we will have no further need for it here. (See however Remark 1.6.5.)

### 1.4 Inverting the perfect norm field functor

So far, we have a functor from perfectoid analytic fields to perfect analytic fields of characteristic $p$. In order to invert this functor, we must also keep track of the kernel of the map $\Theta$; this kernel turns out to contain elements which behave a bit like linear polynomials, in that they admit an analogue of the division algorithm. This exploits an imperfect but useful analogy between strict $p$-rings and rings of formal power series, in which $p$ plays the role of a series variable and the Teichmüller coordinates (Definition 1.1.5) play the role of coefficients. For a bit more on this analogy, see Remark 1.4.12; for further discussion, including a form of Weierstrass preparation in strict $p$-rings, see for instance [8].

Hypothesis 1.4.1 Throughout this section, let $F$ be an analytic field which is perfect of characteristic $p$. We denote the norm on $F$ by $|\bullet|^{\prime}$.

Remark 1.4.2 We will use frequently the following consequence of the homogeneity aspect of Remark 1.1.7: the function

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right] \mapsto \sup _{n}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right\}
$$

satisfies the strong triangle inequality, and hence defines a norm on $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$. See Lemma 1.7.2 for a related observation.

Definition 1.4.3 For $z \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ with reduction $\bar{z} \in \mathfrak{o}_{F}$, we say $z$ is primitive if $|\bar{z}|^{\prime}=p^{-1}$ and $p^{-1}(z-[\bar{z}]) \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)^{\times}$. (The existence of such an element in particular forces the norm on $F$ not to be trivial.) Since $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is a local ring by Remark 1.1.10, for $z=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{z}_{n}\right]$ it is equivalent to require that $\left|\bar{z}_{0}\right|^{\prime}=p^{-1}$ and $\left|\bar{z}_{1}\right|^{\prime}=1$; in particular, whether or not $z$ is primitive depends only on $z$ modulo $p^{2}$.

Exercise 1.4.4 If $z \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is primitive, then so is $u z$ for any $u \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)^{\times}$. (Hint: for $y=u z$, show that $\left|\bar{y}_{1}-\bar{u}_{0} \bar{z}_{1}\right|<1$ by working with Witt vectors modulo $p^{2}$.)

In order to state the division lemma for primitive elements, we need a slightly wider class of elements of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ than the Teichmüller lifts.

Definition 1.4.5 An element $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right] \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is stable if $\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime} \leq\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$ for all $n>0$. Note that 0 is stable under this definition.

Lemma 1.4.6 An element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is stable if and only if it equals a unit times a Teichmüller lift.

Proof This is immediate from the fact that $[\bar{x}] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$.
Here is the desired analogue of the division lemma, taken from [16, Lemma 5.5].

Lemma 1.4.7 For any primitive $z \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$, every class in $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) /(z)$ is represented by a stable element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$.

Proof Write $z=[\bar{z}]+p z_{1}$ with $z_{1} \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)^{\times}$. Given $x \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$, put $x_{0}=x$. Given $x_{l}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{l, n}\right]$ congruent to $x$ modulo $z$, put $x_{l, 1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{l, n+1}\right]$ and $x_{l+1}=x_{l}-x_{l, 1} z_{1}^{-1} z$, so that $x_{l+1}$ is also congruent to $x$ modulo $z$.

Suppose that for some $l$, we have $\left|\bar{x}_{l, n}\right|^{\prime}<p\left|\bar{x}_{l, 0}\right|^{\prime}$ for all $n>0$. By Remark 1.4.2 and the equality $x_{l+1}=\left[\bar{x}_{l, 0}\right]-x_{l, 1} z_{1}^{-1}[\bar{z}]$, we have $\left|\bar{x}_{l+1, n}\right|^{\prime} \leq\left|\bar{x}_{l, 0}\right|^{\prime}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Also, $\bar{x}_{l+1,0}$ equals $\bar{x}_{l, 0}$ plus something of lesser norm (namely $\overline{z x}_{l, 1}$ times the reduction of $z_{1}^{-1}$ ), so $\left|\bar{x}_{l+1,0}\right|^{\prime}=\left|\bar{x}_{l, 0}\right|^{\prime}$. Hence $x_{l+1}$ is a stable representative of the congruence class of $x$.

We may thus suppose that no such $l$ exists. By Remark 1.4.2 again, $\sup _{n}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{l+1, n}\right|\right\} \leq p^{-1} \sup _{n}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{l, n}\right|\right\}$ for all $l$. The sum $y=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_{l, 1} z_{1}^{-1}$ thus converges for the $(p,[\bar{z}])$-adic topology on $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ and satisfies $x=y z$; that is, 0 is a stable representative of the congruence class of $x$.

Remark 1.4.8 One might hope that one can always take the stable representative in Lemma 1.4 .7 to be a Teichmüller lift, but this is in general impossible unless the field $F$ is not only complete but also spherically complete. This condition means that any
decreasing sequence of balls in $F$ has nonempty intersection; completeness only imposes this condition when the radii of the balls tend to 0 . For example, a completed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, or of a power series field in characteristic $p$, is not spherically complete.

Lemma 1.4.9 Any stable element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ divisible by a primitive element must equal 0 .

Proof Suppose $x \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is stable and is divisible by a primitive element $z$. Put $y=x / z$ and write $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right], y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$. Also write $z=[\bar{z}]+p z_{1}$ with $z_{1} \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)^{\times}$. Then on one hand, $\bar{x}=\bar{y}_{0} \bar{z}$, so $\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}=p\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$. On the other hand, by writing

$$
x=p z_{1} y+[\bar{z}] y
$$

and using Remark 1.4.2, we see that (because the term $p z_{1} y$ dominates)

$$
\sup _{n}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|\right\}=\sup _{n}\left\{\left|\bar{y}_{n}\right|\right\} .
$$

Since $x$ is stable, this gives a contradiction unless $x=0$, as desired.

Corollary 1.4.10 Suppose that $z \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is primitive and that $x, y \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ are stable and congruent modulo $z$. Then the reductions of $x, y$ modulo $p$ have the same norm.

Proof Put $w=x-y$ and write $w=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{w}_{n}\right], x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right], y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$. By Remark 1.4.2, $\left|\bar{w}_{n}\right|^{\prime} \leq \max \left\{\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime},\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 0$. However, if $\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime} \neq\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$, then $\left|\bar{w}_{0}\right|^{\prime}=\max \left\{\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime},\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}\right\}>0$, so $w$ is a nonzero stable element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ divisible by $z$. This contradicts Lemma 1.4.9, so we must have $\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime}=\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$ as desired.

Exercise 1.4.11 Give another proof of Lemma 1.4.9 by formulating a theory of Newton polygons for elements of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$.

Remark 1.4.12 A good way to understand the preceding discussion is to compare it to the theory of Weierstrass preparation for power series over a complete discrete valuation ring. For a concrete example, consider the ideal $(T-p)$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket T \rrbracket$. There is a natural map $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket T \rrbracket /(T-p)$; one may see that this map is injective by observing that no nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ can be divisible by $T-p$ (by analogy with Lemma 1.4.9), and that it is surjective by observing that one can perform the division algorithm on power series to reduce them modulo $T-p$ to elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (by analogy with Lemma 1.4.7).

In the situation considered here, however, we do not start with a candidate for the quotient ring $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) /(z)$. Instead, we must be a bit more careful in order to read off the properties of the quotient directly from the division algorithm.

We are now ready to invert the perfect norm field functor.

Theorem 1.4.13 Choose any primitive $z \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ and put $\mathfrak{o}_{K}=W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) /(z)$. For $x \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$, apply Lemma 1.4.7 to find a stable element $y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right] \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ lifting $x$, then define $|x|=\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$. This is independent of the choice of y thanks to Lemma 1.4.9.
(a) The function $|\bullet|$ is a multiplicative norm on $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ under which $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is complete.
(b) There is a natural (in $F$ ) isomorphism $\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{F} /(\bar{z})$.
(c) The ring $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is the valuation ring of an analytic field $K$ of mixed characteristics.
(d) The field $K$ is perfectoid and there is a natural isomorphism $K^{\prime} \cong F$.
(e) The kernel of $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is generated by $z$.

Proof Part (a) follows from the fact that the product of stable elements is stable (thanks to Remark 1.4.2). Part (b) follows by comparing both sides to $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) /(p,[\bar{z}])$. Part (c) follows from the fact (a consequence of Lemma 1.4.6) that if $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$, $y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$ are stable and $\left|\bar{x}_{0}\right|^{\prime} \leq\left|\bar{y}_{0}\right|^{\prime}$, then $x$ is divisible by $y$ in $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$. Part (d) follows from (b) plus Theorem 1.3.3. Part (e) follows from the construction of $|\bullet|$, or more precisely from the fact that every nonzero class in $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) /(z)$ has a nonzero stable representative.

Corollary 1.4.14 Let $K$ be a perfectoid analytic field. Then there exists a primitive element $z$ in the kernel of $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$, so $\operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$ is principal generated by $z$ by Theorem 1.4.13. (Exercise 1.4.4 then implies that conversely, any generator of $\operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$ is primitive.)

Proof Since $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ have the same norm group by Lemma 1.3.3, we can find $\bar{z} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ with $|\bar{z}|^{\prime}=p^{-1}$. Then $\theta(\bar{z})$ is divisible by $p$ in $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$; since $\Theta$ is surjective, we can find $z_{1} \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right)$ with $\Theta\left(z_{1}\right)=-\theta(\bar{z}) / p$. This forces $z_{1} \in W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{\times}$, as otherwise we would have $\left|\Theta\left(z_{1}\right)\right|<1$. Now $z=[\bar{z}]+p z_{1}$ is a primitive element of $\operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$, as desired.

Example 1.4.15 In Example 1.3.5, note that $|\bar{\pi}|^{\prime}=p^{-p /(p-1)}$. One may then check that

$$
z=([1+\bar{\pi}]-1) /\left([1+\bar{\pi}]^{1 / p}-1\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}[1+\bar{\pi}]^{i / p}
$$

is a primitive element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right)$ belonging to $\operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$. Hence $z$ generates the kernel by Theorem 1.4.13.

Example 1.4.16 One can also write down explicit primitive elements in some cases of Example 1.3.6. A simple example is when $\pi=p$ (this forces the field $F$ to be absolutely unramified). In this case,

$$
z=p-[\bar{\pi}]
$$

is a primitive element of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right)$ belonging to (and hence generating) $\operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$.

### 1.5 Compatibility with finite extensions

At this point, using Theorem 1.4.13 and Corollary 1.4.14, we obtain the following statement, which one might call the perfectoid correspondence (or the tilting correspondence in the terminology of [21]).

Theorem 1.5.1 (Perfectoid correspondence) The operations

$$
K \rightsquigarrow\left(K^{\prime}, \operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}\right)\right), \quad(F, I) \rightsquigarrow W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right] / I
$$

define an equivalence of categories between perfectoid analytic fields $K$ and pairs $(F, I)$ in which $F$ is a perfect analytic field of characteristic $p$ and I is an ideal of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ generated by a primitive element.

Remark 1.5.2 From Examples 1.3.5 and 1.3.6, we see that one cannot drop the ideal $I$ in Theorem 1.4.13: one can have nonisomorphic perfectoid analytic fields whose perfect norm fields are isomorphic.

We will establish that the perfectoid correspondence is compatible with finite extensions of fields on both sides, where on the right side we replace the ideal $I$ by its extension to the larger ring. This will give Theorem 0.0 .1 by taking $K$ to be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$. However, the more general result for an arbitrary perfectoid $K$ is relevant for extending $p$-adic Hodge theory to a relative setting; see Remark 1.5.8.

The first step is to lift finite extensions from characteristic $p$; this turns out to be straightforward.

Lemma 1.5.3 Let $K$ be a perfectoid analytic field and put $I=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}\right)$. Let $F$ be a finite extension of $K^{\prime}$ and put $L=W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right] / I W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]$. Then $[L: K]=\left[F: K^{\prime}\right]$. (Note that by Theorem 1.4.13, $L$ is a perfectoid analytic field and we may identify $L^{\prime}$ with $F$.)

Proof Apply Corollary 1.4.14 to choose a primitive generator $z \in I$. The extension $F / K^{\prime}$ is separable because $K^{\prime}$ is perfect; it thus has a Galois closure $\tilde{F}$. Put $\tilde{L}=W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right] /(z)$, which is a perfectoid analytic field thanks to Theorem 1.4.13. For any subgroup $H$ of $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\tilde{F} / K^{\prime}\right)$, averaging over $H$ defines a projection

$$
\tilde{L}=\frac{W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]}{z W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]} \rightarrow \frac{W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}^{H}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]}{z W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right] \cap W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}^{H}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]}=\frac{W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}^{H}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]}{z W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{F}^{H}}\right)\left[p^{-1}\right]}
$$

so the right side equals the fixed field $\tilde{L}^{H}$.
Put $\tilde{G}=\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{F} / F)$. Apply the above analysis with $H=G$ and $H=\tilde{G}$; since $\tilde{F}^{G}=K^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{F}^{\tilde{G}}=F$, we obtain $\tilde{L}^{G}=K$ and $\tilde{L}^{\tilde{G}}=L$. The action of $G$ on $\tilde{L}$ is faithful: any nontrivial $g \in G$ moves some $\bar{x} \in \tilde{F}$, and for $n$ sufficiently large we may write

$$
\left|\theta\left(\left[\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right]\right)-g\left(\theta\left(\left[\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right]\right)\right)-\theta\left(\left[(\bar{x}-g(\bar{x}))^{p^{-n}}\right]\right)\right| \leq p^{-1}|\bar{x}|^{p^{-n}}
$$

to see that $g$ also moves $\theta\left(\left[\bar{x}^{p^{-n}}\right]\right)$. By Artin's lemma, $\tilde{L}$ is a finite Galois extension of $\tilde{L}^{H}$ with Galois group $H$, so

$$
[L: K]=[\tilde{L}: K] /[\tilde{L}: L]=\# G / \# \tilde{G}=\left[\tilde{F}: K^{\prime}\right] /[\tilde{F}: F]=\left[F: K^{\prime}\right]
$$

as desired.

We still need to check that a finite extension of a perfectoid analytic field is again perfectoid. It suffices to study the case where the perfect norm field is algebraically closed. It is this argument in particular that we learned from the course of Coleman mentioned in the introduction, and even wrote down on one previous occasion; see [13, Theorem 7].

Lemma 1.5.4 If $K$ is a perfectoid field and $K^{\prime}$ is algebraically closed, then so is $K$.

Proof Let $P(T) \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}[T]$ be an arbitrary monic polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$; it suffices to check that $P(T)$ has a root in $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$. We will achieve this by exhibiting a sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots$ of elements of $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ such that for all $n \geq 0,\left|P\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq p^{-n}$ and $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right| \leq p^{-n / d}$. This sequence will then have a limit $x \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ which is a root of $P$.

To begin, take $x_{0}=0$. Given $x_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ with $\left|P\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq p^{-n}$, write $P\left(T+x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i} Q_{i} T^{i}$. If $Q_{0}=0$, we may take $x_{n+1}=x_{n}$, so assume hereafter that $Q_{0} \neq 0$. Put

$$
c=\min \left\{\left|Q_{0} / Q_{j}\right|^{1 / j}: j>0, Q_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

by taking $j=d$, we see that $c \leq\left|Q_{0}\right|^{1 / d}$. Also, since $K$ has the same norm group as $K^{\prime}$ by Theorem 1.4.13, this norm group is divisible; we thus have $c=|u|$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$. (Note that $c$ is defined so as to equal the smallest norm of a norm of $Q$ in $\bar{K}$, but this fact is not explicitly used in the proof.)
Apply Corollary 1.4.14 to construct a primitive element $z \in \operatorname{ker}(\Theta)$. Put $\bar{R}_{0}=0$. For each $i>0$, choose $\bar{R}_{i} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}$ whose image in $\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} /(\bar{z}) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p)$ is the same as that of $Q_{i} u^{i} / Q_{0}$. Define the (not necessarily monic) polynomial $\bar{R}(T)=\sum_{i} \bar{R}_{i} T^{i} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}[T]$. By construction, the largest slope in the Newton polygon of $\bar{R}$ is 0 ; by this observation plus the fact that $K^{\prime}$ is algebraically closed, it follows that $\bar{R}(T)$ has a root $y^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}^{\times}$. Choose $y \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}^{\times}$whose image in $\mathfrak{o}_{K} /(p) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} /(\bar{z})$ is the same as that of $y^{\prime}$, and take $x_{n+1}=x_{n}+u y$. Then $\sum_{i} Q_{i} u^{i} y^{i} / Q_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, so $\left|P\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right| \leq p^{-1}\left|Q_{0}\right| \leq p^{-n-1}$ and $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|=|u| \leq\left|Q_{0}\right|^{1 / d} \leq p^{-n / d}$. We thus obtain the desired sequence, proving the claim.

Remark 1.5.5 The inertia subgroup of the Galois group of a finite extension of analytic fields is solvable; see for instance [14, Chapter 3] and references therein. (The discretely valued case may be more familiar; for that, see also [27, Chapter IV].) Using this statement, one can give a slightly simpler proof of Lemma 1.5 .4 by considering only cyclic extensions of prime degree. We chose not to proceed this way so as to make good on our promise to keep the proof of Theorem 0.0.1 entirely free of ramification theory.

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 0.0.1.

Theorem 1.5.6 Let K be a perfectoid analytic field. Then every finite extension of K is perfectoid, and the operation $L \rightsquigarrow L^{\prime}$ defines a functorial correspondence between the finite extensions of $K$ and $K^{\prime}$. In particular, the absolute Galois groups of $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic.

Proof Apply Corollary 1.4.14 to construct a primitive generator $z \in \operatorname{ker}(\Theta$ : $\left.W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}\right)$. Let $M^{\prime}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure $\bar{K}^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$; it is again
algebraically closed by Remark 1.2.2. By Theorem $1.5 .1, M^{\prime}$ arises as the perfect norm field of a perfectoid analytic field $M$, which by Lemma 1.5.4 is also algebraically closed.

By Lemma 1.5.3, each finite Galois extension $L^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ within $M^{\prime}$ is the perfect norm field of a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$ within $M$ which is perfectoid. The union $\tilde{L}$ of such fields $L$ is dense in $M$ because the union of the $L^{\prime}$ is dense in $M^{\prime}$. By Remark 1.2.2, $\tilde{L}$ is algebraically closed; that is, every finite extension of $K$ is contained in a finite Galois extension which is perfectoid. The rest follows from Theorem 1.5.1.

Remark 1.5.7 The proof of Theorem 1.5 .6 is a digested version of the one given in [18, Theorem 3.5.6]. A different proof has been given by Scholze [21, Theorem 3.7], in which the analysis of strict $p$-rings is supplanted by use of a small amount of almost ring theory, as introduced by Faltings and developed systematically by Gabber and Ramero [11]. However, these two approaches resemble each other far more strongly than either one resembles the original arguments of Fontaine and Wintenberger.

Remark 1.5.8 In both [18, 21], Theorem 1.5 .6 is generalized to a statement relating the étale sites of certain nonarchimedean analytic spaces in characteristic 0 and characteristic $p$, including an optimally general form of Faltings's almost purity theorem. (For the flavor of this result, see Theorem 1.6.2.) This is used as a basis for relative $p$-adic Hodge theory in $[18,19,22]$. Note that for this application, it is crucial to have Theorem 1.5.6 and not just Theorem 0.0.1: one must use analytic spaces in the sense of Huber (adic spaces) rather than rigid analytic spaces, which forces an encounter with general analytic fields. One must also deal with valuations of rank greater than 1 (i.e., whose value groups do not fit inside the real numbers), but this adds no essential difficulty.

The following is taken from [18, Proposition 3.5.9].

Exercise 1.5.9 Let $L / K$ be a finite extension of analytic fields such that $L$ is perfectoid. Prove that $K$ is also perfectoid. Hint: reduce to the Galois case, then produce a Galoisinvariant primitive element.

### 1.6 Some applications

We describe now a couple of applications of Theorem 1.5.6, in which one derives information in characteristic 0 by exploiting Frobenius as if one were working in positive characteristic.

Definition 1.6.1 An analytic field $K$ is deeply ramified if for any finite extension $L$ of $K$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}=0$; that is, the morphism $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{L}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{K}\right)$ is formally unramified. (Beware that this morphism is usually not of finite type if $K$ is not discretely valued.)

## Theorem 1.6.2 Any perfectoid analytic field is deeply ramified.

Proof Let $K$ be a perfectoid field and let $L$ be a finite extension of $K$. Choose $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}_{L}$ which form a basis of $L$ over $K$; we can then find $t \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}-\{0\}$ such that $t \mathfrak{o}_{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}_{K} x_{1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{o}_{K} x_{n}$. Since $L$ is a finite separable extension of $K, \Omega_{L / K}=0$;
consequently, we can choose $u \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}-\{0\}$ so that $u \mathrm{~d} x_{i}$ vanishes in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}$ for each $i$. For any $x \in \mathfrak{o}_{L}, t \mathrm{~d} x=\mathrm{d}(t x)$ is a $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$-linear combination of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, so $t u \mathrm{~d} x=0$.

On the other hand, $L$ is perfectoid by Theorem 1.5.6. Hence for any $x \in \mathfrak{o}_{L}$, we can find $y \in \mathfrak{o}_{L}$ for which $x \equiv y^{p}(\bmod p)$; this implies that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}=p \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}$. As a result, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}=p^{n} \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}$ for any positive integer $n$; by choosing $n$ large enough that $t u$ is divisible by $p^{n}$, we deduce that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{L} / \mathfrak{o}_{K}}=0$. Hence $K$ is deeply ramified.

Remark 1.6.3 Theorem 1.6.2 admits the following converse: any analytic field of mixed characteristics which is deeply ramified is also perfectoid. See [11, Proposition 6.6.6].

Theorem 1.6.4 Let $K$ be a perfectoid analytic field and let $L$ be a finite extension of $K$. Then Trace : $\mathfrak{m}_{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ is surjective.

Proof By Theorem 1.5.6, $L$ is also perfectoid. Let $K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ be the perfect norm fields of $K, L$. Since $L^{\prime}$ is a finite separable extension of $K^{\prime}$, there exists $\bar{u} \in \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}$ such that $\bar{u} \mathfrak{o}_{K^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}\right)$. By applying the inverse of Frobenius, we obtain the same conclusion with $\bar{u}$ replaced by $\bar{u}^{p^{-n}}$ for each positive integer $n$. Hence Trace: $\mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}$ is surjective.
Since $K$ is not discretely valued, we can find $t \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ with $p^{-1}<|t|<1$. Since $L$ is a finite separable extension of $K$, there exists a nonnegative integer $m$ such that $(p / t)^{m} \mathfrak{m}_{K} \subseteq \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$. If $m>0$, then for each $x \in(p / t)^{m-1} \mathfrak{m}_{K}$, by the previous paragraph we may write $x=\operatorname{Trace}(y)+p z$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{m}_{L}, z \in \mathfrak{o}_{K}$; since $p z=(p / t)(t z) \in(p / t)^{m} \mathfrak{m}_{K}$, it follows that $z \in \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$ and hence $x \in \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$. In other words, we may replace $m$ by $m-1$; this proves the desired result.

Remark 1.6.5 Note that Theorem 1.6.4 still holds, with the same proof, if we replace the perfectoid field $K$ by a dense subfield as long as the norm extends uniquely to the finite extension $L$ of $K$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ is henselian in the sense of Lemma 1.7.5 below). For instance, we may take $K$ to be an infinite algebraic extension of an analytic field $F$ of mixed characteristics.

One important case is when $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $K$ is a Galois extension whose Galois group contains $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (e.g., any $p$-adic Lie group). In this case, the perfectoid property can be checked using a study of higher ramification groups; the technique was introduced by Tate and developed further by Sen [26] (see also [16, \$13]).

However, no ramification theory is necessary in case $K$ is a field for which the perfectoid property can be checked directly (as in Examples 1.3.5 or 1.3.6), or a finite extension of such a field (using Theorem 1.5.6).

### 1.7 Gauss norms

We conclude this section by appending some more observations about norms on strict p-rings, for use in the second half of the paper.

Hypothesis 1.7.1 Throughout Sect. 1.7, again let $F$ be an analytic field which is perfect of characteristic $p$, with norm $|\bullet|^{\prime}$.

The key construction here is an analogue of the Gauss norm, following [16, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 1.7.2 For $r>0$, the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]\right|_{r}=\sup _{n}\left\{p^{-n}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{r}\right\} \tag{1.7.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a function $|\bullet|_{r}: W(F) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ satisfying the strong triangle inequality $|x+y|_{r} \leq \max \left\{|x|_{r},|y|_{r}\right\}$ and the multiplicativity property $|x y|_{r}=|x|_{r}|y|_{r}$ for all $x, y \in W(F)$ (under the convention $0 \times+\infty=0$ ). In particular, the subset $W^{r-}(F)$ of $W(F)$ on which $|\bullet|_{r}$ is finite forms a ring on which $|\bullet|_{r}$ defines a multiplicative nonarchimedean norm.

Proof From Remark 1.1.7, it follows that $|[\bar{x}]+[\bar{y}]|_{r} \leq \max \left\{|[\bar{x}]|_{r},|[\bar{y}]|_{r}\right\}$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathfrak{o}_{F}$ (as in Remark 1.4.2). It follows easily that for $x, y \in F,|x+y|_{r} \leq \max \left\{|x|_{r},|y|_{r}\right\}$ and $|x y|_{r} \leq|x|_{r}|y|_{r}$. To establish multiplicativity, by continuity it is enough to consider finite sums $x=\sum_{m=0}^{M} p^{m}\left[\bar{x}_{m}\right], y=\sum_{n=0}^{N} p^{N}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$. For all but finitely many $r>0$, the quantities

$$
p^{-m-n}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{m} \bar{y}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{r} \quad(m=0, \ldots, M ; n=0, \ldots, N)
$$

are all distinct; for such $r$, the fact that $|\bullet|_{r}$ is a nonarchimedean norm (shown above) gives

$$
|x y|_{r}=\max \left\{p^{-m-n}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{m} \bar{y}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{r}: m=0, \ldots, M ; n=0, \ldots, N\right\}=|x|_{r}|y|_{r} .
$$

Since $|x|_{r},|y|_{r},|x y|_{r}$ are all continuous functions of $r$, we may infer multiplicativity also in the exceptional cases.

Remark 1.7.3 For $s \in(0, r]$ and $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{s}=\sup _{n}\left\{p^{-n}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{s}\right\} \leq \sup _{n}\left\{p^{-n s / r}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{s}\right\}=|x|_{r}^{s / r} . \tag{1.7.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An even stronger statement is that for $x \in W(F)$ fixed, the function $\log \left(|\bullet|_{r}\right): W(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is convex (because it is the supremum of convex functions); this bears a certain formal resemblance to the Hadamard three circles theorem in complex analysis. A related observation is that

$$
\begin{cases}\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}|x|_{r}=1 & \left(\bar{x}_{0} \neq 0\right)  \tag{1.7.3.2}\\ \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}|x|_{r} \leq p^{-1} & \left(\bar{x}_{0}=0\right)\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 1.7.4 For $r>0$, the ring $W^{r-}(F)$ is complete with respect to $|\bullet|_{r}$.
Proof For $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right], y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{y}_{n}\right]$ with $|x|,|y| \leq c,|x-y| \leq \epsilon c$ for some $c>0, \epsilon \in[0,1)$, Remark 1.1.7 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{x}_{n}-\bar{y}_{n}\right| \leq c p^{n / r} \max \left\{p^{-m / r} \epsilon^{1 / p^{m}}: m=0, \ldots, n\right\} . \tag{1.7.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ be a Cauchy sequence in $W^{r-}(F)$, and write $x_{i}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{i, n}\right]$. Choose $c$ such that $\left|x_{i}\right|_{r} \leq c$ for all $i$. Using (1.7.4.1), we see that for each $n$, the sequence $\bar{x}_{i, n}$ is Cauchy and hence converges to a limit $\bar{x}_{n} \in F$. Put $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$, we see easily that $|x|_{r} \leq c$ and hence $x \in W^{r-}(F)$. It thus remains to check that $x$ is a limit of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$; this formally reduces to the case $x=0$. For each $\delta>0$, by definition there exists $N>0$ such that $\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|_{r} \leq c \delta$ for all $i, j \geq N$. For each $n$, by (1.7.4.1) we have

$$
\left|\bar{x}_{i, n}-\bar{x}_{j, n}\right| \leq c^{1 / r} p^{n / r} \max \left\{p^{-m / r} \delta^{1 /\left(p^{m} r\right)}: m=0, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

since the sequence $\bar{x}_{i, n}$ converges to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we must also have

$$
\left|\bar{x}_{i, n}\right| \leq c^{1 / r} p^{n / r} \max \left\{p^{-m / r} \delta^{1 /\left(p^{m} r\right)}: m=0, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

and so

$$
\left|x_{i}\right|_{r} \leq c \max \left\{p^{-m} \delta^{1 / p^{m}}: m=0,1, \ldots\right\}
$$

As $\delta \rightarrow 0$, this upper bound tends to 0 ; this proves that $x_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as desired.

Lemma 1.7.5 The ring $W^{\dagger}(F)=\cup_{r>0} W^{r-}(F)$ is a local ring which is not complete but is henselian; that is, every finite étale F-algebra lifts uniquely to a finite étale $W^{\dagger}(F)$ -algebra.

Proof We first check that $W^{\dagger}(F)$ is local; it suffices to check that $p$ belongs to the Jacobson radical, meaning that any $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right] \in W^{\dagger}(F)$ with $\bar{x}_{0}=1$ is a unit. By (1.7.3.2), for $r>0$ sufficiently small we have $|x-1|_{r}<1$. By Lemma 1.7.4, the geometric series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(1-x)^{i}$ converges in $W^{r-}(F)$ to a multiplicative inverse of $x$.

We next check that $W^{\dagger}(F)$ is henselian. As described in [18, Remark 1.2.7] (see also [11, Theorem 5.11] and [20, Exposé XI, $\mathbb{\$ 2}$ ]), to check that $W^{\dagger}(F)$ is henselian, it suffices to check a special form of Hensel's lemma: every monic polynomial $P(T)=\sum_{i} P_{i} T^{i} \in W^{\dagger}(F)[T]$ with $P_{0} \in p W^{\dagger}(F), P_{1} \notin p W^{\dagger}(F)$ has a root in $p W^{\dagger}(F)$. By the previous paragraph, $P_{1} \in W^{\dagger}(F)^{\times}$. By (1.7.3.2), for $r>0$ sufficiently small,

$$
\left|P_{0} / P_{1}\right|_{r}<1, \quad\left|P_{i}\left(P_{0} / P_{1}\right)^{i}\right|_{r}<\left|P_{1}\right|_{r}^{2}, \quad\left|P_{i}\left(P_{0} / P_{1}\right)^{i-1}\right|_{r}<\left|P_{1}\right|_{r} \quad(i \geq 2)
$$

In particular, for $x_{0}=-P_{0} / P_{1}$, for $r>0$ sufficiently small we have

$$
\left|P^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|_{r}<\left|P\left(x_{0}\right)\right|_{r}^{2}
$$

so the usual Newton-Raphson iteration

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{P\left(x_{n}\right)}{P^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

converges to a root $x$ of $P(T)$ in $W^{r-}(F)$. Since the iteration also converges $p$-adically, we must also have $x \in p W(F)$; this proves the claim.

Exercise 1.7.6 We describe here a common variant of $W^{r-}(F)$.
(a) For any $\bar{z} \in \mathfrak{o}_{F}$ with $|\bar{z}|^{\prime}<1$, the ring $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)\left[[\bar{z}]^{-1}\right]$ consists of those elements of $W(F)$ with bounded Teichmüller coordinates.
(b) The completion of $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)\left[[\bar{z}]^{-1}\right]$ under $|\bullet|_{r}$ consists of those $x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right]$ for which $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{-n}\left(\left|\bar{x}_{n}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{r}=0$. In particular, these form a ring, denoted $W^{r}(F)$.
(c) The ring $W^{r}(F)$ is contained in $W^{r-}(F)$ and contains $W^{s-}(F)$ for all $s>r$. Consequently, we also have $W^{\dagger}(F)=\cup_{r>0} W^{r}(F)$.
(d) The ring $W^{r}(F)$ is complete with respect to $|\bullet|_{r}$.

The rings $W^{r}(F)$ arise naturally in the geometric interpretation of $p$-adic Hodge theory given by Fargues and Fontaine [8]; this interpretation is facilitated by some useful noetherian properties of these rings, as described in [17].

Remark 1.7.7 Let $\varphi$ denote the endomorphism of $W(F)$ induced by the Frobenius map on $F$. For any $r>0$, using the fact that $W^{\dagger}(F)=\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} W^{p^{-n} r-}(F)=\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{-n}\left(W^{r-}(F)\right)$, it can be shown that every finite étale $F$-algebra lifts uniquely to a finite étale $W^{r-}(F)$ -algebra. In case $F=K^{\prime}$ for some deeply ramified analytic field $K$ of mixed characteristics, the map $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{K}$ extends to a homomorphism $W^{r-}(F) \rightarrow K$ for any $r>1$, and Theorem 1.5.6 is equivalent to the statement that every finite étale $K$-algebra lifts uniquely to $W^{r-}(F)$. (If we replace $W^{r-}(F)$ with the ring $W^{r}(F)$ of Exercise 1.7.6, we may also take $r=1$ in this last statement.)

## 2 Galois representations and ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules

Hypothesis 2.0.1 Throughout Sect. 2, let $K$ denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, and write $G_{K}$ for the absolute Galois group of $K$.

Convention 2.0.2 When working with a matrix $A$ over a ring carrying a norm $|\bullet|$, we will write $|A|$ for the supremum of the norms of the entries of $A$ (rather than the operator norm or spectral radius).

Definition 2.0.3 Let $\operatorname{FÉt}(R)$ denote the category of finite étale algebras over a ring $R$. For $R$ a field, these are just the direct sums of finite separable field extensions of $R$.

### 2.1 Some period rings over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$

We will consider four different rings which can classify $G_{K}$-representations. We first introduce them all in the case $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

Definition 2.1.1 Let $L$ be the completed perfect closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$, and put $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}=W(L)$. This defines a complete topological ring both for the $p$-adic topology and for the weak topology, under which a sequence converges if each sequence of Teichmüller coordinates converges in the norm topology on $L$. (The restriction of the weak topology to $W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{L}\right)$ coincides with the $(p,[\bar{\pi}])$-adic topology.) Let $\varphi$ be the endomorphism of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ induced by the Frobenius map on $L$.

Definition 2.1.2 Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ be the $p$-adic completion of $\mathbb{Z}((\pi))$; it is a Cohen ring (a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $(p))$ with $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$. We identify $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ with a subring of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ in such a way that $\pi$ corresponds to $[1+\bar{\pi}]-1$. Note that $\varphi$ then acts on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-linear substitution $\pi \mapsto(1+\pi)^{p}-1$, and a sequence in $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ converges for the weak topology if and only if its image in $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} /\left(p^{n}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)((\pi))$ converges $\pi$-adically for each positive integer $n$.

Definition 2.1.3 Put $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}=W^{\dagger}(L)$; by Lemma 1.7.5, this is an incomplete but henselian local ring contained in $W(L)=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. Note that $\varphi$ acts bijectively on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q} p}^{+}$. We equip $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ with the $p$-adic and weak topologies by restriction from $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$; we also define the $L F$ topology, in which a sequence converges if and only if it converges in some $W^{r-}(L)$. (LF is an abbreviation for limit of Fréchet.)

Definition 2.1.4 Put $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger} \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} ;$ since $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\pi^{ \pm}\right] \subset \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ is again a henselian local ring with residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$ on which $\varphi$ acts. It inherits $p$-adic, weak, and LF topologies. For a more concrete description of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$, see Corollary 2.2.9.

Definition 2.1.5 For $\gamma \in \Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, let $\gamma: \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-linear substitution $\pi \mapsto(1+\pi)^{\gamma}-1$, where $(1+\pi)^{\gamma}$ is defined via its binomial expansion. The induced map on $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$ extends to $L$ and thus defines an action of $\Gamma$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} ; \Gamma$ also acts on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{+}$. For $* \in\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\right\}$, the action of $\Gamma$ on $*_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is continuous (meaning that the action map $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Q}_{p} \rightarrow *_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is continuous) for the weak topology and (when available) the LF topology.

Exercise 2.1.6 In Definition 2.1.5, the action of $\Gamma$ on $*_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is not continuous for the $p$-adic topology, even though the action of each individual element of $\Gamma$ is a continuous map from $*_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ to itself.

### 2.2 Extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$

We extend the definition of the period rings to finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ using a refinement of Theorem 1.5.6.

Theorem 2.2.1 For $* \in\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\right\}$, the category $\mathbf{F E ́} \mathbf{t}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is equivalent to the category of finite étale algebras over $*_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ admitting an extension of the action of $\Gamma$. Moreover, this equivalence is compatible with the base extensions among different choices of $*$.

Proof Put $L=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} /(p)$. Via Remark 1.2.3, Theorem 1.5.6, and the fact that the local rings $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ are both henselian, we see that the categories
are all equivalent, compatibly with base extensions among different choices of $*$. It thus suffices to consider $*=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ in what follows.

From the explicit description given in Example 1.3.5, we see that the map $\Theta: W\left(\mathfrak{o}_{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right]$ becomes $\Gamma$-equivariant if we identify $\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$
via the cyclotomic character. Consequently, for $K \in \mathbf{F E ́ t}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, the object in $\mathbf{F E ́ t}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)$ corresponding to $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ carries an action of $\Gamma$.

Conversely, suppose $S \in \mathbf{F E} \mathbf{t}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)$ carries an action of $\Gamma$; then the corresponding object $E$ of $\operatorname{FÉt}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)\right)$ also carries an action of $\Gamma$. We may realize $E$ as the base extension of a finite étale algebra $E_{n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$ for some nonnegative integer $n$; by Artin's lemma, $E_{n}$ is fixed by a subgroup of $\Gamma$ of finite index, which is necessarily open. By Galois descent, $E_{n}$ descends to a finite étale algebra $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, as desired.

Definition 2.2.2 Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}, \mathbf{A}_{K}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ be the objects corresponding to $K$ via Theorem 2.2.1. We may write $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}=\oplus W(\tilde{L}), \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}=\oplus W^{\dagger}(\tilde{L})$ for $\tilde{L}$ running over the connected components of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ (which correspond to the connected components of $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{p}}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ ). We may thus equip $*_{K}$ with a $p$-adic topology, a weak topology, and (for $*=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}$ ) also an LF topology. Define the norm $|\bullet|^{\prime}$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ as the supremum over connected components; for $r>0$, define $|\bullet|_{r}$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ as the supremum over connected components.

The actions of $\varphi, \Gamma$ extend to $*_{K}$; the action of $\Gamma$ is again continuous for the weak topology and (when available) the LF topology. Note that $\Gamma$ acts transitively on the $\tilde{L}$.

Exercise 2.2.3 Each of the topologies on $*_{K}$ coincides with the one obtained by viewing $*_{K}$ as a finite free module over $*_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and equipping the latter with the corresponding topology.

Remark 2.2.4 Each connected component $L$ of $\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ is a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$, and hence is itself isomorphic to a power series field in some variable $\bar{\pi}_{L}$ over some finite extension $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. In general, there is no distinguished choice of $\bar{\pi}_{L}$. One has similar (and similarly undistinguished) descriptions of $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$; see Lemma 2.2.6.

Exercise 2.2.5 In Remark 2.2.4, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ coincides with the residue field of $K\left(\mu_{p} \infty\right)$. Note that this may not equal the residue field of $K$.

Lemma 2.2.6 Keep notation as in Remark 2.2.4. Let $R$ be the connected component of $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ with $R /(p)=L$, and choose $\pi_{L} \in R$ lifting $\bar{\pi}_{L}$. Then $R$ is isomorphic to the $p$-adic completion of $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\left(\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)$.

Proof It suffices to observe that the latter ring is indeed a finite étale algebra over $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ whose reduction modulo $p$ is isomorphic to $L$.

Exercise 2.2.7 In Lemma 2.2.6, the weak topology on $R$ (obtained by restriction from $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ ) coincides with the weak topology on the $p$-adic completion of $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\left(\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)$ (in which as in Definition 2.1.2, a sequence converges if and only if it converges $\pi_{L}$-adically modulo each power of $p$ ).

Lemma 2.2.8 Keep notation as in Lemma 2.2.6, but assume further that $\pi_{L} \in R^{\dagger}$ for $R^{\dagger}$ the connected component of $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ with $R^{\dagger} /(p)=L$. Then there exists $r_{0}>0$ (depending on $L$ and $\pi_{L}$ ) with the following properties.
(a) Every $\bar{x} \in R /(p)$ admits a lift $x \in R^{\dagger}$ with $|x-[\bar{x}]|_{r}<|x|_{r}<+\infty$ for all $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$.
(b) For $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$, for $x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{n} \pi_{L}^{n} \in R$ with $x_{n} \in W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{r}=\sup _{n}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}\right\} . \tag{2.2.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We have $R^{\dagger}=R \cap W^{\dagger}(L)$ because both sides are subrings of $R$ which are finite étale over $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ and which surject onto $R /(p)$. Consequently, $\pi_{L} \in W^{r-}(L)$ for some $r>0$. By (1.7.3.2), we can choose $r_{0}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi_{L}-\left[\bar{\pi}_{L}\right]\right|_{r}<\left|\pi_{L}\right|_{r}=\left|\left[\bar{\pi}_{L}\right]\right|_{r} \quad\left(r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]\right) \tag{2.2.8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the claims for any such $r_{0}$.
To prove (a), lift $\bar{x}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{x}_{n} \bar{\pi}_{L}^{n} \in R /(p)$ to $x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\bar{x}_{n}\right] \pi_{L}^{n} \in R$. This lift satisfies $|x-[\bar{x}]|_{r}<\left(|\bar{x}|^{\prime}\right)^{r}=|[\bar{x}]|_{r}=|x|_{r}$ for all $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$ thanks to (2.2.8.2).

To prove (b), first note that since $|\bullet|_{r}$ is a norm, (2.2.8.2) implies

$$
|x|_{r} \leq \sup _{n}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}\right\} .
$$

To finish, it is enough to establish by induction that for each nonnegative integer $m,|x|_{r}$ is at least the supremum of $\left|x_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}$ over indices $n$ for which $x_{n}$ is not divisible by $p^{m+1}$. This is clear for $m=0$. If $m>0$, there is nothing to check unless the supremum is only achieved in cases when $x_{n}$ is divisible by $p^{m}$. In that case, lift the reduction $\bar{x}$ of $x$ modulo $p$ as in (a) to some $y$ with $|y-[\bar{x}]|_{r}<|y|_{r}$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$. For $z=(x-y) / p=\sum_{n} z_{n} \pi_{L}^{n}$, the supremum in question is also the supremum of $p^{-1}\left|z_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}$ over indices $n$ for which $z_{n}$ is not divisible by $p^{m}$. By the induction hypothesis, this is at most

$$
p^{-1}|z|_{r}=|p z|_{r} \leq \max \left\{|x|_{r},|y|_{r}\right\}=|x|_{r} .
$$

This completes the induction, yielding (b).
This gives us a concrete description of $R^{\dagger}$ in terms of the coefficients of a series representation.

Corollary 2.2.9 With notation as in Lemma 2.2.8, $x \in R^{\dagger}$ if and only if there exists $r>0$ such that $\sup _{n}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}\right\}<+\infty$.

Corollary 2.2.10 There exists $r_{0}>0$ (depending on $K$ ) such that every $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ admits a lift $x \in \mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ with $|x-[\bar{x}]|_{r}<|x|_{r}$ for all $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$.

Proof Apply Lemma 2.2.8(a) to each connected component of $\mathbf{A}_{K}$.

Exercise 2.2.11 With notation as in Lemma 2.2.8, one can choose $r_{0}$ so that for $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right], x \in W^{r-}(L)$ if and only if $\sup _{n}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\left(\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}\right|^{\prime}\right)^{n r}\right\}<+\infty$.

Remark 2.2.12 Beware that our notations do not agree with [4] or most other references when $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. It is more customary to take $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ to be the finite étale algebra over $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ corresponding to a connected component of $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$, and similarly for the other period rings. These rings inherit an action of $\varphi$ and of the subgroup $\Gamma_{K}$ of $\Gamma$ fixing a
component of $K_{\infty}$; the action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ acts transitively on connected components. Our point of view has the mild advantage of making the relationship between $K$ and $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ more uniform; for instance, for $L$ a finite Galois extension of $K, \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ acts on $\mathbf{A}_{L}$ with fixed ring $\mathbf{A}_{K}$. We leave to the reader the easy task of translating back and forth between statements in terms of the usual rings and the corresponding statements in our language.

## 2.3 Étale ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules

Definition 2.3.1 Let $R$ be any of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}, \mathbf{A}_{K}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$. Let $M$ be a finite free $R$-module. A semilinear action of $\varphi$ on $M$ is an additive $\operatorname{map} \varphi: M \rightarrow M$ for which $\varphi(r m)=\varphi(r) \varphi(m)$ for all $r \in R, m \in M$. Such an action is étale if it takes some basis of $M$ to another basis; the same is then true of any basis (by Remarks 2.3 .2 or 2.3.3). An étale $\varphi$-module over $R$ is a finite free $R$-module $M$ equipped with an étale semilinear action of $\varphi$.

We similarly define semilinear actions of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. To define a semilinear action of $\Gamma$ as a whole, we insist that the actions of individual elements compose: for all $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ and $m \in M$, we must have $\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{2}(m)\right)=\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)(m)$. We say an action of $\Gamma$ is continuous if the action map $\Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ is continuous for the weak topology and (when available) the LF topology. An étale ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-module over $R$ is an étale $\varphi$-module $M$ equipped with a continuous action of $\Gamma$ commuting with $\varphi$. (The continuity condition can be omitted; see Exercise 2.4.6.)

Remark 2.3.2 Define $\varphi^{*} M=R \otimes_{R} M$ as a left $R$-module where the left tensorand $R$ is viewed as a left $R$-module in the usual way and as a right $R$-module via $\varphi$; that is, we have $1 \otimes r m=\varphi(r) \otimes m$ and $r(s \otimes m)=r s \otimes m$. One may then view a semilinear action of $\varphi$ as an $R$-linear map $\Phi: \varphi^{*} M \rightarrow M$; the action is étale if and only if $\Phi$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.3.3 A semilinear $\varphi$-action can be specified in terms of a basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ by exhibiting the matrix $A$ for which $\varphi\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} A_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ (which we sometimes call the matrix of action of $\varphi$ on the basis). If $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}^{\prime}$ is another basis, then there exists an invertible matrix $U$ with $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} U_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, and the matrix of action of $\varphi$ on the new basis is $U^{-1} A \varphi(U)$.

The following lemma has its origins in a construction of Lang; see for example [12, Exposé XXII, Proposition 1.1].

Lemma 2.3.4 Let $M$ be an étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$. Then for each positive integer $n$, there exists a finite extension $L$ of $K$ for which

$$
\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right)\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof Let $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ be a basis of $M$, and define $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)$ by $\varphi\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} A_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, define $G_{\gamma} \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)$ by $\gamma\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} G_{\gamma, i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$; then the fact that $\varphi \circ \gamma=\gamma \circ \varphi$ implies that $A \varphi\left(G_{\gamma}\right)=G_{\gamma} \gamma(A)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n} & =\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)\right)\left[X_{i j, k}^{p^{-\infty}}: i, j=1, \ldots, d ; k=0, \ldots, n-1\right] \\
S_{n} & =W\left(R_{n}\right) /\left(p^{n}, \varphi^{m}(A \varphi(X)-X)(m \in \mathbb{Z})\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X$ denotes the matrix with $X_{i j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^{k}\left[X_{i j, k}\right]$. Note that $S_{n}$ carries an action of $\Gamma$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ sending $X$ to $G_{\gamma} \gamma(X)$.

It can be shown that $S_{n}$ is finite étale over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /\left(p^{n}\right)$. In the case $n=1$, this reduces to observing that

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)\left[X_{i j}: i, j=1, \ldots, d\right] /(A \varphi(X)-X)
$$

is étale because the derivative of $\varphi$ is 0 . For $n>1$, an induction argument reduces one to checking that Artin-Schreier equations define finite étale algebras in characteristic $p$.

Thus via Theorem 2.2.1, $S_{n}$ corresponds to a finite étale algebra over $K$, any connected component of which has the desired effect.

We now arrive at Fontaine's original theorem on $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules [9].

Theorem 2.3.5 The following categories are equivalent.
(a) The category of continuous representations of $G_{K}$ on finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules.
(b) The category of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$.
(c) The category of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules over $\mathbf{A}_{K}$.

More precisely, the functor from (c) to (b) is base extension.

Proof The functor from (a) to (c) is defined as follows. Let $T$ be a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module, and let $\tau: G_{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(T)$ be a continuous homomorphism. For each positive integer $n$, the map $G_{K} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}\left(T / p^{n} T\right)$ factors through $G_{L / K}$ for some finite Galois extension $L$ of K. Put

$$
M_{n}=\left(T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbf{A}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right)\right)^{G_{L / K}}
$$

then $\varphi$ and $\Gamma$ act on $\mathbf{A}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right)$ and hence on $M_{n}$, and faithfully flat descent for modules (or a more elementary Galois descent) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}} \mathbf{A}_{L} \rightarrow T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbf{A}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right) \tag{2.3.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism. Hence $M=\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim } M_{n}$ is an étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module over $\mathbf{A}_{K}$.
The functor from (b) to (a) is defined as follows. Let $M$ be an étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$. For each positive integer $n$, choose a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$ as in Lemma 2.3.4 so that

$$
T_{n}=\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right)\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma}
$$

has the property that the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L} /\left(p^{n}\right) \tag{2.3.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism. Note that $G_{L / K}$ acts on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}$ and hence on $T_{n}$; hence $T=\lim _{\longleftarrow} T_{n}$ is a continuous representation of $G_{K}$.

Using the fact that (2.3.5.1) and (2.3.5.2) are isomorphisms, it is straightforward to check that composing around the circle always gives a functor naturally isomorphic to the identity functor at the starting point. This completes the proof.

### 2.4 Overconvergence and ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules, part 1

Remark 2.4.1 For $* \in\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\right\}$, for two étale $\varphi$-modules (resp. étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules) over $*_{K}$, we may view $\operatorname{Hom}_{*_{K}}(M, N)$ naturally as an étale $\varphi$-module (resp. an étale ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-module) over $*_{K}$ by imposing the conditions that

$$
\varphi(f)(\varphi(\mathbf{e}))=\varphi(f(\mathbf{e})), \gamma(f)(\gamma(\mathbf{e}))=\gamma(f(\mathbf{e})) \quad\left(\gamma \in \Gamma, f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{*_{K}}(M, N), \mathbf{e} \in M\right) .
$$

The morphisms $M \rightarrow N$ of $\varphi$-modules (resp. of ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules) then are precisely the elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{*_{K}}(M, N)$ fixed by $\varphi$ (resp. fixed by $\varphi$ and $\Gamma$ ).

Lemma 2.4.2 Base extension of étale $\varphi$-modules which are trivial modulo p from $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ is fully faithful.

Proof By Remark 2.4.1, this reduces to checking that if $M$ is an étale $\varphi$-module over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ which is trivial modulo $p$, then

$$
M^{\varphi}=\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi}
$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ be a basis of $M$ which is fixed modulo $p$. Let $L$ be a connected component of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$. Using Theorem 2.3.5, we can produce an analytic field $L^{\prime}$ with an isometric embedding $L \hookrightarrow L^{\prime}$ for which $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ admits a $\varphi$-invariant basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}^{\prime}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)$ be given by $\varphi\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} A_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$; then $A-1$ is divisible by $p$. By (1.7.3.2), we can choose $r>0$ so that $|A-1|_{r}<p^{-1 / 2}$. Let $U \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}(W(L))$ be given by $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} U_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. We claim that for each positive integer $n, U$ is congruent modulo $p^{n}$ to some $V_{n} \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}\left(W^{p r-}\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $\left|V_{n}-1\right|_{r},\left|V_{n}-1\right|_{p r} \leq p^{-1 / 2}$. This is clear for $n=1$ by taking $V_{n}=1$. Given the claim for some $n, U$ is congruent modulo $p^{n+1}$ to a matrix $V_{n}+p^{n} X$ in which each entry $X_{i j}$ is a Teichmüller lift. We have

$$
\varphi(X)-X \equiv p^{-n}\left(V_{n}-\varphi\left(V_{n}\right)-(A-1) \varphi\left(V_{n}\right)\right) \quad(\bmod p)
$$

which implies that $\left|\varphi\left(X_{i j}\right)-X_{i j}\right|_{r} \leq p^{n-1 / 2}$. From this it follows in turn that

$$
\left|X_{i j}\right|_{p r} \leq p^{n-1 / 2}
$$

We may then take $V_{n+1}=V_{n}+p^{n} X$ for the desired effect.
From the previous paragraph, it follows that $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}^{\prime}$ form a basis of $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. By expressing a $\varphi$-invariant element of $M$ using this basis and noting that

$$
W\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{\varphi}=W\left(\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{\varphi}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \subseteq W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{\varphi}
$$

we see that the image of $\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi}$ in $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. Because $W(L) \cap W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=W^{\dagger}(L)$ and $M$ is a free module, we may further conclude that the image lands in $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}} W^{\dagger}(L)$. Since this is true for each $L$, we deduce that $\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi} \subseteq M$, as desired.

Lemma 2.4.3 Let $M^{\dagger}$ be an étale $\varphi$-module over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ which is trivial modulo $p$, and suppose that $M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ carries a semilinear action of $\Gamma$ which commutes with $\varphi$ and is continuous for the weak topology. Then the action of $\Gamma$ on $M^{\dagger}$ provided by Lemma 2.4.2 is continuous for the LF topology.

Proof Retain notation as in the proof of Lemma 2.4.2. Note that $\Gamma$ acts on $M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ continuously for the weak topology. It thus acts on $\left(M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\varphi}=\left(M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\varphi}$ continuously for the weak topology. However, the latter is a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module on which the weak and LF topologies coincide. We thus obtain a continuous action of $\Gamma$ on $M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} W^{\dagger}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ for the LF topology. Since this is true for each $L$, the action of $\Gamma$ on $M^{\dagger}$ is continuous for the LF topology, as desired.

Lemma 2.4.4 Let $L$ be an analytic field which is perfect of characteristic p, with norm $|\bullet|$. Let $M$ be a finite free $W(L)$-module equipped with an étale semilinear $\varphi$-action and admitting a basis which is fixed by $\varphi$ modulo $p$. Then there exists a basis of $W(L)$ on which $\varphi$ acts via an invertible matrix over $W^{\dagger}(L)$.

Proof Let $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ be a basis of $M$ which is fixed modulo $p$, and let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}(W(L))$ be defined by $\varphi\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} F_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. We construct sequences of matrices $F_{n}, G_{n}$ such that $F_{1}=F$, $G_{1}=1, F_{n}-1$ has entries in $p W(L), G_{n}$ has entries in $W^{1-}(L),\left|G_{n}-1\right|_{1}<1$, and $X_{n}=p^{-n}\left(F_{n}-G_{n}\right)$ has entries in $W(L)$. Given $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$, choose a nonnegative integer $m$ for which $\left|\varphi^{-m}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)\right|^{\prime}<p^{n / 2}$, put $\bar{Y}_{n}=-\sum_{h=1}^{m} \varphi^{-h}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)$, and put

$$
U_{n}=1+p^{n}\left[\bar{Y}_{n}\right], \quad F_{n+1}=U_{n}^{-1} F_{n} \varphi^{d}\left(U_{n}\right), \quad G_{n+1}=G_{n}+p^{n}\left[\varphi^{-m}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)\right]
$$

where the Teichmüller map is applied to matrices entry by entry. The product $U_{1} U_{2} \ldots$ converges $p$-adically to a matrix $U$ for which $U^{-1} F \varphi^{d}(U)$ is equal to the $p$-adic limit of the $G_{n}$, which is invertible over $W^{1-}(L)$. Thus the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} U_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ form a basis of the desired form.

Theorem 2.4.5 Base extension of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules from $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ is an equivalence of categories. Consequently (by Theorem 2.3.5), both categories are equivalent to the category of continuous representations of $G_{K}$ on finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules.

Proof We first check that the base extension functor is fully faithful. Again by Remark 2.4.1, this reduces to checking that if $M$ is an étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$, then

$$
M^{\varphi, \Gamma}=\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma}
$$

By Theorem 2.3.5, $M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ corresponds to a continuous representation of $G_{K}$ on a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $T$. We can then find a finite extension $L$ of $K$ such that $G_{L}$ acts
trivially on $T / p T$; this means that $M / p M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+} /(p)} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}^{\dagger} /(p)$ admits a basis fixed by both $\varphi$ and $\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.4.2,

$$
\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma} \subseteq\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma}=\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}^{\dagger}\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma}
$$

However, within $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}$ we have

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}^{\dagger} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}=\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}
$$

and likewise after tensoring with the finite free module $M$. Hence $\left(M \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi, \Gamma} \subseteq M^{\varphi, \Gamma}$ as desired.

It remains to check that the base extension functor is essentially surjective. For a given étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module $M$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$, to show that $M$ descends to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$, it is enough to check that it descends to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{L}^{\dagger}$ for some finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$ (as then Lemma 2.4.2 provides the data needed to perform Galois descent back to $K$ ). Consequently, using Theorem 2.3.5, we may again reduce to the case where $M$ admits a basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ which is fixed by $\varphi$ and $\Gamma$ modulo $p$.
By Lemma 2.4.4 applied to each component of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$, we obtain an étale $\varphi$-module $M^{\dagger}$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ and an isomorphism $M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} \cong M$ of $\varphi$-modules. By Lemmas 2.4.2 and 2.4.3, the action of $\Gamma$ on $M$ induces an action on $M^{\dagger}$ which is continuous for the weak and LF topologies. This yields the desired result.

Exercise 2.4.6 One can omit the requirement of continuity of the action of $\Gamma$ in the definition of an étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module, as it is implied by the other properties. (Hint: first do the case over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$, which implies the case over $\mathbf{A}_{K}$. Then use Theorem 2.4.5 to deduce the case over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$, which implies the case over $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$.)

### 2.5 More on the action of $\Gamma$

We have seen that descent of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules from $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ can be achieved mainly using the bijectivity of $\varphi$. To make a similar passage from $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ to $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$, we trade the failure of this bijectivity for better control of the action of $\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.5.1 There exists $c>0$ such that for $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$, for $n$ a positive integer, for $\gamma \in 1+p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \subseteq \Gamma$,

$$
|(\gamma-1)(\bar{x})|^{\prime} \leq c p^{-p^{n+1} /(p-1)}|\bar{x}|^{\prime}
$$

Proof For $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, for $\bar{x}=\bar{\pi}$,

$$
(\gamma-1)(\bar{\pi})=(\gamma-1)(1+\bar{\pi})=(1+\bar{\pi})\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{\gamma-1}-1\right)
$$

is divisible by $\bar{\pi}^{p^{n}}$, so $|(\gamma-1)(\bar{\pi})|^{\prime} \leq\left(|\bar{\pi}|^{\prime}\right)^{p^{n}}=p^{-p^{n+1} /(p-1)}|\bar{\pi}|^{\prime}$. This implies the general result for $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with $c=1$.

For general $K$, it is similarly sufficient to check the claim for $\bar{x}=\bar{\pi}_{L}$ a uniformizer of a connected component $L$ of $\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$. Let $P(T)=\sum_{i} P_{i} T^{i}$ be the minimal polynomial of $\bar{\pi}_{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$, and put $Q(T)=P\left(T+\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)=\sum_{i>0} Q_{i} T^{i}$ with $Q_{1} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\gamma\left(P\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right)=(\gamma-1)(P)\left(\gamma\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right)+P\left(\gamma\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i}(\gamma-1)\left(P_{i}\right) \gamma\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)^{i}+Q\left(\gamma\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)-\bar{\pi}_{L}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma$ acts continuously on $\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p),\left|(\gamma-1)\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right|^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; hence for large $n$, $\left|Q\left(\gamma\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)-\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right|^{\prime}=\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\prime}\left|(\gamma-1)\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right|^{\prime}$. It follows that for large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\gamma-1)\left(\bar{\pi}_{L}\right)\right|^{\prime} & =\left|Q_{1}^{-1}\right|^{\prime}\left|\sum_{i}(\gamma-1)\left(P_{i}\right) \gamma(x)^{i}\right|^{\prime} \\
& \leq p^{-p^{n+1} /(p-1)}\left|Q_{1}^{-1}\right|^{\prime} \max _{i}\left\{\left|P_{i}\right|^{\prime}\left|\bar{\pi}_{L}^{i}\right|^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies the desired result.
Remark 2.5.2 Note that Lemma 2.5 .1 is a far cry from what happens over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ : one cannot establish an inequality of the form $|(\gamma-1)(x)|^{\prime} \leq c|x|^{\prime}$ uniformly over $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ for even a single choice of $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$ and $c<1$. Namely, if one had such an inequality, then substituting $x^{1 / p}$ in place of $x$ would immediately imply the same inequality with $c$ replaced by $c^{p}$, which would ultimately force $|(\gamma-1)(x)|^{\prime}=0$ for all $x$. This issue is closely related to the question of identifying locally analytic vectors within ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules and their generalizations [2].

Lemma 2.5.3 There exists $c>0$ (depending on $K$ ) such that for any positive integer $m$ and any $\bar{x}=\sum_{e=0}^{p^{m}-1}(1+\pi)^{e / p^{m}} \bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}$ with $\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$,

$$
\max _{e}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c|\bar{x}|^{\prime}
$$

Proof We first produce $c_{0} \geq 1$ that satisfies the claim for $m=1$. To do this, note that $|\bar{x}|^{\prime}$ and $\max _{e}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{e / p}\right|^{\prime}\right\}$ both define norms on the finite-dimensional vector space $\varphi^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$. The claim then follows from the fact that any two norms on a finite-dimensional vector space over a complete field are equivalent (e.g., see [14, Theorem 1.3.6]).

We next show by induction on $m$ that for any nonnegative integer $m$ and any $\bar{x}=\sum_{e=0}^{p^{m}-1}(1+\pi)^{e / p^{m}} \bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}$ with $\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$,

$$
\max _{e}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c_{0}^{1+1 / p+\cdots+1 / p^{m-1}}|\bar{x}|^{\prime}
$$

This is vacuously true for $m=0$. For $m>0$, if the claim is known for $m-1$, then by the previous paragraph we can write $\bar{x}^{p^{m-1}}=\sum_{f=0}^{p-1}(1+\pi)^{f / p} \bar{y}_{f / p}$ with $\bar{y}_{f / p} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ and $\max _{f}\left\{\left|\bar{y}_{f / p}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c_{0}\left|\bar{x}^{p^{m-1}}\right|^{\prime}$. By the induction hypothesis, we can then write $\bar{y}_{f / p}^{p^{-m+1}}=\sum_{g=0}^{p^{m-1}-1}(1+\pi)^{g / p^{m-1}} \bar{z}_{f / p, g / p^{m-1}}$ with $\bar{z}_{f / p, g / p^{m-1}} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ and

$$
\max _{g}\left\{\left|\bar{z}_{f / p, g / p^{m-1}}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c_{0}^{1+1 / p+\cdots+1 / p^{m-2}}\left|\bar{y}_{f / p}^{p^{-m+1}}\right|^{\prime}
$$

For $\quad e=0, \ldots, p^{m}-1$, write $\quad e=p^{m-1} f+g \quad$ with $\quad f \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\} \quad$ and $g \in\left\{0, \ldots, p^{m-1}-1\right\}$ and set $\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}=\bar{z}_{f / p, g / p^{m-1}}$; then $\bar{x}=\sum_{e=0}^{p^{m}-1}(1+\pi)^{e / p^{m}} \bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}$ and

$$
\max _{e}\left\{\left|\bar{x}_{e / p^{m}}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c_{0}^{1+1 / p+\cdots+1 / p^{m-2}} \max _{f}\left\{\left|\bar{y}_{f / p}^{p^{-m+1}}\right|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c_{0}^{1+1 / p+\cdots+1 / p^{m-1}}|\bar{x}|^{\prime}
$$

This completes the induction; we may now take

$$
c=c_{0}^{1+1 / p+1 / p^{2}+\cdots}=c_{0}^{p /(p-1)}
$$

and deduce the desired result.

Corollary 2.5.4 Let $\bar{T} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ be the closure of the subgroup generated by $(1+\bar{\pi})^{e} \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ for all $e \in \mathbb{Z}\left[p^{-1}\right] \cap(0,1)$. Then the natural map $\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p) \oplus \bar{T} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ is an isomorphism of Banach spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{p}((\bar{\pi}))$.

Lemma 2.5.5 For $\bar{T}$ as in Corollary 2.5.4, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$, the map $\gamma-1: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ is bijective with bounded inverse.

Proof By Lemma 2.5.3, it is sufficient to check that for each $e \in \mathbb{Z}\left[p^{-1}\right] \cap(0,1), \gamma-1$ is bijective on $(1+\bar{\pi})^{e} \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ with the inverse bounded uniformly in $e$. Using the identity

$$
(\gamma-1)^{-1}=\left(1+\gamma+\cdots+\gamma^{m-1}\right)\left(\gamma^{m}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

we may reduce the claim for $\gamma$ to the claim for $\gamma^{m}$ for any convenient positive integer $m$ (chosen uniformly in $e$ ). Consequently, we may assume that $\gamma \in\left(1+p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)-\left(1+p^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ for $n$ large enough that there exists a value $c$ as in Lemma 2.5.1 which is less than $p^{p^{n}}$.

For $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma-1)\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{e} \bar{x}\right) & =(\gamma-1)\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{e}\right) \bar{x}+\gamma\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{e}\right)(\gamma-1)(\bar{x}) \\
& =(1+\bar{\pi})^{e}\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{(\gamma-1) e}-1\right) \bar{x}+(1+\bar{\pi})^{\gamma e}(\gamma-1)(\bar{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.5.1, $\quad|(\gamma-1)(\bar{x})|^{\prime} \leq c p^{-p^{n+1} /(p-1)}|\bar{x}|^{\prime}$. On the other hand, since $e \in \mathbb{Z}\left[p^{-1}\right] \cap(0,1), \quad(\gamma-1) e$ has $p$-adic valuation at most $n-1$, so $\left|(1+\bar{\pi})^{(\gamma-1) e}-1\right|^{\prime} \geq\left(|\bar{\pi}|^{\prime}\right)^{p^{n-1}}=p^{-p^{n} /(p-1)}$. Since $c p^{-p^{n+1} /(p-1)}<p^{-p^{n} /(p-1)}$ by our choice of $n$, the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x} \mapsto(1+\bar{\pi})^{-e}\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{(\gamma-1) e}-1\right)^{-1}(\gamma-1)\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{e} \bar{x}\right) \tag{2.5.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbf{A}_{K} /(p)$ is equal to the identity map plus the operator

$$
\bar{x} \mapsto(1+\bar{\pi})^{(\gamma-1) e}\left((1+\bar{\pi})^{(\gamma-1) e}-1\right)^{-1}(\gamma-1)(\bar{x})
$$

whose norm is less than 1 . Therefore, (2.5.5.1) is an invertible operator whose inverse has norm 1. This proves the claim.

Corollary 2.5.6 For $\bar{T}$ as in Corollary 2.5.4 and $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$, every $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K} /(p)$ can be written uniquely as $\bar{y}+(\gamma-1)(\bar{z})$ with $\bar{y} \in \mathbf{A}_{K} /(p), \bar{z} \in \bar{T}$. Moreover,

$$
\max \left\{|\bar{y}|^{\prime},|\bar{z}|^{\prime}\right\} \leq c|\bar{x}|^{\prime}
$$

for some constant c (depending on K and $\gamma$ but not on $\bar{x}$ ).

Corollary 2.5.7 Let $T \subset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ be the closure for the weak topology of the subgroup generated by $(1+\pi)^{e} \mathbf{A}_{K}$ for all $e \in \mathbb{Z}\left[p^{-1}\right] \cap(0,1)$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$, every $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ can be written uniquely as $y+(\gamma-1)(z)$ with $y \in \mathbf{A}_{K}, z \in T$. Moreover, there exist $c, r_{0}>0$ (depending on $K$ and $\gamma$ ) such that

$$
\max \left\{|y|_{r},|z|_{r}\right\} \leq c^{r}|x|_{r} \quad\left(r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]\right) .
$$

Proof Combine Corollary 2.5.6 with Corollary 2.2.10.

### 2.6 Overconvergence and ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules, part 2: the theorem of Cherbonnier-Colmez

We start with the following analogue of Lemma 2.4.2.
Lemma 2.6.1 Base extension of étale $\varphi$-modules which are trivial modulo $p$ from $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ to $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ is fully faithful.

Proof Again by Remark 2.4.1, this reduces to checking that if $M$ is an étale $\varphi$-module over $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ which is trivial modulo $p$, then

$$
M^{\varphi}=\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \mathbf{A}_{K}\right)^{\varphi}
$$

By Lemma 2.4.2, we already have

$$
\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \mathbf{A}_{K}\right)^{\varphi} \subseteq\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}\right)^{\varphi}=\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\varphi}
$$

Since $M$ is a free module, within $M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{+}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}$ we have

$$
\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{+}} \mathbf{A}_{K}\right) \cap\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)=M,
$$

yielding the desired result.

Theorem 2.6.2 (Cherbonnier-Colmez) Base extension of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules from $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ to $\mathbf{A}_{K}$ is an equivalence of categories. Consequently (by Theorem 2.3.5), both categories are equivalent to the category of continuous representations of $G_{K}$ on finite free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ -modules.

Proof By Theorem 2.3.5 and Theorem 2.4.5, it is equivalent to show that base extension of étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules from $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ is an equivalence of categories. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4.5 (but now using Lemma 2.6.1 instead of Lemma 2.4.2), it is sufficient to check that any étale $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module $M$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ admitting a basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}$ fixed by $\varphi$ and $\Gamma$ modulo $p$ descends to $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$.

Put $\gamma=1+p^{2} \in \Gamma$. Define $T, c, r_{0}$ as in Corollary 2.5.7. Let $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)$ be given by $\gamma\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} G_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, so that $G-1$ is divisible by $p$. By (1.7.3.2), we can choose $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$ so that $\epsilon=|G-1|_{r}^{1 / 3}<\min \left\{c^{-r}, 1\right\}$.

We define a sequence of invertible matrices $U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ congruent to 1 modulo $p$ with the property that $G_{l}=U_{l}^{-1} G \gamma\left(U_{l}\right)$ can be written as $1+X_{l}+(\gamma-1)\left(Y_{l}\right)$ with $X_{l}$ having entries in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}, Y_{l}$ having entries in $T$, and

$$
\left|X_{l}\right|_{r} \leq \epsilon^{2},\left|Y_{l}\right|_{r} \leq \epsilon^{l+2}
$$

To begin with, put $U_{0}=1$ and apply Corollary 2.5.7 to construct $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ of the desired form with $\left|X_{0}\right|_{r},\left|Y_{0}\right|_{r} \leq c^{r}|G-1|_{r} \leq \epsilon^{2}$. Given $U_{l}$, set $U_{l+1}=U_{l}\left(1-Y_{l}\right)$ and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{l+1} & =\left(1-Y_{l}\right)^{-1}\left(1+X_{l}+(\gamma-1)\left(Y_{l}\right)\right)\left(1-\gamma\left(Y_{l}\right)\right) \\
& =1+X_{l}+Y_{l} X_{l}-X_{l} \gamma\left(Y_{l}\right)+E_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left|E_{l}\right|_{r} \leq \epsilon^{2 l+4}$. Note that $Y_{l} X_{l}-X_{l} \gamma\left(Y_{l}\right)$ has entries in $T$ and $\left|Y_{l} X_{l}-X_{l} \gamma\left(Y_{l}\right)\right|_{r} \leq \epsilon^{l+4}$. Apply Corollary 2.5 .7 to split $Y_{l} X_{l}-X_{l} \gamma\left(Y_{l}\right)+E_{l}$ as $A_{l}+(\gamma-1)\left(B_{l}\right)$ with $A_{l}$ having entries in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}, B_{l}$ having entries in $T$, and $\left|A_{l}\right|_{r},\left|B_{l}\right|_{r} \leq c^{r} \epsilon^{l+4} \leq \epsilon^{l+3}$. Set $X_{l+1}=X_{l}+A_{l}$, $Y_{l+1}=B_{l}$ and continue.

The product $U=U_{0} U_{1} \cdots$ converges to an invertible matrix $U$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$. Define the basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}^{\prime}$ of $M$ by $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} U_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. Define the matrices $A, H$ by $\varphi\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i} A_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, $\gamma\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i} H_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. By construction, $H$ has entries in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ and is congruent to 1 modulo $p$. Since $\varphi$ and $\gamma$ commute, $A \varphi(H)=H \gamma(A)$. Apply Corollary 2.5.7 to write $A=B+C$ with $B$ having entries in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ and $C$ having entries in $T$; then

$$
H^{-1} C \varphi(H)-C=(\gamma-1)(C)
$$

If $C$ is nonzero, then there is a largest nonnegative integer $m$ such that $C$ is divisible by $p^{m}$. However, since $H \equiv 1(\bmod p), H^{-1} C \varphi(H)-C$ is divisible by $p^{m+1}$ while $(\gamma-1)(C)$ is not, a contradiction. Hence $C=0$ and $A=B$ has entries in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$.

Let $M^{\dagger}$ be the $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$-span of $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d}^{\prime}$; it is an étale $\varphi$-module over $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ such that $M^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger} \cong M$. By Lemma 2.6.1, the action of $\Gamma$ descends to $M^{\dagger}$; it is automatically continuous because $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{\dagger}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{K}^{\dagger}$ carry the same topologies. This proves the desired result.

Remark 2.6.3 In [5] and elsewhere, Theorem 2.6.2 is described as the statement that $p$-adic Galois representations are overconvergent. This term refers to the distinction between $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$. Recall that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ consists of formal Laurent series in $\pi$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that the coefficient of $\pi^{n}$ converges $p$-adically to 0 as $n \rightarrow-\infty$ (with no restriction as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. That is, the negative part of the series converges on the disc $\left|\pi^{-1}\right| \leq 1$. By contrast, by Corollary 2.2 .9 such a series belongs to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ if and only if the $p$-adic valuation of the coefficient of $\pi^{n}$ grows at least linearly in $-n$ as $n \rightarrow-\infty$; that is, the negative part of the series converges on a disc of the form $\left|\pi^{-1}\right| \leq 1+\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon>0$.
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