

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Some oscillation theorems for nonlinear second-order differential equations with an advanced argument

G.E. Chatzarakis<sup>1</sup>, O. Moaaz<sup>2</sup>, T. Li<sup>3,4,5</sup> and B. Qaraad<sup>2,6\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

belgeesmath2016@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Saadah University, Saadah, Yemen

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

The objective in this work is to study oscillation criteria for second-order quasi-linear differential equations with an advanced argument. We establish new oscillation criteria using both the comparison technique with first-order advanced differential inequalities and the Riccati transformation. The established criteria improve, simplify and complement results that have been published recently in the literature. We illustrate the results by an example.

**MSC:** 34C10; 34K11

**Keywords:** Second-order differential equations; Oscillation theorems; Advanced argument

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we study sufficient conditions for the oscillation of the solutions of second-order nonlinear differential equations with an advanced argument of the form

$$(r(u')^\alpha)'(t) + p(t)f(u(g(t))) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where we assume that the following conditions hold:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are quotients of odd positive integers;

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $r \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ , satisfies

$$\mu(t_0) := \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds < \infty;$$

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $g \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ , and we suppose that, for all  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $g(t) \geq t$ ,  $g'(t) \geq 0$  and  $p \in C[t_0, \infty), [0, \infty)$  does not vanish identically.

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $f \in (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is such that  $uf(u) > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$  and satisfies the following condition:

$$\text{There exists a constant } \kappa > 0 \text{ such that } f(u) > \kappa u^\beta \text{ for all } u \neq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

A solution of (1.1) is an  $x \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))$  with  $t_a = \min\{\tau(t_b), g(t_b)\}$ , for some  $t_b > t_0$ , which satisfies the property  $r(u')^\alpha \in C^1([t_a, \infty), [0, \infty))$  and moreover satisfies (1.1) on  $[t_b, \infty)$ . We consider the nontrivial solutions of (1.1) existing on some half-line  $[t_b, \infty)$  and satisfying the condition

$$\sup\{|x(t)| : t_c \leq t < \infty\} > 0 \quad \text{for any } t_c \geq t_b.$$

If  $x$  is neither positive nor negative eventually, then  $x(t)$  is called *oscillatory*. Otherwise, it is a *non-oscillatory* solution.

Differential equations with advanced arguments are used in many applied problems where the rate of development depends on the future, as well as on the present time. In a delay equation, delays represent the retrospective memory of the past. In differential equations with an advanced argument, advances represent the prospective memory of the future, accounting for the influence on the system of potential future actions, which are available at the present time. For instance, population dynamics, economics problems, or mechanical control engineering are typical fields where such phenomena are thought to occur, see [14, 20].

The many applications of functional differential equations have been the motive behind the active research movement in recent times, see [1–13, 22, 24–33] and [34, 35, 37]. In recent decades, a great amount of work has been done on the oscillation theory of the different order differential equations with delay and advanced argument [4–13, 15–21, 23] and [24–33, 36].

This work aims at further developing the oscillation theory of second-order quasi-linear equations with advanced argument. We use an approach that combines the comparison with first-order advanced differential inequalities and the Riccati transformation. That enables us to get various conditions, ensuring the oscillation of (1.1). In this paper, we simplify and improve the results in [36, Theorem 1.7.8] and obtain a new criterion for ensuring the oscillation of the solutions of (1.1). We illustrate the improvement obtained by the results in this paper, through an example.

**Lemma 1.1** ([7]) *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be a ratio of two odd numbers. Then*

$$A^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} - (A - B)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} B^{1/\alpha} [(1 + \alpha)A - B], \quad AB \geq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$DV - CV^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \leq \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{D^{\alpha+1}}{C^\alpha}, \quad C > 0.$$

**Lemma 1.2** ([33]) *Let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and assume that  $u$  is an eventually non-increasing positive solution of (1.1). Then,  $u^{\beta-\alpha}(t) \geq \eta(t)$  holds, where  $\eta(t)$  is defined by*

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \alpha = \beta; \\ a_1 & \text{for } \alpha > \beta; \\ a_2 \mu^{\beta-\alpha}(t) & \text{for } \alpha < \beta, \end{cases}$$

and  $a, a_2$  are positive constants.

## 2 Auxiliary lemmas

The proofs of our main results are essentially based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** *Assume that (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $u$ . If*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(s) \, ds = \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$(P_1) \quad u \text{ is decreasing and } (r(u')^\alpha)' \text{ is non-increasing, eventually.}$$

*Proof* Assume that there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that equation (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  on  $[t_1, \infty]$ . Hence, from  $(H_4)$ , we obtain

$$(r(u')^\alpha)'(t) \leq -\kappa p(t)u^\beta(g(t)). \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, we get that  $u'$  is of fixed sign, eventually. Now, we will prove that  $u' < 0$ . To the contrary, suppose there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $u' > 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$ . Define a positive function  $w$  by

$$w(t) = \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha}{u^\beta(t)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Differentiating (2.3), we get

$$w'(t) = \frac{(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)'}{u^\beta(t)} - \beta \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha u'(t)}{u^{\beta+1}(t)}.$$

From (2.2) and  $g(t) \geq t$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &\leq -\kappa p(t) \left( \frac{u(g(t))}{u(t)} \right)^\beta - \beta \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha u'(t)}{u^\beta(t) u(t)} \\ &\leq -\kappa p(t) - \beta w(t) \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$w'(t) \leq -\kappa p(t). \tag{2.4}$$

Integrating (2.4) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we get

$$w(t) \leq w(t_2) - \kappa \int_{t_2}^t p(s) \, ds,$$

which yields a contradiction to  $w$  being positive. Hence,  $u'(t) < 0$ , therefore, the proof is complete. □

**Lemma 2.2** *If equation (1.1) has an eventually decreasing positive solution  $u$ , then*

$$(P_2) \quad \frac{u}{\mu} \text{ is non-decreasing, eventually.}$$

*Proof* Assume that there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that equation (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  on  $[t_1, \infty]$  and  $u' < 0$ . Hence, from  $(H_4)$ , we get that (2.2) holds and therefore

$$r^{1/\alpha}(s)u'(s) \leq r^{1/\alpha}(t)u'(t),$$

for all  $s \geq t$ . Integrating this inequality from  $t$  to  $v$  yields

$$u(v) - u(t) \leq r^{1/\alpha}(t)u'(t) \int_t^v r^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds, \quad v \geq t.$$

Letting  $v \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we see that

$$r^{-1/\alpha}(t)u(t) + u'(t)\mu(t) \geq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

and consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{u}{\mu} \right) = \frac{u'}{\mu} + \frac{r^{-1/\alpha}u}{\mu^2} \geq 0.$$

Thus, the proof is complete. □

**Lemma 2.3** *Assume that equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $u$  and*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r(v)} \int_{t_0}^v p(s) ds \right)^{1/\alpha} dv = \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

*Then  $u$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ , and*

$$(P_3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0.$$

*Proof* Assume that there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ . From (2.6) and  $(H_2)$ , we conclude that condition (2.1) holds. From Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $u$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ .

Next, since  $u$  is a positive decreasing function, we get that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = c \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $c > 0$ . Then, there exists  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $u(g(t)) \leq c$ , and so

$$-(r(u')^\alpha)'(t) \geq \kappa p(t)u^\beta(g(t)) \geq \kappa c^\beta p(t),$$

for  $t \geq t_2$ . We integrate this inequality twice from  $t_2$  to  $t$ . Then, after the first integration, we get

$$r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha - r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq \kappa c^\beta \int_{t_2}^t p(s) ds.$$

Therefore,

$$u'(t) \leq \kappa c^\beta \left( \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t_2}^t p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \tag{2.7}$$

After the second integration, we obtain

$$u(t) - u(t_2) \leq -\kappa c^\beta \int_{t_2}^t \left( \frac{1}{r(v)} \int_{t_2}^v p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \, dv.$$

This implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = -\infty$ , which contradicts  $c > 0$ . The proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Assume that equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $u$  and (2.6) holds. Then, there exist positive constants  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  and  $t_\delta \geq t_1$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \mu(t) &\leq u(t) \\ &\leq \delta_2 \exp \left( -\kappa^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{1}{r(s)\eta(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\mu(g(s))}{\mu(s)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{t_0}^s p(\zeta) \, d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for  $t \geq t_\delta$ .

*Proof* As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we get that  $(P_1)$ ,  $(P_2)$  and  $(P_3)$  hold. From  $(P_2)$ , there exist  $t_2 \geq t_1$  and  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $u(t)/\mu(t) \geq \delta_1$  for all  $t \geq t_2$ . Next, by integrating (1.1) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq -r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha + \kappa \int_{t_2}^t p(s)u^\beta(g(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq -r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha + \kappa u^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_2}^t p(s) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$-r(t)(u'(t)) - \kappa u^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds \geq -r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha - \kappa u^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^{t_2} p(s) \, ds.$$

From  $(P_3)$ , there exists a  $t_3 \geq t_2$  such that the left-hand side of this inequality is positive for  $t \geq t_3$ , and thus

$$-r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq \kappa u^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds,$$

for  $t \geq t_3$ . By Lemma 2.2, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq \kappa \frac{u^\beta(g(t))}{\mu^\beta(g(t))} (\mu^\beta(g(t))) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds \\ &\geq \kappa \frac{u^\beta(t)}{\mu^\beta(t)} \mu^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{(u'(t))^\alpha}{u^\beta(t)} \leq \frac{-\kappa}{r(t)} \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^\beta \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds.$$

From Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)} \right)^\alpha \eta(t) \leq \frac{-\kappa}{r(t)} \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^\beta \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds.$$

Then

$$\frac{u'(t)}{u(t)} \leq - \left( \frac{\kappa}{\eta(t)r(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Integrating (2.9) from  $t_3$  to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq u(t_3) \exp \left( - \int_{t_3}^t \left( \frac{\mu(g(v))}{\mu(v)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\eta(v)r(v)} \int_{t_0}^v p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \, dv \right) \\ &\leq \delta_2 \exp \left( - \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{\mu(g(v))}{\mu(v)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\eta(v)r(v)} \int_{t_0}^v p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \, dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_2 = u(t_3) \exp \left( - \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \left( \frac{\mu(g(v))}{\mu(v)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\eta(v)r(v)} \int_{t_0}^v p(s) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \, dv \right) > 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

**Lemma 2.5** *Assume that (2.1) holds and (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Let there exist constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\gamma + \delta \in [0, 1)$ ,*

$$p(t)\mu^\alpha(g(t))\mu(t)\eta(g(t))r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \geq \frac{\gamma}{\kappa} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\eta^{-1/\alpha}(t)\mu^{1-\beta/\alpha}(t)\mu^{\beta/\alpha}(g(t)) \left( \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds \right)^{1/\alpha} \geq \frac{\delta}{\kappa^{1/\alpha}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then, there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that

$$\frac{u}{\mu^{1-\gamma}} \text{ and } \frac{u}{\mu^\delta} \text{ are non-decreasing and non-increasing, respectively,}$$

for  $t \geq t_2$ .

*Proof* From (2.1) and Lemma 2.1, we get that  $(P_1)$  holds, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (-r(t)(u'(t)^\alpha)\mu^\gamma(t)) &= (-r(t)(u'(t)^\alpha))' \mu^\gamma(t) \\ &\quad + \gamma (r(t)(u'(t)^\alpha)\mu^{\gamma-1}(t)r^{-1/\alpha}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(-r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \mu^\gamma(t)) \\ & \geq -\kappa p(t)u^\alpha(g(t))\eta(g(t))\mu^\gamma(t) + \gamma r(u'(t))^\alpha \frac{\mu^{\gamma-1}(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \\ & \geq -\kappa p(t)r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \eta(g(t))\mu^\alpha(g(t))\mu^\gamma(t) + \gamma r(u'(t))^\alpha \frac{\mu^{\gamma-1}(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \\ & = -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \mu^\gamma(t) \left( \kappa p(t)\mu^\alpha(g(t))\eta(g(t)) - \frac{\gamma}{\mu(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $-r(u')^\alpha \mu^\gamma$  is non-decreasing, and thus there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) & \geq -r^{1/\alpha}(t)u'(t)\mu^\gamma(t) \int_t^\infty \frac{\mu^{-\gamma}(s)}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds \\ & = -\frac{1}{1-\gamma}r^{1/\alpha}(t)\mu(t)u'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{\mu^{1-\gamma}(t)} u(t) \right) = \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)r^{1/\alpha}(t)\mu^{2-\gamma}(t)} \left( u + \frac{1}{1-\gamma}r^{1/\alpha}(t)\mu(t)u'(t) \right) \geq 0.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we obtain that (2.9) holds, and so

$$\frac{u(t)}{\mu^{\delta+1}(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \leq -\frac{u'(t)}{\mu^{\delta+1}(t)} \left( \frac{\eta(t)}{\kappa} \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(g(t))} \right)^{\beta/\alpha} \left( \int_{t_0}^t p(s) ds \right)^{-1/\alpha}. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{u(t)}{\mu^\delta(t)} \right) & = \frac{u'(t)}{\mu^\delta(t)} + \frac{\delta u(t)}{\mu^{\delta+1}(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \\ & \leq \frac{u'(t)}{\mu^\delta(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\mu(t)} \left( \frac{\eta(t)}{\kappa} \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(g(t))} \right)^{\beta/\alpha} \left( \int_{t_0}^t p(s) ds \right)^{-1/\alpha} \right) \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

### 3 Main results

In this section, we shall establish some oscillation criteria for (1.1). Let us define

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) := \left( \mu(t) + \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s) ds \right).$$

We are now ready to state and prove the main theorems.

**Theorem 3.1** *If*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t_0}^s p(s)\mu^\beta(g(s)) \, ds \right)^{1/\alpha} dt = \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

*then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.*

*Proof* Suppose, against the theorem’s statement, that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution  $u$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u(t) > 0, u(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . Now, a necessary result to satisfy Condition (3.1) is that  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(s)\mu^\beta(g(s)) \, ds$  is unbounded. Thus, from  $(H_2)$  and  $\mu'(t) < 0$ , it is easy to note that (2.1) is valid. So, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get that  $(P_1)$  and  $(P_2)$  hold. Therefore, there exist  $a > 0$  and  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $u(t) \geq a\mu(t)$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(u')^\alpha)'(t) &\geq \kappa p(t)u^\beta(g(t)) \\ &\geq \kappa a^\beta p(t)\mu^\beta(g(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating (3.2) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we get

$$-r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha + \kappa a^\beta \int_{t_2}^t p(s)\mu^\beta(g(s)) \, ds,$$

i.e.,

$$-u'(t) \geq (\kappa a^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t_2}^t p(s)\mu^\beta(g(s)) \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Integrating this inequality from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (3.1), we get a contradiction to  $u$  being positive. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t, t_1) > 1, \tag{3.3}$$

*for any  $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$ , where*

$$\Phi(t, s) := \kappa \eta(g(t))\mu^\alpha(g(t)) \int_s^t p(v) \, dv,$$

*then (1.1) is oscillatory. Moreover, if (2.6) holds and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t, t_0) > 1, \tag{3.4}$$

*then (1.1) is oscillatory.*

*Proof* Suppose, against the theorem’s statement, that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution  $u$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u(t) > 0, u(g(t)) > 0$



for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . We can see that (3.3) and  $(H_2)$  imply (2.1). Thus, Lemma 2.1 is valid for  $t > t_1$ . Integrating (1.1) from  $t_1$  to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \kappa \int_{t_1}^t p(s)u^\beta(g(s)) \, ds \\
 &\geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \kappa u^\beta(g(t)) \int_{t_1}^t p(s) \, ds \\
 &\geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \kappa u^{\beta-\alpha}(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_1}^t p(s) \, ds \\
 &\geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \kappa \eta(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_1}^t p(s) \, ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The last inequality, together with (2.5), implies that

$$-r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq -\kappa \eta(g(t))r(g(t))\mu^\alpha(g(t))(u'(g(t)))^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t p(s) \, ds. \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha$  is non-increasing and  $g(t) \geq t$ , we get

$$\Phi(t, t_1) = \kappa \eta(g(t))\mu^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_1}^t p(s) \, ds \leq \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha}{r(g(t))(u'(g(t)))^\alpha} \leq 1, \tag{3.7}$$

which contradicts (3.3).

On the other hand, let (2.6) hold. From the definition of  $\eta$ , we note that  $\eta(t)$  is bounded. Thus, from Lemma 2.3, we get that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$ , and hence there exists a  $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)$  large enough, such that

$$\kappa \eta(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} p(s) \, ds < -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha$$

for all  $t \geq t_2$ . Therefore, from (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \kappa \eta(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds \\
 &\quad - \kappa \eta(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} p(s) \, ds \\
 &\geq \kappa \eta(g(t))u^\alpha(g(t)) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) \, ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

As in (3.6) and (3.7), we get a contradiction to (3.4). The proof of the theorem is complete. □

**Theorem 3.3** *Assume that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{g(t)} p(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds > \frac{1}{\kappa e} \quad \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \tag{3.8}$$

or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t p(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds = \infty \quad \text{if } \alpha < \beta. \tag{3.9}$$

Then (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof* Suppose, against the theorem’s statement, that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution  $u$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u(t) > 0, u(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . We note that the following condition is necessary for (3.8) to be valid:

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty p(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds = \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, (3.10) with  $(H_2)$  ensure (2.1). From Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have that  $(P_1)$  and (2.5) hold. It follows from (1.1) and (2.5) that

$$-(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)' + \kappa p(t)r^{\beta/\alpha}(g(t))\mu^\beta(g(t))(u'(g(t)))^\beta \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $\varphi := -r(u')^\alpha$  is a positive solution of the first-order advanced differential inequality

$$\varphi'(t) - \kappa p(t)\mu^\beta(g(t))\varphi^{\beta/\alpha}(g(t)) \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

In view of [24, Theorem 2.4.1] and [23, Theorem 1], conditions (3.8) and (3.9) imply that the advanced inequality (3.11) has no positive solutions when  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ , respectively. This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** *Assume that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{g(t)} p(s)\widehat{\mu}^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds > \frac{1}{\kappa e} \quad \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \tag{3.12}$$

or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t p(s)\widehat{\mu}^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds = \infty \quad \text{if } \alpha < \beta, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) := \mu(t) + \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds.$$

Then (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof* Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we obtain that (3.10), together with  $(H_2)$ , implies (2.1). Then, from Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we get that  $(P_1)$  and (2.5) hold. Now, let  $\varphi := r(u')^\alpha$  and

$$w := u + \mu\varphi^{1/\alpha} > 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= u'(t) - r^{-1/\alpha}(t)\varphi^{1/\alpha}(t) + \frac{1}{\alpha}\mu(t)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(t)\varphi'(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\mu(t)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(t)\varphi'(t) \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (1.1), implies that

$$w'(t) \leq -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\mu(t)p(t)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(t)u^\beta(g(t)) < 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Integrating (3.15) from  $t$  to  $\infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\geq \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)p(s)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(s)u^\beta(g(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)p(s)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(s)\eta(g(s))u^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) in last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\geq -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s)\varphi^{(1/\alpha)-1}(s)\eta(g(s))\varphi(g(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s))\varphi^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \\ &\geq -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\varphi^{1/\alpha}(t) \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.14), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\geq -\left(\mu(t) + \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \mu(s)\mu^\alpha(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds\right)\varphi^{1/\alpha}(t) \\ &= -\widehat{\mu}(t)\varphi^{1/\alpha}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Using (3.16) and (1.1) yields

$$\widehat{\varphi}'(t) - \kappa p(t)\widehat{\mu}^\beta(g(t))\widehat{\varphi}^{\beta/\alpha}(g(t)) \geq 0,$$

where  $\widehat{\varphi} := -\varphi$ . The rest of proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3, and therefore we omit it. □

**Theorem 3.5** *Assume that (2.1) holds. If there exists a  $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\mu^\alpha(t)}{\rho(t)} \int_T^t \left( \kappa \rho(s)p(s) \left( \frac{\mu(g(s))}{\mu(s)} \right)^\beta \eta(s) - \frac{r(s)(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\rho^\alpha(s)} \right) ds \right\} > 1,$$

for any  $T \in [t_0, \infty)$ , then (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof* Suppose to the contrary of the theorem’s statement that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution  $u$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $u(t) > 0$ ,

$u(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . By Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have  $(P_1)$  and  $(P_2)$  hold for  $t > t_1$ . Equation (1.1), together with  $(P_2)$ , leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)'}{u^\alpha(t)} &\leq -\kappa p(t) \frac{u^\beta(g(t))}{u^\alpha(t)} \\ &\leq -\kappa p(t) \frac{u^\beta(g(t))}{u^\beta(t)} u^{\beta-\alpha}(t) \\ &\leq -\kappa p(t) \left(\frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)}\right)^\beta \eta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now, let us make the positive generalized Riccati substitution:

$$\omega(t) = \rho(t) \left[ \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha}{u^\alpha(t)} + \frac{1}{\mu^\alpha(t)} \right], \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_2. \tag{3.18}$$

Differentiating (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) &= \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) + \rho(t) \frac{(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)'}{u^\alpha(t)} - \alpha \rho(t) r(t) \left(\frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha \rho}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \mu^{\alpha+1}(t)} \\ &= \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) + \rho(t) \frac{(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)'}{u^\alpha(t)} + \frac{\alpha \rho}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \mu^{\alpha+1}(t)} \\ &\quad - \alpha \rho(t) r(t) \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\rho(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\mu^\alpha(t)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.19) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) - \rho(t) \kappa p(t) \left(\frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)}\right)^\beta \eta(t) + \frac{\alpha \rho}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \mu^{\alpha+1}(t)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \left(\omega(t) - \frac{\rho(t)}{\mu^\alpha(t)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.1, with

$$C = \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}, D = \frac{\alpha}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \text{ and } V = \frac{\rho(t)}{\mu^\alpha(t)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) &\leq -\kappa \rho(t) p(t) \left(\frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)}\right)^\beta \eta(t) + \frac{\rho'(t)}{\mu^\alpha(t)} + \frac{r(t)(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1} \rho^\alpha(t)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha \rho}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \mu^{\alpha+1}(t)} \\ &\leq -\kappa \rho(t) p(t) \left(\frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)}\right)^\beta \eta(t) + \left(\frac{\rho(t)}{\mu^\alpha(t)}\right)' \\ &\quad + \frac{r(t)(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1} \rho^\alpha(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

We can write inequality (2.5) in the form

$$-\frac{\rho(t)}{\mu^\alpha(t)} \leq \rho(t) \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha}{u^\alpha(t)} \leq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Integrating (3.20) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_2}^t \left( \kappa \rho(t)p(t) \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^\beta \eta(t) - \frac{r(t)(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \rho^\alpha(t)} \right) ds \\ & \leq \omega(t_2) - \omega(t) + \frac{\rho(s)}{\mu^\alpha(s)} + \frac{\rho(t_2)}{\mu^\alpha(t_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of  $\omega(t)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_2}^t \left( \kappa \rho(t)p(t) \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^\beta \eta(t) - \frac{r(t)(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \rho^\alpha(t)} \right) ds \\ & \leq \rho(t_2) \frac{r(t_2)(u'(t_2))^\alpha}{u^\alpha(t_2)} - \rho(t) \frac{r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha}{u^\alpha(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Using inequality (3.21) into (3.22), we are led to

$$\frac{\mu^\alpha(t)}{\rho(t)} \int_{t_2}^t \left( \kappa \rho(t)p(t) \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^\beta \eta(t) - \frac{r(t)(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \rho^\alpha(t)} \right) ds \leq 1.$$

Taking the limit superior of both sides of the inequality, we get a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

### 4 Discussion and examples

By using Lemma 2.5, we further improve the established oscillation criteria in Theorems 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5.

**Corollary 4.1** *Assume that there exist constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\gamma + \delta \in [0, 1)$  and (2.10) and (2.11) hold. If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu^\gamma(t) \mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(t))^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) ds > \frac{(1-\gamma)^\alpha}{\kappa},$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof* Suppose to the contrary of the corollary’s statement that equation (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution  $u$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u(t) > 0$ ,  $u(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . From  $(H_2)$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\mu^\gamma(t) \mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(t)) \leq \mu(t)^{1-\delta} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, we see that  $\int_{t_1}^t \mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(t))p(s) ds$  and  $\int_{t_0}^t p(s) ds$  are unbounded. Hence, (2.1) is necessary for (3.1) to be valid. From Lemma 2.1,  $(P_1)$  is satisfied for  $t \geq t_1$ . By (1.1), we obtain

$$-r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq -r(t_1)(u'(t_1))^\alpha + \int_{t_1}^t \kappa p(s)u^\beta(g(s)) ds.$$

Using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq \left(\frac{u(g(s))}{\mu^\delta(g(s))}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds \\
 &= \left(\frac{u(t)\mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(s))}{\mu^{1-\gamma}(t)}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds \\
 &= \left(\frac{u(t)\mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(s))}{\mu^{1-\gamma}(t)}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{u(t)}{\mu^{1-\gamma}(t)} &\geq \frac{-(r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}u'(t)\mu(t)}{(1-\gamma)\mu^{(1-\gamma)}(t)} \\
 &\geq \frac{-r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)u'(t)\mu(t)}{(1-\gamma)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha &\geq \left(\frac{-r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)u'(t)\mu^\gamma(t)\mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(s))}{(1-\gamma)}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds \\
 &\geq -r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \left(\frac{\mu^\gamma(t)\mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(s))}{(1-\gamma)}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{\mu^\gamma(t)\mu^{1-\gamma-\delta}(g(s))}{(1-\gamma)}\right)^\alpha \int_{t_1}^t k\mu^{\delta\alpha}(g(s))p(s)\eta(g(s)) \, ds \leq 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 4.2** Assume that  $\gamma$  is a constant satisfying (3.4) and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If  $\alpha = \beta$  and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{g(t)} p(s)\widehat{\mu}^\alpha(g(s)) \, ds > \frac{(1-\gamma)^\beta}{\kappa e},$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Corollary 4.3** Assume that (2.1) holds. If there exists a function  $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\mu^\alpha(t)}{\rho(t)} \int_T^t \left( \kappa\rho(t)\eta(s)p(s) - \frac{r(s)(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\rho^\alpha(s)} \right) ds \right\} > 1,$$

where

$$p(s) = p(t) \left( \frac{\mu(g(t))}{\mu(t)} \right)^{\beta(1-\gamma)}, \tag{4.2}$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

For an appropriate choice of the function  $\rho$  (1 or  $\mu(t)$ , or  $\mu^\alpha(t)$ ), Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 4.1 can be used to study the oscillation of (1.1) in a wide range of applications. Hence, by choosing  $\rho(t) = \mu^\alpha(t)$ , we get the following results:

**Corollary 4.4** *Assume that (2.1) holds. If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left( \kappa p(s) \eta(s) \frac{\mu^\beta(g(s))}{\mu^{\beta-\alpha}(s)} - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1} r^{1/\alpha}(s) \mu(s)} \right) ds > 1,$$

for any  $T \in [t_0, \infty)$ , then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Corollary 4.5** *Assume that (2.1) holds and  $p$  is defined as in (4.2). If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left( \kappa \eta(s) \mu^\alpha(t) p(s) - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1} r^{1/\alpha}(s) \mu(s)} \right) ds > 1,$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Example 4.1** Consider the equation

$$(t^{2\alpha} (u')^\alpha)' + p_0 t^{\nu-1} u^\beta(\lambda t) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\lambda \geq 1$  and

$$\nu = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & \text{for } \alpha > \beta; \\ \beta, & \text{for } \alpha \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$

We note that  $\kappa = 1$ ,  $r(t) := t^{2\alpha}$ ,  $p(t) := p_0 t^{\nu-1}$ ,  $g(t) := \lambda t$ , and  $f(\nu) := \nu^\beta$ . Thus, we see that  $\mu(t) = 1/t$  and

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\nu^{2\alpha}} \int_{t_0}^\nu s^{\nu-1} ds \right)^{1/\alpha} d\nu = \infty \quad (\text{i.e., (2.6) holds}).$$

First, let  $\alpha < \beta$ . We see that (3.1) is not satisfied and therefore, Theorem 3.1 does not apply. Also, since (3.4), namely  $\kappa a_2 p_0 > \beta \lambda^\beta$ , for any  $a_2$ , Theorem 3.2 does not apply in this example. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.3, we see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_0}{\lambda^\alpha} \int_{t_0}^t s^{\beta-\alpha-1} ds = \infty,$$

and thus (4.3) is oscillatory.

Assume that  $\alpha > \beta$ . Using Theorem 3.2, we get that (4.3) is oscillatory.

Finally, let  $\alpha = \beta$ . From Lemma 2.3 and 2.4, any positive solution  $u$  of (4.3) satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = \infty$  and there exist positive constants  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  and  $t_\delta \geq t_1$  such that

$$\frac{\delta_1}{t} \leq u(t) \leq \frac{\delta_2}{t^\eta},$$

where

$$\eta = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{p_0}{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha},$$

for  $t \geq t_\delta$ . The following list shows the conditions that have resulted from our theorems:

**Theorem 3.1**: cannot be applied;

**Theorem 3.3**: (c<sub>1</sub>)  $\frac{p_0}{\lambda^\alpha} \ln \lambda > \frac{1}{e}$ ;

**Theorem 3.4**: (c<sub>2</sub>)  $p_0 \left(1 + \frac{p_0}{\alpha} \lambda^{(1-\alpha)}\right)^\alpha \ln \lambda > \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{e}$ ;

**Corollary 4.1**: (c<sub>3</sub>)  $p_0(\lambda)^{\alpha(\gamma-1)} > \alpha(1-\gamma)^\alpha(1-\delta)$ ;

**Corollary 4.2**: (c<sub>4</sub>)  $p_0 \left(1 + \frac{p_0}{\alpha} \lambda^{(1-\alpha)}\right)^\alpha \ln \lambda > \frac{\lambda^\alpha(1-\gamma)^\alpha}{e}$ ;

**Corollary 4.4**: (c<sub>5</sub>)  $\frac{p_0}{\lambda^\alpha} > \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1}$ ;

**Corollary 4.5**: (c<sub>6</sub>)  $\frac{p_0}{\lambda^{\alpha(1-\gamma)}} > \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1}$ ,

where  $\gamma := p_0 \lambda^{-\alpha}$  and  $\delta := p_0^{1/\alpha} / (\lambda \alpha^{1/\alpha})$ . We note that **Theorem 3.4** improves **Theorem 3.3**, **Corollary 4.2** improves **Theorem 3.4**, and **Corollary 4.5** improves **Corollary 4.4**.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors express their earnest thanks to the editors and two anonymous referees.

#### Funding

The authors received no direct funding for this work.

#### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not appropriate to this article as no datasets were produced in the current investigation.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The author contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education (ASPETE), Athens, Greece. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. <sup>3</sup>School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, P.R. China. <sup>4</sup>LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China. <sup>5</sup>School of Informatics, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China. <sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Saadah University, Saadah, Yemen.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 28 November 2019 Accepted: 8 April 2020 Published online: 16 April 2020

#### References

1. Agarwal, A., Dragomir, S.S., Jleli, M., Samet, B.: *Advances in Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2018)
2. Agarwal, P.: Further results on fractional calculus of Saigo operators. *Appl. Math.* **7**, 585–594 (2012)
3. Agarwal, P.: Some inequalities involving Hadamard-type  $k$ -fractional integral operators. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **40**, 3882–3891 (2017)



4. Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M., Li, T., Zhang, C.: Even-order half-linear advanced differential equations: improved criteria in oscillatory and asymptotic properties. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **266**, 481–490 (2015)
5. Agarwal, R.P., Grace, S.R., O'Regan, D.: *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin (2013)
6. Agarwal, R.P., Zhang, C., Li, T.: New Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear advanced dynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **225**, 822–828 (2013)
7. Agarwal, R.P., Zhang, C., Li, T.: Some remarks on oscillation of second order neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **274**, 178–181 (2016)
8. Bazighifan, O., Elabbasy, E.M., Moaaz, O.: Oscillation of higher-order differential equations with distributed delay. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, 55, 1–9 (2019)
9. Bohner, M., Grace, S.R., Sager, I., Tunc, E.: Oscillation of third order nonlinear damped delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **278**, 21–32 (2016)
10. Chatzarakis, G.E., Dzurina, J., Jadlovská, I.: New oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear advanced differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **347**, 404–416 (2019)
11. Chatzarakis, G.E., Dzurina, J., Jadlovská, I.: Oscillatory and asymptotic properties of third-order quasilinear delay differential equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, 23, 17 p. (2019)
12. Chatzarakis, G.E., Dzurina, J., Jadlovská, I.: Oscillatory properties of third-order neutral delay differential equations with noncanonical operators. *Mathematics* **7**(12), 1177 (2019)
13. Chatzarakis, G.E., Grace, S.R., Jadlovská, I., Li, T., Tunc, E.: Oscillation criteria for third-order Emden–Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients. *Complexity* **2019**, Article ID 5691758 (2019)
14. Driver, R.D.: Can the future influence the present? *Phys. Rev. D, Part. Fields* **19**(4), 1098–1107 (1979)
15. Dzurina, J.: Oscillation of second order differential equations with advanced argument. *Math. Slovaca* **45**(3), 263–268 (1995)
16. El-Nabulsi, R.A., Moaaz, O., Bazighifan, O.: New results for oscillatory behavior of fourth-order differential equations. *Symmetry* **12**, 136 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12010136>
17. Elabbasy, E.M., Cesarano, C., Bazighifan, O., Moaaz, O.: Asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of higher order differential equation. *Symmetry* **11**, 1434 (2019)
18. Elabbasy, E.M., El-Nabulsi, R.A., Moaaz, O., Bazighifan, O.: Oscillatory properties of solutions of even-order differential equations. *Symmetry* **12**, 212 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12020212>
19. Elabbasy, E.M., Hassan, T.S., Moaaz, O.: Oscillation behavior of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations with deviating arguments. *Opusc. Math.* **32**, 719–730 (2012)
20. Elsgolts, L.E., Norkin, S.B.: *Introduction to the Theory and Application of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*. Academic Press, New York (1973)
21. Grace, S.R., Graef, J.R., Tunc, E.: Oscillatory behavior of second order damped neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **18**(2), 759–769 (2017)
22. Khalid, A., Naeem, M.N., Agarwal, P., Ghaffar, A., Ullah, Z., Jain, S.: Numerical approximation for the solution of linear sixth order boundary value problems by cubic B-spline. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 492 (2019)
23. Kitamura, Y., Kusano, T.: Oscillation of first-order nonlinear differential equations with deviating arguments. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **78**, 64–68 (1980)
24. Ladde, G.S., Lakshmikantham, V., Zhang, B.G.: *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 110. Dekker, New York (1987)
25. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Yu.V.: Oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations. *Math. Nachr.* **288**, 1150–1162 (2015)
26. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Yu.V.: Oscillation criteria for second-order superlinear Emden–Fowler neutral differential equations. *Monatshefte Math.* **184**, 489–500 (2017)
27. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Yu.V.: On asymptotic behavior of solutions to higher-order sublinear Emden–Fowler delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **67**, 53–59 (2017)
28. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Yu.V., Zhang, C.: Oscillation results for second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 336, 1–13 (2013)
29. Li, T., Thandapani, E., Graef, J.R., Tunc, E.: Oscillation of second-order Emden–Fowler neutral differential equations. *Nonlinear Stud.* **20**(1), 1–8 (2013)
30. Moaaz, O.: New criteria for oscillation of nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 484 (2019)
31. Moaaz, O., Elabbasy, E.M., Bazighifan, O.: On the asymptotic behavior of fourth-order functional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, 261 (2017)
32. Moaaz, O., Elabbasy, E.M., Muhib, A.: Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 297 (2019)
33. Moaaz, O., Elabbasy, E.M., Qaraad, B.: An improved approach for studying oscillation of generalized Emden–Fowler neutral differential equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**, 69 (2020)
34. Rekhviashvili, S., Pskhu, A., Agarwal, P., Jain, S.: Application of the fractional oscillator model to describe damped vibrations. *Turk. J. Phys.* **43**, 236–242 (2019)
35. Ruzhansky, M., Cho, Y.J., Agarwal, P., Area, I.: *Advances in Real and Complex Analysis with Applications*. Springer, Singapore (2017)
36. Saker, S.H.: *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential and Difference Equations*. VDM Verlag Dr. Muller, Saarbrücken (2010)
37. Tariboon, J., Ntouyas, S.K., Agarwal, P.: New concepts of fractional quantum calculus and applications to impulsive fractional  $q$ -difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 18, 1–19 (2015)