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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of a set with $2 k T$-periodic solutions for $n$-dimensional $p$-Laplacian neutral differential systems with a time-varying delay $\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=e_{k}(t)$ based on the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin. Combining this with the conclusion about uniform convergence and limit, we obtain the corresponding results on the existence of homoclinic solutions.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper focuses on the existence of homoclinic solutions for $n$-dimensional $p$-Laplacian neutral differential systems with a time-varying delay of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=e(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in(1,+\infty)$, $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \varphi_{p}(u)=\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{p-2} u_{1},\left|u_{2}\right|^{p-2} u_{2}, \ldots,\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}\right)$ for $u \neq \mathbf{0}=$ $(0,0, \ldots, 0), F \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right), G \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), e \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right),\left|c_{i}\right| \neq 1(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n), \tau$ and $T>0$ are given constants, $\gamma \in(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \gamma(t+T)=\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma(t) \geq 0$.
In the past few decades, the existence of homoclinic solutions for second-order differential equations has been widely investigated by using critical point theory, the methods of bifurcation theory, or Mawhin's continuation theorem (see [1-8]). However, the corresponding results on the existence of homoclinic solutions to a neutral differential equation are relatively infrequent. For example, the existence of homoclinic solutions to a kind of second-order neutral functional differential systems was considered in [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+G(u(t))+H(u(t-\gamma(t)))=e(t),\right. \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$ is a real constant symmetric matrix, $F \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right), G, H \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, $e \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \gamma \in(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \gamma(t+T)=\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma(t) \geq 0$ and given constant $T>0$. Mean-
while, Du [10] discussed the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\nabla G(u(t))=e(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right), G \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right) . e \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right), c_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\tau$ are given constants. The existence of homoclinic solutions for Eq. (1.3) is obtained. Then Chen [11] studied the existence of homoclinic solutions for the class of neutral Duffing differential systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\beta(t) x^{\prime}(t)+g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=p(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta(t+T) \equiv \beta(t), g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), p \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \gamma \in(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \gamma(t+T)=$ $\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma(t) \geq 0, T>0$ and $\tau$ are given constants; $\beta(t)$ is allowed to change sign, and $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$ is a constant symmetric matrix.

It is not hard to find that Eq. (1.1) can be converted to second-order neutral functional differential systems (1.2)-(1.4) when $p=2$. To our knowledge, there are few results reported in the literature regarding the existence of homoclinic solutions for $n$-dimensional $p$-Laplacian neutral differential systems with time-varying delay. Because of the term $\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ in Eq. (1.1), the method of Lemma 2.5 in [12] cannot be applied directly to prove that $\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. In this paper, we solve this problem by combining the conclusion about uniform convergence and Lemma 2.3 in [13].
Similarly to [9-11], we obtain the existence of a homoclinic solution for the equation by taking a series of the $2 k T$-periodic limit for the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=e_{k}(t), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $e_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $2 k T$-periodic function such that

$$
e_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}e(t), & t \in\left[-k T, k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right),  \tag{1.6}\\ e\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\frac{e(-k T)-e\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(t-k T+\varepsilon_{0}\right), & t \in\left[k T-\varepsilon_{0}, k T\right]\end{cases}
$$

with a constant $\varepsilon_{0} \in(0, T)$ independent of $k$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 ([12]) If $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}, a>0, \mu>1$, and $p>1$ are constants, then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following inequality:

$$
|u(t)| \leq(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{\mu}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}|u(s)|^{\mu} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}+a(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Lemma $2.2([13])$ Let $s \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $s(t+\omega) \equiv s(t)$ and $s(t) \in[0, \omega]$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $p \in(1,+\infty),|s|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]} s(t)$, and $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $u(t+\omega) \equiv u(t)$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega}|u(t)-u(t-s(t))|^{p} d t \leq|s|_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t
$$

Lemma 2.3 ([14]) If $x \in(0,+\infty)$ satisfies the inequality $x^{s} \leq \alpha x^{q}+\beta x^{r}$ for some constants $s>q>r \geq 0, \alpha>0$, and $\beta>0$, then

$$
0<x \leq \inf _{\varepsilon \in(0,1)} \max \left\{\left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}},\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-q}}\right\}
$$

Lemma $2.4([15])$ Suppose $\tau \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(t+\omega) \equiv \tau(t)$ and $\tau^{\prime}(t)<1$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$. Then the function $t-\tau(t)$ has an inverse $\mu \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu(t+\omega) \equiv \mu(t)+\omega$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([16]) Suppose that $\Omega$ is an open bounded set in $X$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
[ $A_{1}$ ] For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, the equation

$$
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\lambda G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda e_{k}(t)
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega$.
[ $A_{2}$ ] The equation

$$
\Delta(a):=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[G(a)-e_{k}(t)\right] d t=0
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\left[A_{3}\right]$ The Brouwer degree

$$
d_{B}\left\{\Delta, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right\} \neq 0
$$

Then Eq. (1.5) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Lemma 2.6 ([16]) Suppose that $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are eigenvalues of a matrix C. If $\left|c_{i}\right| \neq 1(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$, then $A$ has a continuous bounded inverse with the following properties:
(1) $\left\|A^{-1} f\right\| \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right|}\right)\|f\|$ for all $f \in C_{T}$,
(2) $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(A^{-1} f\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{T}|f(t)|^{p} d t$ for all $f \in C_{T}$ and $p \geq 1$, where

$$
\alpha= \begin{cases}\max \left(\frac{1}{\left(1-\mid c_{i}\right)^{2}}\right), & p=2, \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(1-\left|c_{i}\right| \frac{2 p}{2-p}\right.}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, & p \in[1,2), \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-\left|c_{i}\right|^{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}, & p \in[2,+\infty),\end{cases}
$$

and $q$ is a constant such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
(3) $(A x)^{\prime}=A x^{\prime}$ for all $x \in C_{T}^{1}$.

Throughout this paper, for convenience, we list the following conditions and corresponding mathematical notation.
[ $H_{1}$ ] There are constants $m_{0}>0$ and $m_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\langle(E-C) x, G(x)\rangle \leq-m_{0}|x|^{p} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

$$
|G(x)| \leq m_{1}|x|^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

and

$$
|\nabla F(x)| \leq m_{2}|x|^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

$\left[H_{2}\right] e \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a bounded function with $e(t) \neq \mathbf{0}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ and

$$
B:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|e(t)|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|e(t)|<+\infty .
$$

By (1.6) we know that $\left|e_{k}(t)\right| \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|e(t)|$. So for each $k \in \mathbb{N},\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|e_{k}(t)\right|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}<B$ if $\left[H_{2}\right]$ holds. Let $C_{2 k T}=\left\{x \mid x \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x(t+2 k T) \equiv x(t)\right\}, C_{2 k T}^{1}=\left\{x \mid x \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x(t+\right.$ $2 k T) \equiv x(t)\}$, and $|x|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0,2 k T]}|x(t)|$. If the norms of $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are respectively defined by $\|\cdot\|_{C_{2 k T}}=|\cdot|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{2 k T}^{1}}=\max \left\{|x|_{0},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right\}$, then $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are Banach spaces. By $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote the standard inner product, and by $|\cdot|$ we denote the absolute value and the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $\varphi \in C_{2 k T}$, set $\|\varphi\|_{r}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|\varphi(t)|^{r} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$, $r>1$. Let $\gamma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\gamma^{\prime}(t)<1$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Let $\sigma_{0}=\min _{t \in[0, T]} \gamma^{\prime}(t)$ and $\sigma_{1}=$ $\max _{t \in[0, T]} \gamma^{\prime}(t)$. Define the linear operator

$$
A: C_{T} \rightarrow C_{T}, \quad[A x](t)=x(t)-C x(t-\tau) .
$$

## 3 Main results

First, we study some properties of all possible $2 k T$-periodic solutions of the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\lambda G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda e_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Sigma \subset C_{2 k T}^{1}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, be the set of all the $2 k T$-periodic solutions to Eq. (3.1).

Theorem 3.1 If assumptions $\left[H_{1}\right]-\left[H_{2}\right]$ hold and

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p-1} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{m_{0}^{p-1}}<1
$$

where $\lambda_{M}=\max \left\{c_{i}^{2}\right\},\left|c_{i}\right| \neq 1, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $u \in \Sigma$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq A_{1}, \quad|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1}
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}, \rho_{0}$, and $\rho_{1}$ are positive constants independent of $\lambda$ and $k$.
Proof If $u \in \Sigma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\lambda G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda e_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (3.2) by $[A u](t)$ and integrating from $-k T$ to $k T$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle[A u](t), G(u(t-\gamma(t)))\rangle d t \\
& =\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), e_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t=\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle C u^{\prime}(t-\tau), \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), e_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad=-\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle C u^{\prime}(t-\tau), \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle u(t)-u(t-\gamma(t)), G(u(t-\gamma(t)))\rangle d t \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle(E-C) u(t-\gamma(t)), G(u(t-\gamma(t)))\rangle d t \\
& \quad-\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle C u(t-\tau)-C u(t-\gamma(t)), G(u(t-\gamma(t)))\rangle d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and by assumption $\left[H_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\lambda m_{0} \int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p} d t \\
& \leq \lambda m_{1} \int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t)-u(t-\gamma(t))||u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p-1} d t \\
& \quad+\lambda m_{1} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t-\tau)-u(t-\gamma(t))||u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p-1} d t \\
& \quad+\left|\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), e_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t\right|+\left|\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle C u^{\prime}(t-\tau), \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{M}=\max \left\{c_{i}^{2}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
By applying Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4, $\left[H_{1}\right]$, and $\left[H_{2}\right]$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{0}}\|u\|_{p}^{p} & \leq \int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p} d t=\int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{1-\gamma^{\prime}(\mu(t))}|u(t)|^{p} d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\sigma_{1}}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t)-u(t-\gamma(t))||u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p-1} d t
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t)-u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p} d t\right)^{p}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
& \leq|\gamma|_{0} \frac{1}{\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the same method as for (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t-\tau)-u(t-\gamma(t)) \| u(t-\gamma(t))|^{p-1} d t \\
& \quad \leq\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right) \frac{1}{\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), e_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p}+\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p} \\
& \quad \leq B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, by $\left[H_{1}\right.$ ] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle C u^{\prime}(t-\tau), \nabla F(u(t))\right\rangle d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|C u^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|\nabla F(u(t))|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \quad \leq \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} m_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p-1} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (3.4)-(3.8) to (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\lambda m_{0} \frac{1}{1-\sigma_{0}}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \lambda \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\lambda m_{2}\right]\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p-1}+\lambda B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{p}^{p} \leq & \frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{m_{0}} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p-1} \\
& +\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{p} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p-1} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{m_{0}^{p-1}}<1
$$

there exists a constant $\varepsilon_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p-1} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-1} m_{0}^{p-1}}<1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and (3.10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \max \left\{\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p^{p}}^{p}\right.  \tag{3.12}\\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left[\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left[\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \quad\|u\|_{p}^{p-1} \leq \frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \|u\|_{p} \leq\left[\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.6 we have $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}=\left\|A^{-1} A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}$. From (3.9) and Lemma 2.3 with $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \qquad \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right] \frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \max \left\{2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left[\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right] \frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}:=M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \geq\left[\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \|u\|_{p}^{p-1} \leq\left[\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq\left[\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}
$$

From (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq \leq \frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p-1} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-1} m_{0}^{p-1}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \\
& \\
& \quad+\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right) \lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) m_{0}^{p}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.11), we see that there exists a constant $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq M_{2}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq \max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}:=M  \tag{3.13}\\
& \left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} M:=A_{1}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \|u\|_{p} \\
& \quad \leq \max \left\{\left[\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{0}} B\left(1+\lambda_{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left[\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{p} \lambda_{M}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[m_{1}\left(2|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+m_{2}\right]^{p}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p} m_{0}^{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} A_{1}\right\}:=A_{0} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.15) we can easily notice that $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are constants independent of $\lambda$ and $k$. By Lemma 2.1, for $t \in[-k T, k T]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}|u(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}|u(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|u|_{0} & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|_{p}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}} A_{0}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}} A_{1}:=\rho_{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, setting $F_{\rho_{0}}:=\max _{|x| \leq \rho_{0}}|\nabla F(x)|$ and $G_{\rho_{0}}:=\max _{|x| \leq \rho_{0}}|G(x)|$, by Eq. (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d}{d t}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)\right)+\lambda \nabla F(u(t))\right]\right| \leq G_{\rho_{0}}+\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|e(t)|:=\tilde{\rho}, \quad t \in[-k T, k T] . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the continuity of $\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)$ and (3.13), we find that there exists $t_{i} \in[i T,(i+1) T]$, $i=-k,-k+1, \ldots, k-1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{i}\right)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s) d s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s)\right| d s \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1-q}{q}}\left(\int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1-q}{q}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1-q}{q}} \max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.16)-(3.18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)\right)+\lambda \nabla F(u(t))\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\int_{t_{i}}^{t} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s)\right)+\lambda \nabla F(u(s))\right] d s+\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{i}\right)\right)+\lambda \nabla F\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s)\right)+\lambda \nabla F(u(s))\right]\right| d s+\left|\varphi_{p}\left(\left[A u^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right|+F_{\rho_{0}} \\
& \quad \leq \tilde{\rho} T+\left[T^{\frac{1-q}{q}} \max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}\right]^{p-1}+F_{\rho_{0}}:=\rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)\right| \leq\left[\rho+F_{\rho_{0}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 and (3.19) that

$$
\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}=\left\|A^{-1} A u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right|}\right)\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right|}\right)\left[\rho+F_{\rho_{0}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}:=\rho_{1}
$$

Note that $\rho_{1}$ is independent of $\lambda$ and $k$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

Theorem 3.2 If the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then Eq. (3.2) has at least one $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k}(t)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{p} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq A_{1}, \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1}
$$

Proof To apply Lemma 2.5 , we study the $p$-Laplacian neutral systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\lambda G(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda e_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1} \subset C_{2 k T}^{1}$ be the set of all $2 k T$-periodic of Eq. (3.20). From Theorem 3.1, assuming that $u \in \Omega_{1} \subset \Sigma$ by $(0,1) \subset(0,1]$, we get

$$
|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1}
$$

Set $\Omega_{2}=\{x: x \in \operatorname{Ker} L, Q N x=0\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L: D(L) \subset C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T}, \quad L u=\left(\varphi_{p}(A u)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \\
& N: C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T}^{1}, \quad N u=-\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))-G(u(t-\gamma(t)))+e_{k}(t), \\
& Q: C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T} / \operatorname{Im} L, \quad Q y=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T} y(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $x=a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ when $x \in \Omega_{2}$. Meanwhile, it follows from $\left[H_{1}\right]$ that

$$
2 k T m_{0}|a|^{p} \leq \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle(E-C) a, e_{k}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t \leq B|a|\left(1+\left|c_{M}\right|\right)(2 k T)^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

that is,

$$
|a| \leq m_{0}^{\frac{1}{1-p}} B^{\frac{1}{p-1}} T^{\frac{-1}{p}}\left(1+\left|c_{M}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}:=B_{0}
$$

where $\left|c_{M}\right|=\max \left|c_{i}\right|, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Let $\Omega=\left\{x: x \in C_{2 k T}^{1},|x|_{0}<\rho_{0}+B_{0},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}<\rho_{1}+1\right\}$. Then $\Omega \supset \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. Thus assumptions $\left[A_{1}\right]$ and $\left[A_{2}\right]$ of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Next, we can prove that $\left[A_{3}\right]$ of Lemma 2.5 is also satisfied. Let

$$
H(x, \mu):\left(\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}: H(x, \mu)=-\mu x+(1-\mu) \Delta(x)
$$

where $\Delta(x)=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[G(x)-e_{k}(t)\right] d t$ is determined by Lemma 2.5. By $\left[H_{1}\right]$ we get

$$
H(x, \mu) \neq 0, \quad \forall(x, \mu) \in\left[\partial\left(\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right] \times[0,1]
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $A_{3}$ of Lemma 2.5 holds. By Lemma 2.5, $u_{k} \in \bar{\Omega}$ is a $2 k T$-periodic solution for Eq. (1.2) when $\lambda=1$. Therefore, by means of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{p} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq A_{1}, \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then Eq. (1.1) has a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

Proof By Theorem 3.2, Eq. (1.5) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k}(t)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $u_{k}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{k}(t)-C u_{k}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F\left(u_{k}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{k}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+e_{k}(t) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $y_{k}=\varphi_{p}\left(A u_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ for $k>k_{0}$. From (3.19) and (3.22) we see that

$$
\left|y_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho+F_{\rho_{0}}
$$

and

$$
\left|y_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \max _{|x| \leq \rho_{0}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} F(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0}+G_{\rho_{0}}+\sup _{t \in R}|e(t)|:=\rho_{2} .
$$

By the method similar to that of Lemma 2.4 in [12] we can get that there is $u_{0} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow u_{0}^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly on $[c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $\left\{u_{k_{j}}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$.

There exists $j_{0}>0$ such that $\left[a-|\gamma|_{0}, b+|\gamma|_{0}\right] \subset\left[-k_{j} T, k_{j} T-\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ with $j>j_{0}$ and $a<b \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by (1.5) and (3.15), for $t \in\left[a-|\gamma|_{0}, b+|\gamma|_{0}\right]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{k_{j}}(t)-C u_{k_{j}}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F\left(u_{k_{j}}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{k_{j}}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+e(t) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.23) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{k}^{\prime} & =\left(\varphi_{p}\left(A u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F\left(u_{k_{j}}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{k_{j}}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+e(t) \\
& \rightarrow-\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F\left(u_{0}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{0}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+e(t) \\
& :=\chi(t), \quad \text { uniformly on }[a, b],
\end{aligned}
$$

because $y_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)$ is continuously differentiable on $(a, b)$ for $j>j_{0}$ and $y_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \chi(t)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. We know that $\chi(t)=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{0}(t)-C u_{0}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, $u_{0}(t)$ is a solution of (1.1).

Next, we prove that $u_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{0}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t & =\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

if $k_{j}>i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, then it follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$
\int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \leq \int_{-k_{j} T}^{k_{j} T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \leq A_{0}^{p}+A_{1}^{p} .
$$

Letting $i \rightarrow+\infty$ and $j \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \leq A_{0}^{p}+A_{1}^{p} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geq r}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \quad r \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.13), similarly to the previous method, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)-C u_{0}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|^{p} d t \leq M^{p} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1 we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{0}(t)\right| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u_{0}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \max \left\{(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right\} \int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we will prove that $\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$ if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](t)\right|:=\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)-C u_{0}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, from (3.16) we have $\left|u_{0}\right| \leq \rho_{0}$, and applying (1.1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](t)\right|^{p-2}\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](t)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F\left(u_{0}(t)\right)\right|+\left|G\left(u_{0}(t-\gamma(t))\right)\right|+\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|e(t)| \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{|u| \leq \rho_{0}}\left|\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u)\right|+\sup _{|u| \leq \rho_{0}}|G(u)|+\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|e(t)|:=\tilde{M} \quad \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If (3.27) does not hold, then there exist a parameter $\varepsilon_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|t_{1}\right|<\left|t_{2}\right|<\left|t_{3}\right|<\cdots, \quad\left|t_{k}\right|+1<\left|t_{k+1}\right|, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and

$$
\left|\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right| \geq\left(2 \varepsilon_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

So, for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k}+\varepsilon_{0} /(1+\tilde{M})\right]$, we have

Note that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{p} d t \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k}+\varepsilon_{0} /(1+\tilde{M})}\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{p} d t=\infty
$$

which contradicts (3.26), and thus (3.27) holds.
On the other hand, let $u_{0}^{\prime}(t)=\left(u_{0_{1}}^{\prime}(t), u_{0_{2}}^{\prime}(t), \ldots, u_{0_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right)$. From (3.21) we know that $\left|A u_{k}^{\prime}\right|<$ $\left(1+\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}}\right) \rho_{1}:=B_{1}$. For all $\varepsilon>0$, let $N=\left[\log _{\left|c_{i}\right|}^{\frac{\varepsilon\left(1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right)}{2 B_{1}}}\right]>0$. Then $\sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 B_{1}}$ $\left(\left|c_{i}\right|<1\right)$. According to (3.27), it is easy to find that there exists a constant $G>0$ such that $\left|u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)-c_{i} u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2(N+1)}$ for $t>G$. Set $P_{T}=\{x \mid x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t+T) \equiv x(t)\}$ and $A_{0}: P_{T} \rightarrow P_{T},\left[A_{0} x\right](t)=x(t)-c x(t-\tau)$ with $|c| \neq 1$. Then applying Lemma 2.3 in [13], we obtain

$$
\left[A_{0}^{-1} f\right](t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{j \geq 0} c^{j} f(t-j \tau), & |c|<1 \forall f \in P_{T} \\ -\sum_{j \geq 0} c^{-j} f(t+j \tau), & |c|>1 \forall f \in P_{T}\end{cases}
$$

When $\left|c_{i}\right|<1$, this yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)\right| \\
& \quad=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\left[A^{-1} A u_{k_{j_{0_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N} c_{i}^{h}\left[A u_{k_{j_{0_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t-h \tau)+\sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\left[A u_{k_{j_{0_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t-h \tau)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N} c_{i}^{h}\left[A u_{k_{j_{j_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t-h \tau)\right|+\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\left[A u_{k_{j_{0_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t-h \tau)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left[A u_{k_{j_{0_{i}}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right](t-h \tau)\right|+B_{1} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h} \\
& \quad=\sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left(u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-h \tau)-c_{i} u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-(h+1) \tau)\right)\right|+B_{1} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.28), for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\bar{N}=G+N$ such that, for $t>\bar{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left(u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-h \tau)-c_{i} u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-(h+1) \tau)\right)\right|+\left|B_{1} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\right| \\
& <(N+1) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(N+1)}+B_{1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2 B_{1}} \\
& =\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left|u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Similarly to the previous method, when $\left|c_{i}\right|>1,\left|u_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$ also holds as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus $\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Obviously, $u_{0}(t) \neq 0$; otherwise, $e(t)=0$, which contradicts condition $\left[H_{2}\right]$. This completes the proof.
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