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Abstract
Consider the one-dimensional quasilinear impulsive boundary value problem involving the $p$-Laplace operator

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{=}=\lambda \omega(t) f(u), \quad 0<t<1, \\
-\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=\mu l_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
\left.\Delta u^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{k}}=0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u(t) d t,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda, \mu>0$ are two positive parameters, $\phi_{p}(s)$ is the $p$-Laplace operator, i.e., $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \omega(t)$ changes sign on $[0,1]$. Several new results are obtained for the above quasilinear indefinite problem.
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## 1 Introduction

Impulsive differential equation is regarded as a critical mathematical tool to provide a natural description of observed evolution processes (see [1-4]). So the consideration of impulsive differential equations has gained prominence and many authors have begun to take a great interest in the subject of impulsive differential equations, for example, see [5-22] and the references cited therein.
Meanwhile, the $p$-Laplace operator equation is a typical quasilinear operator equation, which comes naturally from glaciology, nonlinear flow laws, and non-Newtonian mechanics (see [23, 24]). Recently, various existence, multiplicity, and uniqueness results of positive solutions for differential equations with one-dimensional $p$-Laplace operator have been considered [25-33]. Specially, Zhang and Ge [34] investigated the following second order one-dimensional $p$-Laplace operator equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, u(t)), \quad t \neq t_{k}, t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \\
u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi_{p}(s)$ is $p$-Laplace operator, i.e., $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1,\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{-1}=\phi_{q}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, t_{k}(k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$, where $n$ is a fixed positive integer) are fixed points with $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}<$ $\cdots<t_{n}<1, \xi_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2) \in(0,1)$ is given $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$ and $\xi_{i} \neq t_{k}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m-2, k=1,2, \ldots, n,\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}$ denotes the jump of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, i.e.,

$$
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right),
$$

where $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively. Applying the classical fixed-point index theorem for compact maps, the authors got several new multiplicity results of positive solutions.
On the other hand, we observe that many authors (see [35-49]) have paid more attention to a class of boundary value problems involving integral boundary conditions, which contains two-point, three-point, and general multi-point boundary value problems as exceptional cases, see [50-58] and the references cited therein.

However, in literature there are almost no papers on multiple positive solutions for second order impulsive nonlocal indefinite boundary value problems with one-dimensional $p$-Laplace operator and multiple parameters. More precisely, the study of $\lambda>0, \mu>0$, $p \not \equiv 2, I_{k} \neq 0(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\omega$ changes sign is still open for the second order nonlocal boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda \omega(t) f(u), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{1.2}\\
-\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=\mu I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
\left.\Delta u^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{k}}=0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u(t) d t,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $\mu>0$ are two parameters, $\omega(t)$ may change sign, $\phi_{p}(s)$ is a $p$-Laplace operator, i.e., $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1,\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{-1}=\phi_{q}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 . t_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ (where $n$ is a fixed positive integer) are fixed points with $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}<\cdots<t_{n}<t_{n+1}=1$, $\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}$ denotes the jump of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, i.e., $\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$, where $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$ represent the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively.
In addition, set $J=[0,1], R_{+}=[0,+\infty), R=(-\infty,+\infty)$, and let $\omega, f, I_{k}$, and $g$ satisfy the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) \omega: J \rightarrow R$ is continuous, and there exists a constant $\xi \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\omega(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0, \xi], \quad \omega(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in[\xi, 1] .
$$

Moreover, $\omega(t)$ does not vanish identically on any subinterval of $J$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) f: R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}$is continuous, and $f(u)>0$ for all $u>0$, there exists $0<c \leq 1$ such that

$$
f(x) \geq c \psi(x), \quad x \in R_{+},
$$

where $\psi(x)=\max \{f(y): 0 \leq y \leq x\}$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) I_{k} \in C\left(R_{+}, R_{+}\right)$, and $I_{k}(u)>0$ for all $u>0$.
$\left(H_{4}\right) g \in L^{1}[0,1]$ is nonnegative and $\eta \in[0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{5}\right)$ There exist $0<\theta_{1} \leq+\infty, \theta_{1} \neq p-1,0<\theta_{2} \leq+\infty, \theta_{2} \neq 1$, and $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}>0$ such that

$$
k_{1} u^{\theta_{1}} \leq f(u) \leq k_{2} u^{\theta_{1}}, \quad k_{3} u^{\theta_{2}} \leq I_{k}(u) \leq k_{4} u^{\theta_{2}} .
$$

$\left(H_{6}\right)$ There exists a number $0<\sigma<\xi$ such that

$$
c^{2} k_{1} \sigma^{\theta_{1}} \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(t) d t \geq k_{2} \xi^{\theta_{1}} \int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(t) d t .
$$

We define $\omega^{+}(t)=\max \{\omega(t), 0\}, \omega^{-}(t)=-\min \{\omega(t), 0\}$. Then $\omega(t)=\omega^{+}(t)-\omega^{-}(t)$.
It is well accepted that the fixed point theorem in a cone is crucial in showing the existence of positive solutions of various boundary value problems for second order differential equations.

Lemma 1.1 (Theorem 2.3.4 of [59]) Let $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be two bounded open sets in a real Banach space $E$ such that $0 \in \Omega_{1}$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$. Let the operator $T: P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow P$ be completely continuous, where $P$ is a cone in E. Suppose that one of the two conditions
(i) $\|T x\| \leq\|x\|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T x\| \geq\|x\|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, or
(ii) $\|T x\| \geq\|x\|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, and $\|T x\| \leq\|x\|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, is satisfied. Then $T$ has at least one fixed point in $P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

This paper is organized in the following fashion. In Sect. 2, we present some lemmas to be used in the subsequent sections. Section 3 is devoted to proving the multiplicity of positive solutions for problem (1.2), and we give an example to illustrate the main results in the final section.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$. The basic space used in this paper $P C[0,1]=\{u \mid u: J \rightarrow R$ is continuous at $t \neq t_{k}$, left continuous at $t=t_{k}$, and $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exists, $\left.k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Then $P C[0,1]$ is a real Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{P C}$ defined by $\|u\|_{P C}=\sup _{t \in J}|u(t)|$. By a solution of (1.2), we mean that a function $u \in P C[0,1] \cap C^{2}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ which satisfies (1.2). In these main results, we will make use of the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. Then $u \in P C[0,1] \cap C^{2}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1.2) if and only if $u \in P C[0,1]$ is a solution of the following impulsive integral equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t)= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right] \\
& +\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1 in [38].

To establish the existence of multiple positive solutions in $P C[0,1] \cap C^{2}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ of problem (1.2), we denote

$$
P C^{+}[0,1]=\left\{u \in P C[0,1]: \min _{t \in J} u(t) \geq 0\right\}
$$

and a cone $K$ in $P C[0,1]$ by
$K=\left\{u \in P C^{+}[0,1]: u\right.$ is concave on $[0, \xi]$, and $u$ is convex on $\left.[\xi, 1]\right\}$.
Let $R>r>0$, define $K_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\|<r\}, K_{R, r}=\{u \in K: r<\|u\|<R\}$. Note that $\partial K_{r}=$ $\{u \in K:\|u\|=r\}, \bar{K}_{R, r}=\{u \in K: r \leq\|u\| \leq R\}$.
We define a map $T: K \rightarrow P C[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
(T u)(t)= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right] \\
& +\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta$ is defined in (1.3).
Lemma 2.2 From (2.1), we know that $u \in P C[0,1]$ is a solution of problem (1.2) if and only if $u$ is a fixed point of the map $T$.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ hold. Then we have $T(K) \subset K$, and $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof From (2.3), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)^{\prime}(t)=-\phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $q(t): J \rightarrow J$ as follows:

$$
q(t)=\min \left\{\frac{t}{\xi}, \frac{1-t}{1-\xi}\right\}
$$

and $\min _{\sigma \leq t \leq \xi} q(t)=\frac{\sigma}{\xi}, \max _{\xi \leq t \leq 1} q(t)=1$.
Firstly, for any $u \in K$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s \geq \int_{0}^{\sigma} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (2.2), we know that $u(t) \geq 0$. Since $u \in K, u(0) \geq 0$, and $u(1) \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{u(t)-u(0)}{t-0} \geq \frac{u(\xi)-u(0)}{\xi-0}, & t \in[0, \xi] \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(t) \geq q(t) u(\xi), \quad t \in[0, \xi] \\
\frac{u(t)-u(1)}{t-1} \geq \frac{u(\xi)-u(1)}{\xi-1}, & t \in[\xi, 1] \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(t) \leq q(t) u(\xi), \quad t \in[\xi, 1] .
\end{array}
$$

As we all know, $\psi$ is nondecreasing on $J$, so we have

$$
\psi(u(t)) \geq \psi(q(t) u(\xi)), \quad t \in[0, \xi], \quad \psi(u(t)) \leq \psi(q(t) u(\xi)), \quad t \in[\xi, 1]
$$

So, it follows from $\left(H_{5}\right)$ and $\left(H_{6}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{0}^{\sigma} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s \\
& \quad=\int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s)) d s \\
& \quad \geq c \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) \psi(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) \psi(u(s)) d s \\
& \quad \geq c \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) \psi(q(s) u(\xi)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) \psi(q(s) u(\xi)) d s \\
& \quad \geq c \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) f(q(s) u(\xi)) d s-\frac{1}{c} \int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) f(q(s) u(\xi)) d s \\
& \geq c k_{1} u^{\theta}(\xi) \frac{\sigma^{\theta}}{\xi^{\theta}} \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) d s-\frac{1}{c} k_{2} u^{\theta}(\xi) \int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) d s \\
& \geq u^{\theta}(\xi)\left(c k_{1} \frac{\sigma^{\theta}}{\xi^{\theta}} \int_{\sigma}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) d s-\frac{1}{c} k_{2} \int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) d s\right) \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, if $t \in[0, \xi]$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s=\int_{0}^{t} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s \geq 0
$$

Since $p, q>1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\left(-\phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(-\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s\right)^{q-1}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-(q-1)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s\right)^{q-2} \lambda \omega^{+}(t) f(u(t)) \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t \in[\xi, 1]$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s & =\int_{0}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{t} \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s)) d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{1} \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s)) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \int_{0}^{\sigma} \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

And then, for $t \in[\xi, 1]$, it follows from $p, q>1$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\left(-\phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \omega(s) f(u(s)) d s\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(-\phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{t} \lambda \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s))\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(-\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{t} \lambda \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s))\right)^{q-1}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-(q-1)\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(s) f(u(s)) d s-\int_{\xi}^{t} \lambda \omega^{-}(s) f(u(s))\right)^{q-2}\left(-\lambda \omega^{-}(t) f(u(t))\right) \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by direct calculating, we get $(T u)(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in J$, (Tu) " $(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in[0, \xi]$, and $(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[\xi, 1]$. Thus, $T(K) \subset K$.
Then it finally follows from the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem that the operator $T$ is completely continuous.

From Lemma 2.3, since $(T u)^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, then $T$ is nonincreasing for $u \in K$. It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T u\|_{P C}= & (T u)(0) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(0) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(0)\left(\sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 If $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then for $u \in K$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|T u\|_{P C} \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
& \|T u\|_{P C} \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By (2.6), for $u \in K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C}= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{\xi} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)\right] d s+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{\xi} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s\right] \\
& +\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (2.7) holds.
From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C}= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right. \\
& \left.+\mu \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\left(\sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) d t\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\eta}\left\{\int _ { 0 } ^ { \xi } g ( t ) \left[\int_{t}^{\xi} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s\right.\right. \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s\right] d t+\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t\right\}+\int_{0}^{\xi} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{1} g(t) \sum_{t \leq t_{k}} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) d t \\
& +\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\xi} g(t) \int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s d t \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s \\
& +\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t}{1-\eta} \int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau-\int_{\xi}^{s} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s \\
& +\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t}{1-\eta} \int_{\xi}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau-\int_{\xi}^{1} \lambda \omega^{-}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s \\
& +\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda \omega(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (2.8) holds.

## 3 Main results

Based on the lemmas mentioned above, we give the following theorems and their proofs.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ hold. If $\theta_{1}>p-1$ and $\theta_{2}>1$, there exist $\lambda_{0}>0$ and $\mu_{0}>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{0},+\infty\right), \mu \in\left[\mu_{0},+\infty\right)$.

Proof Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) d \tau} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}\right), \quad A_{2}=\frac{1-\eta}{2 \mu n}, \\
& B_{1}=\frac{1}{\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) d \tau} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{2 \alpha(1-\xi)\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)}\right), \quad B_{2}=\frac{1}{2 n \mu \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the one hand, since $\theta_{1}>p-1$ and $\theta_{2}>1$, by $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we get

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_{p}(u)} \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_{2} u^{\theta_{1}}}{u^{p-1}}=0, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u} \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_{4} u^{\theta_{2}}}{u}=0 .
$$

Hence, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
f(u)<A_{1} \phi_{p}(u), \quad I_{k}(u)<A_{2} u, \quad u \in[0, r] .
$$

Then from (2.7), for $u \in \partial K_{r}$, then $\|u\|_{P C}=r$ and $0 \leq u(t) \leq\|u\|=r$ for all $t \in J$. It is clear that $f(u(t))<A_{1} \phi_{p}(u(t))$ and $I_{k}(u(t))<A_{2} u(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Then from (2.7), for $u \in \partial K_{r}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C} & \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) A_{1} \phi_{p}(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{2} u\left(t_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) A_{1} \phi_{p}\left(\|u\|_{P C}\right) d \tau\right)+\mu \frac{1}{1-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{2}\|u\|_{P C} \\
& =\frac{\|u\|_{P C}}{2}+\frac{\|u\|_{P C}}{2} \\
& =\|u\|_{P C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C}<\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{r} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we denote $\delta(t)=\min \left\{\frac{t}{\xi}, \frac{\xi-t}{\xi}\right\}, t \in[0, \xi]$. If $u \in K$, then $u$ is a nonnegative function on $[0, \xi]$. So we get

$$
u(t) \geq \delta(t)\|u\|_{P C}, \quad t \in[0, \xi]
$$

It follows that $u(t) \geq \alpha\|u\|_{P C}, t \in\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$, where $\alpha=\min _{\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq t \leq \sigma} \delta(t)$.
Since $\theta_{1}>p-1$ and $\theta_{2}>1$, by $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_{p}(u)} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{k_{1} u^{\theta_{1}}}{u^{p-1}}=+\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{k_{3} u^{\theta_{2}}}{u}=+\infty
$$

Furthermore, there exists $0<r<R^{\prime}$ such that

$$
f(u) \geq B_{1} \phi_{p}(u), \quad I_{k}(u) \geq B_{2} u, \quad u \in\left[R^{\prime},+\infty\right),
$$

Choose $R \geq \frac{R^{\prime}}{\alpha}$. Then, for any $u \in \partial K_{R}$, we have $\min _{\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq t \leq \sigma} u(t) \geq \min _{\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq t \leq \sigma} \delta(t)\|u\|_{P C}=$ $\alpha R \geq R^{\prime}$, and $f(u(t)) \geq B_{1} u^{p-1}(t), I_{k}(u(t)) \geq B_{2} u(t), t \in\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$.

Then by (2.8), for $u \in \partial K_{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C} & \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) B_{1} \phi_{p}(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{2} u\left(t_{k}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) B_{1} \phi_{p}\left(\alpha\|u\|_{P C}\right) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{2} \alpha\|u\|_{P C} \\
& =\frac{\alpha\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta}\|u\|_{P C} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) B_{1} d \tau\right)+\mu n B_{2} \alpha\|u\|_{P C} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{P C}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{P C} \\
& =\|u\|_{P C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C} \geq\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{R} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, choose a number $r^{\prime} \in(0, r)$. Noticing that $f(u)>0$ for all $u>0$ and $I_{k}(u)>0$ for all $u>0$, we can define

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{r^{\prime}} & =\min \left\{f(u): \alpha r^{\prime} \leq u \leq r^{\prime}\right\}, \quad I_{k r^{\prime}}=\min \left\{I_{k}: \alpha r^{\prime} \leq u \leq r^{\prime}\right\} \\
I_{r^{\prime}} & =\min \left\{I_{k r^{\prime}}: k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \omega^{+}(\tau) f_{r^{\prime}} d \tau} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{r^{\prime}(1-\eta)}{\left.2\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t)\right) d t\right)(1-\xi)}\right), \mu_{0}=\frac{r^{\prime}}{2 n I_{r^{\prime}}}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda_{0} \omega^{+}(\tau) f_{r^{\prime}} d \tau\right)=\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime} \\
& \mu_{0} n I_{r^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u \in \partial K_{r^{\prime}}$, then $\|u\|_{P C}=r^{\prime}$ and $\alpha r^{\prime}=\min _{\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq t \leq \sigma} \delta(t)\|u\|_{P C} \leq u(t) \leq\|u\|_{P C}=r^{\prime}, t \in\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$. It is clear that $f(u(t)) \geq f_{r^{\prime}}$ and $I_{k}(u(t)) \geq I_{r^{\prime}}, t \in\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$.

Then from (2.8), for $u \in \partial K_{r^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C} & \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f_{r^{\prime}} d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{r^{\prime}} \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1-\int_{\xi}^{1} g(t) d t\right)(1-\xi)}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \lambda_{0} \omega^{+}(\tau) f_{r^{\prime}} d \tau\right)+\mu_{0} n I_{r^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime} \\
& =r^{\prime}=\|u\|_{P C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C} \geq\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{r^{\prime}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 1.1 to (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) yields that $T$ has two fixed points $u_{1} \in \bar{K}_{R} \backslash \bar{K}_{r}$ and $u_{2} \in K_{r} \backslash K_{r^{\prime}}$. Thus, if $\theta_{1}>p-1$ and $\theta_{2}>1$, there exist $\lambda_{0}>0$ and $\mu_{0}>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{0},+\infty\right)$ and $\mu \in\left[\mu_{0},+\infty\right)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ hold. If $0<\theta_{1}<p-1$ and $0<\theta_{2}<1$, there exist $\lambda^{0}>0$ and $\mu^{0}>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{0}\right]$ and $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{0}\right]$.

Proof On the one hand, since $0<\theta_{1}<p-1$ and $0<\theta_{2}<1$, by $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we get

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_{p}(u)} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_{1} u^{\theta_{1}}}{u^{p-1}}=+\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_{3} u^{\theta_{2}}}{u}=+\infty
$$

Hence, there exists $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
f(u)>B_{1} \phi_{p}(u), \quad I_{k}(u)>B_{2} u, \quad u \in\left[0, r_{1}\right] .
$$

Then we have $\min \left\{f(u): \alpha r_{1} \leq u \leq r_{1}\right\}>B_{1} \phi_{p}(u)$ and $\min \left\{I_{k}(u): \alpha r_{1} \leq u \leq r_{1}\right\}>B_{2} u$.
If $u \in \partial K_{r_{1}}$, then $\|u\|_{P C}=r_{1}$ and $\alpha r_{1}=\min _{\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq t \leq \sigma} \delta(t)\|u\|_{P C} \leq u(t) \leq\|u\|_{P C}=r_{1}, t \in$ $\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$. It is easy to see that $f(u(t))>B_{3} \phi_{p}(u(t)), I_{k}(u(t))>B_{4} u(t), t \in\left[\frac{\sigma}{2}, \sigma\right]$. Then from (2.8), for $u \in \partial K_{r_{1}}$, similar to (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C}>\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{r_{1}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $0<\theta_{1}<p-1$ and $0<\theta_{2}<1$, by $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_{p}(u)} \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{k_{2} u^{\theta}}{u^{p-1}}=0, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u} \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{k_{4} u^{\theta}}{u}=0 .
$$

Furthermore, there exists $0<r_{1}<R_{1}^{\prime}<+\infty$ such that

$$
f(u) \leq \frac{A_{1}}{2} \phi_{p}(u), \quad I_{k}(u) \leq \frac{A_{2}}{2} u, \quad u \in\left[R_{1}^{\prime},+\infty\right) .
$$

Let $M_{1}=\max \left\{f(u): 0 \leq u \leq R_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $M_{2}=\max \left\{I_{k}: 0 \leq u \leq R_{1}^{\prime}, k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. It implies that

$$
f(u) \leq \frac{A_{1}}{2} \phi_{p}(u)+M_{1}, \quad I_{k}(u) \leq \frac{A_{2}}{2} u+M_{2}, \quad u \in[0,+\infty) .
$$

Choose $R_{1} \geq\left\{R_{1}^{\prime}, \frac{2 \phi_{q}\left(2 \int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) M_{1} d \tau\right)}{1-\eta}, 4 \mu n M_{2}\right\}$. If $u \in \partial K_{R_{1}}$, then $\|u\|=R_{1}$ and $0 \leq u(t) \leq$ $R_{1}, t \in J$. It is easy to see that $f(u(t)) \leq \frac{A_{1}}{2} \phi_{p}(u(t))+M_{1}, I_{k}(u(t)) \leq \frac{A_{2}}{2} u(t)+M_{2}, t \in J$. Then from (2.7), for $u \in \partial K_{R_{1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C} \leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau)\left(\frac{A_{1}}{2} \phi_{p}(u(\tau))+M_{1}\right) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{A_{2}}{2} u\left(t_{k}\right)+M_{2}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) \frac{A_{1}}{2} \phi_{p}\left(\|u\|_{P C}\right) d \tau+\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) M_{1} d \tau\right) \\
& +\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A_{2}}{2}\|u\|_{P C}+\mu n M_{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{P C}(1-\eta)}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{R_{1}(1-\eta)}{2}\right)\right)+\frac{\|u\|_{P C}}{4}+\frac{R_{1}}{4} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} R_{1}+\frac{1}{2} R_{1} \\
= & R_{1}=\|u\|_{P C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C} \leq\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{R_{1}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, choosing a number $r_{1}^{\prime} \in\left(0, r_{1}\right)$, we can define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{r_{1}^{\prime}}=\max \left\{f(u): 0<u \leq r_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad I_{k}^{r_{1}^{\prime}}=\max \left\{I_{k}(u): 0<u \leq r_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& I^{r_{1}^{\prime}}=\max \left\{I_{k}^{r_{1}^{\prime}}: k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda^{0}=\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\xi} \omega^{+}(\tau) f^{r_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}(1-\eta)}{2}\right)$ and $\mu^{0}=\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}}{2 n I^{\prime} 1}$. It is clear that

$$
\frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda^{0} \omega^{+}(\tau) f^{r_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{\prime}, \quad \mu^{0} n I^{r_{1}^{\prime}} \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

If $u \in \partial K_{r_{1}^{\prime}}$, then $\|u\|_{P C}=r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $0 \leq u(t) \leq\|u\|_{P C}=r_{1}^{\prime}, t \in J$. It is clear that $f(u(t)) \leq f^{r_{1}^{\prime}}$, $I_{k}(u(t)) \leq I^{r_{1}}, t \in J$. Then from (2.7), for $u \in \partial K_{r_{1}^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|_{P C} & \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda \omega^{+}(\tau) f^{r_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)+\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} I^{r_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \lambda^{0} \omega^{+}(\tau) f^{r_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)+\mu^{0} n I^{r_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{\prime} \\
& =r_{1}^{\prime}=\|u\|_{P C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{P C} \leq\|u\|_{P C}, \quad \forall u \in \partial K_{r_{1}^{\prime}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 1.1 to (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) yields that $T$ has two fixed points $u_{1}^{\prime} \in \bar{K}_{R_{1}} \backslash \bar{K}_{r_{1}}$ and $u_{2}^{\prime} \in K_{r_{1}} \backslash K_{r_{1}^{\prime}}$. Thus, if $0<\theta_{1}<p-1$ and $0<\theta_{2}<1$, there exist $\lambda^{0}>0$ and $\mu^{0}>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{0}\right]$ and $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{0}\right]$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished.

Remark 3.1 If $I_{k}=0(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$, even for the case $g(t) \equiv 0$ on $J$, the results of the present paper are still novel.

Remark 3.2 Comparing with Li, Feng, and Qin [60], the main features of this paper are as follows:
(i) $p>1$ is considered, not only $p \equiv 2$.
(ii) $I_{k} \neq 0(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is considered.
(iii) The basic space $P C[0,1]$ is available, not $C[0,1]$.

## 4 An example

We give an example to illustrate our main conclusions.
Example 4.1 Let $p=\frac{3}{2}, n=1, t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$. Consider the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda \omega(t)(u+\sin u), \quad 0<t<1  \tag{4.1}\\
-\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{1}}=\mu I_{1}\left(u\left(t_{1}\right)\right), \\
\left.\Delta u^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{1}}=0 \\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u(t) d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\omega(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
12\left(\frac{2}{3}-t\right), & t \in\left[0, \frac{2}{3}\right], \\
\frac{2}{3}-t, & t \in\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right],
\end{array} \quad I_{1}(u)=u^{2}, \quad g(t)=t .\right.
$$

From the definition of $\omega(t)$ and $g(t)$, we know that $\xi=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta=\int_{0}^{1} t d t=\frac{1}{2}$. From $p=\frac{3}{2}$, we can get that $q=3$.

Since $f$ is nondecreasing, then $c=1$. For fixed $k_{1}=1, k_{2}=2, \theta_{1}=1, k_{3}=k_{4}=1, \theta_{2}=2$, $\sigma=\frac{1}{4}$, we can prove that $\left(H_{5}\right)$ holds.

In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}} 12\left(\frac{2}{3}-\tau\right) d \tau & =6 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\tau\right) d \tau \\
& =\left.6\left(\frac{2}{3} \tau-\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\right)\right|_{\frac{1}{2}} ^{\frac{2}{3}} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
2 \times \frac{2}{3} \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^{1}\left(\tau-\frac{2}{3}\right) d \tau=\left.\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}-\frac{2}{3} \tau\right)\right|_{\frac{2}{3}} ^{1}=\frac{2}{27} .
$$

Obviously, $\frac{1}{12}>\frac{2}{27}$. Thus

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}} 12\left(\frac{2}{3}-\tau\right) d \tau \geq \frac{4}{3} \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^{1}\left(\tau-\frac{2}{3}\right) d \tau
$$

This shows that $\left(H_{6}\right)$ holds.
Let $\lambda_{0}=\frac{12}{7} \sqrt{\frac{3}{13}}\left(\frac{1}{8}+\sin \frac{1}{8}\right)^{-1}, \mu_{0}=16$. Then it follows from Theorem 3.1 that problem (4.1) admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left[\frac{12}{7} \sqrt{\frac{3}{13}}\left(\frac{1}{8}+\sin \frac{1}{8}\right)^{-1},+\infty\right), \mu \in[16, \infty)$.
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