

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Some new integral inequalities with mixed nonlinearities for discontinuous functions

Haidong Liu\*

\*Correspondence:  
tomlhd983@163.com  
School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Qufu Normal University, Qufu,  
273165, P.R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish some new integral inequalities with mixed nonlinearities for discontinuous functions, which provide a handy tool in deriving the explicit bounds for the solutions of impulsive differential equations and differential-integral equations with impulsive conditions.

**Keywords:** integral inequalities; discontinuous functions; mixed nonlinearities; impulsive differential equations

## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the theory of impulsive differential systems has been attracting the attention of many mathematicians, and the interest in the subject is still growing. This is partly due to broad applications of it in many areas including threshold theory in biology, ecosystems management and orbital transfer of satellite, see [1]. One effective method for investigating the properties of solutions to impulsive differential systems is related to the integral inequalities for discontinuous functions (integro-sum inequalities). Up to now, a lot of integro-sum inequalities (for example, [2–18] and the references therein) have been discovered. For example, in 2003, Borysenko [3] considered the following integro-sum inequality:

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t q(\tau)x^m(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad m > 0, m \neq 1.$$

In 2009, Gallo and Piccirillo [8] further discussed the following nonlinear integro-sum inequality:

$$x(t) \leq c(t) + h(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)w(x(b(s))) ds + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad m > 0.$$

In 2012, Wang et al. [17] considered the nonlinear integro-sum inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x^m(t) \leq & c(t) + 2 \int_{\alpha(t_0)}^{\alpha(t)} [M_1 f_1(t, s) u^{\frac{m}{2}}(s) + N_1 g_1(t, s) u^m(s)] ds \\ & + 2 \int_{t_0}^t [M_2 f_2(t, s) u^{\frac{m}{2}}(s) + N_2 g_2(t, s) u^m(s)] ds + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x(t_i - 0), \quad m > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Very recently, in 2016, Zheng et al. [18] considered the following nonlinear integro-sum inequality under the condition  $p > q > 0$ :

$$x^p(t) \leq a_0(t) + \frac{p-q}{p} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)x^q(\phi_i(s)) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t b_j(s) \int_{t_0}^s c_j(\theta)x^q(w_j(s)) \, d\theta \, ds + \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0).$$

Motivated by [3, 8, 17, 18], in this paper, we investigate some new integro-sum inequality with mixed nonlinearities under the condition  $p > 0, q > 0 (p \neq q)$ :

$$x^p(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0)$$

and the more general form

$$x^p(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t b_j(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_j(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t_0}^t c_k(s) \int_{t_0}^s \theta_k(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + d(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0).$$

We also discuss some nonlinear integro-sum inequality with positive and negative coefficients under the condition  $0 < q < p < r$ :

$$x^p(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s)x^p(s) + g(s)x^q(s) - h(s)x^r(s)] \, ds + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0),$$

and the more general form under the condition  $0 < q_j < p < r_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, L)$ :

$$x^p(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s)x^p(s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t g_j(s)x^{q_j}(s) \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t h_j(s)x^{r_j}(s) \, ds + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0).$$

Based on these inequalities, we provide explicit bounds for unknown functions concerned and then apply the results to research the qualitative properties of solutions of certain impulsive differential equations.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper,  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers;  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;  $C(D, E)$  denotes the class of all continuous functions defined on the set  $D$  with range in the set  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([19]) *Assume that the following conditions for  $t \geq t_0$  hold:*

- (i)  $x_0$  is a nonnegative constant,
- (ii) 
$$x(t) \leq x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t [e(s)x(s) + l(s)x^\alpha(s)] ds,$$

where  $x, e$  and  $l$  are nonnegative continuous functions and  $\alpha \neq 1$  is a positive constant.

If

$$1 + (1 - \alpha)x_0^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{t_0}^t l(s) \exp\left((\alpha - 1) \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) d\tau\right) ds > 0$$

holds, then

$$x(t) \leq x_0 \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t e(s) ds\right) \times \left\{ 1 + (1 - \alpha)x_0^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{t_0}^t l(s) \exp\left((\alpha - 1) \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) d\tau\right) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([20]) *Let  $x$  be a nonnegative function,  $0 < q < p < r, c_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, c_2 > 0$  and  $k_1 > 0$ . Then*

$$c_1 x^q - c_2 x^r \leq (k_1 - k_2)x^p + \theta_1(p, q, c_1, k_1) + \theta_2(p, r, c_2, k_2),$$

where

$$\theta_1(p, q, c_1, k_1) := \frac{p-q}{q} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} c_1^{\frac{p}{p-q}} k_1^{\frac{-q}{p-q}}, \quad \theta_2(p, r, c_2, k_2) := \frac{r-p}{r} \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{p}{r-p}} c_2^{\frac{-p}{r-p}} k_2^{\frac{r}{r-p}}.$$

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that  $x$  is a nonnegative piecewise continuous function defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  with discontinuities of the first kind in the points  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and satisfies the integro-sum inequality*

$$x^p(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) d\tau ds + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad t \geq t_0, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ , functions  $a(t) \geq 0$  and  $c(t) \geq 0$  are defined on  $[t_0, \infty), f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), \beta_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $p > 0, q > 0, p \neq q$  and  $m > 0$  are constants. If

$$1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) d\tau\right) ds > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then, for  $t \geq t_0$ , the following estimates hold:

$$x(t) \leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{3.2}$$

$$x(t) \leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$v_i(t) = r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^t e(s) ds\right) \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) d\tau\right) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.4}$$

$$e(t) = f_2(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_1(\tau) d\tau, \quad l(t) = f_1(t) + f_3(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_2(\tau) d\tau, \tag{3.4}$$

$$r_1(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |a(\tau)|, \quad h(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |c(\tau)|, \tag{3.5}$$

$$r_{i+1}(t) = r_i(t) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} f_1(s) v_i^q(s) ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^t \left( f_2(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g_1(\tau) v_i^p(\tau) d\tau \right) ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^t \left( f_3(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g_2(\tau) v_i^q(\tau) d\tau \right) ds + h(t) \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof* From (3.1) and (3.5), we have, for  $t \in I_0 = [t_0, t_1]$ ,

$$x^p(t) \leq r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) x^q(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau) x^p(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau) x^q(\tau) d\tau ds \tag{3.6}$$

and  $r_1(t)$  is non-decreasing on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Take any fixed  $T \in [t_0, t_1]$ , and for arbitrary  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , we have

$$x^p(t) \leq r_1(T) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) x^q(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau) x^p(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau) x^q(\tau) d\tau ds. \tag{3.7}$$

Let  $u(t) = x^p(t)$ . Inequality (3.7) is equivalent to

$$u(t) \leq r_1(T) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) u^{\frac{q}{p}}(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau) u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau) u^{\frac{q}{p}}(\tau) d\tau ds. \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$V(t) = r_1(T) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) u^{\frac{q}{p}}(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau) u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau) u^{\frac{q}{p}}(\tau) d\tau ds. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$u(t) \leq V(t), \quad V(t_0) = r_1(T), \tag{3.10}$$

$V(t)$  is non-decreasing and

$$V'(t) = f_1(t)u^{\frac{q}{p}}(t) + f_2(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_1(\tau)u(\tau) \, d\tau + f_3(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_2(\tau)u^{\frac{q}{p}}(\tau) \, d\tau. \tag{3.11}$$

Since  $V(t)$  is non-decreasing, from (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &\leq f_1(t)V^{\frac{q}{p}}(t) + f_2(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_1(\tau)V(\tau) \, d\tau + f_3(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_2(\tau)V^{\frac{q}{p}}(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &\leq f_1(t)V^{\frac{q}{p}}(t) + f_2(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_1(\tau) \, d\tau V(t) + f_3(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_2(\tau) \, d\tau V^{\frac{q}{p}}(t) \\ &\leq e(t)V(t) + l(t)V^{\frac{q}{p}}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where  $e(t)$  and  $l(t)$  are defined as in (3.4). Integrating (3.12) from  $t_0$  to  $t$  yields

$$V(t) \leq r_1(T) + \int_{t_0}^t [e(s)V(s) + l(s)V^{\frac{q}{p}}(s)] \, ds.$$

From the above and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$V(t) \leq r_1(T) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_1^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(T) \int_{t_0}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{p}{p-q}},$$

and then from (3.10) and the assumption  $u(t) = x^p(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(T) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_0}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_1^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(T) \int_{t_0}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above inequality is true for any  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(T) &\leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(T) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_0}^T e(s) \, ds\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_1^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(T) \int_{t_0}^T l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $T$  by  $t$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_0}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_1^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_0}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_0}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \\ &= v_1(t), \quad t \in I_0 = [t_0, t_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

This means that (3.1) is true.

For  $t \in I_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ , from (3.1), (3.2), (3.5) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) &\leq r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t)\beta_1x^m(t_1 - 0) \\
 &= r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t)\beta_1x^m(t_1 - 0) \\
 &\leq r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t)\beta_1x^m(t_1 - 0) \\
 &\leq r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_1(s)v_1^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_1(\tau)v_1^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_1(\tau)v_1^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_2(\tau)v_1^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_2(\tau)v_1^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t)\beta_1v_1^m(t_1 - 0) \\
 &= r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_1(s)v_1^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_1(\tau)v_1^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g_2(\tau)v_1^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t)\beta_1v_1^m(t_1 - 0) \\
 &= r_2(t) + \int_{t_1}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_1}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.14) is the same as (3.6) if we replace  $r_1(t)$  and  $t_0$  with  $r_2(t)$  and  $t_1$  in (3.6), respectively. Thus, by (3.14), we have, for  $t \in I_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\leq r_2^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_1}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_2^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_1}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_1}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}} = v_2(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\leq r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\
 &\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}} = v_i(t) \quad (3.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $t \in I_{i-1} = (t_{i-1}, t_i]$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then, for  $t \in I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , from (3.1), (3.2), (3.5) and (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) &\leq r_1(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + h(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &= r_1(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + h(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &\leq r_1(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left( f_2(s) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t \left( f_2(s) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_1(\tau)x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left( f_3(s) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t \left( f_3(s) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_2(\tau)x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 &\quad + h(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &\leq r_1(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_1(s)v_{k+1}^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_1(s)x^q(s) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left( f_2(s) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_1(\tau)v_{j+1}^p(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{t_i}^t \left( f_2(s) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_1(\tau) v_{j+1}^p(\tau) \, d\tau \right) ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_1(\tau) x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left( f_3(s) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_2(\tau) v_{j+1}^q(\tau) \right) d\tau \, ds \\
 & + \int_{t_i}^t \left( f_3(s) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g_2(\tau) v_{j+1}^q(\tau) \, d\tau \right) ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_2(\tau) x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 & + h(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0) \\
 = & r_{i+1}(t) + \int_{t_i}^t f_1(s) x^q(s) \, ds + \int_{t_i}^t f_2(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_1(\tau) x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 & + \int_{t_i}^t f_3(s) \int_{t_i}^s g_2(\tau) x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds. \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.16) is the same as (3.6) if we replace  $r_1(t)$  and  $t_0$  with  $r_{i+1}(t)$  and  $t_i$  in (3.6), respectively. Thus, by (3.16), we have, for  $t \in I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) \leq & r_{i+1}^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_i}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\
 & \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_{i+1}^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_i}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_i}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we know that (3.3) holds for  $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , for any nonnegative integer  $i$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that  $x$  is a nonnegative piecewise continuous function defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  with discontinuities of the first kind in the points  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and satisfies the integro-sum inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) \leq & a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s) x^q(s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t b_j(s) \int_{t_0}^s g_j(\tau) x^p(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t_0}^t c_k(s) \int_{t_0}^s \theta_k(\tau) x^q(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds + d(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad t \geq t_0, \tag{3.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ ,  $a(t) \geq 0$  is defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $b_j, g_j \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ ),  $c_j, \theta_j \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ),  $\beta_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $p > 0$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $p \neq q$ , and  $m > 0$  are constants. If

$$1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then, for  $t \geq t_0$ , the following estimates hold:

$$x(t) \leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{3.18}$$

$$x(t) \leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{3.19}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_i(t) &= r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^t e(s) \, ds\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, \\
 &\quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \\
 e(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^L b_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_j(\tau) \, d\tau, \quad l(t) = f(t) + \sum_{k=1}^M c_k(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta_k(\tau) \, d\tau, \\
 r_1(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |a(\tau)|, \quad h(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |d(\tau)|, \\
 r_{i+1}(t) &= r_i(t) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} f(s) v_i^q(s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_{i-1}}^t \left( b_j(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g_j(\tau) v_i^p(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t_{i-1}}^t \left( c_k(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \theta_k(\tau) v_i^q(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, ds + h(t) \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, and we omit these details.

**Theorem 3.3** *Suppose that  $x$  is a nonnegative piecewise continuous function defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  with discontinuities of the first kind in the points  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and satisfies the integro-sum inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) &\leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s)x^p(s) + g(s)x^q(s) - h(s)x^r(s)] \, ds \\
 &\quad + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad t \geq t_0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ ,  $a(t)$  is defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and  $a(t_0) \neq 0$ ,  $b(t) \geq 0$  and  $c(t) \geq 0$  are defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $h \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, +\infty))$ ,  $0 < q < p < r$ ,  $\beta_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $m > 0$  are constants.

Then, for any continuous functions  $k_1(t) > 0$  and  $k_2(t) \geq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$  satisfying  $k(t) = k_1(t) - k_2(t) \geq 0$ , the following estimates hold:

$$x(t) \leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{3.21}$$

$$x(t) \leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$v_i(t) = r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t [f(s) + k(s)] \, ds \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.23}$$

$$d(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |a(\tau)|, \quad e(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |b(\tau)|, \quad l(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |c(\tau)|, \tag{3.24}$$

$$r_1(t) = d(t) + e(t)w(t),$$

$$w(t) = \int_{t_0}^t [\theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] \, ds, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) = \frac{p-q}{q} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} g^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(s) k_1^{\frac{-q}{p-q}}(s), \tag{3.26}$$

$$\theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s)) = \frac{r-p}{r} \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{p}{r-p}} h^{\frac{-p}{r-p}}(s) k_2^{\frac{r}{r-p}}(s), \tag{3.27}$$

$$r_{i+1}(t) = r_i(t) + e(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} [f(s) + k(s)] v_i^p(s) ds + l(t) \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.28}$$

*Proof* From (3.20) and (3.24), we obtain, for  $t \in I_0 = [t_0, t_1]$ ,

$$x^p(t) \leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s)x^p(s) + g(s)x^q(s) - h(s)x^r(s)] ds. \tag{3.29}$$

From Lemma 2.1, (3.24)-(3.27) and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^p(t) &\leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [[f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) + \theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] ds \\ &= d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [\theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] ds \\ &\quad + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\ &= d(t) + e(t)w(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\ &= r_1(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

$r_1(t)$  and  $e(t)$  are non-decreasing on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Take any fixed  $T \in [t_0, t_1]$ , and for arbitrary  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , we have

$$x^p(t) \leq r_1(T) + e(T) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds. \tag{3.31}$$

Let  $u(t) = x^p(t)$ . Inequality (3.31) is equivalent to

$$u(t) \leq r_1(T) + e(T) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]u(s) ds. \tag{3.32}$$

Define a function  $V(t)$  by the right-hand side of (3.32). Then  $V(t)$  is positive and

$$V(t_0) = r_1(T), \quad u(t) \leq V(t), \tag{3.33}$$

$$V'(t) = e(T)[f(t) + k(t)]u(t) \leq e(T)[f(t) + k(t)]V(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &\leq V(t_0) \exp \left\{ e(T) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\} \\ &= r_1(T) \exp \left\{ e(T) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

and then, from (3.33), (3.34) and the assumption  $u(t) = x^p(t)$ , we get

$$x(t) \leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(T) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(T) \int_{t_0}^T [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\}.$$

Since the above inequality is true for any  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , we obtain

$$x(T) \leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(T) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(T) \int_{t_0}^T [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\}.$$

Replacing  $T$  by  $t$  yields

$$x(t) \leq r_1^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\} = v_1(t), \quad t \in I_0 = [t_0, t_1]. \tag{3.35}$$

This means that (3.21) is true for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

For  $t \in I_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ , from Lemma 2.1 and (3.20), (2.24)-(2.27) and (3.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x^p(t) &\leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s)x^p(s) + g(s)x^q(s) - h(s)x^r(s)] ds + l(t)\beta_1 x^m(t_1 - 0) \\ &\leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [[f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) + \theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] ds \\ &\quad + l(t)\beta_1 v_1^m(t_1 - 0) \\ &= d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [\theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] ds + l(t)\beta_1 v_1^m(t_1 - 0) \\ &\quad + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\ &\leq d(t) + e(t)w(t) + l(t)\beta_1 v_1^m(t_1 - 0) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [f(s) + k(s)]v_1^p(s) ds \\ &\quad + e(t) \int_{t_1}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\ &= r_1(t) + l(t)\beta_1 v_1^m(t_1 - 0) \\ &\quad + e(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [f(s) + k(s)]v_1^p(s) ds + e(t) \int_{t_1}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\ &= r_2(t) + e(t) \int_{t_1}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Inequality (3.36) is the same as (3.30) if we replace  $r_1(t)$  and  $t_0$  with  $r_2(t)$  and  $t_1$  in (3.36), respectively. Thus, by (3.35) and (3.36), we get, for  $t \in I_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ ,

$$x(t) \leq r_2^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(t) \int_{t_1}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\} = v_2(t).$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\} \\ &= v_i(t) \quad \text{holds for } t \in I_{i-1} = (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Then, for  $t \in I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , from Lemma 2.1 and (3.20), (3.24)-(3.27) and (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) &\leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(s)x^p(s) + g(s)x^q(s) - h(s)x^r(s)] ds + l(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &\leq d(t) + e(t) \int_{t_0}^t [[f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) + \theta_1(p, q, g(s), k_1(s)) + \theta_2(p, r, h(s), k_2(s))] ds \\
 &\quad + l(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &= d(t) + e(t)w(t) + e(t) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds + e(t) \int_{t_i}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\
 &\quad + l(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &\leq r_1(t) + e(t) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} [f(s) + k(s)]v_{k+1}^p(s) ds + e(t) \int_{t_i}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds \\
 &\quad + l(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0) \\
 &\leq r_{i+1}(t) + e(t) \int_{t_i}^t [f(s) + k(s)]x^p(s) ds. \tag{3.38}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.38) is the same as (3.30) if we replace  $r_1(t)$  and  $t_0$  with  $r_{i+1}(t)$  and  $t_i$  in (3.38), respectively. Thus, by (3.35) and (3.38), we have, for  $t \in I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,

$$x(t) \leq r_{i+1}^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p} e(t) \int_{t_i}^t [f(s) + k(s)] ds \right\}.$$

By induction, we know that (3.30) holds for  $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , for any nonnegative integer  $i$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. □

**Theorem 3.4** *Suppose that  $x$  is a nonnegative piecewise continuous function defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  with discontinuities of the first kind in the points  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and satisfies the integro-sum inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^p(t) &\leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s)x^p(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t g_j(s)x^{q_j}(s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t h_j(s)x^{r_j}(s) ds \\
 &\quad + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \quad t \geq t_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ ,  $a(t)$  is defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and  $a(t_0) \neq 0$ ,  $b(t) \geq 0$  and  $c(t) \geq 0$  are defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $h \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, +\infty))$ ,  $0 < q_j < p < r_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ ),  $\beta_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $m > 0$  are constants.

Then, for any continuous functions  $k_1(t) > 0$  and  $k_2(t) \geq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$  satisfying  $k(t) = k_1(t) - k_2(t) \geq 0$ , the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \\
 x(t) &\leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_i(t) &= r_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \exp\left\{\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^t [f(s) + Lk(s)] \, ds\right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \\
 d(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |a(\tau)|, \quad l(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |c(\tau)|, \quad r_1(t) = d(t) + w(t), \\
 w(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t [\theta_j(p, q_j, g_j(s), k_1(s)) + \tilde{\theta}_j(p, r_j, h_j(s), k_2(s))] \, ds, \\
 \theta_j(p, q_j, g_j(s), k_1(s)) &= \frac{p - q_j}{q_j} \left(\frac{q_j}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q_j}} g_j^{\frac{p}{p-q_j}}(s) k_1^{\frac{-q_j}{p-q_j}}(s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L, \\
 \tilde{\theta}_j(p, r_j, h_j(s), k_2(s)) &= \frac{r_j - p}{r_j} \left(\frac{p}{r_j}\right)^{\frac{p}{r_j-p}} h_j^{\frac{-p}{r_j-p}}(s) k_2^{\frac{r_j}{r_j-p}}(s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L, \\
 r_{i+1}(t) &= r_i(t) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} [f(s) + Lk(s)] v_i^p(s) \, ds + l(t) \beta_i v_i^m(t_i - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3, and we omit these details.

#### 4 Application

In this section, we will apply the results which we have established above to the estimates of solutions of certain impulsive differential equations.

**Example 4.1** Consider the following impulsive differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^p(t)}{dt} = F(t, x(t), \int_{t_0}^t G(s, t, x(s)) \, ds), & t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_i} = d(t) \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0), \\ x(t_0) = x_0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $p > 0, m > 0$  are constants, the functions  $d(t) \geq 0, t \in [t_0, \infty), F \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $G \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$|F(t, u, v)| \leq f(t) |u|^q + |v|, \tag{4.2}$$

$$|G(s, t, w)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^L b_j(t) g_j(s) |w|^p + \sum_{k=1}^M c_k(t) \theta_k(s) |w|^q, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $q > 0 (q \neq p)$  is a constant, and  $f(t), g_j(t), b_j(t) (j = 1, 2, \dots, L), c_j(t), \theta_j(t) (j = 1, 2, \dots, M)$  are defined as in Theorem 3.2. If

$$1 + \frac{p - q}{p} r_i^{\frac{q-p}{p}}(t) \int_{t_{i-1}}^t l(s) \exp\left(\frac{q-p}{p} \int_{t_{i-1}}^s e(\tau) \, d\tau\right) \, ds > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then for  $t \geq t_0$ , every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (4.1) satisfies the following estimates:

$$|x(t)| \leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{4.4}$$

$$|x(t)| \leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $l(t), e(t), r_i(t)$  and  $v_i(t) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$  are defined as in Theorem 3.2.

*Proof* The solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (4.1) satisfies the following equivalent equation:

$$x^p(t) = x_0^p + \int_{t_0}^t F\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} G(s, \tau, x(s)) \, ds\right) \, d\tau + d(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^m(t_i - 0).$$

From conditions (4.2) and (4.3), it is easy to have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)|^p &\leq |x_0|^p + \int_{t_0}^t \left| F\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} G(s, \tau, x(s)) \, ds\right) \right| \, d\tau \\ &\quad + c(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i |x(t_i - 0)|^m \\ &\leq |x_0|^p + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) |x(\tau)|^q \, d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{t_0}^t b_j(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} g_j(s) |x(s)|^p \, ds \, d\tau \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t_0}^t c_k(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} \theta_k(s) |x(s)|^q \, ds \, d\tau + d(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i |x(t_i - 0)|^m, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 3.2, we easily obtain estimates (4.4) and (4.5) of solutions of Eq. (4.1).  $\square$

**Example 4.2** Consider the following impulsive differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t)x(t) + g(t)x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) - h(t)x^2(t), & t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_i} = a(t)\beta_i x^3(t_i - 0), \\ x(t_0) = x_0, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty, f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), h \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, +\infty)), a(t) \geq 0$  is defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and  $\beta_i \geq 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots)$  are constants. Then, for any continuous functions  $k_1(t) > 0$  and  $k_2(t) \geq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$  satisfying  $k(t) = k_1(t) - k_2(t) \geq 0$ , the following estimates hold:

$$|x(t)| \leq v_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{4.7}$$

$$|x(t)| \leq v_i(t), \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$v_i(t) = r_i(t) \exp\left\{ \int_{t_{i-1}}^t [f(s) + k(s)] \, ds \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{4.9}$$

$$r_1(t) = |x_0| + w(t), \quad w(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} g^{\frac{3}{2}}(s) k_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s) + \frac{1}{4} h^{-1}(s) k_2^2(s) \right] \, ds, \tag{4.10}$$

$$r_{i+1}(t) = r_i(t) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} [f(s) + k(s)] v_i(s) \, ds + l(t) \beta_i v_i^3(t_i - 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\text{and } l(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} |a(\tau)|. \tag{4.12}$$

*Proof* The solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (4.6) satisfies the following equivalent equation:

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t (f(s)x(s) + g(s)x^{\frac{1}{3}}(s) - h(s)x^2(s)) ds + a(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i x^3(t_i - 0).$$

From the assumptions of  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| \leq & |x_0| + \int_{t_0}^t (f(s)|x(s)| + g(s)|x(s)|^{\frac{1}{3}} - h(s)|x(s)|^2) ds \\ & + a(t) \sum_{t_0 < t_i < t} \beta_i |x(t_i - 0)|^3, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 3.3, we easily obtain estimates (4.7) and (4.8) of solutions of Eq. (4.6).  $\square$

#### Acknowledgements

The author thanks the reviewers for their helpful and valuable suggestions and comments on this paper. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11671227) A Project of Shandong Province Higher Educational Science and Technology Program (China) (No. J14LI09).

#### Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 20 July 2017 Accepted: 13 December 2017 Published online: 18 January 2018

#### References

- Bainov, DD, Simeonov, PS: Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solution and Applications. Longman, Harlow (1993)
- Mitropolskiy, YuA, Iovane, G, Borysenko, SD: About a generalization of Bellman-Bihari type inequalities for discontinuous functions and their applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66**, 2140-2165 (2007)
- Borysenko, SD: About one integral inequality for piece-wise continuous functions. In: Proc. X Int. Kravchuk Conf., Kyiv, p. 323 (2004)
- Iovane, G: Some new integral inequalities of Bellman-Bihari type with delay for discontinuous functions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66**, 498-508 (2007)
- Gallo, A, Piccirillo, AM: About new analogies of Gronwall-Bellman-Bihari type inequalities for discontinuous functions and estimated solutions for impulsive differential systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 1550-1559 (2007)
- Borysenko, SD, Ciarletta, M, Iovane, G: Integro-sum inequalities and motion stability of systems with impulse perturbations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **62**, 417-428 (2005)
- Borysenko, S, Iovane, G: About some new integral inequalities of Wendroff type for discontinuous functions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66**, 2190-2203 (2007)
- Gallo, A, Piccirillo, AM: On some generalizations Bellman-Bihari result for integro-functional inequalities for discontinuous functions and their applications. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2009**, Article ID 808124 (2009)
- Iovane, G: On Gronwall-Bellman-Bihari type integral inequalities in several variables with retardation for discontinuous functions. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **11**, 599-606 (2008)
- Gallo, A, Piccirillo, AM: About some new generalizations of Bellman-Bihari results for integro-functional inequalities with discontinuous functions and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 2276-2287 (2009)
- Deng, SF, Prather, C: Generalization of an impulsive nonlinear singular Gronwall-Bihari inequality with delay. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **9**, Article ID 34 (2008)
- Wang, WS, Zhou, XL: A generalized Gronwall-Bellman-Ou-lang type inequality for discontinuous functions and applications to BVP. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **216**, 3335-3342 (2010)
- Shao, J, Meng, FW: Nonlinear impulsive differential and integral inequalities with integral jump conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 112 (2016)
- Zheng, B: Some generalized Gronwall-Bellman type nonlinear delay integral inequalities for discontinuous functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 297 (2013)
- Mi, YZ, Deng, SF, Li, XP: Nonlinear integral inequalities with delay for discontinuous functions and their applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 430 (2013)
- Mi, YZ: Generalized integral inequalities for discontinuous functions with two independent variables and their applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 524 (2014)

17. Wang, WS, Li, ZZ, Tang, AM: Nonlinear retarded integral inequalities for discontinuous functions and their applications. In: *Computer, Informatics, Cybernetics and Applications*, vol. 107, pp. 149-159 (2012)
18. Zheng, ZW, Gao, X, Shao, J: Some new generalized retarded inequalities for discontinuous functions and their applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2016**, 7 (2016)
19. Li, YS: The bound, stability and error estimates of the solution of nonlinear different equations. *Acta Math. Sin.* **12**(1), 28-36 (1962) (in Chinese)
20. Tian, YZ, Cai, YL, Li, LZ, Li, TX: Some dynamic integral inequalities with mixed nonlinearities on time scales. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, 12 (2015)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---