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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of periodic solutions for Rayleigh equation with a singularity of repulsive type $$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\varphi(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)}=p(t),
$$


where $\alpha \geq 1$ is a constant, and $\varphi$ and $p$ are $T$-periodic functions. The proof of the main result relies on a known continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory. The interesting point is that the sign of the function $\varphi(t)$ is allowed to change for $t \in[0,7]$.
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## 1 Introduction

Singular differential equations arise in many disciplines such as physics, fluid dynamics, and ecology (see [1-6] and the references therein). In recent years, the periodic problem of second-order differential equations with singularities has been widely studied. The first study in this area seems to be the paper of Nagumo [7] in 1944. After some works of Forbat and Huaux [8], the interest increased with the pioneering paper of Lazer and Solimini [9]. They considered the existence of periodic solutions suggested by the two fundamental examples ( $\alpha>0$, and $h: R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous $T$-periodic function)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)}=h(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the singularity of attractive type) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)}=h(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the singularity of repulsive type). By using topological degree methods they obtained that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive periodic solutions for equation (1.1) is $\bar{h}>0$, and if we assume in addition that $\alpha \geq 1$, then a necessary and
sufficient condition for the existence of positive periodic solutions for equation (1.2) is $\bar{h}<0$. After that, some methods associated with nonlinear functional analysis theory have been widely applied to the studied problem in many papers such as the variational methods used in [10-13], fixed point theorems used in [14-19], upper and lower solutions methods used in [20, 21], and continuation theorems of coincidence degree used in [22-31]. For example, Torres [14] studied the periodic problem for the equation with singularity of repulsive type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\varphi(t) x-\frac{b(t)}{x^{\mu}}=h(t), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi, b, h \in L^{1}[0, T]$, and $\mu>0$ is a constant. The function $\varphi$ is required to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that (1.4), together with some other conditions, can guarantee the Green function $G(t, s)$ associated with the boundary value problem for Hill's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\varphi(t) x=h(t), \quad x(0)=x(T), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for all $(t, s) \in[0, T] \times[0, T]$; then, the solution to problem (1.5) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) h(s) d s \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (1.6) is crucial in [14-17] for applying some fixed point theorems on cones. Wang [25] studied the problem of periodic solutions for the singular delay Liénard equation of repulsive type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+\varphi(t) x(t-\tau)-\frac{1}{x^{\mu}(t-\tau)}=h(t), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ is continuous, $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$ is continuous $T$-periodic, and $\tau>0$ and $\mu \geq 1$ are constants. To balance the forces of $\varphi(t) x$ at $x=+\infty$ and $\frac{1}{x^{\mu}}$ at $x=0, \varphi$ is also required to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $[26,28]$, the authors studied the periodic problem of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f(x) x^{\prime}+\varphi(t) x-\frac{1}{x^{\mu}}=h(t) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (1.9), the function $\varphi$ is required to satisfy $\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(s) d s>0$, which means that the sign of the function $\varphi$ is allowed to change. Now, the question is that how to investigate the existence of $T$-periodic solutions for a Rayleigh equation with a singularity of repulsive type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\varphi(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)}=p(t) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: R \rightarrow R$ is continuous with $f(0)=0, \alpha \geq 1$, and $\varphi, p: R \rightarrow R$ are continuous and $T$-periodic.
Motivated by this, the aim of this paper is to search for positive $T$-periodic solutions for (1.10). Using a known continuation theorem of theorem of coincidence degree theory (see [32, 33], and [34]), we obtain a new result on the existence of positive periodic solutions for equation (1.10). In present paper, the sign of $\varphi$ in (1.10) is allowed to change for $t \in$ $[0, T]$. Although this condition is the same as that in [26,28], for studying the periodic problem of (1.9), the methods used in [26,28] for estimating a priori bounds of positive $T$-periodic solutions to (1.9) cannot be directly applied to (1.10). This is due to the fact that mechanism of the first-order derivative term $f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)$ influencing a priori bounds of positive $T$-periodic solutions to (1.10) is different from the corresponding ones of $f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)$ in (1.10). For example, if $x(t)$ is a positive $T$-periodic function such that $x \in C^{1}(R, R)$, then $\int_{0}^{T} f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t=0$, but, generally, $\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \neq 0$.

## 2 Preliminary lemmas

Let $C_{T}=\{x \in C(R, R): x(t+T)=x(t), \forall t \in R\}$ with the norm $|x|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|x(t)|$, and let $C_{T}^{1}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in C^{1}(R, R): x^{\prime}(t+T)=x^{\prime}(t), \forall t \in R\right\}$ with the norm $\|x\|=\max \left\{|x|_{\infty},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\right\}$. Clearly, $C_{T}$ and $C_{T}^{1}$ are both Banach spaces. For any $T$-periodic solution $\varphi(t)$ with $\varphi \in C_{T}$, by $\varphi_{+}(t)$ and $\varphi_{-}(t)$ we denote $\max \{\varphi(t), 0\}$ and $-\min \{\varphi(t), 0\}$, respectively, and $\bar{\varphi}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(s) d s$. Then $\varphi(t)=\varphi_{+}(t)-\varphi_{-}(t)$ for all $t \in R$, and $\bar{\varphi}=\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}$. Furthermore, for each $u \in C_{T}$, let $\|u\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}, p \in[1,+\infty)$.

The following result can be easily obtained by using Theorem 4 in [32], Chapter 6 of [33], and Theorem 3.1 in [34].

Lemma 2.1 Assume that there exist positive constants $N_{0}, N_{1}$, and $N_{2}$ with $0<N_{0}<N_{1}$ such that the following conditions hold.

1. For each $\lambda \in(0,1]$, each possible positive $T$-periodic solution $x$ to the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(u^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) u-\frac{\lambda}{u^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t)
$$

satisfies the inequalities $N_{0}<x(t)<N_{1}$ and $\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<N_{2}$ for all $t \in[0, T]$.
2. Each possible solution $c$ to the equation

$$
\frac{1}{c^{\alpha}}-c \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}=0
$$

satisfies the inequality $N_{0}<c<N_{1}$.
3. The inequality

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N_{0}^{\alpha}}-N_{0} \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}\right)\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{\alpha}}-N_{1} \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}\right)<0
$$

holds.
Then equation (1.10) has at least one positive T-periodic solution $u$ such that $N_{0}<u(t)<N_{1}$ for all $t \in[0, T]$.

Now, we list the following assumptions, which will be used in Section 3 for investigating the existence of positive $T$-periodic solutions to (1.10).
[ $H_{1}$ ] There exist constants $L>0, \sigma>0$, and $n \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t\right| \leq L \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t, \quad \forall x \in C_{T}^{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y f(y) \geq \sigma|y|^{n+1}, \quad \forall y \in R . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[ $H_{2}$ ] The function $\varphi$ satisfies $\overline{\varphi_{+}}>\overline{\varphi_{-}}$;
$\left[H_{3}\right]\|\varphi\|_{2}<\sigma T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\left(L T^{-\frac{1}{2}}+T^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}\right)\|\varphi\|_{2}<\sigma\left(\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}\right)$.

Remark 2.1 If assumption $\left[H_{2}\right]$ holds, then there are constants $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ with $0<D_{1}<$ $D_{2}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}-\bar{\varphi} x+\bar{p}>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in\left(0, D_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}-\bar{\varphi} x+\bar{p}<0 \quad \text { for all } x \in\left(D_{2}, \infty\right)
$$

Now, we embed equation (1.10) into the following equations family with parameter $\lambda \in$ $(0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) x-\frac{\lambda}{x^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{x \in C_{T}: x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) x-\frac{\lambda}{x^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t), \lambda \in(0,1] ; x(t)>0, \forall t \in[0, T]\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}=\max \left\{1, \frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{n+1}}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}} B+\frac{1+\bar{p}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ will be determined by (2.13). Clearly, $M_{0}$ is independent of $(\lambda, x) \in(0,1] \times \Omega$.

Lemma 2.2 Assume that assumptions $\left[H_{1}\right]-\left[H_{3}\right]$ hold. Then for each function $x \in \Omega$, there exists a point $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right) \leq M_{0}
$$

where $M_{0}$ is defined by (2.5)

Proof If the conclusion does not hold, then there is a function $x_{0} \in \Omega$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(t)>M_{0} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) x_{0}-\frac{\lambda}{x_{0}^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.7) over the interval $[0, T]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{+}(t) x_{0}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{-}(t) x_{0}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x_{0}^{\alpha}(t)} d t+\int_{0}^{T} p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{+}(t) x_{0}(t) d t \\
& \quad=-\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{-}(t) x_{0}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x_{0}^{\alpha}(t)} d t+\int_{0}^{T} p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi_{+}(t) \geq 0$ and $\varphi_{-}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, it follows from the integral mean value theorem and condition (2.1) in $\left[H_{1}\right]$ that there are two points $\xi, \zeta \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
x_{0}(\xi) T \overline{\varphi_{+}} \leq L \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+x_{0}(\zeta) T \overline{\varphi_{-}}+M_{0}^{-\alpha} T+T \bar{p}
$$

which, together with the fact of $M_{0} \geq 1$ in (2.5), yields

$$
x_{0}(\xi) T \overline{\varphi_{+}} \leq L \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} T \overline{\varphi_{-}}+T+T \bar{p}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(\xi) \leq \frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{n+1}}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}+\frac{\overline{\varphi_{-}}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}}\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty}+\frac{1+\bar{p}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq x_{0}(\xi)+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{n+1} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (2.8), (2.9), and [ $H_{2}$ ] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{n+1}}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{n+1} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}+\frac{1+\bar{p}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of (2.7) by $x_{0}^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating it over the interval $[0, T]$, we get

$$
\lambda \int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right) x_{0}^{\prime}(t) d t=-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) x_{0}(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t) d t .
$$

From condition (2.2) in $\left[H_{1}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) x_{0}(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \leq\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}|\varphi(t)|\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)|\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq\left|x_{0}\right| \infty\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\varphi|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} d t\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} d t\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t \leq & \sigma^{-1}\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \\
& +\sigma^{-1}\|p\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We infer from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t \\
& \quad \leq \frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{n+1}}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}}{\sigma\left(\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \\
& \quad+\sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\bar{p}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}+\|p\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (2.12), we list two cases.
Case 1: If $n>1$, then we see that there exists $B_{0}>0$ such that $\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq B_{0}$;
Case 2: If $n=1$, then by assumption $\left[H_{3}\right]$ there exists $B_{1}>0$ such that $\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq B_{1}$.

Letting $B=\max \left\{B_{0}, B_{1}\right\}$, it follows from Case 1 or Case 2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq B \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.13) into (2.10), we have

$$
\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{n+1}}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}} B+\frac{1+\bar{p}}{\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{+}}} .
$$

By the definition of $M_{0}$ in (2.5) we have

$$
\left|x_{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}
$$

that is,

$$
x_{0}(t) \leq M_{0} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T],
$$

which contradicts (2.6). This contradiction proves Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $\left[H_{2}\right]$ holds. Then there exists a positive constant $\gamma>0$ such that, for each $x \in \Omega$, there is a point $t_{1} \in[0, T]$ satisfying

$$
x\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \gamma
$$

Proof Let $x\left(t_{1}\right)=\max _{t \in[0, T]} x(t)$. Then $x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq 0$ and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, which, together with (2.3), yields

$$
\lambda f(0)+\lambda \varphi\left(t_{1}\right) x\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{\lambda}{x^{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)} \geq \lambda p\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

Since $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{1}\right) \max _{t \in[0, T]} \varphi(t)-\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)} \geq p\left(t_{1}\right) \geq-|p|_{\infty} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.14) by $x^{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha+1}\left(t_{1}\right) \max _{t \in[0, T]} \varphi(t)+x^{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)|p|_{\infty}-1 \geq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $S(u)=u^{\alpha+1} \max \varphi(t)+u^{\alpha}|p|_{\infty}-1$ for $u \in[0,+\infty)$. By assumption [ $H_{2}$ ] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(0)=-1<0, \\
& \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} S(u)=+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $S(u)$ has zero points on $(0,+\infty)$. Let $\gamma$ be the minimum zero point of $S(u)$ on $(0,+\infty)$. Then $S(\gamma)=0$. It follows from (2.15) that

$$
x\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \gamma
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3 Main result

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $\left[H_{1}\right]-\left[H_{3}\right]$ hold. Then equation (1.10) has at least one positive $T$-periodic solution.

Proof Firstly, we will show that there exist $N_{1}>0$ and $N_{2}>0$ such that each positive $T$ periodic solution $x(t)$ of equation (2.3) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)<N_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<N_{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $x$ is an arbitrary positive $T$-periodic solution of equation (2.3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) x-\frac{\lambda}{x^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $x \in \Omega$. So by Lemma 2.2 there exists a point $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right) \leq M_{0}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{n+1} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.2) over the interval $[0, T]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) x(t) d t-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t=\int_{0}^{T} p(t) d t \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, similarly to the proof of (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t \leq & \sigma^{-1}|x|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \\
& +\sigma^{-1}\|p\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t \\
& \quad \leq \sigma^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}} T^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \\
& \quad+\left(\sigma^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}} M_{0}+\sigma^{-1}\|p\|_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (3.6), we list two cases.
Case 1: If $n>1$, then there exists $\rho_{0}>0$ such that $\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq \rho_{0}$;
Case 2: If $n=1$, then by assumption $\left[H_{3}\right]$ there exists $\rho_{1}>0$ such that $\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \rho_{1}$.
Letting $\rho=\max \left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right\}$, it follows from Case 1 or Case 2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{n+1} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq \rho \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and according to (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \leq M_{0}+T^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \rho:=N_{1} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, there is a point $t_{2} \in[0, T]$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. Multiplying both sides of (3.2) by $x^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating it over the interval $\left[t_{2}, t\right]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\lambda \int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[-f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)-\varphi(t) x(t) x^{\prime}(t)+\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x^{\alpha}(t)}+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \\
& \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{2}+T\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2} & \leq \lambda\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\left[|x|_{\infty} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{2}+T}|\varphi(t)| d t+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{2}+T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{2}+T}|p(t)| d t\right] \\
& =\lambda\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\left[|x|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}|\varphi(t)| d t+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)| d t\right] \\
& =\lambda\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\left[N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+T \overline{|p|}\right] \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{2}+T\right] . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|=\max _{t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{2}+T\right]}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|,
$$

it follows from (3.9) that

$$
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}^{2}}{2} \leq \lambda\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\left[N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+T \overline{|p|}\right]
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}}{2} \leq \lambda\left[N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+T \overline{|p|}\right]
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|}{2} \leq \frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}}{2} \leq \lambda\left[N_{1} T \overline{T \varphi \mid}+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t+T \overline{|p|}\right] \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.4) and condition (2.1) in $\left[H_{1}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t & =\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) x(t) d t-\int_{0}^{T} p(t) d t \\
& \leq L \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+T \overline{|p|} \\
& \leq L \rho T^{\frac{n}{n+1}}+N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+T \overline{|p|},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is determined in (3.7). Substituting this formula into (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \lambda\left[2 L \rho T^{\frac{n}{n+1}}+4 N_{1} T \overline{|\varphi|}+4 T \overline{|p|}\right]:=\lambda N_{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq N_{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further show that there exists a constant $\gamma_{0} \in(0, \gamma)$ such that each positive $T=$ periodic solution of (2.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>\gamma_{0} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, suppose that $x(t)$ is an arbitrary positive $T$-periodic solution of (2.3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda \varphi(t) x-\frac{\lambda}{x^{\alpha}}=\lambda p(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 we see that there is a point $t_{1} \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
x\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \gamma
$$

For $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{1}+T\right]$, multiplying both sides of (3.14) with $x^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating it over the interval $\left[t_{1}, t\right]$ (or $\left[t, t_{1}\right]$ ), we get

$$
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime} d t=\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}} x^{\prime} d t-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \varphi(t) x x^{\prime} d t+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(t) x^{\prime} d t,
$$

which results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{x\left(t_{1}\right)}^{x(t)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} d s \\
& \quad=\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(x^{\prime}(s)\right) x^{\prime}(s) d s+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \varphi(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{x(t)}^{x\left(t_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} d s= & -\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(x^{\prime}(s)\right) x^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& -\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \varphi(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (2.2) in $\left[H_{1}\right]$, we get $\int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(x^{\prime}(s)\right) x^{\prime}(s) d s \geq 0$. Thus, it follows from the last formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{x(t)}^{x\left(t_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} d s & \leq-\frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \varphi(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s+\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& \leq\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}^{2}+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|\varphi(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|p(s) x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (3.8) and (3.11), yields

$$
\lambda \int_{x(t)}^{x\left(t_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} d s \leq \lambda^{2} N_{2}^{2}+\lambda^{2} N_{1} N_{2} T \overline{|\varphi|}+\lambda^{2} N_{2} T \overline{|p|}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x(t)}^{x\left(t_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} d s \leq N_{2}^{2}+N_{1} N_{2} T \overline{|\varphi|}+N_{2} T \overline{|p|}:=N_{3} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha \geq 1$, it follows that there exists $\gamma_{0} \in(0, \gamma)$ such that

$$
\int_{\eta}^{\gamma} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}(t)} d t>N_{3} \quad \text { for all } \eta \in\left(0, \gamma_{0}\right)
$$

which, together with (3.15), implies that

$$
x(t)>\gamma_{0} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] .
$$

So (3.13) holds.
Let $n_{0}=\min \left\{D_{1}, \gamma_{0}\right\}$ and $n_{1} \in\left(N_{1}+D_{2},+\infty\right)$ be two constants. Then from (3.8), (3.12), and (3.13) we see that each possible positive $T$-periodic solution $x$ to (2.3) satisfies

$$
n_{0}<x(t)<n_{1}, \quad\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<N_{2} .
$$

This implies that condition 1 and condition 2 of Lemma 2.1 hold. In addition, from Remark 2.1 we can infer that

$$
\frac{1}{c^{\alpha}}-c \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}>0 \quad \text { for } c \in\left(0, n_{0}\right]
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{c^{\alpha}}-c \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}<0 \quad \text { for } c \in\left[n_{1},+\infty\right)
$$

which results in

$$
\left(\frac{1}{n_{0}^{\alpha}}-n_{0} \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}^{\alpha}}-n_{1} \bar{\varphi}+\bar{p}\right)<0 .
$$

Therefore, condition 3 of Lemma 2.1 holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.1 we see that equation (1.10) has at least one positive $T$-periodic solution. The proof is complete.

Example 3.1 Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+10 x^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{3}}{1+\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}+a(1+2 \sin t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x^{2}(t)}=\cos t \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in(0, \infty)$. Corresponding to (1.10), we see that $f(x)=10 x-\frac{x^{3}}{1+x^{2}}, \varphi(t)=a(1+2 \sin t)$, $p(t)=\cos t$, and $T=2 \pi$.

Firstly, from (3.16) we see that $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\overline{\varphi_{+}}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{+}(t) d t=\frac{\frac{2 \pi}{3}+\sqrt{3}}{\pi} a, \quad \overline{\varphi_{-}}=\frac{1}{T} \varphi_{-}(t) d t=\frac{-\frac{\pi}{3}+\sqrt{3}}{\pi} a .
$$

Obviously, $\left[H_{2}\right]$ is satisfied. Secondly, integrating $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ over the internal $[0, T]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) d t\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left[10 x^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{3}}{1+\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}\right] d t\right| \\
& =\left|-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{3}}{1+\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}} d t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{3}}{1+\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}} d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that we can chose $L=1$ such that assumption [ $H_{1}$ ] holds. Besides, from

$$
y f(y)=10 y^{2}-\frac{y^{4}}{1+y^{2}} \geq 9 y^{2}
$$

we see that the constant $\sigma$ can be chosen as $\sigma=9$ such that assumption $\left[H_{1}\right]$ is satisfied. Last, let $L=1, \sigma=9, n=1$. Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\frac{L T^{\frac{-1}{2}}+T^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\varphi_{+}}}{\sigma\left(\overline{\varphi_{+}}-\overline{\varphi_{-}}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{2}=1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}-\frac{18+4 \sqrt{3} \pi}{27} a>0, \\
& 1-\sigma^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}}=1-\frac{2 \pi}{3 \sqrt{3}} a>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
a<\frac{27-3 \sqrt{3}}{18+4 \sqrt{3} \pi},
$$

then $\left[H_{3}\right]$ holds. Thus, by Theorem 3.1 we have that equation (3.16) has at least one positive $2 \pi$-periodic solution.
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